

Site/Project Name **OXFORD: Wolfson Building Extension, Somerville College**

Site Code: OXWOLF11

Site/Project Type: Evaluation

Year(s): 2011

Accession Number: OXCMS:2011.159

Record Group	Contents	Comments	Box/File Number
	INTRODUCTION Design Brief for Archaeological Evaluation Written Scheme of Investigation	11 sheets See digital version	Box 1 File 1
A	REPORT Evaluation report OASIS data collection form	See: https://library.thehumanjourney.net/1621 3 sheets	Box 1 File 2
B	PRIMARY CONTEXT DATA Context check-list: No's 1-29 Context record sheets	1 sheet 29 sheets	Box 1 File 3
C	CATALOGUE OF AND PRIMARY DRAWINGS Plan record sheet Section record sheet Plans 10 & 20 Sections 10, 11 & 20	1 sheet 1 sheet 2 sheet 3 sheet	Box 1 File 4
D	CATALOGUE OF PHOTOS Photographic record sheet film 1 Digital photographic sheet Digital contact sheets	1 sheet 1 sheet 1 sheet	Box 1 file 5

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OA South

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[OXFORD: Wolfson Building Extension, Somerville College -- OXCMS:2011.159]

Site code[OXWOLF11]

Line 2: Excavators name[Nick Shepherd]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if
present

Index to archive	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Introduction	<input type="checkbox"/>
A: Final Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
A: Publication Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data -- Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data -- Text: General Summaries	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data -- Text: Primary Context Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data -- Text: Synthesised Context Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data -- Text: Survey Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data -- Text: Catalogue of Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data -- Text: Primary Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data -- Text: Synthesised Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data -- Text: Primary Finds Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data -- Text: Synthesised Finds Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data -- Text: Specialist Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data -- Text: Box/Bag List	<input type="checkbox"/>
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	<input type="checkbox"/>
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>
F: Documentary	<input type="checkbox"/>
F: Press and Publicity	<input type="checkbox"/>
G: Correspondence	<input type="checkbox"/>
H: Miscellaneous	<input type="checkbox"/>

OXFORD: Somerville College, Wolfson
Building Extension

BOX 1 FILE 1

Introduction

--	--	--	--	--	--

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OA South

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[OXFORD: Wolfson Building Extension, Somerville College – OXCMS:2011.159]

Site code[OXWOLF11]

Line 2: Excavators name[Nick Shepherd]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if
present

Index to archive	
Introduction	✓
A: Final Report	
A: Publication Report	
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

Pre determination

Oxford City Council Heritage and Specialist Services Team
Brief for an Archaeological Field Evaluation (Trial trenching)
Project: Somerville College, Wolfson Building Extension
Development: Extension to the Wolfson Building
Prepared by: David Radford
Brief issued: 13th April 2011

1. SUMMARY

This brief sets out the requirement for an archaeological evaluation at Somerville College, Woodstock Road, Oxford. The purpose of the evaluation is to establish the character and extent of any significant archaeological deposits that may be impacted by the proposed development, bearing in mind the potential for prehistoric and Saxon remains in this location.

2. DEFINITION

"The definition of archaeological field evaluation is a limited programme of non-intrusive and/or intrusive fieldwork which determines the presence or absence of archaeological features, structures, deposits, artefacts or ecofacts within a specified area or site on land ... or underwater. If such archaeological remains are present Field Evaluation defines their character, extent, quality and preservation, and enables an assessment of their worth in a local, regional, national or international context as appropriate." (IFA, 1999)

3. SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is grassed open space within the College Grounds and the Central Oxford Conservation Area. A survey of service trenches is available from the client. The site is located at NGR SP50910692.

4. PLANNING BACKGROUND

a. This brief relates to a pre planning application consultation to Oxford City Council.

b. Planning Policy Statement 5 (Planning for the Historic environment) Policy HE6.1 states that local planning authorities should require an applicant to provide a description of the significance of the heritage assets affected by an application and the contribution of their setting to that significance. Where an application site includes, or is considered to have the potential to include, heritage assets with archaeological interest, local planning authorities should require developers to submit an appropriate desk-based assessment and, where desk-based research is insufficient to properly assess the interest, a field evaluation.

c. Whilst a desk-based assessment will sometimes provide sufficient archaeological information to determine an application it will more often lead on to a recommendation for field evaluation from the City Council Archaeologist. Field evaluation can involve a wide range of survey and

investigative techniques, including fieldwalking, geophysical survey and trial trenching.

5. ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

a. This brief sets out the requirements for trial trenching which will complete the field evaluation to be conducted at this site. A field evaluation is considered necessary because of the potential for prehistoric and Saxon remains in this location. An extensive open area excavation at the adjacent former Radcliffe Infirmary site in 2009 by Museum of London Archaeology recorded evidence for prehistoric, Saxon, medieval, post medieval and early modern remains, including the remains of a truncated middle Neolithic enclosure, four late Neolithic-early Bronze Age ring ditches and contemporary satellite cremation burials. Evidence was also recovered for dispersed 6th century Saxon occupation, including a sunken featured building close to the Walton Street frontage. A double Late Neolithic-Early Bronze Age ring ditch was recorded 40m north east of the Wolfson Building and a Saxon pit containing unfired loom weights was recorded c70m to the north. Numerous undated features were also recorded across the site (MOLA, 2010).

b. Somerville College was founded in 1879. The site lies between Walton Street and St Giles, with the Radcliffe Infirmary to the north. Walton House, built in 1829, was its original home, but a building programme commenced in 1881 and continued into the late 20th century. The West Building, north of the Wolfson Building is a Grade II listed 19th century structure. The site lies within the central Conservation Area. The 1st Edition 1:500 map shows a tree lines track running through the proposed footprint, belonging to the gardens of Walton House.

c. *The project design should refer to the draft regional resource assessments available on the web:*

<http://www.buckscc.gov.uk/bcc/content/index.jsp?contentid=-113452798>

6. PROJECT OBJECTIVES

7. Trial trenching should aim to gather sufficient information to generate a reliable predictive model of the extent, character, date, state of preservation and depth of burial of important archaeological remains¹ within the area of study. In this case the following specific objectives have been identified:

- Establish whether prehistoric or Saxon remains are present bearing in mind the extensive Neolithic-Bronze Age ritual and funerary landscape and early Saxon settlement evidence identified at the adjacent Radcliffe Infirmary site.

8. PROCEDURE AND PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

a. Trial trenching should be undertaken in accordance with the *Standard and Guidance for archaeological field evaluations*¹ published by the Institute

¹ and associated palaeo-environmental deposits

for Archaeologists (IFA, 1999). Each project must be governed by a project design which has been agreed in writing by the City Council Archaeologist. The project design should be based on a thorough study of all relevant background information (especially assessment and evaluation reports, historic maps and data held or referenced in the HER). It should conform to the guidelines set out in paragraph 3.2.17 of the IFA guidelines and should in particular specify:

- The project's objectives.
- The location of trial trenches and any constraints (to be shown on a plan).
- Procedures for project management (to follow the principles set out in Management of Archaeological Projects (MAP) (English Heritage, 1991)).
- The expertise of the project team. The project manager should be a named Member of the Institute for Archaeologists (MIFA) who is adequately qualified to manage the required archaeological work in line with the guidance set out in the IFA code of conduct. The composition and experience of the project team should be described. Specialists should be identified where required (e.g. for finds and environmental work). CVs should be supplied outlining the relevant qualifications and experience of key personnel - where relevant this should include specific reference to knowledge of particular periods and local/ regional traditions. *Note: Specialists should be able to demonstrate a relevant qualification and track record of at least 3 years continuous relevant work (or equivalent) and appropriate publication. In appropriate circumstances, less experienced staff may conduct work under the supervision of well established and widely recognised specialists.*
- Reporting and archiving arrangements.
- An outline of the proposed timetable and staff resources - this must be non-binding and presented "for information only"
- Contingency arrangements.

9. FIELDWORK METHODOLOGY

a. Surveying

- i. Accurate and precise surveying is essential. At the commencement of each fieldwork project a site grid should be carefully laid out by an experienced surveyor and related to the national grid (the accuracy of any previously surveyed grids should also be checked). All subsequent fieldwork should use the site grid. The grid should be established using semi-permanent survey stations or by relating the survey to equivalent fixed points. Trial trench locations should be plotted to within $\pm 1\text{m}$ relative to the national grid.

Within an excavation or survey area internal grid points should be located to within an error of no more than ± 0.1 m relative to the site grid. On most sites the use of an EDM or theodolite will be essential to set out site grids. All levels should be recorded relative to an Ordnance Survey datum level.

b. Machine stripping

- i. Trial trenches are normally a minimum of c 1.6m wide, although wider trenches or "boxes" are sometimes more appropriate. Machinery may be used to remove topsoil and overburden to reveal the significant archaeological deposits. Such excavation should be undertaken in level spits using an appropriate machine using a toothless bucket and working under archaeological supervision. **Archaeological deposits should not be removed by machine except where such a procedure has been sanctioned by the City Council Archaeologist** Particular care should be taken when controlling machining in situations where vertical stratigraphy is to be expected or where it is considered that significant archaeological deposits may be vulnerable to damage - in such circumstances machining should be controlled by experienced senior staff. Potentially significant deposits should not be removed by machine before their character is reasonably understood.
- ii. A 10m of trial trench should be excavated within the footprint of the proposed development.

c. Cleaning and Recording in plan-form

- i. Each trench should be cleaned by hand sufficiently to allow the identification and planning of archaeological features and scanned with a metal-detector². Where archaeological features appear to be absent sufficient work should be done to demonstrate this. Each trench should be planned at an appropriate scale (normally 1:20 where complex deposits are present or 1:50 or 1:100 in areas of lesser complexity). Spot levels should be taken as appropriate.

d. Sampling

- i. Sufficient features should be sampled by hand excavation to achieve the project objectives. For discrete features such as pits and postholes this will normally involve half-sectioning a representative sample. Linear features should be sectioned. Individual complex features such as kilns or burials should be cleaned and recorded but, subject to the agreement of the City Council Archaeologist, it will normally be preferable to leave them in-situ (if necessary with specific protection against disturbance during backfilling). If deeply stratified deposits are encountered it may be appropriate to

² Spoil heaps should also be scanned. Experienced metal-detectorists should be used but only on the understanding that they work to the direction of the archaeological contractor and that the ownership of all finds remains with the landowner.

excavate sample boxes and/or examine the stratigraphy revealed in the section of excavated cut features.

Context recording

- ii. Each context should be recorded on pro-forma records which should include the following minimum details: character; contextual relationships; detailed description (dimensions and shape; soil components, colour, texture and consistency); associated finds; interpretation and phasing as well as cross-references to the drawn, photographic and finds registers. Normally each context should be recorded on an individual record. Sections should be drawn through all significant cut features and levelled to ordnance datum. Trench sides should also be drawn in section where they contain significant information.
- iii. A black and white photographic record should be maintained including photos of all significant features and overall photos of each area or trench. Selected colour transparencies should also be taken.

e. Artefact and Ecofact collection and recording

- i. All stratified finds should be collected by context or, where appropriate, individually recorded in 3 dimensions. Unstratified finds should only be collected where they contribute significantly to the project objectives or are of particular intrinsic interest. Provision should be made for on-site conservation advice for the lifting and treatment of fragile objects. Finds of "treasure" must be reported to the Coroner in accordance with the Treasure Act procedures.
- ii. Collection policies for structural remains and industrial residues have been set out by the Society of Museum Archaeologists (SMA, 1993). The presence of such materials within a context should always be recorded and, where they are considered to be of importance, the evaluation strategy should aim to quantify their occurrence, even where comprehensive retention is not considered appropriate.
- iii. Contractors should, where relevant, follow the guidelines for handling Post-Roman Ceramics produced by the Medieval Pottery Research Group (Slowikowski, Nenck & Pearce, 2001). This specifies that all ceramic finds must be collected, washed, marked, bagged, boxed and assessed with regard to the project aims and objectives. Where a sampling procedure is employed this should be undertaken in consultation with a ceramic specialist.
- iv. Contractors should refer to *Environmental Archaeology :A guide to the theory and practice of methods from sampling and recovery to post excavation* (English Heritage, 2002) as a guide to best practice in this field.

- v. Waterlogged wood should be treated accordance with English Heritage guidelines (English Heritage, 1996) and left in-situ where this is practical and its long-term preservation is achievable.
- vi. A contingency for one scientific date should be allowed.
- vii. In the event of discovery of any human remains the archaeological contractor should inform the client, the City Council Archaeologist, the Coroner, the Police and the Ministry of Justice via the submission of an application form for the 'Archaeological/Accidental/Site Investigation Licence regarding the disturbance of human remains'. The Human remains should be left in-situ, covered and protected. Where a licence for their excavation is issued by the Ministry of Justice, the requirements of that licence should be followed. Where the Ministry of Justice is unable to issue a licence and it is reasonably determined that the remains are likely to be subject to further unavoidable disturbance or deterioration the archaeological contractor should inform the client and Ministry of Justice of their intention to excavate the remains with due decency and in accordance with the general conditions formerly attached to licences issued for excavation of human remains under similar circumstances. The only exception is where excavations are being undertaken in a churchyard under a faculty issued by the Chancellor of Oxford Diocese (in such cases the faculty requirements should be followed). In certain situations special arrangements may be required for the recovery of samples for DNA analysis. Human remains should be treated in accordance with IFA guidelines (IFA, 2004) and the advice set out in *Guidance for best practice for treatment of human remains excavated from Christian burial grounds in England* (English Heritage, 2005).
- viii. An initial assessment of the site's palaeo-environmental potential should be made by the project manager in consultation with the City Council Archaeologist. Where a site may have significant potential it may be necessary to obtain specialist advice and undertake sampling in accordance with a programme agreed with English Heritage's Adviser in Archaeological Science. A contingency should be allowed for this.

Metal Detecting

- ix. Whenever private individuals or subcontractors are engaged to undertake metal detecting as part of an archaeological fieldwork project they should be asked to sign a formal agreement in which the right to claim Treasure is waived. Please refer to the revised Treasure Act Code of Practice (2003, paragraph 81). A suggested clause is:-

"In the process of working on the archaeological/ excavation at [location of site] between the dates of [insert dates], [name of person contributing to the project] has been working under the direction or permission of [name of archaeological organisation or responsible individual archaeologist] and hereby waives all rights to rewards for objects discovered that could be otherwise payable under the Treasure Act 1996."

- x. Contracts should ensure that investigations are covered by a written agreement with the owner & occupier regarding rewards which may be payable.

10. POST-EXCAVATION METHODOLOGY

- a. A report will be required for every field evaluation and should always contain the following elements:
 - A non-technical summary
 - The objectives of the project
 - The circumstances and date at which it was undertaken
 - The identity of the organisation and individuals carrying out the work (in particular the names of the project director, site supervisor and any specialists)
 - A summary written account of the evaluation strategy and the results of the project with appropriate supporting illustrations.
 - A site location plan at 1:2500 or 1:10000 as appropriate.
 - A gazetteer, referenced summary, and location plan (at 1:2500 or 1:10 000) of all previously known and newly discovered sites within or adjacent to the evaluation site.
 - A 1:2500 or 1:10,000 scale plan indicating areas surveyed by each method; present landuse; geology and topography.
 - A summary of physical and health and safety constraints
 - A conclusion, including a confidence rating
 - An index to and the proposed location of the archive
 - References
 - Reports on evaluations which identified significant archaeological remains should also include:
 - Detailed description and plans (at appropriate scales) of any surveys or trial trenches which provided significant archaeological information.
 - Finds quantification and assessment

- Environmental archaeology assessment
- A summary of the extent, depth and state of preservation of archaeological deposits across the site. For large urban sites, a "deposit model" (Ove Arup, 1991) will usually be necessary.
- Where more than one technique has been used, the report should integrate the results of the trenching with the previous survey work.

b. In addition:

- All plans should be clearly related to the national grid.
- All levels should be quoted relative to ordnance datum.
- *If a report includes assessments of archaeological importance or recommendations for further work these will be noted but will not be binding on the City Council.*

c. Submission of the report

- i. Two copies of the final report should be supplied to the City Council Archaeologist along with a digital copy in PDF format (to allow reports to be made available on the web). A copy of any specialist papers relating to the project should also be supplied.
- ii. Reports submitted in support of planning applications are automatically considered to be public documents and will be made available for public consultation through the Historic Environment Record. Other reports will also be treated as a public document unless specifically identified as being confidential. Where a report is so identified then confidentiality should apply for an agreed period not normally exceeding 12 months from its submission to the City Council Archaeologist.

11. PUBLICATION

A summary report (including illustrations where appropriate) should be sent to the editors of *South Midlands Archaeology* not later than three months after the end of the calendar year in which the work is undertaken. A publication grant should be provided to the publishers in accordance with their requirements.

OASIS

Once the final report has been accepted by the City Council Archaeologist, contractors taking part in the OASIS scheme should complete an OASIS fieldwork summary form and submit it to the Archaeology Data Service. Contributors not yet formally participating are also encouraged to submit data. The form and guidance for its completion can be found at <http://ads.ahds.ac.uk/project/oasis/first.html>.

12. ARCHIVING

- a. The archaeological contractor should endeavour to ensure that the site archive (including any artefacts recovered) are deposited in an acceptable condition with museum which is registered with the Museums, Libraries and Archives Council and approved for the storage of archaeological archives. The preferred archive for Oxford is the County Museum. The procedures and requirements which must be followed for the deposit of archaeological archives should be obtained from the County Museum. A storage grant should be provided to the museum in accordance with their requirements.
- b. The archaeological contractor should arrange for the archive to be copied on microfiche to the standard required by the National Monuments Record and one copy should be deposited with the NMR.

13. MONITORING

- a. Monitoring is carried out by the City Council Archaeologist (CCA), normally acting on behalf of the local planning authority, to ensure that projects are being carried out in accordance with the brief and approved project design, to enable the need for modifications to the project to be independently considered and validated and to control and validate the use of available contingencies.
- b. A programme of monitoring should be agreed with the CCA prior to the commencement of fieldwork. The archaeological contractor should keep the CCA regularly informed of the project's progress and facilitate the monitoring of the project at each stage, including post-excavation. In particular, there should be no substantial modification of the approved brief and project design without the prior consent of the CCA and no fieldwork should be carried out without the service's knowledge and approval - the service should always be afforded the opportunity to observe archaeological excavations.
- c. All monitoring visits will be documented by the City Council Archaeologist (CCA) and the archaeological contractor will be informed of any perceived deficiencies.
- d. The CCA should be informed at the earliest opportunity of any unexpected discoveries, especially where there may be a need to vary the project design. The archaeological contractor should carry out such reasonable contingency works as requested by the CCA within the resources defined in the project design.

14. HEALTH AND SAFETY AND OTHER CONSTRAINTS

- a. **Health and Safety must take priority over archaeological requirements.** It is essential that all projects are carried out in accordance with safe working practices and under a defined Health and Safety Policy. **Risk Assessments must be carried out for every field project.** If the risk

assessment indicates it is necessary, the requirements of the brief can be varied in the interests of health and safety.

b. It is the responsibility of the archaeological contractor and their client to ensure that other constraints (e.g. SSSI's or protected trees) are identified and properly safeguarded.

c. Approval for proposed changes to the project design must be obtained from the City Council Archaeologist.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Communities and Local Government, 2010 *Planning Policy Statement 5: Planning and the Historic Environment*
- English Heritage, 1991 *Management of Archaeological Projects.*
- English Heritage, 1996. *Waterlogged wood. Guidelines on the Recording, Sampling, Conservation and Curation of Waterlogged Wood.*
- English Heritage, 2005 *Guidance for best practice for treatment of human remains excavated from Christian burial grounds in England, English Heritage and Church of England*
- English Heritage, 2002, *Environmental Archaeology :A guide to the theory and practice of methods from sampling and recovery to post excavation*
- IFA, 1999 *Standard and Guidance for archaeological field evaluation.*
- IFA, 2004 *Guidelines to the Standards for Recording Human Remains*
- MOLA 2010 *Oxford Radcliffe, Observatory Quarter. Radcliffe Infirmary Site Oxford Draft Post Excavation Assessment*
- Slowikowski, A, Nenck, B, & Pearce, J 2001 *Minimum Standards for the Processing, Recording, Analysis and Publication of Post Roman Ceramics, Medieval Pottery Research Group, Occasional Paper No2*
- SMA, 1993. *Selection, Retention and Dispersal of Archaeological Collections.*

CONTACTS

Council For British Archaeology South Midlands Group (South Midlands Archaeology)

Mr Barry Horne, Hon.Editor, "Beaumont", Church End, Edlesborough, Dunstable, Beds, LU6 2EP.

English Heritage Regional Adviser in Archaeological Science (South East Region)

Dr Dominique de Moulins, Institute of Archaeology, University College London, 31-34 Gordon Square, London WC1H 0PY. Tel: 020 76791539; Fax: 020 73832572; e-mail:d.moulins@ucl.ac.uk

OXFORD: WATSON BUILDING EXTENSION
EMERVUE COLLEGE OXFORD

Box 1 File 1

A: REPORT

OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OA South

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[OXFORD: Wolfson Building Extension, Somerville College – OXCMS:2011.159]

Site code[OXWOLF11]

Line 2: Excavators name[Nick Shepherd]

Line 3:

Classification of material

**Tick if
present**

Index to archive	
Introduction	
A: Final Report	✓
A: Publication Report	
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

OASIS DATA COLLECTION FORM: England

[List of Projects](#) | [Manage Projects](#) | [Search Projects](#) | [New project](#) | [Change your details](#) | [HER coverage](#) | [Change country](#) | [Log out](#)

Printable version

OASIS ID: oxfordar1-173356

Project details

Project name	Wolfson Building Extension, Somerville College, Oxford
Short description of the project	On the 19th and 20th of September 2011 Oxford Archaeology (OA) carried out an Archaeological Evaluation on the site of a proposed extension to the Wolfson Building, Somerville College, Oxford (Centred at SP 5091 0692). The evaluation revealed a sequence of late 18th/19th century worked soils directly overlying the prevailing natural. These deposits had been severely truncated by a number of large pits, probably dug for gravel extraction, which had been backfilled with redeposited material, domestic refuse and construction debris dating to the 19th century. These pits may be associated with either the construction of the original manor house in 1829 or possibly the late 19th century college building programme. No evidence for the continuation of the archaeology observed in the adjacent Radcliffe Infirmary site was encountered.
Project dates	Start: 19-09-2011 End: 20-09-2011
Previous/future work	No / Not known
Type of project	Field evaluation
Current Land use	Other 5 - Garden
Monument type	GRAVEL EXTRACTION PIT Post Medieval
Significant Finds	POTTERY Post Medieval
Significant Finds	CLAY TOBACCO PIPES Post Medieval
Significant Finds	BRICK Post Medieval
Significant Finds	GLASS Post Medieval
Methods & techniques	""Sample Trenches""
Development type	Large/ medium scale extensions to existing structures (e.g. church, school, hospitals, law courts, etc.)
Prompt	Direction from Local Planning Authority - PPS
Position in the planning process	Pre-application

Project location

Country	England
---------	---------

Site location OXFORDSHIRE OXFORD OXFORD Wolfson Building Extension, Somerville College, Oxford

Study area 200.00 Square metres

Site coordinates SP 5091 0692 51.7581964856 -1.26231006048 51 45 29 N 001 15 44 W Point

Project creators

Name of Organisation Oxford Archaeology

Project brief originator Oxford City Council

Project design originator Oxford Archaeology

Project director/manager N. Shepherd

Project supervisor M Sims

Type of sponsor/funding body Consultant

Name of sponsor/funding body AKS Ward Construction Consultants

Project archives

Physical Archive Exists? No

Digital Archive recipient Oxford Archaeology

Digital Archive ID OXWOLF 11

Digital Contents "other"

Digital Media available "Images raster / digital photography", "Spreadsheets", "Text"

Paper Archive recipient Oxfordshire County Museum Service

Paper Archive ID OXCMS:2011.159

Paper Contents "other"

Paper Media available "Context sheet", "Photograph", "Plan", "Report", "Section", "Unpublished Text"

Project bibliography 1

Publication type Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)

Title Wolfson Building Extension, Somerville College, Oxford

Author(s)/Editor(s) Sims, M.

Date 2011

Issuer or publisher Oxford Archaeology

Place of issue or publication Oxford, England

Description	Client Report
URL	https://library.thehumanjourney.net/1621
Entered by	Victoria Skipper (victoria.skipper@oxfordarch.ac.uk)
Entered on	5 March 2014

OASIS:

Please e-mail English Heritage for OASIS help and advice

© ADS 1996-2012 Created by Jo Gilham and Jen Mitcham, email Last modified Wednesday 9 May 2012

Cite only: <http://www.oasis.ac.uk/form/print.cfm> for this page

OXFORD: Somerville College, Wotton
Building Extension

BOX 1 FILE 3

B: Primary Context Data

--	--	--	--	--	--

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OA South

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[OXFORD: Wolfson Building Extension, Somerville College – OXCMS:2011.159]

Site code[OXWOLF11]

Line 2: Excavators name[Nick Shepherd]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if
present

Index to archive	
Introduction	
A: Final Report	
A: Publication Report	
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	✓
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	






CONTEXT CHECKLIST


SITE CODE *OXWOLF11*



SITE NAME *Wolfson Extension, De Sonerville College*



Context number	Type	Excavated within segments	Relationships	Drawn		Matrix	Comments	Recorder initials
				Section	Plan			
1	Layer	Trench 1	Above 2:7	10:11	10		Topsoil and turf	
2	Fill		Fo 6	10:11	10		Tipline of backfill	
3	Fill		Fo 6	10:11	10		" " "	
4	Fill		Fo 6	10:11	10		" " "	
5	Fill		Fo 6	10:11	10		" " "	
6	CST		Fb 2:3:4:5	10:11	10		Gravel extraction P.T	
7	Fill		Fo 15	10:11	10		Tipline of backfill	
8	Fill		Fo 15	10:11	10		" " "	
9	Fill		Fo 15	10:11	10		" " "	
10	Fill		Fo 15	10:11	10		" " "	
11	Fill		Fo 15	10:11	10		" " "	
12	Fill		Fo 15	10:11	10		" " "	
13	Fill		Fo 15	10:11	10		" " "	
14	Fill		Fo 15	10:11	10		" " "	
15	CST		Fb 7-14	10:11	10		Gravel Extraction CST	
16	Layer		Above 17	10:11	10		" Sopra" natural worked soil	
17	Layer		" 18	11	10		Worked soil	
18	Layer		" 19	11	10		" " "	
19	Layer		Below 18	11	10		" " "	
20	Layer	Trench 2	Above 26	20	20		Topsoil + turf	
21	Layer		Above 22	20	20		Worked soil	
22	Layer		" 23	20	20		Worked soil	
23	Layer		" 24	20	20		Worked soil	
24	Layer		Below 23	20	20		" Sopra" natural	
25	CA		CA 21	20	20		Gravel P.T	
26	Fill		Fo 25	20	20		Tipline of backfill	
27	Fill		Fo 25	20	20		" " "	
28	Fill		Fo 25	20	20		" " "	
29	Fill		Fo 25	20	20		" " "	

		<h1 style="text-align: center;">CONTEXT RECORD</h1>		Context No. <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">1</div>	
SITE <i>OXWOLF II</i>		ADDITIONAL SHEETS:		TYPE <i>Land</i>	
Trench <i>1</i>		Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure		Check Lists:	
Site sub-div		Overlain by:		DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions	
Structure No.		Abutted by:			
Plan No. <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.5em;">10</div>		Cut by:			
		Filled by:			
Section No. <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.5em;">10</div>		Same as:		CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos. 7. other comments	
		Part of:			
Co-Ordinates		Consists of:			
		Overlies: <i>718:2:12</i>			
Level		Butts:		MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments	
Slide No.		Cuts:			
Neg No.		Fill of:			
Matrix location		Relationships uncertain			
Description (See check lists):				STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
1) <i>Friable</i> 2) <i>Dark grey brown</i> 3) <i>Silty loam</i> 4) <i>occ gravels</i> 5) <i>Up to 0.25m deep</i> 6) <i>Throughout trench</i>				<div style="text-align: center;"> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-bottom: 5px;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> </div> <div style="text-align: center; margin-bottom: 5px;"> this context is 1 </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; text-align: center;">2</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; text-align: center;">12</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> </div> </div>	
Interpretation/Discussion: <i>Present day topsoil + turf</i>					
Finds (tick): None <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pot <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Flint <input type="checkbox"/> Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Burnt stone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/>					
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds				Recorder <i>ML</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Samples				Date	
<input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials				Initials	

 CONTEXT RECORD		Context No. 2
SITE OK WOLEY	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE P.V.
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 1	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Structure No.	Abutted by:	
Plan No. 10	Cut by:	CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments
	Filled by:	
Section No. 10	Same as:	MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
	Part of:	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	
	Overlies: 3	
Level	Butts:	
Slide No.	Cuts:	
Neg No.	Fill of: 6	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	
Description (See check lists): 1) Friable 2) Yellowish brown 3) clay silt 4) Occ gravel, charcoal flecking 5) up to 0.15m in depth		STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX 
Interpretation/Discussion: Uppermost layer of fill within Pit 6		
Finds (tick): None <input type="checkbox"/> Pot <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Flint <input type="checkbox"/> Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Burnt stone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds		Recorder [Signature]
<input type="checkbox"/> Samples		Date
<input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials		Initials



 CONTEXT RECORD		Context No. 3
SITE OXWOLF II	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Fill
Trench 1	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 2	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Structure No.	Abutted by:	
Plan No. 10	Cut by:	
	Filled by:	
Section No. 10	Same as:	CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments
	Part of:	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	
	Overlies: 4	
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Slide No.	Cuts:	
Neg No.	Fill of: 6	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	
Description (See check lists): 1) Friable 2) Orange brown 3) sandy silt clay 4) much gravel 5) 0.15m deep 6) Layer of fill within [6]		STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>this context is 3</p> </div>
Interpretation/Discussion: Tipline of backfill within P.T [6]		
Finds (tick): None <input type="checkbox"/> Pot <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Flint <input type="checkbox"/> Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Burnt stone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds		Recorder [Signature]
<input type="checkbox"/> Samples		Date
<input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials		Initials

		CONTEXT RECORD		Context No. 4
SITE OXWOLF II		ADDITIONAL SHEETS:		TYPE Fill Layer
Trench 1	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure		Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 3		DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions	
Structure No.	Abutted by:			
Plan No. 10	Cut by:			
	Filled by:			
Section No. 10	Same as:		CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments	
	Part of:			
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:			
	Overlies:			
Level	Butts:		MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments	
Slide No.	Cuts:			
Neg No.	Fill of: 5			
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain			
Description (See check lists):			STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX <div style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">  </div>	
1) Friable				
2) Grey brown				
3) silt clay silt				
4) Gravel, charcoal flecking				
5) Up to 0.35m in depth				
6) Layer of backfill within [6]				
Interpretation/Discussion: Layer of backfill within pit [6]				
Redeposited material				
Finds (tick): None <input type="checkbox"/> Pot <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Flint <input type="checkbox"/> Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Burnt stone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/>				
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds				Recorder [Signature]
<input type="checkbox"/> Samples				Date
<input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials				Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

5

SITE *OX 20LP11*

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE *Fill*

Trench

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

4

Structure No.

Abuted by:

Plan No.

10

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

10

Same as:

Part of:

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of:

6

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments


MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Description (See check lists):

- 1) Friable
- 2) Dark grey brown
- 3) s clay sH
- 4) Much charcoal flecking, stone frags
- 5) Depth 70-3m
- 6) Layer of fill within [6]

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion:

Layer of backfill within Pit 6
Re-deposited material
Not primary material.



Finds (tick): None ☐ Pot ☐ Bone ☐ Flint ☐ Stone ☐ Burnt stone ☐ Glass ☐
Metal ☐ CBM ☐ Wood ☐ Leather ☐

☐ Small FindsRecorder *M*☐ Samples

Date

☐ Building Materials

Initials

		<h1 style="text-align: center;">CONTEXT RECORD</h1>		Context No. <div style="font-size: 2em;">6</div>	
SITE <i>OXWOLF II</i>		ADDITIONAL SHEETS:		TYPE <i>PA</i>	
Trench <i>1</i>		Context Type: Deposit / <u>Cut</u> / Structure		Check Lists:	
Site sub-div.		Overlain by: <i>1</i>		DEPOSIT: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions 	
Structure No.		Abutted by:			
Plan No. <div style="font-size: 1.5em;">10</div>		Cut by:			
Section No. <div style="font-size: 1.5em;">10</div>		Filled by: <i>2: 3: 4: 5</i>		CUT: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments 	
Co-Ordinates		Same as:			
Level		Part of:			
Slide No.		Consists of:		MASONRY: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments 	
Neg No.		Overlies:			
Matrix location		Butts:			
		Cuts: <i>7: 8: 9: 11: 12: 15: 16</i>			
		Fill of:			
		Relationships uncertain			
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 55%;"> Description (See check lists): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Roughly rectangular in plan 2) Vertical sides, base not exposed 3) Approx 1.5m x 1.5m exposed depth > 1m 4)  </div> <div style="width: 40%;"> STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX <div style="text-align: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; margin: 0 auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">5</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; margin: 0 auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">6</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; margin: 0 auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">9</div> </div> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 5px;">this context is 6</p> </div> </div>					
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 55%;"> Interpretation/Discussion: <p><i>Post-medieval pit cut from high level</i></p> <p><i>Probable gravel extraction pit redsed for ribbon</i></p> </div> <div style="width: 40%;"> 5) <i>N.L</i> 6) Filled by <i>(3)(3)(4)(5)</i> </div> </div>					
Finds (tick): None <input type="checkbox"/> Pot <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Flint <input type="checkbox"/> Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Burnt stone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/>					
<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin-right: 5px;"></div> Small Finds </div>				Recorder <i>M</i>	
<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin-right: 5px;"></div> Samples </div>				Date	
<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 20px; height: 20px; margin-right: 5px;"></div> Building Materials </div>				Initials	



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

7

SITE OXWOLF II

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE F.11

Trench

1

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

1

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

10

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

10

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

8

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of:

115

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1) F.11b


2) Light grey brown

3) Clay silt loam

4) Many crushed stone fragments

5) Up to 0.35m deep

6) Uppermost fill of 115



Interpretation/Discussion:

Uppermost layer of backfill within

P.4 115

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass []
Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

☐ Small Finds

Recorder



☐ Samples


Date



☐ Building Materials



Initials

oxfordarchaeology		CONTEXT RECORD		Context No. 8	
SITE OXWOLF II		ADDITIONAL SHEETS:		TYPE F.II	
Trench 1	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure			Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 7			DEPOSIT:	
Structure No.	Abutted by:			1. compaction	
Plan No. 10	Cut by:			2. colour	
	Filled by:			3. composition	
Section No. 10	Same as:			4. inclusion	
	Part of:			5. thickness	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:			6. extent	
	Overlies: 9			7. comments	
Level	Butts:			8. method & conditions	
Slide No.	Cuts:			CUT:	
Neg No.	Fill of: 15			1. shape in plan	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain			2. base/sides/top profile	
				3. dimension and depth	
				4. sketch	
				5. truncation	
				6. fill nos	
				7. other comments	
				MASONRY:	
				1. materials	
				2. size of bricks etc	
				3. finish of stones	
				4. coursing/bond	
				5. form 6. faces	
				7. bond	
				8. dimensions as found	
				9. other comments	
Description (See check lists):				STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
1) Friable				[] [7] [] []	
2) Orange yellow brown				this context is [8]	
3) sandy grit				[] [] [9] []	
4) Much small gravel					
5) Up to 0.08m in depth					
6) T. line within [12]					
Interpretation/Discussion: Tip line of redeposited gravel within PA of [15]					
Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []					
△ Small Finds				Recorder M	
◇ Samples				Date	
⬠ Building Materials				Initials	

 CONTEXT RECORD		Context No. 9
SITE OXWOLF II		ADDITIONAL SHEETS:
Trench 1	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 118	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Structure No.	Abutted by:	
Plan No. 10	Cut by: [6]	
	Filled by:	
Section No. 10	Same as:	CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments
	Part of:	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	
	Overlies: 10	
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Slide No.	Cuts:	
Neg No.	Fill of: 15	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	
Description (See check lists):		STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX
1) Friable 2) Yellowish tinged grey brn 3) Clay silt 4) Occ gravels, charcoal flecking 5) Up to 0.65m in depth 6) Falls N end of pit [15]		
Interpretation/Discussion: Tipline of redeposited material rather than P.4 [16]		
Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []		
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds		Recorder [Signature]
<input type="checkbox"/> Samples		Date
<input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials		Initials

 CONTEXT RECORD		Context No. 10
SITE OXWOLF 11		ADDITIONAL SHEETS:
Trench 1		Context Type: Deposit / <u>Cut</u> / Structure
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 9	Check Lists: DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 8. method & conditions
Structure No.	Abutted by:	
Plan No. 10	Cut by:	
	Filled by:	
Section No. 10	Same as:	CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments
	Part of:	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	
	Overlies: 11	MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Level	Butts:	
Slide No.	Cuts:	
Neg No.	Fill of: 15	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	
Description (See check lists): 1) Fillable 2) Reddish grey brown 3) Clay s.s. 4) some gravel 5) Up to 0.55m in depth 6) tipline in 115		STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX <div style="text-align: center;"> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-bottom: 5px;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; text-align: center;">8</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; text-align: center;">9</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: center; margin-bottom: 5px;"> <div style="width: 100px; border-bottom: 1px solid black; text-align: center;">this context is</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; text-align: center;">10</div> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; text-align: center;">11</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> </div> </div>
Interpretation/Discussion: Tipline of redeposited material within P.A 115		
Finds (tick): None <input type="checkbox"/> Pot <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Flint <input type="checkbox"/> Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Burnt stone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds		Recorder [Signature]
<input type="checkbox"/> Samples		Date
<input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials		Initials

 CONTEXT RECORD		Context No. 11
SITE OXWOLF 11	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE F:11
Trench 1	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 10	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Structure No.	Abutted by:	
Plan No. 10	Cut by: 6	
	Filled by:	
Section No. 10	Same as:	CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments
	Part of:	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
	Overlies: 12	
Level	Butts:	
Slide No.	Cuts:	
Neg No.	Fill of:	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	
Description (See check lists):		STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX
1) Friable 2) Grey brown 3) Clay silt 4) Much charcoal flecks 5) Up to 0.35m in depth 6) Band of fill with <u>11b</u>		
Interpretation/Discussion: Layer / trace of redeposited material within P.4 <u>11b</u>		
Finds (tick): None <input type="checkbox"/> Pot <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Flint <input type="checkbox"/> Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Burnt stone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds		Recorder [Signature]
<input type="checkbox"/> Samples		Date
<input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials		Initials

 CONTEXT RECORD		Context No. 12
SITE OXWOLF 11		TYPE F.11
Trench 1	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 11	Check Lists: DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Structure No.	Abutted by:	
Plan No. 10	Cut by:	
	Filled by:	
Section No. 10	Same as:	CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments
	Part of:	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	
	Overlies: 12 13	
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Slide No.	Cuts:	
Neg No.	Fill of: 15	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	
Description (See check lists):		STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX
1) Friable 2) Dark reddish brown 3) clay silt 4) Occ gravels, much charcoal flecking 5) Up to 0.6m in depth 6) Tip line within 15		
Interpretation/Discussion: Tip line of redeposited material within P.4 15		
Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []		
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds		Recorder [Signature]
<input type="checkbox"/> Samples		Date
<input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials		Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

13

SITE OXWOLF II

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE Fill

Trench

1

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

12

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

10

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

10

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

14

Level

Butts:

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of:

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1) Friable


2) Greeny brown

3) Clay with

4) Much charcoal flecking

5) Up to 0.5m in depth

6) Tipline with pt 15



Interpretation/Discussion:

Tipline of redeposited material
within backfill of pt 15

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass []
Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

☐ Small Finds



Recorder

☐ Samples

Date

☐ Building Materials

Initials

		CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 14
SITE OX20LE11		ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Fill
Trench 1	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure		Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 13	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions	
Structure No.	Abutted by:		
Plan No. 10	Cut by:		
	Filled by:		
Section No. 10	Same as:	CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments	
	Part of:		
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:		
	Overlies: 16		
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments	
Slide No.	Cuts:		
Neg No.	Fill of: 15		
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain		
Description (See check lists):		STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX 	
1) Friable / sticky / Tenacious 2) Dark grey brown 3) clay silt 4) Much charcoal flecking 5) Depth > 0.25m 6) Tipline 2.9m [15]			
Interpretation/Discussion:		Tipline of redeposited material within backfill of PA [15]	
Finds (tick):			
None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []			
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds		Recorder <i>an</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Samples		Date	
<input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials		Initials	



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

15

SITE OXWOLF 11

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE P.4

Trench 1

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / ~~Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: 1

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

10

Cut by: 6

Filled by: 7:8:9:10:11:12:13:14

Section No.

10

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

Slide No.

Cuts: 16

Neg No.

Fill of:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments



Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

- 1) Approx Rectangular in plan
- 2) Vertical sides, base not exposed
- 3) width > 1.5m depth > 2.1m

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



- 5) Northern edge cut by 16
- 6) Filled by 7:8:9:10:11:12:13:14

Interpretation/Discussion:

Post-med 16 (19th pit)
Probably dug for gravel extraction
possibly associated with old narrow house

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass []
Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

☐ Small FindsRecorder *hr*☐ Samples

Date

☐ Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

16

SITE OX20211

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE Layer

Trench

1

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

10, 11, 13

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

10

Cut by:

6 15

Filled by:

Section No.

10

Same as:

Part of:

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of:

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Same as 24

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments


MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- 1) Friable
- 2) Dark reddish brown
- 3) clay silt
- 4) much gravel
- 5) Depth ~ 0.15m
- 6) N end of trench only



Interpretation/Discussion:

Supra-national
Probable alluvium

Finds (tick): None ☒ Pot ☐ Bone ☐ Flint ☐ Stone ☐ Burnt stone ☐ Glass ☐
Metal ☐ CBM ☐ Wood ☐ Leather ☐



Small Finds

Recorder



Samples

Date



Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

17

SITE OXWOLF 1

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE Layer

Trench ~~1~~ 1

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: ~~1~~ 1

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No. ~~10~~ 10Cut by: ~~10~~ 6:15

Filled by:

Section No. ~~11~~ 11

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: ~~18~~ 18

Level

Butts:

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of:

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. for 6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1) Friable


2) Reddish grey brown

3) Clay silt

4) stone/mentan flints, charcoal flecking

5) Up to 0.2m depth

6) Throughout trench



Interpretation/Discussion:

Post-med worked soil

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass []
Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather [] clay pipe []

☐ Small FindsRecorder *my*☐ Samples

Date

☐ Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

18

SITE OXWOLF II

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE Lager

Trench

1

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: 17

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

10

Cut by: 6

Filled by:

Section No.

11

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: 19

Level

Butts:

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1) Friable

2) Mid brown

3) Clay s.t.


4) Occ stone flecks/frags

5) Up to 0.2m in depth

6) Throughout trench



this context is 18



Interpretation/Discussion:

Post-med worked soil

Finds (tick): None ☒ Pot ☐ Bone ☐ Flint ☐ Stone ☐ Burnt stone ☐ Glass ☐
Metal ☐ CBM ☐ Wood ☐ Leather ☐

☐ Small Finds☐ Samples☐ Building Materials

Recorder

Date

Initials

CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

19

SITE OXWOLF II

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE Layer

Trench

1

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

18

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

10

Cut by:

6

Filled by:

Section No.

4

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

16

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Level

Butts:

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of:

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain.

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1) Facable


2) Mid horizon

3) Sandy clay silt

4) charcoal flecking

5) Up to 0.25m in depth

6) Throughcast sites



Interpretation/Discussion:

Post-med Worked soil

Finds (tick): None ☐ Pot ☒ Bone ☐ Flint ☐ Stone ☐ Burnt stone ☐ Glass ☐
Metal ☐ CBM ☐ Wood ☐ Leather ☐

△ Small Finds

Recorder

◇ Samples

Date

□ Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

20

SITE OXWOLF1)

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE Layer

Trench

2

Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

20

Cut by:

Filled by:

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Section No.

20

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: 21: 25: 26

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of:

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1) Furrable


2) Dark grey-brown

3) Silt loam

4) Occ grits + gravel

5) Depth up to 0.25m

6) Throughout trench



Interpretation/Discussion:

Modern landscaping layer of topsoil and turf.

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

△ Small Finds

Recorder

◇ Samples

Date

△ Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

21

SITE OXWOLF11

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE Layer

Trench 2

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: 20

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No. 20

Cut by: 25

Filled by:

Section No. 20

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: 22

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- 1) Friable
- 2) Reddish grey brown
- 3) Clay silt
- 4) stone/mortar flecks, charcoal flecking
- 5) Up to 0.2m depth
- 6) Throughout trench



Interpretation/Discussion:

Post-med worked soil

Finds (tick): None ☐ Pot ☐ Bone ☐ Flint ☒ Stone ☐ Burnt stone ☐ Glass ☐
Metal ☐ CBM ☐ Wood ☐ Leather ☐ clay pipe L

☐ Small Finds



Recorder MY

☐ Samples

Date

☐ Building Materials

Initials

		CONTEXT RECORD		Context No. 22	
SITE OXDOLFI		ADDITIONAL SHEETS:		TYPE Layer	
Trench 2		Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure		Check Lists:	
Site sub-div		Overlain by: 21		DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions	
Structure No.		Abutted by:			
Plan No. 20		Cut by: 25			
		Filled by:			
Section No. 20		Same as:		CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments	
		Part of:			
Co-Ordinates		Consists of:			
		Overlies: 23			
Level		Butts:		MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments	
Slide No.		Cuts:			
Neg No.		Fill of:			
Matrix location		Relationships uncertain			
Description (See check lists):			STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX		
1) Friable 2) Mid brown 3) Clay s.f. 4) Occ stone flecks/frags 5) Up to 0.2m in depth 6) Throughout trench					
Interpretation/Discussion: Post-med worked soil					
Finds (tick): None <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pot <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Flint <input type="checkbox"/> Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Burnt stone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/>					
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds					Recorder [Signature]
<input type="checkbox"/> Samples					Date
<input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials					Initials

01865 252605.



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

23

SITE OXWOLF II

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE Layer

Trench 2

Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / ~~Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: 22

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

20

Cut by: 25

Filled by:

Section No.

20

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: 24

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of:

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1) Facable

2) Mid brown


3) Sandy clay silt

4) charcoal flecking

5) Up to 0.25m in depth

6) Throughout site

7) 7th



Interpretation/Discussion:

Post-med Darker soil

Finds (tick): None ☐ Pot ☒ Bone ☐ Flint ☐ Stone ☐ Burnt stone ☐ Glass ☐
Metal ☐ CBM ☒ Wood ☐ Leather ☐

☐ Small Finds

Recorder

☐ Samples

Date

☐ Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

24

SITE OXWOLF11

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE Layer

Trench

Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: 23

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

20

Cut by: 25

Filled by:

Section No.

20

Same as:

Part of:

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Level.

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- 1) Friable
- 2) Reddish brown
- 3) Sandy clay silt
- 4) Occ / some gravels
- 5) > 0.3m in depth
- 6) Throughfall trench



Interpretation/Discussion:

Undisturbed alluvium.

Finds (tick): None ☒ Pot ☐ Bone ☐ Flint ☐ Stone ☐ Burnt stone ☐ Glass ☐
Metal ☐ CBM ☐ Wood ☐ Leather ☐

☐ Small Finds

Recorder M

☐ Samples

Date

☐ Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

25

SITE OXWOLF II

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE

CST

Trench

Context Type: ~~Deposit~~ / Cut / ~~Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div.

Overlain by: 20

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

20

Cut by:

Filled by: 26:27:28:29

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Section No.

20

Same as:

Part of:

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts: 21:22:23:24

Neg No.

Fill of:



Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

- 1) Roughly rectangular in plan
- 2) Very steep / vertical sides
base not exposed
- 3) >2m long >1m wide >1m in depth

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



5) None apparent

4) Filled by 26:27:28:29

Interpretation/Discussion:

(18th/19th P.t. possibly for gravel extraction and backfilled with cast from other pits + rubble

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass []
Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

☐ Small Finds

Recorder

☐ Samples

Date

☐ Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

26

SITE OXWOLF II

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE Fill

Trench

2

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

20

Structure No:

Abutted by:

Plan No.

20

Cut by:

Filled by:

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Section No.

20

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

27

Level

Butts:

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of:

25

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain


MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- 1) Frezble
- 2) Light brown
- 3) Clay sett loam
- 4) Much crushed stone
- 5) Up to 0.38 m in depth
- 6) Fills top of P.4 [25]



Interpretation/Discussion:

Uppermost fill of P.4 [25]
Deliberate backfill

Finds (tick): None ☒ Pot ☒ Bone ☐ Flint ☐ Stone ☐ Burnt stone ☐ Glass ☐
Metal ☐ CBM ☐ Wood ☐ Leather ☐

☐ Small Finds

Recorder

☐ Samples

Date

☐ Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

27

SITE OX204F11

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE Fill

Trench 2

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: 26

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

20

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

20

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: 28

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of: 25


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- 1) Friable
- 2) Light yellow-brown
- 3) clay silt
- 4) Occ gravel
- 5) Up to 0.28m in depth
- 6) Layer of fill within 25



Interpretation/Discussion:

Layer of backfill with pit 25

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass []
Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

☐ Small Finds

Recorder

☐ Samples

Date

☐ Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

28

SITE OXWOLF II

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE

Fill

Trench

2

Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

27

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

20

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

20

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

29

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Level

Butts:

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of:

25

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1) Friable


2) Greenish brown

3) clay silt

4) Much charcoal flecking, small stone fragments

5) Up to 0.5m in depth

6) layer of fill with Pot [25]



Interpretation/Discussion:

Layer of backfill with 2 Pot [25]

Finds (tick): None ☒ Pot ☒ Bone ☐ Flint ☐ Stone ☐ Burnt stone ☐ Glass ☐
Metal ☐ CBM ☐ Wood ☐ Leather ☐ Clay P.R. ☒

☐ Small Finds


Recorder

☐ Samples

Date

☐ Building Materials

Initials

 CONTEXT RECORD		Context No. 29
SITE OXDOLFE		ADDITIONAL SHEETS:
Trench 2	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 28	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Structure No.	Abutted by:	
Plan No. 20	Cut by:	CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill pos 7. other comments
Section No. 20	Filled by:	
Co-Ordinates	Same as:	MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
	Part of:	
Level	Consists of:	
Slide No.	Overlies:	
Neg No.	Butts:	
Matrix location	Cuts:	
	Fill of: 25	
	Relationships uncertain	
Description (See check lists):		STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX
1) Friable 2) Yellowish grey-brown 3) Clay sett 4) Gravel, charcoal flecking 5) > 0.2m in depth 6) Layer of fill with 25		<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; text-align: center;">28</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> </div> <div style="text-align: center; margin: 5px 0;">this context is 29</div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; text-align: center;">25</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px;"></div> </div>
Interpretation/Discussion:		
Layers of backfill within P.4 25		
Finds (tick): None <input type="checkbox"/> Pot <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Flint <input type="checkbox"/> Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Burnt stone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds		Recorder VPY
<input type="checkbox"/> Samples		Date
<input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials		Initials

OXFORD: Somerville College, Wolfson Building
Extension

BOX 1 FILE 4

B: Catalogue of + Primary Drawings

--	--	--	--	--

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OA South

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[OXFORD: Wolfson Building Extension, Somerville College – OXCMS:2011.159]

Site code[OXWOLF11]

Line 2: Excavators name[Nick Shepherd]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if
present

Index to archive	
Introduction	
A: Final Report	
A: Publication Report	
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	✓
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	



PLAN RECORD SHEET

SITE CODE 0X202F11

SITE NAME Wolfsen Building Extension, Somerville College


[illegible]



SITE CODE OXWOLF II

SITE NAME Wolfson Building Extension, Somerville College

[illegible]





OXWOLF 11
Trench 1
Plan 10
Scale 1:20




π	
1	61.44
2	59.12
3	60.50
4	60.52
5	61.46






OXWOLF 11
Trench 2
Scale 1:20
Plan 20




T	
1	61.55
2	60.25
3	60.51
4	60.54
5	61.52




- 10 RB clay silt
- 11 GB clay silt charcoal
- 12 Dark red brown clay silt
- 13 GB clay silt
- 14 GPGB charcoal clays

- 9 GB yellow loam
- 8 gravel loam
- 7 Light GB sand

OXWOLF II
Trench 1
Section 10
Scale 1:20



OXWOLF II
 Section II
 Trench 1
 Scale 1:20



OxWOLF 11
Trench 2 Section 20
Scale 1:20

OXFORD: Somerville College, Wotton
Building Extension

BOX 1 FILE 5

D: Catalogue & Photos

--	--	--	--	--	--

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OA South

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[OXFORD: Wolfson Building Extension, Somerville College – OXCMS:2011.159]

Site code[OXWOLF11]

Line 2: Excavators name[Nick Shepherd]


Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if
present

Index to archive	
Introduction	
A: Final Report	
A: Publication Report	
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	✓
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

Return to Mike Sims.

 Oxford Archaeology			PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET		
SITE CODE OXWOLF II		SITE NAME Wolfson Building extension Somerville College, Oxford		FILM NO. 1	
Camera number		Lens number		Black & white / colour	
Date	Negative number	View	Context(s)	Initials	
	0				
	1		OXWOLF II ID. SHOT		
	2	NW	Trench 2, Post-ex view 1x1m	m	
	3	NW	1 1 1 1 2		
	4	NW	1 1 1 1		
	5	SW	Trench 2, Section 20 1x1m	ms	
	6	SW	1 1 1 1		
	7	SW	1 1 1 1		
	8	SE	Trench 1 Post-ex view 2x1m	ms	
	9	SE	1 1 1 1 1 1	?	
	10	SE	1 1 1 1		
	11	NW	Trench 1 Reverse view 2x1m	my	
	12	NW	1 1 1 1	?	
	13	NW	1 1 1 1	1	
	14	SW	Trench 1 Section 10 2x1m	my	
	15	SW	1 1 1 1	?	
	16	SW	1 1 1 1	?	
	17				
	18				
	19				
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				
	26				
	27				
	28				
	29				
	30				
	31				
	32				
	33				
	34				
	35				
	36				
	37				

Sheet1

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Site Code: OXWOLF 11		Site Name: Oxford Somerville College, Wolfson Building			
2	Site shot Number	Archive Shot Number	View	Description	Initials	Date
3						
4	0001	0001		ID shot	MS	
5	0002	0002	NW	Pre-excavation view of site	MS	
6	0003	0003	NW	Pre-excavation view of site	MS	
7	0004	0004	SE	Trench 2, post-excavation	MS	
8	0005	0005	SE	Trench 2, post-excavation	MS	
9	0006	0006	SW	Section 20, trench 2	MS	
10	0007	0007	SW	Section 20, trench 2	MS	
11	0008	0008	SW	Section 20, trench 2	MS	
12	0009	0009	SW	Section 20, trench 2	MS	
13	0010	0010	SE	Trench 1, post-excavation	MS	
14	0011	0011	SE	Trench 1, post-excavation	MS	
15	0012	0012	NW	Trench 1, post-excavation	MS	
16	0013	0013	NW	Trench 1, post-excavation	MS	
17	0014	0014	NW	Trench 1, post-excavation	MS	
18	0015	0015	NW	Trench 1, post-excavation	MS	
19	0016	0016	SW	Section 10, trench 1	MS	
20	0017	0017	SW	Section 10, trench 1	MS	
21	0018	0018	SW	Section 10, trench 1	MS	
22	0019	0019	NW	Post-excavation view of site after backfilling of trenches	MS	
23	0020	0020	NW	Post-excavation view of site after backfilling of trenches	MS	
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						
33						
34						
35						
36						
37						
38						
39						
40						
41						
42						
43						
44						
45						
46						
47						
48						
49						
50						
51						
52						
53						
54						
55						
56						
57						
58						
59						
60						
61						



OXWOLF11_0001.JPG



OXWOLF11_0002.JPG



OXWOLF11_0003.JPG



OXWOLF11_0004.JPG



OXWOLF11_0005.JPG



OXWOLF11_0006.JPG



OXWOLF11_0007.JPG



OXWOLF11_0008.JPG



OXWOLF11_0009.JPG



OXWOLF11_0010.JPG



OXWOLF11_0011.JPG



OXWOLF11_0012.JPG



OXWOLF11_0013.JPG



OXWOLF11_0014.JPG



OXWOLF11_0015.JPG



OXWOLF11_0016.JPG



OXWOLF11_0017.JPG



OXWOLF11_0018.JPG



OXWOLF11_0019.JPG



OXWOLF11_0020.JPG