# General index to the archive

Site/Project Name:

Oxford, 10 Stephen Road, Headington

Site Code:

**OXHE10S 11** 

Site/Project Type:

Evaluation and Watching Brief

Year(s):

2011-2013

Accession Number:

OXCMS:2011.183

Record Group	Contents	Comments	Box/File Number
	INTRODUCTION		Box 1 file 1
	Archaeological brief Written scheme of investigation	13 sheets See digital version	
A	REPORT		Box 1 file 2
	Evaluation and Watching Brief Report:- Oasis data collection form	See: http://library.thehuman journey.net/1186 3 sheets	
<u></u>	SITE DIARY	3 Sheets	Box 1 file 3
	Daily journal	3 sheets	
В	PRIMARY CONTEXT RECORDS		Box 1 file 4
	Level register Watching brief record Evaluation trench record sheets WB Context check list Context record sheets 1000-1017	1 sheet 31 sheets 2 sheets 1 sheet 18 sheets	
В	CATALOGUE OF DRAWINGS		Box 1-file 5
	Evaluation plan list Evaluation plans 100, 101, 200 + 201 Evaluation section list Evaluation sections 100 + 200 WB Plan record sheet 1000's WB Plan 1000 WB Plan 1001	1 sheet 4 sheets 1 sheet	7
	WB Section record sheet 1000's WB Sections 1000 + 1001 Sketch plans	1 sheet 2 sheets 4 sheets	
C	FINDS BOX AND BAG LIST		Box 1 file 6
	Finds compendium Box contents list	1 sheet 2 sheets	

D	CATALOGUE OF PHOTOGRAPHS		Box 1 file 7
	Black and white film 100 photo record sheet	1 sheet	
	Black and white film 1000 photo record sheet	1 sheet	
Í	Digital photograph index	4 sheets	
	Digital contact sheet	6 sheets	

.

.

# OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

# PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS Submitter OASouth No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[Oxford, 10 Stephen Road, Headington] Site code[OXHE10S11]

Line 2: Excavators name[L Loe]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

Classification of material	present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data - Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	_
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

10 STEPHEN ROAD, HEADINGTON, OXFOLD.

OXHEIOS 11

Box | FILE!

INTRODUCTION

## OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

#### PDF/A SCAN

Tick if

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS
Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[Oxford, 10 Stephen Road, Headington] Site code[OXHE10S11]

Line 2: Excavators name[L Loe]

Line 3:

Classification of material

present Index to archive Introduction A:Final Report A:Publication Report B:Site Data - Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes B: Site Data - Text: General Summaries B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data - Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data - Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data - Text: Primary Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data - Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence H: Miscellaneous

Oxford City Council Planning Control and Conservation

Brief for an Archaeological Watching Brief, Geophysical Survey and Excavation.

Project: 10 Stephen Road and Garage Court.

Description: 08/01961/FUL Demolition of existing property to create 4x3 bed houses, 3x1 bed apartments and 1x2 bed duplex apartment. On plot car parking.

Retention of existing commercial unit and parking at rear.

Brief issued: 13/5/2011

Prepared by: David Radford

#### 1. SUMMARY

This brief sets out the requirement for archaeological excavation at this site, comprising of Stage 1) Demolition of structures to ground level and removal of tarmac under archaeological supervision Stage 2) archaeological geophysical survey Stage 3) archaeological excavation. The purpose of this work is to record any significant deposits affected by development. The investigation has been required because of the potential for a 6<sup>th</sup> century Saxon cemetery in this location.

# 2. DEFINITION

The definition of archaeological excavation is a programme of controlled, intrusive fieldwork with defined research objectives which examines, records and interprets archaeological deposits, features and structures and, as appropriate, retrieves artefacts, ecofacts and other remains within a specified area or site .... The records made and objects gathered during fieldwork are studied and the results of that study published in detail appropriate to the Project Design." (IFA, 1999)<sup>1</sup>

#### 3. SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located in Stephen Road, Headington (SP54460718). The site is currently tarmac, garages and a dwelling. The existing buildings will need to be carefully demolished to ground level and the tarmac removed before Stage 2 is undertaken. The site lies on the Beckley Sandstone (Note: Previous nearby excavations have found it difficult to identify cut features within the sand (e.g. the nearby Manor Football Ground site)).

#### 4. PLANNING BACKGROUND

- The brief relates to planning application no 08/01961/FUL to Oxford City Council.
- b. PPS5 Policy HE12.3 states that where the loss of the whole or a material part of a heritage asset's significance is justified, local planning authorities should require the developer to record and advance understanding of the significance of the heritage asset before it is lost, using planning conditions or obligations as appropriate. Developers should publish this evidence and deposit copies of the reports with the relevant historic environment record. Local planning authorities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> An excavation project may be supplemented by non-destructive means of investigation such as geophysical, earthwork, fieldwalking, geochemical and building survey and also by a watching brief during development.

should require any archive generated to be deposited with a local museum or other public depository willing to receive it. Local planning authorities should impose planning conditions or obligations to ensure such work is carried out in a timely manner and that the completion of the exercise is properly secured.

c. Requirements for excavation are normally secured by means of a "negative condition" (or, more rarely, through a legal agreement) and must be specified in a "written scheme of investigation" which has been agreed in writing by Oxford City Council prior to commencing fieldwork. The "written scheme of investigation" should comprise this brief combined with the archaeological contractor's project design (see below). Archaeological planning conditions will not be discharged until all fieldwork and post-excavation work has been completed, the archive has been deposited and publication secured.

#### 5. ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

- a. This brief sets out the requirements for archaeological excavation at this site which will comprise of Stage 1) a watching brief during stripping of the tarmac (Stage 2) a geophysical survey followed by (Stage 3) mitigation by excavation (and redesign if appropriate).
- b. An archaeological excavation is required for this site because of the potential for Anglo-Saxon burials in this location. In 2002 an inhumation of a Saxon female, accompanied by two disc brooches, a pin, a knife and about fifty amber beads, was recorded during construction work at No 2 Stephen Road, 30m from the application site. The amber necklace has been dated diagnostically to the mid-late 6<sup>th</sup> century. A desk based assessment for this site by John Moore Heritage Services notes that the site has 'high potential for archaeological remains of local/regional significance' (JMHS, 2007, 5.1).
- c. Anglo-Saxon inhumation cemeteries (5<sup>th</sup>-7<sup>th</sup> centuries) can vary in size from one burial to several hundred. In 1991 there were 1000 recorded sites of inhumation burials in England, but only 100-150 of these were cemeteries known to have contained more than 50 burials. Burials were usually placed in grave cuts, although sometimes a wooden coffin or chamber enclosed the body. Bodies were usually extended or loosely flexed, they can be accompanied with grave goods (weapons, belt buckles and jewellery etc). Many inhumation cemeteries are associated with cremation burials (mixed cemeteries). Cremations can be buried in ceramic, glass or metal containers or with no container; they can also be accompanied with grave goods (food offerings, jewellery).
- d. Anglo-Saxon cemeteries can be concentrated or dispersed. For example at Dinton (Buckinghamshire) a later 5<sup>th</sup>-6<sup>th</sup> century part of a compact inhumation cemetery of twenty burials (18 with grave goods) was excavated. within an area of 15x25m. At Tring roundabout (Buckinghamshire) an extensive dispersed inhumation cemetery was excavated. Here 18 inhumations were recorded, mainly orientated south-north, spread out over area 90x170m.

e. The project design should refer to the draft regional resource assessments available on the web: <a href="http://www.buckscc.gov.uk/bcc/content/index.jsp?contentid=-113452798">http://www.buckscc.gov.uk/bcc/content/index.jsp?contentid=-113452798</a>

#### 6. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- a. **Stage 1** Watching Brief: Monitor the process of tarmac removal to ensure that archaeological remains are not disturbed and to assess the viability of this process with regards to creating better conditions for Ground Penetrating Radar Survey (any concerns should be flagged up to the City Council Archaeologist).
- b. Stage 2 The Ground Penetrating Radar survey should seek to gather sufficient information to establish the presence/absence of potential archaeological anomalies within the survey area. Specifically the presence or absence of anomalies that could relate to the presence of burials. It should also identify areas of land where geological or recent deposits (e.g. disturbed ground or colluvium) or modern features (e.g. pipelines) could be masking the detection of anomalies. The work should be informed by the results of the adjacent watching brief (OA, 2003).
- c. Stage 3 Excavation should seek to establish, as far as is practical, the chronology, plan form and function of archaeological features affected by development. In the event that Saxon burials are identified the following questions should be addressed:
  - What is the character and extent of the cemetery (e.g. are burials concentrated or dispersed, is there evidence that the site is bounded?).
  - If burials are present what can the examination of the human remains and grave goods (including the use of modern scientific techniques if appropriate) tell us about the date of the cemetery, its length of use and the status, health, trading patterns and origins of its occupants?
  - Are there any earlier features on site (e.g. Roman boundaries or pottery) and if so what are their relationship, if any, with any Saxon remains?
  - What can comparisons with other regional Saxon cemeteries (Abingdon, Berinsfield, Wheatley) tell us about burial practice and early Saxon populations in this part of the Upper Thames Valley?
  - The burial at No 2 Stephens road was unusual in the arrangement of grave goods with a suggestion that a brooch located on the forehead related to a headscarf. Can careful excavation identify further information about the depositional location of grave goods? What might such arrangements tell us about origins and status?

#### 7. PROCEDURE AND PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

a. Geophysical surveys should be undertaken in accordance with the general Standard and Guidance for archaeological field evaluations published by the Institute of Field Archaeologists (IFA, 1999) and the specific standards for

geophysical survey published by English Heritage: Geophysical survey in archaeological field evaluation (2008). Archaeological Excavations must be undertaken in accordance with the Standard and Guidance for archaeological excavation published by the Institute of Field Archaeologists (IFA, 1999). The project should meet the standard set out in the Standard and guidance for the collection, documentation and research of archaeological materials (IFA, 2001).

- b. The excavation must be governed by a project design which has been agreed in writing by Oxford City Council. The project design should be based on a thorough study of all relevant background information (In this case the desk based assessment (JMHS, 2007 and the adjacent watching brief report (OA, 2003). The project design should conform to the guidelines set out in paragraph 3.2.17 of the IFA guidelines and should in particular specify:
  - The project's research objectives.
  - The site and area(s) to be investigated by each method (to be shown on a plan).
  - Procedures for project management (to follow the principles set out in Management of Archaeological Projects (MAP) (English Heritage, 1991)). Key monitoring milestones should be identified.
  - The expertise of the project team. The project manager should be a named Member of the Institute of Field Archaeologists (MIFA) who is adequately qualified to manage the required archaeological work in line with the guidance set out in the IFA code of conduct. The composition and experience of the project team should be described. Specialists should be identified where required (e.g. for finds and environmental work). In some cases it will also be necessary to identify academic advisors. CVs should be supplied outlining the relevant qualifications and experience of key personnel where relevant this should include specific reference to knowledge of particular periods and local/ regional traditions. Note: Specialists should be able to demonstrate a relevant qualification and track record of at least3 years continuous relevant work (or equivalent) and appropriate publication. In appropriate circumstances, less experienced staff may conduct work under the supervision of well established and widely recognised specialists.
  - Proposals for public and/or media involvement. In the event that the remains of a Saxon cemetery are present and require excavation the East Oxford Archaeology and History Project should be invited for a tour of the site (Should site conditions allow). The EOAHP is a Community Archaeology Project based in East Oxford: http://archeox.net/.
  - Reporting and Archiving arrangements.
  - An outline of the proposed timetable and staff resources this must be nonbinding and presented "for information only"

Contingency arrangements.

#### 8. FIELDWORK METHODOLOGY

## a. Watching Brief during tarmac removal

 An intermittent watching brief should be undertaken during the removal of the tarmac to assess whether the process will provide an appropriate surface for GPR and to ensure that modern or earlier features are not grubbed out or disturbed.

# b. Geophysics

• In this instance Ground Penetrating Radar survey is required covering the accessible area of the application site (proposed footprint, parking areas and gardens). This is to be undertaken once the existing structures have been removed and the tarmac stripped to create optimal conditions for the survey. The geophysical survey strategy should be based on the principles set out in *Geophysical survey in archaeological field evaluation* (English Heritage, 2008). It should take explicit account of the project's archaeological objectives including the size, date, nature and likely responsiveness of targets, site geology and topography, current and past land use and any constraints.

#### c. Review

Once the geophysical survey results are available there should be a review stage
when the scope of any subsequent excavation and the potential for mitigation by
redesign can be considered in discussion with the City Council Archaeologist.

#### d. Excavation

#### 1. Surveying

• Accurate and precise surveying is essential. At the commencement of each fieldwork project a site grid should be carefully laid out by an experienced surveyor and related to the national grid (the accuracy of any previously surveyed grids should also be checked). All subsequent fieldwork should use the site grid. The grid should be established using semi-permanent survey stations or by relating the survey to equivalent fixed points. Excavation and survey area boundaries should be plotted to within ±1m relative to the national grid. Within an excavation or survey area internal grid points should be located to within an error of no more than ±0.1m relative to the site grid. On most sites the use of an EDM or theodolite will be essential to set out site grids. All levels should be recorded relative to an Ordnance Survey datum level.

### 2. Machine stripping

 Machinery may be used to remove topsoil and overburden to reveal the significant archaeological deposits. Such excavation should be undertaken in level spits using an appropriate machine using a toothless bucket and working under archaeological supervision. Archaeological deposits should not be removed by machine except where such a procedure has been sanctioned by the City Council Archaeologist. Particular care should be taken when controlling machining in situations where vertical stratigraphy is to be expected or where it is considered that significant archaeological deposits may be vulnerable to damage - in such circumstances machining should be controlled by experienced senior staff. Potentially significant deposits should not be removed by machine before their character is reasonably understood.

• Subject to the results of Stage 2 provision should be made for the footprints of the new buildings, and any other areas of ground impact (crane base, services etc) to be archaeologically excavated.

## e. Cleaning and Recording in plan-form

1.Each excavation area should be cleaned by hand sufficiently to allow the identification and planning of archaeological features and scanned with a metal-detector. Where archaeological features appear to be absent sufficient work should be done to demonstrate this. The excavation area should be planned at an appropriate scale (normally 1:20 where complex deposits are present or 1:50 or 1:100 in areas of lesser complexity). Spot levels should be taken as appropriate.

# f. Sampling

- 1.**Stage 3** (should this be required) Features should be excavated by hand in accordance with the sampling criteria defined below (unless varied by subsequent agreement of the City Council Archaeologist):
  - Linear features: 20%
  - Postholes: Full excavation
  - Pits: normally ½ section
  - Stone structures: Full excavation
  - Floor/occupation layers: Full excavation
  - Kilns/furnaces etc: Full excavation
  - Burials: Full excavation
  - Waterlogged deposits: environmental sampling
  - A contingency should be identified for more intensive sampling. The use of the contingency should be agreed with the City Council Archaeologist prior to implementation.

## g. Context recording

1.Each context should be recorded on pro-forma records which should include the following minimum details: character; contextual relationships; detailed description (dimensions and shape; soil components, colour, texture and consistency); associated finds; interpretation and phasing as well as cross-references to the drawn, photographic and finds registers. Normally each context should be recorded on an individual record. Sections should be drawn through all significant cut features and levelled to ordnance datum. Trench

- and excavation sides should also be drawn in section where they contain significant archaeological information.
- 2.A black and white photographic record should be maintained including photos of all significant features and overall photos of each area or trench. Digital photographs should not be taken instead of 35mm film. Where selected digital photographs are taken to supplement 35mm film they should adhere to the National Monuments Record's *Digital Imaging Guidelines* which requires that only cameras of four mega pixel specification (or greater) should be used.

## h. Artefact and Ecofact collection and recording

- 1.All stratified finds should be collected by context or, where appropriate, individually recorded in 3 dimensions. Unstratified finds should only be collected where they contribute significantly to the project objectives or are of particular intrinsic interest. Provision should be made for on-site conservation advice for the lifting and treatment of fragile objects and investigative conservation. Finds of "treasure" must be reported to the Coroner in accordance with the Treasure Act procedures.
- 2.Collection policies for structural remains and industrial residues have been set out by the Society of Museum Archaeologists (SMA, 1993). The presence of such materials within a context should always be recorded and, where they are considered to be of importance, the excavation strategy should aim to quantify their occurrence, even where comprehensive retention is not considered appropriate.
- 3.Contractors should, where relevant, follow the guidelines for handling Post Roman Ceramics produced by the Medieval Pottery Research Group (Slowikowski, Nenk & Pearce, 2001). This specifies that all ceramic finds must be collected, washed, marked, bagged, boxed and assessed with regard to the project aims and objectives. Where a sampling procedure is employed this should be undertaken in consultation with a ceramic specialist.
- 4. Finds recording should be carried out in a manner compatible with existing typological series for the City of Oxford.
- 5. Contractors should refer to Environmental Archaeology: A guide to the theory and practice of methods from sampling and recovery to post excavation (English Heritage, 2002) as a guide to best practice in this field.
- 6. Waterlogged wood should be recorded, sampled and conserved in accordance with English Heritage guidelines (English Heritage, 1996)
- 7.In the event of discovery of any human remains the archaeological contractor should inform the client, the City Archaeologist, the Coroner, the Police and the Ministry of Justice via the submission of an application form for the 'Archaeological/Accidental/Site Investigation Licence regarding the disturbance of human remains'. The Human remains should be left in-situ, covered and protected. Where a licence for their excavation is issued by the

Ministry of Justice, the requirements of that licence should be followed. Where the Ministry of Justice is unable to issue a licence and it is reasonably determined that the remains are likely to be subject to further unavoidable disturbance or deterioration the archaeological contractor should inform the client and Ministry of Justice of their intention to excavate the remains with due decency and in accordance with the general conditions formerly attached to licences issued for excavation of human remains under similar circumstances.

- 8.In certain situations special arrangements may be required for the recovery of samples for DNA analysis. Human remains should be treated in accordance with IFA guidelines (IFA, 2004) and the advice set out in *Guidance for best practice for treatment of human remains excavated from Christian burial grounds in England* (English Heritage, 2005).
- 9.A contingency should be allowed for at least 2 scientific date and appropriate provision made for the selection and recovery of samples.
- 10. Specialist reports should employ the appropriate keywords as set out in 'Guidelines for the addition of Archaeological Science data to the Historic Environment Record (English Heritage, 2007)'
- 11. An initial assessment of the site's palaeo-environmental potential should be made by the project manager in consultation with the City Council Archaeologist. If the site has significant potential it may be necessary to obtain specialist advice and undertake sampling in accordance with a programme agreed with English Heritage's Adviser in Archaeological Science. A contingency should be allowed for this.

### i. Metal Detecting

- 1. Whenever private individuals or subcontractors are engaged to undertake metal detecting as part of an archaeological fieldwork project they should be asked to sign a formal agreement in which the right to claim Treasure is waived. Please refer to the revised Treasure Act Code of Practice (2003, paragraph 81). A suggested clause is:-
- "In the process of working on the archaeological/ excavation at [location of site] between the dates of [insert dates], [name of person contributing to the project] has been working under the direction or permission of [name of archaeological organisation or responsible individual archaeologist] and hereby waives all rights to rewards for objects discovered that could be otherwise payable under the Treasure Act 1996."
- 2.Contracts should ensure that investigations are covered by a written agreement with the owner & occupier regarding rewards which may be payable.

## 9. POST-EXCAVATION METHODOLOGY

- a. Geophysics Data should be processed and interpreted in accordance with the English Heritage guidelines (English Heritage, 2008). A report should be submitted to inform stage 3 of the field work.
- b. Regarding the archaeological excavation a brief preliminary statement of the results and assessment of the site's significance should be provided within one month of the completion of fieldwork. This preliminary assessment should be agreed by the City Council Archaeologist.
- c. For projects which have been agreed to be of purely local significance it will be sufficient to complete an archive report for the HER, publish a summary and deposit the archive (see below).
- d. For projects which have been agreed to be of more than local significance an illustrated interim report together with a post-excavation assessment and updated project design (MAP Stage 3) should be submitted by the archaeological contractor and approved by the City Council Archaeologist within 6 months of the completion of fieldwork. Post-excavation analysis and report preparation should proceed in accordance with the agreed updated project design unless subsequent variations are agreed by the City Council Archaeologist.

#### 10. GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY REPORTING

- a. The survey report should conform to the requirements defined by English Heritage (English Heritage 2008). In addition:
  - All plans should be clearly related to the national grid and to the local topography (e.g. field boundaries).
  - As far as possible, the results should be related to other significant archaeological features in the vicinity.

#### 11. PUBLICATION

- a. A summary report (including illustrations where appropriate) should be sent to the editors of **South Midlands Archaeology** not later than three months after the end of the calendar year in which the work is undertaken.
- b. If appropriate an illustrated final report which meets the guidelines set out in MAP Appendix 7 and is suitable for publication in an approved archaeological journal (normally *Oxeniensia* or an equivalent publication) should be provided to the Oxford City Council Archaeologist within one year of the completion of fieldwork (unless a longer time period has been agreed in the updated project design). The overall content of the report should be agreed with the Oxford City Council Archaeologist. The report should be clearly referenced in all respects to all work on the site, evaluation, excavation, watching briefs, building recording, background research including aerial photography etc, in order that a coherent picture may be presented. It should place the site in its local archaeological, historical and topographical context and include a clear location map. Each plan included should clearly relate to some other included plan of an appropriate scale and should normally include national grid references.

- c. A bound offprint of the final report/publication and a digital copy of the text in PDF format must be supplied to the Oxford Urban Archaeological Database. A further report/offprint should accompany the archive and another should be supplied to the County Historic Environment Record. A copy of any specialist papers relating to the site should also be supplied to the Oxford City Archaeologist.
- d. A publication grant should be provided to the publishers of the report in accordance with their requirements.
- e. Contractors taking part in the OASIS scheme should complete an OASIS fieldwork summary form and submit it to the Archaeology Data Service.

#### 12. ARCHIVING

- a. The archaeological contractor should endeavour to ensure that the site archive (including any artefacts recovered) are deposited in an acceptable condition with museum which is registered with the Museums, Libraries and Archives Council and approved for the storage of archaeological archives. The preferred archive for in this instance is the County Museum. Contractors should refer to the County Museum Service for the procedures and requirements which must be followed for the deposit of archaeological archives. A storage grant should be provided to the museum in accordance with their requirements. The archive should be prepared and deposited in accordance with the guidelines set out in 'Archaeological Archives: A guide to best practice in creation, compilation, transfer and curation' (AAF, 2007).
- b. The archaeological contractor should arrange for the archive to be copied on microfiche to the standard required by the National Monuments Record and should be deposited with the NMR.
- c. The archive report should include copies of specialist reports.
- d. Where the archive has been identified as of National importance the contracting unit should liase with Oxford City Council Archaeologist and Museum curator to agree any requirements for long term DIGITAL storage. A contingency for DIGITAL storage should be included within the project design,

#### 13. MONITORING

- a. Monitoring is carried out by the Oxford City Council Archaeologist, normally acting on behalf of the local planning authority, to ensure that projects are being carried out in accordance with the brief and approved project design, to enable the need for modifications to the project to be independently considered and validated and to control and validate the use of available contingencies.
- b. A programme of monitoring should be agreed with the Oxford City Council Archaeologist prior to the commencement of fieldwork. The archaeological contractor should keep the City Archaeologist regularly informed of the project's progress and facilitate the monitoring of the project at each stage, including post-

excavation. In particular, there should be no substantial modification of the approved brief and project design without the prior consent of the City Archaeologist and no fieldwork should be carried out without the service's knowledge and approval - the service should always be afforded the opportunity to observe archaeological excavations.

- All monitoring visits will be documented by the Oxford City Council
   Archaeologist and the archaeological contractor will be informed of any perceived deficiencies.
- d. The Oxford City Council Archaeologist should be informed at the earliest opportunity of any unexpected discoveries, especially where there may be a need to vary the project design. The archaeological contractor should carry out such reasonable contingency works as requested by the City Archaeologist within the resources defined in the project design.

#### 14. HEALTH AND SAFETY AND OTHER CONSTRAINTS

- a. Health and Safety must take priority over archaeological requirements. It is essential that all projects are carried out in accordance with safe working practices and under a defined Health and Safety Policy. Risk Assessments must be carried out for every field project. If the risk assessment indicates it is necessary, the requirements of the brief can be varied in the interests of health and safety.
- b. It is the responsibility of the archaeological contractor and their client to ensure that other constraints (e.g. SSSI's or protected trees) are identified and properly safeguarded.
- c. Approval for proposed changes to the project design must be obtained from the Oxford City Council Archaeologist.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

BCM, 1999	Procedures for deposit of archaeological archives.
Communities and Local Government, 2010	Planning Policy Statement 5: Planning and the Historic Environment
English Heritage, 1991	Management of Archaeological Projects.
English Heritage, 1996.	Waterlogged wood. Guidelines on the Recording, Sampling, Conservation and Curation of Waterlogged Wood.
English Heritage, 2005	Guidance for best practice for treatment of human remains excavated from Christian burial grounds in England, English Heritage and Church of England

English Heritage, 2002,	Environmental Archaeology: A guide to the theory and practice of methods from sampling and recovery to post excavation
English Heritage, 2007	Guidelines for the addition of Archaeological Science data to the Historic Environment Record
English Heritage, 2008	Geophysical survey in archaeological field evaluation.
IFA, 1999	Standard and Guidance for archaeological excavation.
IFA, 2004	Guidelines to the Standards for Recording Human Remains
JMHS, 2007	A Desk Based Assessment of 10-12 Stephen Road, Headington, Oxford. (John Moore Heritage Services)
Oxford Archaeology, 2003	2 Stephen's Road, Headington, Oxford Archaeological Watching Brief Report
Slowikowski, A, Nenk, B, & Pearce, J 2001	Minimum Standards for the Processing, Recording, Analysis and Publication of Post Roman Ceramics, Medieval Pottery Research Group, Occasional Paper No2
SMA, 1993.	Selection, Retention and Dispersal of Archaeological Collections.

#### CONTACTS

# Council For British Archaeology South Midlands Group (South Midlands Archaeology)

Mr Barry Horne, Hon.Editor, "Beaumont", Church End, Edlesborough, Dunstable, Beds, LU6 2EP.

# **English Heritage Regional Adviser in Archaeological Science (South East Region)**

Dr Dominique de Moulins, Institute of Archaeology, University College London, 31-34 Gordon Square, London WC1H 0PY. Tel:020 76791539; Fax: 020 73832572; e-mail:d.moulins@ucl.ac.uk

For extensive guidance on thematic research see the HELM Website http://www.helm.org.uk



10 STEPHEN ROAD, treading Tow, Oxford.

OXHEIOS 11

Box1 Five 2

A: REPORT

# OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

# PDF/A SCAN

# FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[Oxford, 10 Stephen Road, Headington] Site code[OXHE10S11]

Line 2: Excavators name[L Loe]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

	present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	•
B: Site Data - Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data - Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

# OASIS DATA COLLECTION FORM: **England**

List of Projects | Manage Projects | Search Projects | New project | Change your details | HER coverage | Change country | Log out

Printable version

OASIS ID: oxfordar1-169446

#### **Project details**

Project name

10 Stephen Road, Headington, Oxford

Short description of the project

Between November 2011 and May 2013 Oxford Archaeology carried out an archaeological evaluation and watching brief at 10 Stephen Road, Headington, Oxford. The works were carried out in advance of and during a redevelopment for housing, comprising four town houses and a block of four apartments, with associated parking plots and gardens. Prior to the development works, two evaluation trenches were excavated. These were located in areas identified as 'anomalies', possibly pertaining to burials, in a Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) survey of the site. However, no archaeological features were revealed. The anomalies observed in the GPR survey corresponded with areas of modern disturbance. Following the evaluation, an archaeological watching brief was carried out on all groundworks that had potential to impact upon archaeological deposits. The groundworks included general ground reduction and excavation of footings and service trenches. A number of modern features, including a bottle/metal waste dump, two redundant water pipe trenches and a manhole, three soakaways and two modem rubbish pits, were revealed. Another pit, possibly archaeological, was revealed within a pad-stone footing, although no finds were recovered from which to estimate a date. The most archaeologically significant feature revealed was a north-east - southwest aligned burial. However, the skeleton was in very poor condition, with only the legs surviving. A single fragment of Bronze Age pottery was recovered from the grave fill, although this was probably residual. It likely that the burial dates to the Saxon period, given its proximity to the site of a furnished Saxon burial that was revealed during groundworks at No.2 Stephen Road in 2003. ©

Project dates

Start: 21-01-2011 End: 01-05-2013

Previous/future work

Yes / Not known

Any associated

OXCMS:2011.183 - Museum accession ID

project reference codes

OXHE10S11 - Sitecode

Any associated project reference codes

Type of project Field evaluation

Site status None

Current Land use Residential 1 - General Residential

INHUMATION Early Medieval Monument type

Monument type

PIT Uncertain

Significant Finds

**HUMAN SKELETON Early Medieval** 

Significant Finds

'BEAKER' FRAGMENT Early Bronze Age

Methods & techniques "Targeted Trenches"

Development type Urban residential (e.g. flats, houses, etc.)

**Prompt** 

Planning condition

Position in the planning process After full determination (eg. As a condition)

**Project location** 

Country

England

Site location

OXFORDSHIRE OXFORD OXFORD 10 Stephen Road, Headington, Oxford

Study area

875.00 Square metres

Site coordinates

SP 54456 07145 51.7598857535 -1.21089805356 51 45 35 N 001 12 39 W

**Point** 

**Project creators** 

Name of

Organisation

Oxford Archaeology

Project brief originator

Oxford City Council

Project design

originator

Oxford Archaeology

**Project** 

director/manager

L Loe

Project supervisor HWebb

Type of

sponsor/funding

body

Developer

Name of

sponsor/funding

body

Mr Martin Cotter

**Project archives** 

Physical Archive

Oxfordshire County Museum Service

recipient

Physical Archive

OXCMS:2011.183

**Physical Contents** 

"Ceramics","Human Bones"

Digital Archive recipient

Oxford Archaeology

Digital Archive ID

**OXHE10S 11** 

**Digital Contents** 

"Stratigraphic","other"

Digital Media available

"Images raster / digital photography", "Spreadsheets", "Survey", "Text"

Paper Archive

recipient

Oxfordshire County Museum Service

Paper Archive ID

OXCMS:2011.183

**Paper Contents** 

"Human Bones","Stratigraphic","other"

Paper Media available

"Context sheet", "Diary", "Drawing", "Plan", "Report", "Section", "Unpublished Text"

Project bibliography 1

Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)

Publication type

Title

10 Stephen Road, Headington

Author(s)/Editor(s) Webb, H.

Date

2013

Issuer or

Oxford Archaeology

publisher

Place of issue or

Oxford, England

publication Description

Client report

URL

http://library.thehumanjourney.net/1186

Entered by

Victoria Skipper (victoria.skipper@oxfordarch.ac.uk)

Entered on

29 January 2014

# **OASIS:**

Please e-mail English Heritage for OASIS help and advice © ADS 1996-2012 Created by Jo Gilham and Jen Mitcham, email Last modified Wednesday 9 May 2012 Cite only: /export/home/web/oasis/form/print.cfm for this page

10 STEPHEN ROAD, HEADINGTON, OXFORD OXHEIOS 11

Box 1 FILE 3

3: PERMANUE SOIL SOME SITE DIARY

# OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

# PDF/A SCAN

# FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[Oxford, 10 Stephen Road, Headington] Site code[OXHE10S11]

Line 2: Excavators name[L Loe]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

Classification of material	present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	,
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data - Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data - Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data - Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data - Text: Primary Drawings- Plans	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data - Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

Oxford Archaeology	DAI		
SITE CODE	SITE NAME O STO	DATE 3/1/	
Project Manager ム. <i>との</i> こ	Visitors 2. LOE		Weather HEAVY RAI + STRONG WIN
Area stripped by plant:25	m <sup>2</sup>	Plant type 300c	
Task descriptions: Enter the number of staff day used please describe the tas		alf) days for each of the tasks used durin	g the day. If task 07 or 08
Task number and description	n Staff days	Task number and description	Staff days
01 General supervision/ management		02 Surface cleaning	
03 Planning		04 Surveying/levelling	2400
05 Excavation/recording		06 Machine supervision	
07 Other		08 Other	+
B. DEAN + ) ETCALATION OF WAS COMPLETEN THE ANDMALY APPRAN TO CON WATER INC. BL MATERIAL. ALT PLANT WAS ALT NO OTHER DIFT	AT 15:50  IDENTIFIED TO  MESPROND WITH  ATTEMY CECK!  HOUSH NOT E	DET BY OUT TRENCH O BEGAN AT 10:13 O MADICAL G. P.A. SURVEY A DUMP OF MODERN BAKECITE CASING + KACICY POSITIONEN AP MACT PROLIMIN MACT PROLIMIN MACT PROLIMIN MACCHAECKOSICAL ACTI	MECHANICAL  OTHER SUCH  ON ARCHIT
Comments (continue on rev	erse if necessary)		

			,			
Oxford Archaeology	DAILY.	JOURNAL				
SITE CODE	SITE NAME 10 STEPH	EN hours, FEADINGTON	DATE 4/1/12			
Project Manager	Visitors		Weather DRY, COLD 1 OVERCATI			
Area stripped by plant?	m <sup>2</sup>	Plant type 2 1.57				
Task descriptions: Enter the number of staff day used please describe the task		ays for each of the tasks used during t				
Task number and description	Staff days	Task number and description	Staff days			
01 General supervision/ management		02 Surface cleaning				
03 Planning		04 Surveying/levelling				
05 Excavation/recording		06 Machine supervision	4			
07 Other		08 Other				
Standing time: list numbers of hours for each member of staff and give full details						
Name Details						
ARRIVED ON SITE AT OB:00						
B. DEAN + H. MOON - MACHINE EXCAUATED THENCH 200						
AREA PRODUCED NO ADCHAEOLORICAL THE EULOFICE FOR						
ACTIVITY.						
אנוחטרפום וססח:	NCE WAS EUD	ENT TOWARDS THE	e souther			
END OF THE	tnewc4.					
A POSTIDIE EXPI	CANATION FOR TH	E ANDMALY WENT	HEIED IN			
THE GAR SURVE	y may cie in	THE PRESONCE OF	AN OIL			
SPICE IN THE	ROPSOIL / SCON	DIL IT APPEARED	TO (10			
IN A CCORE U	CINITY TO THE	ANOMACT AND WA	1 AN IRRECULA			
AMORPHOUI SHAP	E WITH Some	FERROLLS OFINE EX	eneril			
WILLIAM IT. CC	EARLY MODER	d in origin				
Comments (continue on reve	erse if necessary)					

91	DAIL	Y JOURNAL	
Oxford Archaeology			, ,
SITE CODE ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・	SITE NAME 10 STEP	DATE 5/1/R	
Project Manager	Visitors	-	Weather りなり、Sundy
L. LOE			+co4
Area stripped by plant: 32.	m <sup>2</sup>	Plant type 1.57 nopca	r .
Task descriptions: Enter the number of staff day used please describe the task		f) days for each of the tasks used durin	ng the day. If task 07 or 08 is
Task number and description	n Staff days	Task number and description	Staff days
01 General supervision/ management		02 Surface cleaning	
03 Planning		04 Surveying/levelling	
05 Excavation/recording		06 Machine supervision	1
07 Other		08 Other	
Standing time: list numbers of	of hours for each member of	of staff and give full details	
Name	Details		
ARMUSS ON SITE	at 07:55		
B. DEAN	<u></u>		
EXCAUATED TRON	icuel 100 +	200 TO A DEPTH O	of c. 1.50m
NO ACCUMEDLOSY	obsenues Just	CONTINUINS LAYEN	AT NATURAL
SANOS WITH OC	CASIGNAL (SOL	TEO SANOSTONE	
		···	
			<u> </u>
		<u> </u>	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Comments (continue on reve	erse if necessary)		

OXPORD

OXPORD

OXPORD

OXHEIDS II

BOR I FILE 4

B: PRIMARY CONTEXT RECORDS.

# OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

# PDF/A SCAN

# FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[Oxford, 10 Stephen Road, Headington] Site code[OXHE10S11]

Line 2: Excavators name[L Loe]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

Classification of material	lick if present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	·
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data - Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

Oxford Ai	chaeology		LI	EVELS REC	SISTER		·
SITE CODE	PEIOS LI	SITE NAME (a.	stephen i	RD., HEADIN	הממ	SHEET NO (	
ТВМ	Backsite	Instrument Height (IH) (TBM+Backsight)	Level number	Foresight	Reduced Level (IH-Foresight)	Comments/Conte Small Find No(s) Section No	/Plan or
106.800	1.32	108.12	l	1.54	106.58	TR. 10	ی س
	1		2	1.98	106 - 14		
			3	2-18	105.94		
			دن	2.22	105.90		
11 44			5	1.74	106 - 38	↓ ↓	$\epsilon$
			•	1.76	106.36	TR. 200	7
			2	7.11	106.01	,	
		-	3	2.12	106-00		+
			4	2.13	105-99		
L	+	1	5	1.63	106.49	1	S
					,		
14.							
. <del>-</del>							
						\	,
						-	
				-		;	- يُعِندَ
<del>-</del> , <del>-</del>					<u> </u>		
						-	
<del></del>							
							,
<del>-</del>				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
		٠.					<del></del>
					<u> </u>		
<u>.</u>							
<del></del>				<del> </del>			
				<del>                                     </del>			

ı

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF RECORD				
SITE CODE OX HE IOS	SITENAME 10 Stephens Rd Oxford		DATE 25/11/11		
NGR	County OX PM	Start Time	08.00		
		Finish Time	12-30		
Milage	Previous Visit	Visit By M.	Sims		
Type of construction work	Type of construction work Considering of of toundations				
Contacts made	Contacts made				
Archaeology present?					
Yes:	·				
No:					
Undated:					
Other:					
COMMENTS					
Carobbing of					
Strott observ	ed 0,3n-0,35m	Dark g			
sardy 5.4	loan garden so-2	<u>.                                      </u>			
Reddish brown sonder day sitt.					
Cocars	Kioldish brown sondy char silling				
Garage Landohan N of ste deeper. Ground					
Garage foodolon N of ste deeper. Ground very distorted very dark gray clay sit. > 0:6m re Bosol not exposed:					
> 0.6m " Bbsol not exposed.					
Barriero 70/ 91/					
Bornday Doll grobbed + site levelled.					
TOTAL PROPERTY.					
	·	· · · · · ·	<del></del>		
Records? Photos					

. .

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING E	RIEF RECORD		
SITE CODE OXHEIOSII	SITE NAME 10 STEPH	TEN ROAD, HEADING-TON	DATE 11/04/12	
NGR	County	Start Time	7.45	
	OXON.	Finish Time	3.45	
Milage 5 miles (+ RETURN)	Previous Visit	Visit By H・wモ&	3	
Type of construction work				
•	JCTION OF NE	W BUILD FOOT PRI	<u> </u>	
Contacts made				
Archaeology present?				
Yes:				
No: - BUT -	DISUSED MODE	IN MANHOLE (B.	RICK), BOTTLE	
Undated:	1 1.110 110			
Other:				
COMMENTS	, -			
ARRIVED ON SITE	E 7.45 - MET	WITH TIM KE	UNELL (SITEMNAGER	
		ON FOR TODAY		
GROUND LEVEL	TO REQUIRED	DEPTH IN THE	SOUTHERN	
PART OF THE	DEVELOPMENT AC	26A.	·	
MICOM - TO	WOODE COOPERATE	GROUND WORKER		
		- 8 TOTHE DIGGER	- ATTED WITH	
	A	- 1.5~ 100THESS	TRENCHING BUCKE	
c. 75% of	AREA REDUCE	D TO REQUIRED	LEVEL BY	
END OF DAY. W. EDGE OF SITE				
		+ PIPE TRENCE		
- DUMP OF FE WASTE + GLASS BOTTLES ETC. IN				
S.W. CORNER OF SITE.				
01-0	> TOMORROOM.	TO COMPLETE L	ss on	
-14:012	10 - 19070	· <del></del>		
	ROUTD REDUCTION			

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF RECORD			
SITE CODE OX HE 10S11	SITE NAME 10 STEPHEN ROAD,		DATE 12/04/12	
NGR	County のXON・	Start Time Finish Time	8.00 an 3.15 pm	
Milage 5 miles (return)	Previous Visit By  H. WEBS			
Type of construction work  GROUND FEDUCTION FOR NEWBULD FOOTPRINT  Contacts made				
Archaeology present?  Yes:				
NO: MODERN PIPE THEN CH + DECAYING WOODEN POST  Undated:  OF SITE.				
Other:				
COMMENTS	- QAA			
ARRIVED ON SITE BAM.  V. SMALL AREA EXCAUATED @ E. END OF SITE  -UNABLE TO CONTINUE UNTIL MUCK-AWAY TRUCK  ARRIVES TO MOVE SOIL ARRIVED C. 10.30 AM.				
- REST OF DAY CONTINUING TO REDUCE GROWD LEVEL IN PREST OF AREA				
- MI SLOW GOING IN GENERAL -X 2 MORE				
MEDED.				
- PETURN TOMORRESW @ 10.30 AM TO WATCH EXCAUATION OF TOOTINGS.				
Records?		<u> </u>		

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF RECORD		·	
SITE CODE OXHE10511	SITE NAME 10 STOPHEN READ,		DATE 13/04/12	
NGR	County OXON.	Start Time Finish Time	12:00	
Milage 6 miles (Pach way	Previous Visit By  H. WE		3.X)m 8B	
Type of construction work  EXCHATION OF FOOTINGS FOR NEW BUIDINGS				
Contacts made				
Yes:	Archaeology present?  Yes:			
No:	No:			
Undated:				
Other:  COMMENTS				
FROM TIM	TO SAY 1030 WOULD GROUND TO AM STILL	> BG 7	00 EARLY.	
MACHINE EXCAVATION OF FOOTINGS STARTED C.13:15.  N-S FOOTING EXCAUATED ALONG E. EDGE OF SITE				
0.75 WIDE, C. 1.45 DECO (BELOW G.L.) TOTAL LENGTH EXCHATED = C.9m)				
N DONTINUE ON MONDAY 7.45 au.				
EXCHURITED				
Records?				

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF RECORD		
SITE CODE OXHE10511	SITE NAME 10 STEPHEN PD HEADIFOTON		DATE 16/04/12
NGR	County OXOV .	Start Time Finish Time	7.45 an
Milage 5 miles (each	Previous Visit FRI 13 APRIL	Visit By A. WES	3B
Type of construction work  MACHINE EXCAVAT  Contacts made	ion of FOOTINGS FO	R NEWBUI	LTS.
Archaeology present?			
Yes:			
No:	<u> </u>		
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS			
APPLIED ON SITE	= 7.45 am. - (H+S) - C. 8	.15an	
EXCAUATION STA	S-(H+S)- C. 8 HETED C. 8.45am	- E-W A	IGNED FOOTING
	ST S. EDGE OF SIT		
	WED WITH COPCRETE		
EXCHUATION	of FOOTIPES.		
P.M. D CON	TINUED EXCAUATION	OF THE	N-S FOOTING
ALONG THE	E EDGE OF SIT	E ( MORTH	:WARDS
+ EXCAUA	non of x2 section	1005 RUNN	ING E-W
FROM THE M	J-S F0071MG		N N
			Tool
Records?			

BLOCK B FOUR DATIONS

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF RECORD		
SITE CODE OXHE 105 11	SITE NAME 10 STEPHEN RD, HEADINGTON		DATE 17/04/12
NGR	County.	Start Time Finish Time	DATE 17/04/12 7.45 an 8.60 an
Milage 5 niles 70 SITE Gniles TOOA	Previous Visit	Visit By	
Type of construction work			
Contacts made	,	-	,
Archaeology present?			
Yes:			
No:			
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS			
ARRIVED ON - SITE	SITE 7.45 am CAUED OFF DUE 7	C HEAVY	RAIN.
Records?			

BLOCK B FOUNDATIONS

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF RECORD			
SITE CODE OXHE10S11	SITE NAME 10 STEPHEN RD, HEADINGTON		DATE 18/04/12	
NGR	County OXON.	Start Time Finish Time	7.45an 7.45an	
Milage 5 rices to 5th Previous Visit  6 rices to OA  Visit By  H-WERB				
Type of construction work				
Contacts made				
Archaeology present?				
Yes:				
No:				
Undated:				
Other:				
COMMENTS				
ARRIVED ON	SITE 745 com  CALLED OFF DUE TO	O HEAVY	PAIN.	
- 5110		- 1,0 ,	<b>79</b> ,,	
Records?				

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING E	BRIEF RECORD	
SITE CODE OXHETOS 11	SITE NAME 10 STE	PHEN RD, HEADINGTON	DATE 19/04/12 Te 7.45an
NGR	County	Start Tim	ne 7.45an
	OXOP.	Finish Ti	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Milage S miles to site	Previous Visit	Visit By	WEBB +LOOSE
6 niles to 0A		<u> </u>	WEBB +Lase
Type of construction work	ATION OF FOOTI	NYS FOR A	TWIST OF CD.
Contacts made	TIDE DE FACTI	1-03 10.2 /	
Archaeology present?			
Yes: PIT 1100	7 - CONTHINING ANIMAL G	ONE AND LUILLAN	FEMUR
No:	, April 10 to	THUMAN	10. 10.
110.			
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS			
	17E 7.45 an		
_			TION OF THE
	MAX. DEPTH	• -	
	KAT HIGHER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	E CONCRETE 1		_
	E CONCRETE 1	in the Court	- 10011103
	<u> </u>	AS THOSH N	THE N-S FOOT
	THE E. EDGE		a and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second and a second and a second a second a second a second a second and a
	OF FOOTINGS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			HEAVY RAID OVER
LAST 2			
		THARDS OF TH	HE MS FOOTING
			DEPTH - C. 1.45m be
2 - 1	NOUNTERED		6
11/10/1		<del>_</del>	- 0 0 CH2 100
	-ANIMAL +	huan some (p	EMIL) KEWIEKEIS.
- TO 11		thus boretr	TUATING A 1.5m2

- ADVISE FROM DAVID RADFORD. (TO DO THIS MON, NOT FRI).

BLOCK B ATIONS

SITE CODE OX HE 10 STEP HEN PROJUBLE TO STEP HEN PR	Start Time Finish Time Visit By H. WEB	DATE 20/04/12 7.45 an 11.30 am
Milage Smiles to Site Previous Visit  Ste DOA Gmiles  Type of construction work  EXCNATION OF FOOTINGS FOR M  Contacts made  Archaeology present?  Yes:  No:  Undated:  Other:	Start Time Finish Time Visit By H. WEB	7.45 an 11.30 am
Milage Smiles to site Previous Visit Site DOA Gmiles  Type of construction work  EXCONATION OF FOOTINGS FOR NO  Contacts made  Archaeology present?  Yes:  No:  Undated:  Other:	Visit By H. WEB	
Type of construction work  EXCRIPTION OF FOOTINGS FOR A  Contacts made  Archaeology present?  Yes:  No:  Undated:  Other:		<b>S</b>
Contacts made  Archaeology present?  Yes:  No:  Undated:  Other:	CEWBUILD	
Contacts made  Archaeology present?  Yes:  No:  Undated:  Other:		
Yes:  No:  Undated:  Other:		
Yes:  No:  Undated:  Other:		
No:  Undated: Other:		
Undated: Other:		
Other:		·
COMMENTS		
COMMENTS		
AGGUED ON SITE 7.45am		
AFTER SETTING OUT OF THE FOOTINGS	S + PADSTONE	PITS IN
THE S.W. CORNER OF SITE, M	ACHINE EXCAU	STARTED
UN THIS AREA.		
(THE AREA @ THE N. END OF	THE N-S	PODTIPG- ALONG
THE E EDGE OF SITE IS TO		
TODAY TO ANOID REVEAUND PORT		. \
1 HAVING TO LEAVE THEM EXPOSED	OVER THE	= w/END).
NO AREMAGOLOGY ENCOUNTERED		
IN THE FOOTINGS @ THE		~-7
S.W CORNER OF SITE.		, ia
		<u> </u>
FINISHED @ 11.30am - NO MORE		
EXCOVATION CARRIE		
OUT P.M.		
Records?		

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF	RECORD	
SITE CODE CXHC10511	SITE NAME 10 STEPHEN P	EADNGTON	DATE 23/04/12
NGR	County  OXOP	Start Time Finish Time	7.45 on 15:30 DTIL 15:30 + M. SIMMS
Milage	Previous Visit	Visit By HWEGS	+ M. SIMMS
Type of construction work  MACHINE  C	XCAU, OF FOOTIN		<del>-</del>
Contacts made			
Archaeology present?			
Yes: 5k. 100	19 [1007]		
No:	, [		
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS			
MORNICO IN C	1 5 2 45		
HAMED W. 8	176 an + 43 an	- MIKE SIM	MS + 4. WEB
- MEXCAUATIO	17E 445 an	TEST PIT S	surrounding
- # EXCAUATIO	NOF A ISM	TEST PIT S THURS 19	SURROUNDING SURROUNDING SOM APRIL 2012
THE HUMAN	NOF A 1.5 M BONE REVEALED C	IN THURS 19	20th APRIL 2012
THE HUMAN IN SITU BUG	BONE PENEALED C	- LEGS + FEET	ONLY
THE HUMAN IN SITU BUG -V. POOR	BONE REVEALED C	- LEGS + FEET NW-SE AL	ONLY IGNMENT).  LICENCE TO
THE HUMAN IN SITU BUD -V. PODE SK. CLEANED	BONE REVEALED C LAC REVEALED PRESENTION ( + RECORDED = A MACHINE	NW-SE AL WHITING BURIA	ONLY  IGNMENT).  LICENCE TO  OVE FROM GROUNT
THE HUMAN IN SITU BUD -V. PODE SK. CLEANED	BONE REVEALED C LAC REVEALED PRESESCUATION ( + RECORDED = A	NW-SE AL WHITING BURIA	ONLY  IGNMENT).  LICENCE TO  OVE FROM GROUNT
THE HUMAN  IN SITU BUC  -V. PODE  SK. CLEANED  MICONTINUE  AWAY FROM	BONE REVEALED C LAC REVEALED PRESENTION ( + RECORDED = A MACHINE D, EXCAND OF OTHER BURLAL.	NW-SE AL WAITING BURIA PEMO HER AREAS O	FOOTHES
THE HUMAN  IN SITU BUC  -V. PODE  SK. CLEANED  MICONTINUE  AWAY FROM	BONE REVEALED C LAC REVEALED PRESENTION ( + RECORDED = A MACHINE D, EXCAN. OF OT	NW-SE AL WAITING BURIA PEMO HER AREAS O	FOOTHES
THE HUMAN  IN SITU BUT  -V. POOR  SK. CLEANED  MICONTINUE  AWAY FROM  SITE MEETING	BONE REVEALED C LAC REVEALED PRESENTION ( + RECORDED = A MACHINE D, EXCAND OF OTHER BURLAL.	- LEGS + FEET NW - SE AL WATTING BURIA REMO HER AREAS O	APRIL 2012. ONLY IGNMENT). LICENCE TO DUE FROM GROUNI F FOOTINGS
THE HUMAN  IN SITU BUD  -V. POOR  SK. CLEANED  MICONTINUE  AWAY FROM  SITE MEETING  DAID RAD	BONE REVEALED C LAC REVEALED PRESENTION ( + RECORDED = A MACHINE D, EXCAN. OF OT L BURIAL. F - L. LOE, DAVID	PADFORD, H.C.	APRIL 2012 ONLY IGNMENT). LICENCE TO OVE FROM GROUND F FOOTINGS
THE HUMAN  IN SITU BUD  -V. POOR  SK. CLEANED  SK. CLEANED  MICONTINUE  AWAY FROM  SITE MEETING  DAID RAD  FROTINGS	BONE REVEALED C LAC REVEALED PRESENTATION ( + RECORDED = A MACHINE D, EXCAMO OF OTO L BURIAL. FORD ADVISED	PADFORD, H.C.  THAT EXCAU  ON THURS 19  LEGS + FEET  NW - SE AL  WATTING BURIAG  REMO  REMO  REMO  THAT EXCAU  UNDER A W	ATION OF
THE HUMAN  IN SITU BUD  -V. POOR  SK. CLEANED  SK. CLEANED  MICONTINUE  AWAY FROM  SITE MEETING  DAID RAD  FROTINGS  BUT TO AUDI	BONE REVEALED C PRESENTATION ( + RECORDED = A MACHINE D. EXCAN. OF OT I BURIAL. FORD ADVISED CAN CONTINUE	LADFORD, H.C.  THAT EXCAU  NTO SUBSOIL	APRIL 2012 ONLY IGNMENT). LICENCE TO OVE FROM GROUND F FOOTTHES WEBB, TIM HE ATION OF HATCHING BRIEN , BUT THEN
THE HUMAN  IN SITU BUD  -V. POOR  SK. CLEANED  SK. CLEANED  MICONTINUE  AWAY FROM  SITE MEETING  DAID RAD  FROTINGS  BUT TO AUDI	BONE REVEALED  LAC REVEALED  PRESENTATION (  + RECORDED = A  MACHINE  D, EXCAMO OF OT  RORD ADVISED  CAN CONTINUE  W MACHINING & II	LADFORD, H.C.  THAT EXCAU  NTO SUBSOIL	APRIL 2012 ONLY IGNMENT). LICENCE TO OVE FROM GROUND F FOOTTHES WEBB, TIM HE ATION OF HATCHING BRIEN , BUT THEN
THE HUMAN  IN SITU BUD  -V. PODE  SK. CLEANED  SK. CLEANED  SK. CLEANED  SK. CLEANED  FRONTINGE  AWAY FROM  FRONTINGS  BUT TO ALLOT  EXEMPTE  SITE MEETING FIN	BONE REVEALED  LAC REVEALED  PRESENTATION (  + RECORDED = A  MACHINE  D, EX CAM. OF OTH  BURIAL.  FORD ADVISED  CAN CONTINUE  W MACHINING & II  TO REQUIRED DES  C. 12:30	PHAT EXCAU  ON THURS 19  - LEGS + FEET  NW - SE AL  WAITING BURIAG  REMO  REMO  HER AREAS OF  RADFORD, H. C.  THAT EXCAU  UNDER A W  NTO SUBSOIL  PTH BY HANT	APRIL 2012 ONLY IGNMENT). LICENCE TO OVE FROM GROUND F FOOTINGS WEBB, TIM KE ATION OF MATCHING BRIEN , BUT THEN
THE HUMAN  IN SITU BUD  -V. POOR  SK. CLEANED  SK. CLEANED  SK. CLEANED  SK. CLEANED  SK. CLEANED  FRONTINGE  AWAY FROM  FRONTINGS  BUT TO AUDI  EXEMPTE  SITE MEETING FIN	BONE REVEALED  LAC REVEALED  PRESENTATION (  + RECORDED = A  MACHINE  D, EXCAMO OF OT  RORD ADVISED  CAN CONTINUE  W MACHINING & II	PTH BY HANT	PON APRIL 2012.  ONLY  IGNMENT).  LICENCE TO  OVE FROM GROUNT  F FOOTHES  WEBB, TIM KE  ATION OF  HATCHING BRIEN  D.  RE. LICENCE

-H.W. RETURN TO ON TO DO SITE HANDOVER TO M. WOODLEY.

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF REC	ORD	
SITE CODE OXHELOSII	SITE NAME to STEAD RD HERON	<del>1</del> 0~)	DATE 24. 4.12
NGR	County	Start Time	08.00 mes
	0400	Finish Time	14:30 mas
Milage	Previous Visit	Visit By M- いつのっ	
Type of construction work	DICCINS OF FOOTHING		
Contacts made	M, 303, MALCOM		
Archaeology present?			
Yes: / As 3aaw	•		
No:			
Undated: Pir Con	o] 5.1000		
Other: Monate P	IT [012] 5.1000.		
COMMENTS			
ADVISED ON PRI	ocher of works, Two For		5 70 8€ Dus
PIT [1010 ]	(DONTIFED IN BOX A	, CUT BY	mosorn, 5, 1000
20x 3 - 20	usible movetones promit		
(101		18	75
Fior2]	(ion)	1 1	7 21
The state of the s	35M PITS: 1500×1500×750nm.	PITA ->	-
<b>.</b>	[1516]	3	
•	<del>!.&gt;</del> µ	\ <u>\ \</u>	
EXAMON OF TREOCH	WATCHED, NO ARCHARDLOWY VI	SIBLE, LOT	SITE @ 13.30 Lys
TO WASE DITY L.	LOT @ OFFICE: RE; BURIANS LIE	we:	
Au Trongs	MOTION DESERVED.		
Records? (Antible South	3 1010-1013 + 3.1000.		

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF REC	ORD	
SITE CODE DXHE 10511	SITE NAME 10 STEPPEN ROAD, HERDINATON		DATE 25. 4.12
NGR	County	Start Time	8.00
	ORON	Finish Time	8.30 m
Milage	Previous Visit	Visit By	
	24.4.12 - MW	M. Ood	) LE 7.
Type of construction work			:
Contacts made			
Archaeology present?		_	
Yes:		<del></del>	
No:			
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS			
Annes a	5.75 @ 8.00m. L	ABED WITH	Tim.
	CONSULTO WITH H.L.		
ASSISPANCE.	NO. LOK SITE C	8.30A-1 -	Romos 70
Dr.D. (0T.			
<u> </u>			
	,		
	,		
	·		

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF REC	CORD	
SITE CODE OLME 1031	SITE NAME to STAVOS ED, HEMASSINGTON		DATE 26.4.12
NGR	County の又か	Start Time Finish Time	08.001
Milage	Previous Visit By Visit By		000157
Type of construction work	1000 24.		
Contacts made	1000 , Tim		,
Archaeology present?			
Yes:			
No:			
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS			
and on site		Pm	561
chound works	REGUN @ 08.45.	Lif-	
PHASE KINISMÁD	@ 09.15 BOM'S P	115	punser 3 Bran
2 MP PHASE STATE	D @ 69.30		
10.00 - 10.30	- Break.		
10.30 - 12:30 - DIG	LEST SITE Q 12.30		
TOOK CONFILM	Protos ALL STERRO	AREAS SCALED	WITH HEIM DETERN
liquinos to affici	E - HAND SITE BACK TO	H.Wess/L	loξ.
ALMON CHACE	(2:45 Le - FN4ED 1	3.006	
Records?	<del></del>		

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF REC	ORD			
SITE CODE OXHE 10S	SITENAME 10 Stephen Ro	L. Oxford	DATE 27/4/12		
NGR	County	Start Time	7-45		
		Finish Time	10-20.		
Milage	Milage Previous Visit Visit By M. SIMI				
Type of construction work	Excavation of boilding	y food	र्स ,४०४६		
Contacts made					
Archaeology present?					
Yes:					
No:					
Undated:					
Other:					
COMMENTS		<del>-</del>			
NE come	er of formations	form	ain boild		
Dook sad	sity sand de	· W/cak	at well-DC		
brown san	· ·	<del></del>			
2 x mode	en soakaday pr	7 + el	extric coble		
trench of	served				
	earlier archaed	997 :			
		<del></del>			
Planning 7	to nove spoil	heap	and		
Start dig	iging monday &	-, 10.30.			
Records? Photo.	<b>、</b> ,				

Oxford Archaeology	Oxford Archaeology WATCHING BRIEF RECORD		
SITE CODE XILLIOS	SITENAME 10 Stephin	Road	DATE 2/5/12
NGR	County	Start Time	0920
		Finish Time	12-10
Milage	Previous Visit 1/5/12	Visit By	1. sms.
Type of construction work	Excavation & -	teordation	,
Contacts made			
Archaeology present?			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Yes:			
No:			
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS			
Exception	el east Dest ala	ig northe	m edge
by ste or	for spoil heap	started	
Trenching	0.6m wide by	1./n duep	
D / 1		1 .	and soft
Road to b	ook bigilies.	at top co	noute can
			,
		Down n	ed sand
	1775	Yellow	brion sound
	1 2	Yellow	brown solver
		h )a	and an law.
	0.65	↑ A	archaeology
Î		17	
Man	ha. ind	$-\gamma \mathcal{V}$	
	N 25		
Records? Photos			<u>.                                      </u>

::

Oxford Archaeology	Oxford Archaeology  WATCHING BRIEF RECORD				
SITE CODE OXHEIOS	SITENAME 10 Span Stephe	n Road	DATE 3/5/12		
NGR	County	Start Time	07-45		
		Finish Time	131/12-45		
Milage	Previous Visit $2/5/2$	Visit By	.SIM		
Type of construction work	Excavator of	Loondaite	n trucks		
Contacts made					
Archaeology present?					
Yes:					
No:					
Undated:					
Other:					
COMMENTS					
Excavation	of truck along	edye	of obvirences		
to Temple	book bitales				
Trench	1.2m Dede by		ep.		
Strat -	distrosed/much.	grey boos	n s.A		
Sa	nd + demolition de	bab 0.1.	5m-0.2m		
<u>D</u>	the red sand 0.6				
			<u>~</u>		
A Y	16-D-brod gound.				
BAchere	a bone recover	ed for	on Lep-		
M- feel	No featores contra than post-med				
observed		The second second	- Ma		
	···				
— <u>_</u> , ~					
Records? That's	ž <i>V</i>				

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING	BRIEF RECORD	
SITE CODE OXHEIOS	SITE NAME 10 Sta	oher the Oxfort	DATE 4/5/12
NGR	County	Start Time	08-30
	,	Finish Time	
Milage	Previous Visit 3/5//	2 Visit By	
Type of construction work	Excavation	of formation	
Contacts made	·		
Archaeology present?		·	
Yes:			
No:			
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS			
	10.95		V. i come of small ballown
			small balding
			Ag, Strat
			3/5/12
1/1	20 21 011	main Loilding	3/5/12
	29 3/5/12		
<u> </u>	- K		No archanology
	1 5		
→/E1,	12~ / 4-37	,	spect to dig
- , - ( )	- 2 / E	plo	Deck or so
D094/5	675->	1200	Deck or so.
	1 7		
		$\rightarrow$	
	1 0	<del></del>	
i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	' _ OLI	1 ,	
1	5.22	<del></del>	

SERVICE TRENCHES

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF RECORD  Oxford Archaeology		
SITE CODEOXHE 105	SITENAME 10 Stephen Road	d, oxford	DATE 8/5/12
NGR	County OKON	Start Time	0745
Milage		Finish Time Visit By	09-00
	Previous Visit $u/5/12$	<u> </u>	13MS
Type of construction work	Excavation of s	erice t	renches.
Contacts made		٠,	
Archaeology present?			
Yes:			`\\
No:			
Undated:			
Other:		1 / /	
COMMENTS			
Hará ex	A	2 dee	p toenches
for y we	uste piper Dithin	new 1	65, 12 Se
	6-6m in depth	D 2500	- 0,35m
dark re	d sitts sand	OURS	zellad
broon so	and		
2 × c( = [/	- Inc.	/	les min
70.15m in	depth.	20.00	70003
			•
No fear	tores dues 1 id	007	inds
Records? Photo	3 Physi		

CORR CORRECTION

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF REC	ORD	
SITE CODE OX HE 10 S	SITENAME 10 Stephen Rd	Oxford	DATE 10/5/12
NGR .	County	Start Time Finish Time	10.30
Milage	Previous Visit $8/5/12$	Visit By ${\cal N}$	1 IMP
Type of construction work	# Hand Excavat.	m g se	via tresh
Contacts made			
Archaeology present?			
Yes:			
No:			
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS			
on situ to	monto hard	excavety	$m = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$
	rend in northern	não boi	bd.
0.25m Win	de. O.Bn skep to	t easter	n era
vising to	0.18m of Destar ne of trench dist	est	
Centr	ne of trench dist	sbest -	62
evaloati	a trench.		
Strationaph	Oil2n nixet	tapsoil	+ sand
	7 0.48m Dark	red s	citty sand
No Cani	tiant northers		send.
3.300	tent actual		
Records? Dhata	,		


WATCHING BIMEF RECORD 10 Stephen Rd, Headington, Oxfood / 17/05/12/ OXHE10517 County: Oxon Start time: 07:45 Previous Visit: 8/5/12 Finish time: MILAGE: Gniles to site Visit by: H. WEBB Hard excavation of dramage trench + assoc. manholes (inspection chambers). TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION Archaeology present? (-yes) NO Comments: - Arrived on site 07:45am - Hand excavation of drainage trench along back of wilding > (eastern edge) not started cutil (. 9:15 an (7:45-9:15 - location + setting out of trenches) - Modern Post-med rubbish pit revealed the in pype trench C. 3n south of the N. edge of Block B bruilding. - Containing animal bone - brick frags - chima plate frags - other pot etc. - Modern soakaway, revealed j'st N. of Pit 1016 of Block & building). (c. 2m south of the r. edge section 1001 see sketch dan +

BLOCK


SERVICE TREPORES

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BILL RECORD				
SITE CODE OX HE 10511	TE CODE OXHEIUSII SITE NAME 10 STEPHEN RD, HEADINGTON				
NGR	County	Start Time	07:45		
	OXON.	Finish Time	11:45 ONSITE		
Milage & niles to site	Previous Visit	Visit By			
Smiles to site	H W66B 17/05/17	H WEBB			
Type of construction work  Modure (xa)  Contacts made	water of drainage +	reach.			
Archaeology present?		•			
Yes:					
No:					
Undated:					
Other:					
COMMENTS					
Arrived on site	07:45 am a to overse	the hard	2/machine		
execution of	o7:45 am a to oversee the EW aligned do	emage tre	ich between		
Blocks A + B	- STACTED @ C.8.	45-9.00A	HM .,		
	the occurren (madeline	2) of m	carbole		
at at w. Ex	5D (Aganst w. Unit	of site	+ adjacent		
A 11	concrete padstones (	-0,	h plan).		
	med by hand excus	,	the French		
() ()	k A + B Jourdatio	MS-this	stretch of		
4	already within no	twal dep	Posits		
	usly reduced as looking	as for to	Le rew wilds)		
$\mathcal{I}$	exted to be taken a	nt - up	1 0		
3					
No oschood	my encountered - To	20501L.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SUBSOIL-				
	+ NAI	WEST	anly.		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Records?					

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF RECORD			
SITE CODE OXUGIOS II	SITE NAME 10 Stephen Rd Headington			DATE 24 / 7/12
NGR	County		Start Time	10:00
	Oxon	e e e	Finish Time	4:30
Milage	Previous Visit		Visit By	人
Type of construction works	Islandren de	- <del>                                     </del>		
Contacts made Tim	Kinnel	. *		
Archaeology present?		<u></u>		
Yes:				,
No:		,		
Undated:				
Other:				
COMMENTS				
On Side 100 Shaded mach	hining trench o	of 2pm	- (See S	Spor (TTX) Shatch everload
Nodural: Yellow	1 brown Sand	·		
Subant : Oan	g / brown Sand Gen Silly	,06n +	huch	
Topon 1 = Dans	2 Gay Silly	Sand, C	> Am Shie	<u> </u>
<u> </u>				
	·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	·			
		·		
Records?				



SECTION OF FOUNDATION TREATER



Q

	WATCHING BRIEF RECORD		WED
Oxford Archaeology			25/7/12
SITE CODE OXME 105 11	SITE NAME 10 Senter Rd	Headington	DATE &
NGR	County	Start Time	8:00
	Dxon	Finish Time	12:30
Milage	Previous Visit	Visit By	,
	24/7/12	Sheer	
Type of construction work	£ 11: 1-1		
Contacts made	In Kanal		
Archaeology present?			
Yes:			
No:			
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS			
Continuing exc	ausling Jourdalion tren	In (Sax F	Ian or
reverse of mes	lending WB rarand Sheet	£)	
Trenches 9	arted to collapse So	no mone	Ligarina
until concre	de has been poured		20 7
- Rodin to	de has been poured		
·			
		_	
Records?		-	

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF REC		
SITE CODE OXHEIOS II	SITE NAME 10 Seehen Rd H	eadination	DATE 26/7/12
NGR	County	Start Time	8:00
	Oxon	Finish Time	1:30
Milage	Previous Visit 25/7/12	Visit By	
Type of construction work	Thomas		
Contacts made	. V. J		
Archaeology present?		<del></del>	
Yes:			
No:			
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS			
- Continuing ?	tourladion trench excurate hand dug (by contractors) (	tion (See	Dan on 24/7/12) when ones
	·		
	<del></del>		
Records?			

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIE	F RECORD	<u> </u>
SITE CODE OXICIOS II	SITE NAME 10 Stephen &	d Headungton	DATE 27/7/12
NGR	County	Start Time	8:00
	Ocan	Finish Time	1:30
Milage	Previous Visit	Visit By	
	26/7/12	Sile	ech
Type of construc <del>tion</del> work			
Toundal	on french		
Contacts made	· V · 0		
Archaeology present?	· human		
Yes:			
No:			
I la dese de			<del></del>
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS			
Continuing	examin of Son	indetion trans	ha
<u></u>			
<u>.,</u>		<u> </u>	,
			<del> </del>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Records?		······································	

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF RECORD		
SITE CODE OXHEINS II	SITE NAME 10 Steplen Rd Hea	dualon	DATE 30/7/12
NGR	County	Start Time	8:00
	0	Finish Time	2:00
Milage	Previous Visit	Visit By	
	27/7/12	5. Lee	
Type of construction work	0.0		
Form	delion trend		
Contacts made	Kunel		
Archaeology present?	- Cunet	<del>.</del>	
Yes:			
No:			
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS		-	
Continuing a	examplion of Soundation	on trench	
3			
		-	
		· · <del>-</del> ·-	
Records?			

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF RECORD		
SITE CODE (XHELOS 11	SITE NAME O Suhan B	I Headington	DATE 31/7/12
NGR	County	Start Time	8:00
	Oxm	Finish Time	2:00
Milage	Previous Visit	Visit By S. Lee	ر .
Type of construction work	Soundation trench		
Contacts made	in Kinnel	_	
Archaeology present?			
Yes:			
No:			30.00
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS			_
Continung	execution of foundation	in drembes	
3			
·			
		_	
		_ <del></del>	
		<u> </u>	
<u> </u>			
Records?		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF REC	CORD	
SITE CODE OXHEIOSII	SITE NAME Dechen Rol	Hedindon	DATE 1/8/12
NGR	County	Start Time	8:00
	Okon	Finish Time	12:00
Milage	Previous Visit 31/7/12	Visit By	<b>.</b>
Type of construction work	Foundation trench		
Contacts made	Time Kumel		
Archaeology present?			
Yes:			
No:			
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS		<u>.</u>	
Consin	ing excapation of of	oundation of	renches + pad
- Davison A	read pad heavily di	sturbed by	Services
(See plan			
- Tim wil	Q all Oxford in	a week	er So
When Il	ney will finish of	mundations	or So + Soch away
<del></del>	<u> </u>		
		<del>-</del>	
		<u> </u>	
			<u> </u>

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF REC	ORD 1	
SITE CODE OXUETOS 11	SITENAME l'O Stephen Rd	Oxford	DATE 22/4/13
NGR .	County	Start Time	08-00
		Finish Time	15-15
Milage	Previous Visit	Visit By	
Type of construction work	Execution of draw	use trend	<b>.</b>
Contacts made			
Archaeology present?			
Yes:			
No:			4
Undated:			₹, -
Other:			· ·
COMMENTS			
of from v	ear of boilding	95m de	9,1.25m
0.25m I	Brown sandy loam	top 10.2	,
0.25m	Agen Brown	n mil	ed
	andy off -sosso	V.	
70.35m L	ight orange bood	Jane	d. (national)
0	Ecosibre ironatore	inclusion	<u>va </u>
Approx	15m length of	trenchis	م طامع
Strat 10	me throughost	Length	ne
evidence.	a activity-		
		·	
		÷*	
Records? (%)	R. NI		

BLOCK B SERVICE TRENCHES

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF REC			
SITE CODE	SITENAME 10 Stephen Red, Octors		DATE 23/4/13	
NGR	County	Start Time Finish Time	10-30	
Milage	Previous Visit 22/4/13	Visit By	ons	
Type of construction work	Excavation of derain	rage to	ereli.	
Contacts made				
Archaeology present?				
Yes:				
No:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Undated:				
Other:				
COMMENTS				
Excavat. yesterdan Approx	on of sportro	n pipe Poakair	) land	
Stratign No ac	apply same as	reo-de	1 2/4/13	
			-	
		<u> </u>		
Records?				

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIE	EF RECC	ORD	
SITE CODE OXHÉ 10 \$12	SITENAME 10 Stephen	Road	Oxford.	DATE 4 30/4/3
NGR	County	_	Start Time	00.00
			Finish Time	15.30
Milage	Previous Visit		Visit By  M	rim
Type of construction work	Execution of	soah	cadas y	s.t.
Contacts made	<u> </u>			
Archaeology present?				
Yes:				
No:				
Undated:				
Other:				
COMMENTS				
on s.te	e to mondo	× e	conto	7 4
Soahaway	p4.		, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	iginally intent	kesk_	to be	unxum by
2.3m	deep.	£ ./I		
Because	- 4 joil co	~ dett. = 0	A accor	tex to
have	n reducing	1	12 - x lon	> 10p
UNINDI	n receiver		WALTER	<u> </u>
Strat	Topitrip 0.18m	dee	p alread	stripped fl.
(5/6	soil dark r	eddish	bros	mixed
1.02	+ sound + boto	din	debro	0.28m
	auxp.			
Vas	eral sand de	\$ red	L hadr	jand > 232
Indean i	f natural sau	nd .	Swood	Zonale
	7			
Records? Physics	Jan		-	

Oxford Archaeology		RIEF RECORD	
ITE CODE <i>OKHEIO</i> SI	SITE NAME 10, Ste	ohen Rd Defort	DATE 1/5/13
IGR	County	Start Time Finish Time	08.00
Ailage	Previous Visit 30/4 /		Negass
ype of construction work	Previous Visit 30/4 f) Excavata	of soallawy.	a.
Contacts made			
Archaeology present?			
'es:			
No:			
Jndated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS			
Exc	continus	Sockaway	p.7 by
machine	_ contins.ny	1	
Manfori 1	rontored	excapato	1 down to
depth	<i>i</i>	below gro	and level
when 1	ager of	pale ple	S 6-02
sand e	<pre></pre>		
Sofran	& sand	cleaned	s hand
to see	n. None	grace c	365 costa
De Cec		soisable ma	I red who A
De croeac	material + 1 st	boildies 4	La hound
dre-	T legi	bu, where	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u> </u>	· ·		
		-	
	<del></del>		



Name in the carre of the trench there is an reg of	SITE EVALUAT			ALUATION TRENCH RECORD SH	EET	Trench No.
Plan Nos?  100 +101  Section Nos?  100  Were finds recovered? No  If a trench contains only a small number of contexts, and requires only one or two plans and sections, list plans and sections on this sheet if the trench contains large numbers of contexts use a conventional context check list and plan and section list sheets as necessary.  Context No.  Descriptions  Context No.  Description  100  Present topsoil/ploughsoil  DARK GREMISH BROWN 1603E SAND 0.24m  101  SUBSUL MID CLANGET ABYINI AS LOOSE SAND 0.24m  102  NATURAL LIGHT BROWNEH VELLOW LOOSE SAND  Natural answers  French contains to accessed up  In the course of the trench there is an area of modern dustrubance which may have hern the arrandy picked up in pre-exception  Exception 100 present  Recorder KM  Recorder KM  Recorder KM	Trench orientati	ion E -	W	Grid reference —		Field No
If a trench contains only a small number of contexts, and requires only one or two plans and sections, list plans and sections on this sheet if the trench contains large numbers of contexts use a conventional context check list and plan and section list sheets as necessary.  Context No. Descriptions  Context No. Description  100 Present topsoil/ploughsoil: DARK GREMISH BROWN LOUSE STAND 0.24m  101 SURSOIL: MID CRANGEY ABOUN BOA LOUSE SAND 0.24m  102 NATURAL LIGHT BROWNSH YELLOW LOUSE SAND 0.24m  Natural assumed  Brief description of archaeology/comments  Trenon conteans no archaeology to the brench there is an area of modern duburbance which may have here the arrandy picked up in pre-exception  Branders Flather To a gettle of c. I.S. as requestre the	Length 10m	Width	3.€m.	Average depth to top of natural O.50m	Was archae	eology present? No
If the trench contains large numbers of contexts use a conventional context check list and plan and section list sheets as necessary.  Context No. Description  Context No. Description  100 Present topsoil/ploughsoil: PARK GREMISH BROWN 1003E SAND 0.24m  101 SUBSDIL: MID CLANGEY GROWN AS LOOSE SAND 0.24m  102 NATURAL: UGHT BROWNEH VELLOW LOOSE SAND  Natural courses  Brief description of archaeology/comments  Trench contains no archaeology to the brench there is an great of modern duburbance which may have hern the armoby picked up in pre-exception  Exception To A DEFTH OF S. I. Sh. As respuested by SALIO GROWN  Recorder KM  Recorder KM  Recorder KM  Recorder KM	Plan Nos?	160	+101	Section Nos?	Were finds	recovered? No
Context No. Description  100 Present topsoil/ploughsoil PARK GREMISH BROWN GOSE SAND 0.24m  101 SURSDIL: MID CRANGEY ABOUN BON LOOSE SAND 0.24m  102 NATURAL LIGHT BROWNSH VELLOW LOOSE SAND  Namediatesatory  Brief description of archaeology/comments  Trench contains no archaeology in the centre of the brench there is an area of modern duburbance which may have been the archaeology picked up in pre-exception  Excapted Transfer To a defin as c. 1.5t. as resourched to anchorology Present						
Present topsoil/ploughsoil DARK GREMISH BROWN GOSE SAND 0.24m.  101 SURSDIL: MID CLANGEY BROWN ARE LOOSE SAND 0.26m  102 NATURAL LIGHT BROWNSH VELLOW LOOSE SAND  Natural discounts  Brief description of archaeology/comments  Trench cantains no archaeology  in the centre of the trench there is an great of modern duburbance which may have hen the armody picked up in pre-exception  Exception Floren  No archaeology Present  Recorder KM  Recorder KM	Context check	k list / Des	criptions			
Named at the second of the sec	Context No.	Description	ı			·
Nemociation of archaeology/comments  Brief description of archaeology/comments  Trench contains no archaeology in the centre of the trench there is an area of modern duburbance which may have here the annually picked up in pre-exception  Excepted Flather To a DEPTH OF S. 1.5th as Description Cry David nagrous No archaeology present  Recorder KM	/ 00 l	Present tops	soil/plough	soil: DACK GREYISH BROWN	10031	e sand 0.24m
Name of the brench there is an area of modern duturbance which may move here the armaly picked up in pre-exception  Toggrafic Filithen To a Depth of c. 1.5th as resourched to an area of the property of the	101 !	<u> </u>	MID	CLANGEY BROWN BA LOOS	e san	12 0.2EM
Name of the brench there is an area of modern duturbance which may move here the armaly picked up in pre-exception  Toggrafic Filithen To a Depth of c. 1.5th as resourched to an area of the property of the	-			<u> </u>		
Trenon contains no archaeology  in the centre of the trench there is an area of modern duburbance which may have harn the animally picked up in pre-exceptation  Facquares Funther To a DEPTH OF S. 1.5H AS RESOURCETEN STY DALIO MAGERIAN  NO ANCHARDROSTY PRESENT	102	NATURA	L: UG	HT BROWNISH YELLOW LOOSE	SAUD	
Trenon contains no archaeology  in the centre of the trench there is an area of modern duburbance which may have harn the animally picked up in pre-exceptation  Facquares Funther To a DEPTH OF S. 1.5H AS RESOURCETEN STY DALIO MAGERIAN  NO ANCHARDROSTY PRESENT	<b></b>					
Trenon contains no archaeology  in the centre of the trench there is an area of modern duburbance which may have harn the animally picked up in pre-exceptation  Facquares Funther To a DEPTH OF S. 1.5H AS RESOURCETEN STY DALIO MAGERIAN  NO ANCHARDROSTY PRESENT		<u>w</u>				
Trenon contains no archaeology  in the centre of the trench there is an area of modern duburbance which may have harn the animally picked up in pre-exceptation  Facquares Funther To a DEPTH OF S. 1.5H AS RESOURCETEN STY DALIO MAGERIAN  NO ANCHARDROSTY PRESENT		•				
Trenon contains no archaeology  in the centre of the trench there is an area of modern duburbance which may have harn the animally picked up in pre-exceptation  Facquares Funther To a DEPTH OF S. 1.5H AS RESOURCETEN STY DALIO MAGERIAN  NO ANCHARDROSTY PRESENT						
Trenon contains no archaeology  in the centre of the trench there is an area of modern duburbance which may have harn the animally picked up in pre-exceptation  Facquares Funther To a DEPTH OF S. 1.5H AS RESOURCETEN STY DALIO MAGERIAN  NO ANCHARDROSTY PRESENT						
Trenon contains no archaeology  in the centre of the trench there is an area of modern duburbance which may have harn the animally picked up in pre-exceptation  Facquares Funther To a DEPTH OF S. 1.5H AS RESOURCETEN STY DALIO MAGERIAN  NO ANCHARDROSTY PRESENT				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Trenon contains no archaeology  in the centre of the trench there is an area of modern duburbance which may have harn the animally picked up in pre-exceptation  Facquares Funther To a DEPTH OF S. 1.5H AS RESOURCETEN STY DALIO MAGERIAN  NO ANCHARDROSTY PRESENT						
Trenon contains no archaeology  in the centre of the trench there is an area of modern duburbance which may have then the anomaly picked up in pre-excovation  Excapated Funther To a DEPTH OF C. I. Sh. as requested by DALIO DADFORM  NO ANCHOROLOGY PRESENT	1	Natural (des	नोप्ट)			
in the centre of the trench there is an area of modern duburbance which may have harn the animaly picked up in pre-excountion  Forevered Funther to a depth of c. 1.5th as resourchen the distribution of another than the depth of the second of the depth of the second of the depth	Brief descript	ion of arc	haeology	comments		
in the centre of the trench there is an area of modern duburbance which may have harn the animaly picked up in pre-excountion  Forevered Funther to a depth of c. 1.5th as resourchen the distribution of another than the depth of the second of the depth of the second of the depth						
in the centre of the trench there is an area of modern duburbance which may have harn the animaly picked up in pre-excountion  Forevered Funther to a depth of c. 1.5th as resourchen the distribution of another than the depth of the second of the depth of the second of the depth	Trenon	conto	us v	o windedlogy		
PICKED UP IN PRE-EXCOUNTION  FORWARD FUNTHER TO A DEPTH OF C. 1.5 M. AS REQUESTED TY  NO ANCHOROLOGY PRESENT  Recorder IM		i			<u>ir a</u>	n res of
PACINETED FUNTHER TO A DEPTH OF C. I.S.M. AS REQUESTED BY  NO ANCHOROLOGY PRESERVE  Recorder IM	modern	dubu	ubance	2 which may have	hæn	the animaly
NO ANCHAROLOGY PRESERVI  Recorder IM	picked	up	in_	pre-excovation		
NO ANCHAROLOGY PRESERVI  Recorder IM	,		MEN TO	S A DEPTH OF C. 1.5 M. AS	. <u> </u>	<u> </u>
Recorder iM		-				-
	NO ACCHARD	<u> </u>	nestari	<del></del>		:/ 4.1
	,		***			7-1



SITE	SITE EVALUATION TRENCH RECORD SHEET  OXHEIOSII			Trench No.		
Trench orientatio	n		Grid reference		Field No.	
Length S <sub>M</sub>	Width	~3.60	Average depth to top of natural 0.51	Was archae	eology present? No	
Plan Nos ?	200 A	201	Section Nos? 200	Were finds	recovered? No	
			of contexts, and requires only one or two plans and sentexts use a conventional context check list and plan			
Context check	list / Des	criptions				
Context No. D	Description	I.				
Coo Pr	resent top:	soil/plough	nsoil: DARK CREVISH BROWN	Seco	SAND 05-	
	-				<u> </u>	
501 2	<u>کنلا چه ډ د</u>	-: MIT	D ORANGEY BROWN LOOSE	OCHAR	0.26m	
202			<b>y</b> '	``	<u></u>	
LOIC N	WATUR	Au :	LIGHT BROWNISH YELLOWISH	SAND		
		:	, A.	<del>.</del>		
			•			
	-				A VERSON CO. LEGISLA CO.	
				<del></del>		
		-				
Ni	latural (desc	cribe)				
Brief description	on of arc	haeology/	comments			
TEENCH	Can	TAINS	NO ARUHAGOLOGY			
	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SE Co	RUFE	
wittet i			1			
•	FUNTHER EXCAUNTED TO A DEPTH OF C. I. SN AN REQUESTED BY					
,	NO ARCHAEOLOGY PRESENT					
77.(-1,	/40	17.	116 3684		Recorder  M	
,	<del></del>				Date 4/1/2011	



## CONTEXT CHECKLIST

ŀ									
	SITE C	ODE HE 105 11	SITE	NAME 10 57	EPHEN-	ROA	D, HE	SADINGTON	
Ī	Context number		Excavated within	Relationships	Dra	wn	Matrix	Comments	Recorde initials
	naimei	٠.	segments		Section	Plan	. ,		
1	1000	LAYER		OVERUES (1001)				TOPSOIL	HW
1	1001	LAYER		OUERUES (1002)				SUBSOIL	
1	1062	LAYER		OVERUES (1003)				NATURAL	
1	1003	D00517						BOTTLE / FE WASTE	
1	1004	STRUCT.		(1000).				Brige MANHOLE	
1	1005	CUT		CUTS				PIPE TRENCH LIPPE	
1	1006	FILL.		rius (1005)	, , ,	<u>.                                    </u>		PIPE TRENCH BACKFILL	
	1007	CUT		CUTS (1001)		1000		GENE WI	
l	1008	FILL	,	FILLS[1007]		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	MAN FILL	
	1009	sk		FILL OF [1007]		1000		SKELETON	
ı	1010	رس		B (011)	1000	1/A 1001		PIT- OLD.	MW
I	(01)	ñLL		PO [10.0]	1.			PIT FILL	
۱	1012	כטד		FB (10.3)	-			PIT - MODERN.	
	(013	FILL		FO (1012)	<			Pit hu	1
	1014	WT		FB (1015)				PIPETRENCH Cleader	HW
	1015	FILL	·	FO [1014]				PIPETRENCH BACKEU)	HW.
	1016	CUT		FB(1017) "			- ,	DIT CUT - RUBBISH CUT	Hw
	1017	HLL		FO[1016]	<u></u>			PIT FILL - PUBBISH	HW
						.'		/	
								· · ·	
			_						
İ									
							,	·	
									-
	·								
							,		
			,						
						-			
								,	

	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.			
oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	1000			
SITE 0×HE105 11	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE LAYER			
Trench WB	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:			
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT:			
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition			
Plan No.	Cut by: 10057	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent			
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions			
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:			
		shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth			
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation 6 fill nos			
	Overlies: (1001)	6 nii nos 7. other comments			
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials			
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of bricks etc     finish of etones     coursing/bond     form 6. faces			
Neg No.	Fill of:	5. form 6. faces 7. bond			
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments			
Description (See check lists):	1) FAIRLY LOOSE STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX				
		1005			
2) DARK GREYISH	this context is 1000	<u></u>			
3) SLIGHTLY SILTY	SAND				
4) STONE CBM F	RAGS & RUBBLE GRAGS, GLASS. [100]				
5) UP TO 0.					
6) COUGES GATIRE AREA OF EXCAU.					
7)					
8) MACHINE EX	CAV. Day.				
Interpretation/Discussion:	TOPSOIL				
		ŕ			
	V. OCCHBIONAL	BUTCHERED			
V. OCCHBIONAL BUTCHERED  APINAL BONE FRAGS.					
INC. PIG + SHEEP.					
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ * CBM [ * ]		[ ] Glass [-]			
		Recorder $\mu_{\mathcal{W}}$			
Samples		Date   1 /04 /12			
Building Materia	ls	Initials			

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE CXHE10511	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE LAMER
Trench	Context Type: Deposit Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: (1000)	DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by:	1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
	Part of: •	shape in plan     shape in plan     shape/sides/top profile     dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies: 1002	6. fill pos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY:
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of bricks etc     finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7-bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	DEAILUY LOOSE STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
		1000
2) MID ORANGE	BROWN this context is 100	
3) SUGHTLY SILTY	' SAND	<u> </u>
4) NONE	[1002]	
5) DEBBOU VI	P TO 0.35m, (MIN. 0.24m)	
6) REVEALED		
3 /	OUER ENTIRE EXCOU AREA.	
2) 114 (111)		
8) MACHINED; Interpretation/Discussion:	DRY.	
interpretation Diodeco.com		
SUBSOIL		
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Finds (tick): None [  Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder Hw
Samples		Date 12/04/12
Building Material	ls	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 100 2			
SITE ØXHE10511	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE LAYER			
Trench	Context Type Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:			
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT:			
Structure No.	Abutted by:	compaction     colour     composition			
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. Inclusion 5. thickness			
	Filled by:	6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions			
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:			
	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile			
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	dimension and depth     sketch     trupeation			
	Overlies:	6 fill nos other comments			
Level	Butts:	MASONRY:			
Slide No.	Cuts:	materials     size of bricks etc.     finish of stones			
Neg No.	Fill of:	3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. term 6. faces			
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments			
Description (See check lists):	1) FAIRLY LOOSE STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX				
3) SANT	2) LIGHT BROWNISH VICTION - WIGHT BROWN this context is 1002				
5) UP TO 0.7 M REVEACED (REJEASED TO A GREATER DEPTH 1N PROVIOUS EVAL TRENCHES)  6) REVEALED BY ALONG THE W. SIDE OF SITE ONLY  (-EXCAU. DEPTH = WITHIN TOP + SUBSOIL OVER REST OF SITE)					
1) / 8) MACHINED, DRY. SEE SKEICH PC4:0. Interpretation/Discussion:					
NATURAL					
	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	e[] Glass[]			
△ Small Finds		Recorder HW			
Samples		Date 12/4/12			
Building Materia	ls ·	Initials			

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 1003		
SITE OXHE10511	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE DEPOSIT		
Trench BLOCK B	Context Type: Deposit / Out / Structure	Check Lists:		
Site sub-div	. Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction		
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour     composition		
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent		
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions		
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:		
	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/tep profile     dimension and denth		
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation		
	Overlies:	6.41 nos 7. other comments		
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1, materials		
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones		
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond		
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	9. dimensions as found 9. other comments		
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX			
	TEPOSIT OF GLASS FOTTLES (CONFLETE)  + FR CANS / WASTE,  WIRE ET C. IN MATIRIX			
OF DARK GREY BROWN SILTY SAND (TOPSOIL)				
		<u> </u>		
Interpretation/Discussion:	MODERN DUMP OF MIXED WAS	TE		
MATERIALS	IN S.W. CORNER OF S	SITE		
NO CUT	OBSERVED - PROB CUT THREE	NGH		
	10PSOIL			
		-		
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]		[] Glass[]		
A Small Finds		Recorder Hw		
Samples		Date 12/04/12		
Building Material	ls /	Initials		

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 1004		
SITE OXIVE 10511	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE STRUCT.		
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:		
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT:		
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition .		
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thizkness 6. extent		
,	Filled by	7. comments 8. method & conditions		
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:		
	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth		
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill pos		
	Overlies:	7. other comments		
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials		
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of bricks etc     finish of stones     coursing/bond		
Neg No.		5. form 6. faces 7. bond		
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments		
Description (See check lists): "	1) BRICKS STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX			
2) 23 x 7 x 11 cm this context is 1004				
4) DOLLARS X 3 (OURSES VISIBLE IN EXCAV.				
5) MANHOLE (DISUSED/BACKALLED W. GREY BROWN SILT SAND +				
7) LIME MOR-	TAR BONDING			
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	IDE (N-S) TOTAL WIDTH = REVEALED.	ONLY 0.35m		
Interpretation/Discussion:	REVEALED E-W - PUNS INTO W.			
0.23 m	. ^			
9)/	FWSH WITH LIMIT OF EXC	AU. CASE).		
,				
MANHOLE	- CUT THROUGH TOPSOIL.			
	] Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	[ ] Glass [ ]		
Small Finds		Recorder / W		
Samples		Date 12/04/12		
Building Materia	ls	Initials		

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.				
SITE OXHE 10511	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE CUT				
Trench	Context Type: Deposit (Cut) Structure	Check Lists:				
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT:				
Structure No.	Abutted by:	1. compaction 2. colour				
Plan No.	Cut by:	3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness				
	Filled by: (1006)	5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions				
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:				
	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile				
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation				
	Overlies:	6. fill nos 7. other comments				
Level	Butts:	MASONRY:				
Slide No.	Cuts: (1000)	2. size of bricks et 3. finish of steries 4. coursing/bond				
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond				
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found     9. other comments				
Description (See check lists):	1) LINGAR E-W, WITH STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX					
		[1006]				
CURVE TO	this context is $I\alpha$					
(JOINS MA	NHOLE 1004					
2) BASE - NOT EXCHUATED, SIDES - NOT SECTION						
TOP PROTIE =	SHARP.					
3) WIDTH D3n @ E END, UP TO 0.53n @ W. END.						
4) SEE TO S) NONE.						
(6)1006 + 7/						
Interpretation/Discussion:		110057				
PIPE TRENCH	-JOINS MANHOLE 1004.					
	DISC.	(1006)				
- DUCANNECTET	D LEAD PIPE END RENGALED TO MANY	bié				
(a) W. ENT	) WHERE TREPCH WEETS TWO	EL .				
MANHOLE						
	] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt ston Wood [] Leather [] LEAD PIPE	e[] Glass[]				
△ Small Finds	•	Recorder Liu				
Samples		Date 12/04/12				
Building Materia	s	Initials				


oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 1006
SITE OXHE 105 11	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE FILL
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by.	DEPOSIT: . compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	inclusion inthickness inextent
	Filled by	comments
Section No.		CUT:
	Part of:	. shape in plan . base/sides/top profile
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	dimension and depth sketch truncation
		✓iil nos . other comments
Level		MASONRY:
Slide No.	Cuts: 2	. size of bricks etc.
Neg No.		coursing bond form 6. faces
Matrix location		dimensions as found other comments
Description (See check lists):	1) FAIRLY LOOSE STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
+ 40	4 SAND	
1	AGS, CBM FRAGS.	
	EXCANATED 6) 0.3-0.53m L	uiD€
7)/		
8) MACHINED	; DRY	
Interpretation/Discussion:		
BACKFILL	OF PIPETAGNICH 1005 - CONV	PRISES
A MXT	SRE OF TOPSOIL, SUBSOIL + N	ATURAL
i .	20317S THROWGH WHICH THE TO	•
CUT.		
	ANIMAL (Sheep)-METACA	rpa L
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	Pot [] Bone [ Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone	[ ] Glass [ ]
A Small Finds		Recorder HW
Samples		Date 12/04/12
Building Materia	ıls /	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 1007		
SITE OKHE10511	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE CUT		
Trench	Context Type: Deposit (Cut) Structure	Check Lists:		
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT:		
Structure No.	Abutted by:	1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition		
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments		
	Filled by: SK 1009, FILL 1008	8. method & conditions		
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:  1. shape in plan		
0-0-1	Part of:	base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth     sketch		
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:  Overlies:	5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments		
Lovel		MASONRY:		
Level Slide No.	Butts: Cuts:	materials     size of bricks eta		
Neg No.	Fill of:	3. finish of stories 4. coursing bond 5. form 6. faces		
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	7. bond 8. almensions as found		
Description (See check lists):	CTRATICRAPHIC MATRIX	2. other comments		
	1) OPLY PARTIALLY OBSERVED STRATIGNAPHIC MATRIX			
BUT PROB S	UB RECTARGULAR	<u></u>		
2) BASE = FL	this context is			
<del></del>				
3) WIDTH = (	0.9m			
LENOTH =	1.3m +			
D6PTH = 0	28m (VINBLE & BELOW SUBSOIL			
5) SE HALF	(UPPER BODY HALF) OF GRAVE 1	YOT PECEGNISE		
IP EXCAUATION	P OF FOOTING + NO BONES OF	THE UPPER		
Interpretation/Discussion: BODY WERE PRESENT - 17 WAS NOTED THAT				
THERE WAS SOME KIND OF FEAT. THOUGH + THE				
L FEM - SHAFT WAS THE FIRST BONE PENEALED. 1 FOR				
		०६ है वी		
UPPER PART	UPPER PART OF GRAVE BOSS DISTURBED BY BURROWING			
BUT DEF.	NO BONE PRESENT - PROB. NOTA	APESERVED		
-Bores of	LEGS = V. POOR PRESERVATION.			
6) Sk 1009, F	nu 1008. NW-SE AC	LIGNED GRAVE		
	] Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	e[] Glass[] $\omega t$		
△ Small Finds		Recorder HW		
Samples		Date 28/04/12		
Building Materia	als	Initials		

		Context No.
oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	1008
SITE OXHE10517	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE FILL
Trench	Context Type: Deposit Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
• •	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. trupcation
	Overlies 5k -1009	6. Fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY:
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of: 1007	4. coursing bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	Afmensions as found     other comments
Description (See check list	STRATIGRAPHIC MATE	HX
a subs		Subsail
2) REDISH/OR	ANGE BROWN WITH this context is	[1008]
OCCASIONAL	SANDY-YELLOW PATCHES	
3) V. SUCHTI	LY SILTY SAND.	1
4) AL L 1%	CHARCOAL FLECKS (U OCCASIONAL)	
5) 0.28m	6) 0.9m × 1.3m	
1/		
8) HAND E	XCAV; COOL, DAMP	
Interpretation/Discussion:		
BACKFILL	OF GRAVE [1007] OVER	- SK. 1009
Finds (tick): Non Metal [ ] CBM		stone [ ] Glass [ ]
△ Small Finds		Recorder HW
Samples	(1000) - BULK SAMPLE BLITARS	Date 23/04/12
Building Mate		Initials

•

~ *		<b>7</b> .	_				Skeleton r	10:
	oxfordarchaeology		SKELETO	ON REC	CORD		1009	_
	Site code: OXHE	10 511	Site Subdiv	1: BLOCK	Group:		Plan no: /	000
	Grave cut: 1007	Coffin: Y/N	Fills	1008			Adult / Suba	dult / Infant
• • •	Age:	Sex: o	Body position	1 .	tation:	BUT UPIER BODY NOT	Associated s	mall finds nos:
	ADULT (SEE OVERLEA	F FOR SUBADULT/	SUPINE	. Head a	. 0	end		
	(011 011 )	, 0,, 002,202,		Radius 1				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			Humerus					77.00
		( B	you	Ulna	0000		Fibula	
·· ,			Ribs	Olila . ,	Femur		m den	
	Skull	Mandible					Managara (	
	53	CV	Sternum TV	The same	Pelvis		† Tibia	
, ,					Coccyx	•		Tarsals
	المنعا	Hyoid bone		111		705	Manne	laisais
		bóne		Sacrum			pristin	
	<u></u>		Scapula	Sacrum	Phalanges	Patella	Metatarsa	is → PDOD
٠.,		Clavicle		Carp		Key	ne present	8877
•	North Arrow:	Clavicie	~ 0			XX3 =	gmented/broken	\$ g \ Z \ Z
· · · · ·			•		S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S		vical vertebrae racic vertebrae	Phalanges
			•	Met	acarpals		nbar vertebrae	
T.	Digitally rectified pho	otography: Y //	7)	Image no	 s:	, ,		·
آيد	Position of:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Qbjective desc		•	1-12 in list)		•
	1. Body		1) NOT PRESE	NT	io io iidiiiboio	•		<u> </u>
•	Head     Right arm, location	n oi riant nana T	2) NOT PRESE					
4	4. Left arm, location 5. Right leg	or left hand	4) NOT POESET	UT				
_	6. Left leg 7. Feet		5) BATENDED			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>	· • •
	Condition: (i.e. appearance of bor		7 SIDE BY S	nde :	W. / CO. 1 C. 11 C	1779		<u> </u>
,e. V	surfaces and fragment		9)	IC - HIGH	cy Hutura	~~ED, 30	FT, FEEDE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	8. Condition of bone		10) 11) COOL DA	P. 11/	ND EXCA	HATION	- TRANSEL	+ R-DUSIFE
ri F	<ol><li>9. Condition of bone</li><li>10. Evidence for trunc</li></ol>		THE COLUMN	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			- 100000	- 1 B()
•	Other:		•		<del>.</del>		<u> </u>	
^	11. Excavation metho lighting, conditions							
	12. Other comments	•	·· <u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<del></del>		
د	Stratigraphic matri	<b>K:</b>		Sample n (Give sample	e numbers and r	number of ba	gs. Bulk sample i	nfants
		1008		<u> </u>	iy <5 years old a		sample number)	& little (4 budget
, -,				Chest:	•		BU	LOVE OF
				Left hand:	nd pelvis:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<del>( \                                   </del>
	This context is:	1009		Right foot:				F1/10007
	· [	<del>                                     </del>	<del></del>	Len 100t.			·	
•		1007		Recorded b	y: HW	Che Date	cked by:	
				Date Initials 23	104/116	Initia		···
							•	



oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE DXHEIOSI)	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE P,
Trench	Context Type: Deposit Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
p) A	Filled by: (1014)	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
1000	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies:	6. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts	MASONRY: 1. materials
Slide 1961 72-73.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	dimensions as found     differ comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
2. BASE NOT REAL	this context is LO	
3, 0 may ).	40m x 0.75 ~ Dev =200	<u> </u>
5 N/a	(002	
6 (101)	4	
(30)	((0))	» / / l
	750 (1011)	2(02)
		المال
Interpretation/Discussion:		
Pi	LIKE TEATURE LANGE SITE PORCES	ALCHARA OF ICAZ
		The state of the s
A- Mos	CAT NO DATING ACCOVERS	
	7 1	
LOURS	LITTIN SOX A	
Finds (tick): None [- Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder MW
Samples		Date 24- 4-12
Building Materia	ls	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITEOXHEIOSII	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE GL
Trench	Context Type Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: ((001)	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour . 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by: (1012)	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
w /A	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
1000	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill pes
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Overlies:	7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY:  1. materials 2. size of brights fts :
Slide No. 72-73.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/borfd 5. form 6. faces
Neg No.	Fill of: [IO(0]	7. bond
Matrix location  Description (See check lists):	Relationships uncertain  STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	8. dimensions as found 9 other comments
+. V.RAVE CHARCE  750 mm mu  12. TM W II		
nterpretation/Discussion:		
Denis	BOUSÉ PACICIALNIS EVENT OF LARGE P.	)T 6
^ \	DATUS NACOUNED	
No		
<u>No</u>		
<u>No</u>		
<u>No</u>		
Finds (tick): None Metal [] CBM [	Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt sto	one[] Glass[]
	Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt sto	one[] Glass[]
Metal[] CBM[]	Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt sto	

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE DXHEIDSII	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE P
Trench	Context Type: <del>Depos</del> it Cut)/ <del>Structur</del> e	Check Lists:
Site sub-div		DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
A A	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
1000	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
		6. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts: (1p,,)	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/board
Neg No.	Fill of:	5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Detaileration	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
<b>1</b>		1013
2 CONCRETABE, C	this context is ior 7	.7
2 APROX \$ 1.00	0m x 0.70 post.	
6 SOE STIT	1010]	
5 THUNGARES (	1011)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6 (1013)		
Interpretation/Discussion:		
<u></u>	MN PIT, FLIED LITH SOILS AND TARMA	e fieles.
	<del>and an artifaction of the second sec</del>	
		•
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]		[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder
Samples		Date 24 . 4 . 12
Building Materia	ls ,	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE OKHEIOSII	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE GILL
Trench	Context Type; Deposit Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: (1901)	DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by:	compaction     colour     composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness
··· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ··	Filled by:	6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
1000	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and denth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truccation 6. till nos
	Overlies:	6. ffl nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials
Slide No. 472-73	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of: [1012]	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):		
1, 500 5		1001
2. DALL Blown	this context is 101	7
3. SIJY SMB	)	<u></u>
4 IAM CAS TOO ME	K PICLES + MEDELW WASTE.	
	ic free freetos por sic.	
5.000 m mick	•	
6. DITHIS 10	212	
<u> </u>		
Interpretation/Discussion:		
Moge	W PIT FILL, WASTE MATERIA.	
Finds (tick): None   Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	[	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder
Samples		Date 24.4 12
Building Materia	als	Initials

÷

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE OXHE 10511	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE CUT
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by:	1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness
	Filled by:	6. extent 7 comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies:	6. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc
Neg No.	Fill of:	5. form 6. faces
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	dimensions as found     other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
		1015
	this context is (0 (4	
2) BASE - NOT	(XCAVATED)	
TOP PROFILE	SHARP [1000]	
3) WIDTH =	0.5 - 1.15m 23-1	
LENGTH	= RUPS ACROSS SITE E-W.	1014
4) 7		
5) NONE	6)1015	1005]
Interpretation/Discussion:		+
Q1 (	W LEAD WATER PIPE TREPCH	
100 E-C	Wan	
	- TUZ	
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	] Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone Wood [ ] Leather [ ]	[]. Glass[]
⚠ Small Finds		Recorder HW
		Date 12/04/12
Building Materia	ls	Initials


oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE OXHE10517	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE FILL
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour     composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. trungation
	Overlies:	6. fil nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond
Neg No.	Fill of:	5. form 6. faces
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8 dimensions as found other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
1) MARVABLE	-LOOSE-Trampact	
<b>V</b>	OH, BROWN, YELLOW this context is [0]	<u>S</u>
3) SAND/SILT	1014	
1	-0.0	etc.
5 ?		
6 0.5 - 1	-15 m wide (N-S), SPANS E	w Across
	TUATED	SITE
Interpretation/Discussion:		
1,64	D WATER RIPE TRENCH BACK	CALL.
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
		,
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ ] CBM [ ]	] Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone Wood [ ] Leather [ ]	[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder HW
Samples		Date 12/04/12
Building Materia	ls /	Initials


·•

	00.175.47.550055	Context No.
oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	1016
SITE OCHEIOSII	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE CUT
Trench	Context Type: Deposit (Cut)/ Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abulted by.	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7 comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
1001	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4: sketch 5. truncation
		6. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts: (1000)	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones
Neg No.		4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 2. bond
Matrix location		8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	1) NOT SEEN IN PLAN STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX.	
2) BASE - CONCINE	U-CHRED	1017
	60° MODERATE SLOPE this context is 1010	<u> </u>
_ \	11° SECTION = 5:0.6m	
- SIDES = UNC	LEAR THROUGH TOPSOIL -ONLY V. CLEAR AT	BOTTOM
DEPTH =	c. 0.63m 4) ->	(O) (1000)
5) NOTE OBSE	<del></del>	10161
6 X 1 FILL= (10		(wi)
Interpretation/Discussion:		(902)
ELE CUT OF	- RUBBISH PIT - MODERN PRESIDEN	. ==
1 · · · ·	N-S DRAINAGE TRENCHE FXCAUA	
	E OF SITE (AT BACK OF BLOCK B	
m		
		-
	] Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone Wood [ ] Leather [ ]	[ ] Glass [ ]
Small Finds		Recorder HW
Samples		Date 17/5/12
Building Materia	ls	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 1017
SITE OXHEIOSIL	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE FILL
Trench	Context Type: Deposit Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour     composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
100	Part of:	shape in plan     base/sides/top profile     dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch -6. truncation
	Overlies:	6. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1 materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of bricks etc.     finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of: [1016]	4. coursing bond 5. form 6. faces 7. cond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	1) LOOSE STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
02GANC CO 4) STONE + C 5) 063m [HIC	IBM FRAGS; OCCASIONAL CHARCOGL	
<b>X</b> /		
8) MACHINE/HAN	DD EXCAN, DRY, OVERCAST.	•
nterpretation/Discussion:		
FILL OF RE	BBISH PIT [1016]. FAIRLY LOSSE	FILL
	ENT ROOTS. COLOUR + COMPOSITI	
	SO DIFFICULT TO DEFINE CUT TO	
	ASE OF PIT CLEAR WHERE CUT	:
10801 - B		
•	-Armal BONE - LARGE	PALED S
NATURAL	- Arinal BONE - LARCE -FR FRAGS - COB - CHINA	SELEAS
Finds (tick): None [	-12 A0103	
Finds (tick): None [	Pot [   Bone [   Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone	e[] Glass[]
Finds (tick): None [ Metal [ Y CBM [ ]	Pot [   Bone [   Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone	

0× HE 105 11			
Sketch Plan		Brock Bri	55 N
13216/6	4/12	Buck DATE	0
132161		Early	
			Over
			General
			0.65~
			A
			45 below
			035 Below GL
			1





OXHEIOS 11 Block B Sketch plan GROUND REDUCTION 11-12/04/12 und ppe ruming though te ubble Slate Longs Victoriales Ceranic pipe 13 × 7 + 11 cm Line nurtos 0.3m wide Lead Pipe 1)( lon BOTTLE DUMP Fe. WASTE No cot in topt subscil = 0-53m wide V. mxed top+subsoils+ nat.

•

10 STEPHEN ROAD, MEADINGTON, OXFORD

OXHEIOS 11

Box 1 FUE 5

B: CATALOGUE OF BRANNINGS

~ \

l

## OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

#### PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford] Site[Oxford, 10 Stephen Road, Headington] Site code[OXHE10S11]

Line 2: Excavators name[L Loe]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if


Introduction  A:Final Report  A:Publication Report  B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes  B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	Classification of material	lick if present
A:Final Report  A:Publication Report  B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes  B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports  B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	Index to archive	· present
A:Publication Report  B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes  B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports  B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	Introduction	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	A:Final Report	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	A:Publication Report	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records  B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports  B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports  B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	B: Site Data - Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings  B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans  B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings  C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data  C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports  C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List  D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	· ·
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records  E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports  F: Documentary  F: Press and Publicity  G: Correspondence	D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
G: Correspondence	F: Documentary	
	F: Press and Publicity	
H: Miscellaneous	G: Correspondence	
	H: Miscellaneous	





# **PLAN RECORD SHEET**


SITE CODEOXHEIOSII SITE NAME 10 STEPHEN ROAD, HEADINGTON

	Contents)		Dest	Č:- (44
Plan number	Context(s)	Scale	Drawn by	Size (A1, A4, etc.)
100	TRONCH PLAN	1:50	km	A4
101	TRENCH 100 TRENCH PLAN	1:So		A4
	TRENCH 200		km	A4
201	126NCH 200	1:50	BD	A4
·				
			-	
			_	
			· · · · ·	
!				
			-	










e.




## SECTION RECORD SHEET

Oxidio Alchaedi	ogy SECTION RECORD SHEET	<del>,                                     </del>		·			
Site Name:	10 STEPHEN ROAD, HEADINGTON	Site Code: OXHEIOS II					
Section No	Context(s)	Scale	Drawn By	Size A1, A4 etc	Plan (Sheet) No		
100	SAMPLE STETION	1.20	KM	A4			
200	SAMPLE STERION	1:20	KM	B4			
·							
		! 					
				·			
					-		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
				<u>·</u>			
					····		
					<del></del>		



OXHE 10511 S. 100 SAMPLE SECTION 1:20 KM 4/1/2012




0×HE 10511 5.200 SAMPLE SECTION 1:20 KM 4/1/2012

$\hat{\mathcal{L}}$		
Oxford	d Archaeology	

## **PLAN RECORD SHEET**

SITE CODE OXHEJOSII SITE NAME 10 STEPHEN PD, HEADINGTON, OXOS. Context(s) Plan Scale Drawn Size (A1, number A4, etc.) by 1000 Sk. 1009, [1007] 1:10 HW AY BLOCK B FOOTINGS + SERVICE TRENCHES 160( HW




1:50 @ A1 PLAN 1001 0x4E10577 PLAN 1000 23/04/12 01:1 7.6 bones Flori 10 TOP 0:15 (Großt 0.28m sub 0.35 BASE LEVEL GOOTINGS fill bolow of ... 028 (gel plan i deepert

	)
Oxford Archaeolog	у


# **SECTION RECORD SHEET**

SITE CODE SHE IOSI SITE NAME 10 STEPHON RO, OXFORD.

	DED THE LOSI SITE INAINE TO STEVILOS TO COSTO STEVILOS			12.	· - : -
Section number	Context(s)	Scale	Drawn by	Size (A1, A4, etc.)	Plan (Sheet no.)
1000	PIT [1010] + [1012]	1:20	MW	A4	~la
1001	PIT [1016] + [1012]	1:20	MW HW	A4	
-				<u> </u>	
<del></del>			<del></del>		
		<u> </u>			
· · · · · ·					



OXHEIOSII 2.1000 1'.20 M. Wooder 26.4.17



OXFOLD, 10 STEPHEN ROAD, HEADINGTON OXHEIDS 11 BOX! FILE 6

C: Funds DARA: BOX+BAGUSTS.

ر د

# OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

#### PDF/A SCAN

### FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[Oxford, 10 Stephen Road, Headington] Site code[OXHE10S11]

Line 2: Excavators name[L Loe]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

	present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data - Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	·
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	,
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

# Finds Compendium

Site Code	e Code Invoice Code Site Name		Code Invoice Code Site Name				Invoice Code Site Name Ac					Invoice Code Site Name Acces					Site Name Acces			
OXHE10S 11	OXHE10SWE	3 1	10 Stephen Road, Headington, Oxfordshire																	
Finds materials	summarised	for Site C	ode: OX	KHE10S 11 an	d invoice code: OXI	HE10SWB	· <u>-</u> -													
Material	No of Boxes	No Of Contexts	No Of Sherds	Total Weight (g)	Box Sizes	Box N	lumbers													
Human Bone	1	2	7	0	1 x Size 3	HB.01														
Pottery	1	1	2	6	1 x Size 5	P.01														
	Totals:		9	6 g																
Total No of	2 boxes +			Misce	llaneous Box S	izes:	<u>.</u>													

31 January 2014

Boxes:

Weight (g)

# **Box Contents Sheets**

Site Code OXHE10S 11	Material:	Human Bor	ie
Box Size Size 3	Box No	HB.01	Accession No

Context SF No	No of Bags	No of Object	1,1446.1411	Weight (g)	Context	SF Number	No of Bags	No of Objects	Material:
1008	1	· 1	Human Bone from sieving	0					
1009	6	6	Human Bone	0					
No of Contexts:	. 2	2 Tot	al Bags:	7					
Total Objects:	7	Tot	al Weight:	0					

# **Box Contents Sheets**

Site Code OXHE10S 11	Material:	Pottery	
Box Size Size 5	Box No	P.01	Accession No

Context	SF No		No o Obje	Transca Idas,	Weight (g)	Context	SF Number		Material:	Weight (g)
1008		1	2	Pottery	6					

No of Contexts: 1 Total Bags:

Total Objects: 2 Total Weight:

10 STEPHEN ROAD, HEADINGTON
OXFOLD
OXHEIPS II

BCX I FILE 7

D: CATALOGUE of PHOTOGRAPHS

## OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

#### PDF/A SCAN

## FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[Oxford, 10 Stephen Road, Headington] Site code[OXHE10S11]

Line 2: Excavators name[L Loe]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

Classification of material	1108 11
To don As analyting	present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	•
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	,
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

					¥3		
Oxford Arch	naeology	PH		IIC R	ECORD SHEE	<b>T</b>	: · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SITE CODE d	ZHEIOSII	SITE NA	IME STEPHEN	RD,	o× Forú	FILM NO. 100	> :
Camera numb	oer 🗼	Lens nui	nber			Black & white / cok	<del>our</del>
Date	Negative number	View	*		Context(s)		Initials
4/1/12	0	. محسنه ،			I.D. SHOT.	<u></u>	ZY.
i	1		TRENCH I	00	IID SHOT		,
	2	E	_		TRENCH SHO	r 2x2m	
	3				l		
	4	<b>V</b>			1	<u> </u>	
	5	S	STEENCH ,	00	SAMPLE SEC	TON IXIM	
	6					1	
	7	<b>+</b>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	8	-	TRENCH 2	<u></u>	1D SHOT	, s <sup>-</sup> -	
	9	S	TRENCH ?	200	TRENCH ST	tor 2x2m	
	10					· .	
	11	4			<u> </u>	. <b></b>	<del> </del>
	12	E	TRENCH ?	10c	sample.	SECTION IXIN	<del>                                     </del>
	13	1					
	14	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				4
5/1/12	15	Ε_	TRENCH IS	٥٥	Ixlm / 1x2	m Thench Stidt	
	16 17	<del>                                     </del>	<del></del>			-	
		\ <u>\</u>	70		1 1 2		
	18 19	5	TRENCH 20	<u>o</u>	1x1/1x2n	TIZENCH STIOI	
,	20						
_	21	<del>                                     </del>	70				
	22						
	23						
	24						·
	25			-			
	26						
	27						
	28						at Hu
	29						
	30						
	31						5-
	32						
	33						
	34						
	35						
	36					`	
	37						

•


Oxford Archa	eology	РН	OTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET		
SITE CODE O	CHE10511	SITE NA	ME 10 STEPHEN ROAD, HEADINGTON	FILM NO. 100	0
Camera numbe	r 23 D	Lens nun	nber	Black & white cold	our
Date	Negative number	View	Context(s)		Initials
Ex 11/4/12	0		D SHOT	· ·	Hω
	1		ID SHOT		
	2	NE	@ PRE-EXCAUATION SHOT	ωB	
	3				
	4	V	V	<u> </u>	
	5	W	Man Hove 17003] 0.5		
	6			WOB	
V	7	$\bigvee$	V	WOB	
12/4/12	8	MN	& PIPE TRENCH [1005] O. S.		
	9			WOB	
	10	V		wors	
	11	NE	END OF DAM SHOT IN	WB	
	12			WOB	
<u> </u>	13	V	V	was	
19/4/12	14	W	CUT 1007 /m	ws	
	15		-\\.	work	
<u> </u>	16		V	Was	-
23/4/12	17	W	Sk. 1009 0.5m		
	18	1		<u> </u>	
27/12	19	<u>V</u>	D ( )	wob	4 .
27/4/12	20	E	Post-ecrision NK comends.	te in wa	Ms
1	21	R	1 1	C 19 NB	
1 1 1	22	K	<u> </u>	1 ND	
5/5/12	23	W	1 Soother wall of anall ba	bd ylnus	
<u> </u>	24	14)		1 200	<del>                                     </del>
1 1 - 1 - 2	25 26	W	NW comer of small building		<del>                                     </del>
4/5/12	26	NE	NW comes of small building	/ 10	
1 0 11	27	NE		V NB	
2/1/2	28	NE	C' ()		d
8/5/12	29 30	E	Service trenches in ne-		
<u> </u>	31	12	1 4		
- 1 1	32	K	PIT [1016] jm	wß	Hw
17/5/12	33	E	P17 [1016] Im	wob	7,00
	34	<del>                                     </del>		·	
	35	V		WOB	-
	36				
	37	1			
	, ,,,	i			


	A Site Code: (C)	B (HE108 11	C Site Na	D D	<u> </u>	l F			
	1 Site Code: OXHE10S 11 Site Name: Oxford 10 Stephen Road								
_ <u>2</u>	Site shot	Archive Shot	View	Description	Initials	Date			
$-\frac{3}{4}$	Number 0001	Number 0001	VIEW	Working shots day 1.	BD	03/01/12			
5	0001			Working shots day 1.	BD	03/01/12			
6	0002			Working shots day 1.	BD	03/01/12			
7	0003			Working shots day 1.	BD	03/01/12			
	0004			Working shots day 1.	BD	03/01/12			
9	0006	<del></del>		Working shots day 1.	BD	03/01/12			
10	0007	· — — —		Working shots day 1.	BD	03/01/12			
11	0008			Working shots day 1.	BD	03/01/12			
12	0009	<del></del>		Trench 100 ID shot	KM	04/01/11			
13	0010		E	Trench 100 general shot 2x2m	KM	04/01/11			
14	0011	0011	S	Trench 100 sample section 1x1m	KM	04/01/1			
15	0012			Trench 200 ID shot	KM	04/01/11			
16	0013		s	Trench 200 trench shot 2x2m	KM	04/01/11			
17	0014		Ē	Trench 200 sample section 1x1m	КМ	04/01/11			
, 18	0015		Ē	working shot Trench 100	BD	05/01/11			
19	0016			working shot Trench 100	BD	05/01/11			
20	0017		Е	Tr 100 1x1m / 1x2m trench shot	BD	05/01/11			
21	0018			Tr 100 1x1m / 1x2m trench shot	BD	05/01/1			
22	2	0019	 E	Tr 100 1x1m / 1x2m trench shot	BD	05/01/1			
23	0019	<del></del>	s	Trench 200 working shot	BD	05/01/1			
24	?	0021	?	Trench 200 section	?	05/01/11			
25	0022	0022	Ŝ	Trench 200 working shot	BD	05/01/11			
26	0001	0023		ID shot	HW	11/04/12			
27	0002			ID shot	HW	11/04/12			
28	0003		NE	Pre-excavation shot	HW	11/04/12			
29	0004		NE	Pre-excavation shot	HW	11/04/12			
30	0005		NE	Pre-excavation shot	HW	11/04/12			
31	0006		NE		HW	11/04/12			
32	0007	0029	NE	<u> </u>	HW	11/04/12			
33	0008		NE		HW	11/04/12			
34	0009	0031	w	Manhole STR 1004 0.5m WB	HW	11/04/12			
35	0010		W	Manhole STR 1004 0.5m NB	HW	11/04/12			
36	0011	0033	W	Manhole STR 1004 0.5m NB	HW	11/04/12			
37	0012	0034	NW	Manhole STR 1004 0.5m NB	HW	11/04/12			
38	0013	0035	NW	Manhole STR 1004 0.5m NB	HW	11/04/12			
39	0014			Manhole STR 1004 0.5m NB	HW	11/04/12			
40	0015	0037	W	Manhole STR 1004 0.5m NB	HW	11/04/12			
41	0016	0038	NW	Pipe trench [1005] 0.5m WB	HW	12/04/12			
42	0017	0039	NW	Pipe trench [1005] 0.5m NB	HW	12/04/12			
43	0018	0040	NW	Pipe trench [1005] 0.5m NB	HW	12/04/12			
44	0019	0041	W	Pipe trench [1005] 0.5m NB	HW	12/04/12			
45	0020	<del></del>		Pipe trench [1005] 0.5m NB	HW	12/04/12			
46	0021			Pipe trench [1005] 0.5m NB	HW	12/04/12			
47	0022		NE	End of day shot 1m WB	HW	12/04/12			
48	0023			End of day shot 1m NB	HW	12/04/12			
49	0024			End of day shot 1m NB	HW	12/04/12			
50	0025	<del>  </del>	SE	End of day shot NB	HW	12/04/12			
51	0026	<del></del>		Modern pipe trench 1m WB	HW	12/04/12			
52	0027		-	Modern pipe trench 1m NB	HW	12/04/12			
53	0028	0050	N	Working shot- excav. Of footings (SE corner of site)	HW	13/04/12			
54	0029	0051	N	Working shot- excav. Of footings (SE corner of site)	HW	13/04/13			
55	0030	0052	NW	Working shot- excav. Of footings (SE corner of site)	HW	13/04/12			
56	0031		N	End of day shot- footing (SE corner of site)	HW	13/04/1			
57	0032	0054	N	End of day shot- footing (SE corner of site)	HW	13/04/12			
58	0033			End of day shot- footing (SE corner of site)	HW	13/04/12			
59	0034			E-W footing, S. edge of site 0.5m	HW	16/04/12			
60	0035		W	E-W footing, S. edge of site 0.5m	HW	16/04/12			
61	0036			E-W footing, S. edge of site 0.5m	HW	16/04/12			
62	0037	1	_	E-W footing, S. edge of site 0.5m	HW	16/04/12			


	Α	В	С	D	E	F
63	0038	0060	E	E-W footing, S. edge of site 0.5m	HW	16/04/12
64	0039	0061		N-S footing, S. edge of site 0.5m	HW	16/04/12
65	0039	0062		N-S footing, S. edge of site 0.5m	HW	16/04/12
66	0040	0063		E-W footing running from N-S footing (1)	HW	16/04/12
67	0041	0063	·W	E-W footing running from N-S footing (2)	HW	16/04/12
68	0042	0065	<del>-w-</del>	Cut [1008] 1m WB	HW	19/04/12
69	0043	0066		Cut [1006] 111 WB	HW	19/04/12
70	0044	0067	NW	Cut [1006] 111 NB	HW	19/04/12
71	0045	0067	NW	Cut [1006] 111 WB	HW	19/04/12
72	0040	0069	SE	Footings at SW corner of site- working shot 1m	HW H	20/04/12
73	0047	0070	SE	Footings at SW corner of site- working shot 1m	HW	20/04/12
74	0049	0070	SE	Footings at SW corner of site-working shot 1m	HW	20/04/12
75	0050	0072	SE	Footings at SW corner of site-working shot 1m	HW	20/04/12
76	0051	0072	NE.	Footings at SW corner of site-working shot 1m	HW	20/04/12
77	0051	0073	NE	Footings at SW corner of site-working shot 1m	HW	20/04/12
78	0053	0075	NE	Footings at SW corner of site-working shot 1m	HW	20/04/12
79	0054	0076	NE	Footings at SW corner of site- working shot 1m	HW	20/04/12
80	0055	0077	E	Footings at SW corner of site- working shot 1m	HW	20/04/12
81	0056	0078	- NW	Working shots of footing excavations 1m	HW	20/04/12
82	0057	0079	N	Working shots of footing excavations 1m	HW	20/04/12
83	0058	0080	SE	Working shots of footing excavations 1m	HW	20/04/12
84	0059	0081	E	Working shots of footing excavations 1m	HW	20/04/12
85	0060	0082	w	Working shots of footing excavations 1m	HW	20/04/12
86	0061	0083	w	Working shots of footing excavations 1m	HW	20/04/12
87	0062	0084	NW	Working shots of footing excavations 1m	HW	20/04/12
88	0063	0085	SW	Working shots of footing excavations 1m	HW	20/04/12
89	0064	0086	_ <del>W</del>	SK. 1009 0.5m WB	HW	23/04/12
90	0065	0087	W	SK. 1009 0.5m NB	HW	23/04/12
91	0066	0088	NW	SK. 1009 0.5m NB	HW	23/04/12
92	0067	0089	NW	SK. 1009 0.5m NB	HW	23/04/12
93	0068	0090	E	SK. 1009 0.5m NB	HW	23/04/12
94	0069	0091	NE	SK. 1009 0.5m NB	HW	23/04/12
95	0070	0092	NW	SK. 1009 0.5m NB	HW	23/04/12
96	0071	0093	NW	SK. 1009 0.5m NB	HW	23/04/12
97	0072	0094	s	S.1000 pits [1010], [1012] 1x1m WB	MW	24/04/12
98	0073	0095	S	S.1000 pits [1010], [1012] 1x1m NB	MW	24/04/12
99	0074	0096	N	Pits A+B general shots NB	MW	24/04/12
100	0075	0097	N	Pits A+B general shots NB	MW	24/04/12
101	0076	0098	N	Pits A+B general shots NB	MW	24/04/12
102	0077	0099		General site shots	MW	26/04/12
103	0078	0100		General site shots	MW	26/04/12
104	0079	0101		General site shots	MW	26/04/12
105	0800	0102		General site shots	MW	26/04/12
106	0081	0103	E	Post ex view NE corner of site 1m NB	MS	27/04/12
107	0082	0104	E	Post ex view NE corner of site 1m NB	MS	27/04/12
108	0083	0105	W	Southern wall of N. building 1m WB	MS	03/05/12
109	0084	0106	W	Southern wall of N. building 1m WB	MS	03/05/12
110	0085	0107	NE	NW corner of N. building 1m NB	_ MS	04/05/12
111	0086	0108	NE	NW corner of N. building 1m NB	MS	04/05/12
112	0087	0109	E	Service trenches in new build	MS	08/05/12
113	0088	0110	<u></u> E	Service trenches in new build	MS	08/05/12
114	0089	0111	<u>s</u>	Pre-excavation shot of route for drainage trench running	HW	08/05/12
115	0090	0112	S	Pre-excavation shot of route for drainage trench running	<u>HW</u>	08/05/12
116	0091	0113	w	Pre-excav. Shot of route of E_W drainage trench betw	HW	08/05/12
117	0092	0114		Iron object from (??) ?Cobblers ?Laff	HW	08/05/12
118	0093	0115		Iron object from (??) ?Cobblers ?Laff	HW	08/05/12
119	0094	0116	E	Pit [1016] 1m WB	HW	08/05/12
120	0095	0117	E	Pit [1016] 1m NB	HW	08/05/12
121	0096	0118	E	Pit [1016] 1m NB	HW	08/05/12
122	0097	0119	W	Soakaway in E. facing section drainage trench @ E. e	HW	08/05/12
123	0098	0120	W	Soakaway in E. facing section drainage trench @ E. e	HW	08/05/12


	A	В	С	D	E	F
124	0099	0121	W	Soakaway in E. facing section drainage trench @ E. e	HW	08/05/12
125	0100	0122	S	Shot of drainage trenches @ E. end of site	HW	08/05/12
126	0101	0123	S	Shot of drainage trenches @ E. end of site	HW	08/05/12
127	0102	0124	SW	Shot of drainage trenches @ E. end of site	HW	08/05/12
128	0103	0125	s	Shot of drainage trenches @ E. end of site	HW	08/05/12
129	0104	0126	N	Shot of drainage trenches @ E. end of site	HW	08/05/12
130	0105	0127	NW	Shot of drainage trenches @ E. end of site	HW	08/05/12
131	0106	0128	s_	N-S drainage trench @ E. side of site	HW	21/05/12
132	0107	0129	S	N-S drainage trench @ E. side of site	HW	21/05/12
133	0108	0130	W	E-W drainage trench between blocks A+B	HW	21/05/12
134	0109	0131	WNW	E-W drainage trench between blocks A+B	HW	21/05/12
135	0110	0132	SW	N-S drainage trench	HW	21/05/12
136	0111	0133	NW	Manhole pit @ W. end of E-W trench 1m	HW	21/05/12
137	0112	0134	W	Manhole pit @ W. end of E-W trench 1m	HW	21/05/12
138	0113	0135	<u> </u>	Manhole pit @ W. end of E-W trench 1m	HW	21/05/12
139	0114	0136	E	E-W drainage trench between blocks A+B	HW	21/05/12
	CARD 51	0427		Den avariation abot of aits	BD	?/10/11
141	0001	0137		Pre-excavation shot of site	BD	?/10/11
142 143	0002 0003	0138 0139		Pre-excavation shot of site Pre-excavation shot of site	BD	?/10/11
143	0003	0139		Pre-excavation shot of site	BD	?/10/11
144	0004	0140		Pre-excavation shot of site	BD	?/10/11
146	0003	0141		Pre-excavation shot of site	BD	?/10/11
147	0007	0142		Pre-excavation shot of site	BD	?/10/11
148	0007	0143		Pre-excavation shot of site	BD	?/10/11
149	0009	0145		Pre-excavation shot of site	BD	?/10/11
150	0010	0146		Pre-excavation shot of site	BD	?/10/11
151	0010	0147		Pre-excavation shot of site	BD	?/10/11
152	0012	0148		Pre-excavation shot of site	BD	?/10/11
153	0013	0149		Pre-excavation shot of site	BD	?/10/11
154	0014	0150		Pre-excavation shot of site	BD	?/10/11
155	0015	0151	. —	Pre-excavation shot of site	BD	?/10/11
156	0016	0152		Pre-excavation shot of site	BD	?/10/11
-	CARD 64					<u> </u>
158	0001	0153		ID shot	MS	28/11/11
159	0002	0154	N	Post-excavation view of site	MS	28/11/11
160	0003	0155	NW	Post-excavation view of site	MS	28/11/11
161	0004	0156	SW	Post-excavation view of site	MS	28/11/11
162	0005	0157	W	Post-excavation view of site	MS	28/11/11
163	0006	0158	N	Post-excavation view of site	MS_	28/11/11
164	CARD 37					·
165	0115	0159	N _	Foundation trench section 1x0.3m	SL	24/07/12
166	0116		N	Foundation trench section 1x0.3m	SL_	24/07/12
167	0117	0161	N	Overall shots	SL	24/07/12
168	0118	0162	N	Overall shots	SL	24/07/12
169	0119	0163	N	Continuation of foundation trench 1x1m	SL	25/07/12
170	0120	0164	NE	Continuation of foundation trench 1x1m	SL	25/07/12
171	0121	0165	N	Foundation trench 1x1m	SL SL	26/07/12
172	0122	0166	NE	Foundation trench 1x1m	SL SL	26/07/12
173 174	0123 0124	0167 0168	NE NE	Foundation trench 1x1m Foundation trench 1x1m	SL	26/07/12 26/07/12
175	0124	0169	NE N	Foundation trench 1x1m	SL	27/07/12
176	0125		NE	Foundation trench 1x1m	SL	27/07/12
177	0126	0170	N N	Foundation trench 1x1m	SL.	27/07/12
178	0127		N	Foundation trench 1x1m	SL	27/07/12
179	0120	0172	N	Foundation trench 1x1m	SL	30/07/12
180	0129	0173	N	Foundation trench 1x1m	SL	30/07/12
181	0131	0175	w	Foundation trench 1x1m	SL	30/07/12
182	0132	0176	s	Foundation trench 1x1m	SL	30/07/12
183	0133	0177	<u>E</u> _	Re-done foundation trench after collapse	SL	30/07/12
184	0134	0178	Ē	Re-done foundation trench after collapse	SL	30/07/12
185	0135	0179	<del>- ~</del> -	Foundation trench 1x1m	SL	31/07/12
	0,00	0170				1 3 3


	Α	В	С	D	E	F
186	0136	0180	N	Foundation trench 1x1m	SL	31/07/12
187	0137	0181	N	Foundation trench 1x1m	SL	01/08/12
188	0138	0182	N	Foundation trench 1x1m	SL	01/08/12
189	0139	0183	SE	Foundation pad	SL	01/08/12
190	0140	0184	Ë	Foundation pad	SL	01/08/12













OXHE10S11\_0176.jpg



OXHE10S11\_0177.jpg



OXHE10S11\_0178.jpg



OXHE10S11\_0179.jpg



OXHE10S11\_0180.jpg



OXHE10S11\_0181.jpg



OXHE10S11\_0182.jpg



OXHE10S11\_0183.jpg



OXHE10S11\_0184.jpg

10 STEPHEN ROAD, HEADINGTON, OXFORD.

OXHEIOS 11

BOX 1 FLE 8

G: CORRESPONDENCE

1	٠	,		
			ł.	
	' :		l	·
			•	
			ŀ	
	•		1	•
		-	1	
				٠.
			ł	1
,		•	I	
			1	ì.
l .	9		١ ,	[
1	-			ļ
			l	
			Į.	
]			t	
!		,	ŀ	
			l	
- ·		•	1	
	_	}	l	·
		l	l	
			l	1
0		l :		1
		Ι΄,	ļ	
			1	
		0		•
· .			1	
		l.	<b>†</b>	
		ľ		
		l ,		l
1.			ļ	
		1	i	· '
		1	l	
		l	l	
[ i		l	1	j
1		l	l .	}
		ŀ	'	
]	1	٠.	l	l
1		} .	I	
		1	i	٠.
			l	
. 9			l ·	
1		1	1	ĺ
	Ì		l	
		١.	1	
		l *		٠.
1	-			
]		1	Ì	
ا م		·	1	•
		l ·	1	
L			·	, 

## OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

#### PDF/A SCAN

### FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[Oxford, 10 Stephen Road, Headington] Site code[OXHE10S11]

Line 2: Excavators name[L Loe]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

Classification of material	present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data - Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data - Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings- Plans	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	



#### LICENCE FOR THE REMOVAL OF HUMAN REMAINS

The Secretary of State, in exercise of the power vested in him by section 25 of the Burial Act 1857 (20 & 21 Vic., cap.81), grants a licence for the removal of the remains of persons unknown from or within the place in which they are now interred at 10 Stephen Rd, Headington (site for development).

- It is a condition of this licence that the following precautions shall be observed:
  - (a) Any removal or disturbance of the remains shall be effected with due care and attention to decency;
  - (b) The ground in which the remains are interred shall be screened from the public gaze while the work is in progress:
  - (c) The remains shall, no later than 30 April 2014, be reinterred in a burial ground in which interments may legally take place or else be deposited at Oxfordshire County Museum Service. In the meantime shall be kept safely, privately and decently by Oxford Archaeology under the control of a competent member of staff.
- 3. This licence merely exempts those from the penalties, which would be incurred if the removal took place without a licence. It does not in any way alter civil rights. It does not confer the right to bury the remains in any place where such right does not already exist.
- 4. This licence expires on 31 October 2012.

Bold Rekha Gohil

on behalf of the Secretary of State for Justice

Ministry of Justice

Licence Number: 12-0049 File Number: OPR/072/87

Date: 24 April 2012

