General index to the archive

D	-	· · ·	1
Vana		ot.	
Page		OL	
	-	•	•

Site/Project Name:	Lewknor Church Farm Barn
Site Code:	Building survey
Site/Project Type:	LEWCF 09
Year(s):	2009
Accession Number:	OXCMS:2009.47

Record Group	Contents	Comments	Box/File Number
	INTRODUCTION		Box 1 file 1
	No brief was issued Written scheme of investigation	11 sheets	
A	REPORT		Box 1 file 2
	Building survey report	1 bound copy	
В	PRIMARY CONTEXT DATA		Box 1 file 3
	Site notes	3 sheets	
В	PRIMARY DRAWINGS		Box 1 file 4 & roll 1 of 1
	Joint drawings Annotated elevation drawings & floor plan	1 A3 sheet 6 A2 sheets & 1 A3 sheet	
	Carpenters marks rubbings	5 sheets	
D	CATALOGUE OF PHOTOGRAPHS		Box 1 file 5
	B/W index – films 1-4 Digital colour image index 'films' 1-5	4 sheets 6 sheets	

OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 0ES

PART 1	FILMING INSTRUCTIONS	
Submitter: OA	A	
No. of Diazor		
SCAN DI	ISKS ×2	
PART 2	TITLE/HEADINGS	
Site Informati	ion:	`
Line 1: [OA]] County:[Oxor] Pa	urish: [LEWKNOR]
	[CHURCH FARM BARN LEW CF	
	identifier/accession code may be included	ι -
Line 2: Field	dworker/Excavator's Name [J. Gu]
Line 3:		-
Classification	of Material:	
	A second s	Tick if
		Present

	<u> </u>
Index to Archive	
Introduction	
A: Final Report	
A: Publication Report	e
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

LEWKNOR CHURCH FARM BARN LEWCF 09 Box IFILE!

INTRODUCTION

•

OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 0ES

PART 1		FILMING INSTRUC	TIONS		
Submitte	er: OA				
	iazo Conies	ц Ц			
SCAN	DISKS	¥2			
PART 2		TITLE/HEADINGS			
Site Infor	rmation:	l.			
Line 1:	[OA]	County: Oxor]	Parish: [LEWK	NOR]
,	Site:[CHU	ECH FARMBA	RN LEW	CF09	j
	Site identifi	er/accession code may	be included		-
Line 2:	Fieldworke	r/Excavator's Name [I. GILL]
Line 3:					
Classifica	ation of Mate	erial:		· .	
		n an tha tha an tha			Tick if

Present

Index to Archive		
Introduction		
A: Final Report		
A: Publication Report		
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	_	· ·
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries		
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records		
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records		
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports		
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings		
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings		
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	:	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data		· · · ·
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data		
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports		
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List		
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays		
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records		
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records		
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports		
F: Documentary		
F: Press and Publicity		
G: Correspondence		,
H: Miscellaneous		

Client Name:	Regeneration Holdings Limited		
Document Title:	Church Farm, Lewknor, Ox	fordshire	
Document Type:	Written Scheme of Investig	ation for building recording	
Issue Number:	2		
Grid Reference:	SU715 976		
Prepared by:	Jonathan Gill		
Position:	Senior Project Manager (Bu	ildings Archaeology)	
Checked by: Position:	Julian Munby Head of Buildings Archaeol	ogy	
	0.		
Approved by:	Julian Munby	Signed	
Position:	Head of Buildings Archaeol	ogy	
Date:	April 2009		
Document File Location:	\\Server21-db\buildings\New (2).odt	Tenders\Lewknor Barn\Tender\WSI	

Disclaimer:

This document has been prepared for the titled project or named part thereof and should not be relied upon or used for any other project without an independent check being carried out as to its suitability and prior written authority of Oxford Archaeology being obtained. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for the consequences of this document being used for a purpose other than the purposes for which it was commissioned. Any person/party using or relying on the document for such other purposes agrees, and will by such use or reliance be taken to confirm their agreement to indemnify Oxford Archaeology for all loss or damage resulting therefrom. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability or liability for this document to any party other than the person/party by whom it was commissioned.

© Oxford Archaeology Ltd 2009

Oxford Archaeology

Janus House

Osney Mead

Oxford OX2 0ES

t: (0044) 01865 263800

f: (0044) 01865 793496

e: oasouth@oxfordarch.co.uk w: <u>www.thehumanjourney.net</u>

Oxford Archaeological Unit Limited is a Registered Charity No: 285627

© Oxford Archaeology

Written Scheme of Investigation for Historic Building Recording

Table of Contents

1.1	Introduction	.1
1.2	Aims and objectives	.1
1.3	Historical background	.1
1.4	Previous studies	.1
1.5	Methodology	.2
1.6	Reporting, Archiving and Publication	.2
1.7	Miscellaneous	.3
Appendix A	. Bibliography	.5

(iii)

April 2009

© Oxford Archaeology

© Oxford Archaeology

(iv)

Church Farm, Lewknor, South Oxfordshire Written Scheme of Investigation for Building recording

Planning reference number: P08/E0805

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 Oxford Archaeology (OA) has been asked by Regeneration Holdings Limited to produce a Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) for a programme of building recording at Church Farm, Lewknor in Oxfordshire. This relates to a forthcoming development at the site which will include the construction of four new residences and the conversion of farm buildings including a Grade 1 listed barn. Planning permission and listed building consent have been granted for the works (ref: P08/E0805) with conditions requiring an archaeological watching brief during ground works and an archaeological buildingrecording survey of the historic buildings on the site.
- 1.1.2 The current document forms a methods statement for the recording of the farm buildings and does not cover the below-ground archaeological works. A brief for the building recording has not yet been issued by South Oxfordshire Conservation Department or English Heritage.
- 1.1.3 A Design Brief detailing the requirements of the watching brief has been issued by Richard Oram (Planning Archaeologist at Oxfordshire County Council) and a separate WSI will be issued by Oxford Archaeology to cover that side of the project.

1.2 Proposed development

- 1.2.1 Planning permission has been granted for a development at Church Farm with the following principal elements:
 - conversion of the main barn to workshop/studio space
 - Conversion and partial reconstruction of existing stables and granary to office space
 - Construction of four new houses
 - Demolition of redundant modern farm buildings
- 1.2.2 The condition of the barn is relatively good and it will not require extensive major works to convert it. The works will comprise a number of localised repairs to the structural frame (particularly the replacement of 2 hip rafters in the east end wall), repairs to the weatherboarding and an overhaul of the guttering etc. It is intended that the works to make the building weathertight (eg glazed screens to seal the large doorways will be undertaken with a light touch to existing fabric.
- 1.2.3 The house at Church Farm, which has recently been listed does not form part of this development or planning application although it is anticipated that a separate application for this building's refurbishment will be made in due course. It will also not be covered by the current programme of recording.

© Oxford Archaeology

1.3 Aims and objectives

- 1.3.1 The overall aim of the project is to investigate and record for posterity the barn and other historic buildings at Church Farm. A second aim is to make that record publicly accessible through a report (a public document) and a project archive deposited with a public institution.
- 1.3.2 More specific objectives include:
 - To record and interpret evidence related to the building's use, original form and alteration;
 - To look for evidence which may suggest that the barn has been dismantled and reconstructed since its original erection.
 - To record any areas which will be lost or obscured in the development
 - To confirm the extent of the rebuild of the western end of the building

1.4 Previous studies

- 1.4.1 Due to the significance of the barn it has been subject to a number of previous studies and investigations. In 1971 MCJ Morrey of the Royal Commission on Historical Monuments (RCHM) produced a number of measured drawings of the barn which are now held at the National Monuments Record (NMR) in Swindon. These are:
 - Plan
 - East end wall (internal elevation)
 - East central truss (west face)
 - West central truss (east face)
 - South wall (internal elevation, eastern part)
 - Isometric view from north
 - Conjectural truss elevation
- 1.4.2 Copies of some of the RCHM drawings are included at the rear of this WSI.
- 1.4.3 In 1970 a small number of photographs were taken of the barns interior by PS Spokes. These are also now held at the NMR. The building has also been the subject of several studies including two articles in Oxoniensia (1973 and 1975).

2 HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

- 2.1.1 The barn at Church Farm is Grade I listed and is among the most significant medieval buildings in Oxfordshire. It is believed to have been built as an hall house, probably in the later 14th century, and the roof has some limited smoke blackening from the open fire but it appears to have been converted to a barn soon after its original construction.
- 2.1.2 Dendrochronology has been undertaken on four elements of the structure and these have provided a range of felling dates towards the mid 14th century (1339-1351). It has been speculated that the house may have been uninhabited due to the Black Death (1348-50) or completion of the building may similarly have been delayed.
- 2.1.3 The building is thought to have been originally associated with Abingdon Abbey (possibly forming part of one of the Abbey's rectorial farm) and it appears to have been acquired by All Souls College in 1440.
- 2.1.4 The building is three bays long and the open hall would have been located in the eastern two bays. A surviving spere truss divides this area from the western bay which

© Oxford Archaeology

presumably included the screens passage of the original house. The eastern central truss, within the former hall, also survives but it has been substantially altered by the relocation of the two arched braces towards the centre to support the long tie-beam. These braces are now supported by aisle posts which were also inserted in the same phase of alterations and which give the truss a similar form to the western central truss.

2.1.5 The western end of the building has been substantially rebuilt although the date of this rebuild is uncertain. The building is now clad in weatherboarding which has replaced the original wattle and daub.

2.2 Methodology

2.2.1 Introduction

- 2.2.2 The methodology proposed here will divide between the recording of the Grade I listed barn and the other buildings at the site.
- 2.2.3 Although the barn is Grade I listed it is not proposed to undertake a 'complete' record of the building due to the fact that relatively limited repair works are proposed for the building and the fact that previous recording has been undertaken by the RCHM. The current works will complement and add to the knowledge gained in the previous studies of the barn particularly as it will be undertaken after the removal of dust and detritus which obscures parts of the structural frame. The emphasis will be on increasing the understanding of the building's use, previous form and alteration.

2.2.4 The barn

- 2.2.5 The new recording of the barn will be broadly at Level 2 as defined by English Heritage in *Understanding Historic Buildings: a Guide to Good Recording Practice* (2006) although when added to the existing RCHM work the overall record of the building would be equivalent to a Level 3 record. It will comprise three principal elements: a photographic survey, a drawn survey and a written descriptive survey.
- 2.2.6 The *photographic survey* will form the main element of the new work. It will be undertaken using a 35 mm camera (black and white prints) and with a digital camera (8 mega-pixels). Each film will have a unique film number and will be related to an agreed site code. Wherever possible a tripod would be used with natural light illumination but with dark interiors flash lighting would be used. The photographic survey will cover both the exterior and the interior and it will include general views and specific details.
- 2.2.7 The *drawn survey* will utilise the existing drawings undertaken in the 1970s which show the structural frame in the east wall, both of the central cross frames and the eastern two thirds of the south wall. These drawings will be printed at an appropriate scale and additional annotation will be added to them to identify primary timbers and any features not previously noted. Such evidence could include mortices, slots, ropes, hooks, construction marks, setting-out marks, evidence of former windows etc.
- 2.2.8 In addition 'sketch' elevations will also be undertaken of the remaining frame. These will show each member, and will again identify their age, but they will not each be precisely measured in or to a set scale. These sketch elevations will again show archaeological evidence within the building (mortices etc). Much of this work will be undertaken from a mobile scaffold tower set in the centre of the barn.
- 2.2.9 Similar descriptive annotation will also be added to plan of the building produced by the RCHM.

© Oxford Archaeology

- 2.2.10 The *written descriptive* survey will complement the other two elements of the project and would aim to explain and interpret the building.
- 2.2.11 In addition to the main site work a limited programme of historical research will also be undertaken to add to the overall understanding of the history and development of the site. This will largely comprise reviewing existing articles and the principal secondary sources but it will not include a detailed archival research of primary sources.

2.2.12 Other buildings

- 2.2.13 Although the barn is clearly the most important building at the site there is also a stable range and a granary of some interest which will also be recorded in the current works. This recording will be principally photographic (again black and white prints and colour digital) with some additional descriptive notes and annotation to an existing survey. There will be no new drawn record of these buildings.
- 2.2.14 A small number of rapid photographs will also be taken of several modern ranges which will be demolished in the development. These are of no genuine historical interest but they form a minor part of the history of Church Farm.

2.3 Reporting, Archiving and Publication

2.3.1 The results of the recording programme will be included in a report, which will be submitted to South Oxfordshire District Council, English Heritage, Oxfordshire Sites and Monuments Record, the National Monuments Record Centre.

2.3.2 The report will contain:

- An introduction outlining the background to the project
- An historical background
- A description of the buildings
- An analysis of the barn's timber frame
- A site location plan
- Historical maps
- The RCHM drawings with additional interpretation
- a selection of photographs
- 2.3.3 The archive will be compiled in accordance with the standards contained in MAP2 (English Heritage). It will include the photographs, negatives, the report, the scans of the original drawings, historical information and any site notes. All archive storage material will comply with the requirements of the UKIC. It is anticipated that the archive will be deposited with the Oxfordshire County Museums Service.

2.4 Miscellaneous

2.4.1 **Programming**

- 2.4.2 The main recording of the barn will be undertaken after a phase of dust removal and 'cleaning' of the interior of the barn but prior to the start of the main repair works. This dust removal should highlight elements (eg mortices) within the structural frame which are currently obscured.
- 2.4.3 The anticipated project timetable is:
 - May/early June dust removal in barn
 - May/June repairs to stables/granary etc
 - June recording of barn and other buildings
 - June/July repairs to barn

Church Farm, Lewknor, Oxon

2.4.4 Project Team

2.4.5 The recording will be undertaken by members of Oxford Archaeology's Buildings Archaeology Department under the close supervision and guidance of Julian Munby (OA's Head of Buildings Archaeology). Julian Munby is a recognised expert in the analysis and interpretation of timber framed buildings.

2.4.6 Health and safety

- 2.4.7 In line with the Health and Safety at Work Act 1974, The Management of Health and Safety Regulations 1992 and The Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 1994 the archaeological contractor will prepare project specific Risk Assessments prior to commencement of the work and will provide copies of the company's Health and Safety Policies. There should be a nominated safety officer, and appropriate provision of first aid, telephone and safety clothing as advised in the SCAM manual on archaeological health and safety and further identified in the site specific risk assessment.
- 2.4.8 The fieldwork should be is undertaken in accordance with all relevant current Health and Safety Legislation. This includes in particular the following regulations (the list is not intended to be exhaustive):
 - Health and Safety at Work Act 1974
 - Construction (Design and management) Regulations 1994
 - The management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1992
 - Personal Protective Equipment at Work Regulations 1992
 - Work Equipment Regulations 1992
 - Manual Handling Operations Regulations 1992
 - Workplace (Health, Safety and Welfare) Regulations 1992

2.4.9 Miscellaneous

- 2.4.10 OA carries an appropriate level of insurance for covering liabilities on major projects. These are currently Employers Liability Insurance (£10,000,000 any one occurrence), Public Liability Insurance (£10,000,000 any one occurrence), Professional Indemnity Insurance (£5,000,000 any one claim and in all) and Contractors All Risks Insurance (£1,500,000). Copies of certificates can supplied on request.
- 2.4.11 Oxford Archaeological Unit (OAU) changed its trading name to Oxford Archaeology (OA) on the 1st November 2001. Oxford Archaeological Unit is still our registered company name and our registered charity name.

APPENDIX A. BIBLIOGRAPHY **Published Sources** Mercer E English Vernacular Houses (1975) **Non-published sources**

Finial Associates Church Farm Barn Report and Recommendations (July 2002) Ashdown J & Munby J Vernacular Architecture Group Conference Programme (1987)

© Oxford Archaeology

Page 6 of 7

WSI for Building Recording

APPENDIX B. RCHM DRAWINGS OF CHURCH FARM BARN

© Oxford Archaeology

,	
LEWCF 09	CHURCH FARM BARN
¢	
	BOXIFILEZ
A. REPORT	



OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 0ES

PART 1		FILMING IN	STRUCTION	S		
Submitt	er: OA	,		-		
No. of I	Diazo Co	nics-3	,			
SCAN	1 DISI	LS ×2				
PART 2	2	TITLE/HEAI	DINGS			
Site Info	ormation	•			,	
Line 1:	[OA]				Parish:[LEN	JKNOR]
1	Site:[C	HURCH FARM	NBARN 1	EW	409	ĺ
	Site ide	ntifier/accession co	de may be incl	luded		-
Line 2:	Fieldw	orker/Excavator's N	lame [J.G.			. 1
Line 3:		. '				,
Classific	ation of	Material:				
•						Tick if

Present

Index to Archive		
Introduction		
A: Final Report		
A: Publication Report		
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	·	· ·
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries		
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records		
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records		
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	·	· · · ·
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	· · ·	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	and the second s	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings		
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data		
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data		
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports		
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays		
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	د	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports		
F: Documentary		
F: Press and Publicity		
G: Correspondence		
H: Miscellaneous		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l

OASIS DATA COLLECTION FORM: England

List of Projects | Search Projects | New project | Change your details | HER coverage | Change country | Log out

Printable version

OASIS ID: oxfordar1-77156

Project details

,	
Project name	Lewknor church Farm Barn
Short description of the project	Oxford Archaeology carried out a building recording project on a Grade 1 listed barn on behalf of Regeneration Holdings Ltd. The barn is thought ot have originally been a medieval hall house before conversion to a barn. A series of mortices was identified in the wall plate indicating that the building would originally have had two large projecting oriel windows. A number of carpenters marks were also recorded.
Project dates	Start: 21-04-2009 End: 22-07-2009
Previous/future work	Yes / Yes
Any associated project reference codes	LEWCF 09 - Sitecode
Any associated project reference codes	OXCMS: 2009.47 - Museum accession ID
Type of project	Building Recording
Site status	Listed Building
Current Land use	Other 2 - In use as a building
Monument type	HALL / BARN Medieval
Significant Finds	CARPENTERS MARKS Medieval
Methods & tèchniques	'Annotated Sketch','Dendrochronological Survey','Photographic Survey','Survey/Recording Of Fabric/Structure'
Prompt	Planning condition

Project location

Country	England
Site location	OXFORDSHIRE SOUTH OXFORDSHIRE LEWKNOR Church Farm Barn
Study area	123.50 Square metres
Site coordinates	SU 714 976 51.6721641108 -0.967363268652 51 40 19 N 000 58 02 W Point

Project creators

Name of Organisation	Oxford Archaeology
Project brief	No written brief was issued

OASIS FORM - Print view

inator

Project design originator	Oxford Archaeology
Project director/manager	J. Gill

Project supervisor J Gill Type of Developer

sponsor/funding body

Regeneration Holdings Ltd

Name of sponsor/funding body.

Regeneration holoings c

Project archives


Physical Archive Exists?	No
Digital Archive recipient	Oxford Archaeology
Digital Contents	'Survey'
Digital Media available	'Images raster / digital photography','Text'
Paper Archive recipient	Oxfordshire County Museum Service
Paper Archive ID	OXCMS:2009.47
Paper Contents	'other'
Paper Media available	'Drawing', 'Photograph', 'Plan', 'Report', 'Unpublished Text'

Project bibliography 1

Publication type	Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)
Title	Church Farm Lewknor Oxfordshire
Author(s)/Editor(s)	Gill J .
Date	2009
Issuer or publisher	Oxford Archaeology South
Place of issue or publication	Oxford
Description	Client report
Entered by	Nicola Scott (n.scott@oxfordarch.co.uk)
Entered on	12 May 2010

OASIS:

Please e-mail English Heritage for OASIS help and advice © ADS 1996-2006 Created by Jo Gilham and Jen Mitcham, email Last modified Friday 3 February 2006 Cite only: /dl/export/home/web/casis/form/print.cfm for this page



Historic Buildings Recording and Investigation



October 2009

Client: Regeneration Holdings Limited Issue No: 1 OA Job No: 4433 NGR: SU 714 976

Client Name:	Regeneration Holdings Limited				
Document Title:	Church Farm, Lev	Church Farm, Lewknor, Oxfordshire, OX9 5TP			
Document Type:	Historic Building recording and investigation				
Issue Number:	1				
Grid Reference:	SU7150 9765				
OA Job Number:	4433				
Site Code:	LEWCF09				
Invoice Code:	LEWCFBS				
Prepared by:	Jonathan Gill				
Position:	Senior Project Ma	anager	ζ.		
Checked by:	Julian Munby				
Position:	Head of Buildings	s Archaeology			
Approved by:	Julian Munby	Sign	ed		
Position:	Head of Buildings	s Archaeology			
Date:	October 2009				
Document File Location:	C:\Documents Settings\Temp\Lew	and knor buildings r	Settings\hannah.brown\Local report 4.odt		
Illustrated by:	Julia Moxham				
Disclaimer:					
project without an independent check obtained. Oxford Archaeology accept other than the purposes for which it v agrees, and will by such use or reliand	being carried out as to its s s no responsibility or liabilit vas commissioned. Any pers ce be taken to confirm their a ology accepts no responsibi	uitability and prior w y for the consequence on/party using or rely agreement to indemnit	uld not be relied upon or used for any other ritten authority of Oxford Archaeology being es of this document being used for a purpose ing on the document for such other purposes fy Oxford Archaeology for all loss or damage this document to any party other than the		
© Oxford Archaeology Ltd 2009					
Oxford Archaeology					
Janus House					
Osney Mead			`		
Oxford OX2 0ES					
t: (0044) 01865 263800	0	oxfordarch.co.uk			
f: (0044) 01865 793496		umanjourney.net			
Oxford Archaeological Unit Limited is	s a Registered Charity No: 28	35627			

© Oxford Archaeology

.

i

(i)

Historic Building Recording and investigation

Table of Contents

Summary		1
1 Introduction		3
1.1 Backgro	ound	3
1.2 Aims and	nd objectives	3
1.3 Methodo	ology	4
2 Historical backgro	ound	4
2.1 Introduc	ction and previous investigations	4
2.2 Docume	entary evidence	5
3 Barn description		6
3.1 Introduc	ction	6
3.2 Summar	ry general description related to the original form of the hall house	6
3.3 External	l description	7
3.4 Internal	description	7
3.5 Internal	description: LONG WALLS	7
3.6 Internal	description: END WALLS AND TRUSSES	8
3.7 Internal	description: THE ROOF	12
3.8 Internal	description: CARPENTRY DETAILS	13
3.9 Evidence	ce of the modern use of the barn	14
4 Other farm buildi	ings	15
4.1 Introduc	ction	15
4.2 Stables		15
4.3 Granary	/	16
5 Conclusion		17
Appendix A. Bibliog	graphy	19
Appendix B. Listed	l building descriptions for Church Farm barn and house	20
Appendix C. Brief I	Report on Tree-Ring Dating	22

List of Figures

Figure 1 Site location Figure 2 Site plan Figure 3 East end wall internal elevation Figure 4 East central truss from west Figure 5 West central truss from east Figure 6 South wall internal elevation Figure 7 North wall internal elevation Figure 8 Sketch elevation of west wall showing reused medieval timbers Plan of barn showing carpenter's marks (based on RCHM survey) Figure 9



Church Farm, Lewknor, Oxfordshire

Summary

Oxford Archaeology was commissioned by Regeneration Holdings Limited to undertake a programme of building investigation and recording at Church Farm, Lewknor, South Oxfordshire as part of the development of the site. The investigation covered several farm buildings at the site but was concentrated on the Grade I listed barn believed to have been constructed in the second quarter of the 14th century and recognised as one of Oxfordshire's most important medieval buildings. The significance of the building largely lies in the fact that it was originally constructed as a medieval hall house and was later abandoned and converted to a barn. The fact that it was converted to a barn, rather than adapted and modernised as a house with the insertion of a chimney stack and upper floor, has resulted in the primary fabric of the hall being relatively well preserved.

The hall would have been a hugely impressive structure richly decorated with extensive cusping and with an open truss that would have been 'hardly paralleled for size in English timber-framed buildings.' (Morrey and Smith, 1973). John Fletcher, who noted that the house was most likely built by John of Lewknor (Knight of the Shire in the 1330s-1350s), adds that the building must have been 'one of England's most impressive halls' (Oxoniensia XL, 1975)

The interest of the building is enhanced by a suggestion that its construction may have been interrupted or curtailed by the Black Death. Physical evidence suggests that the building was intended to form part of a larger structure (possibly never completed) and the relatively light smoke blackening to the rafters suggests that although there was an open hearth the hall probably wasn't inhabited for a long period. Dendrochronology has provided felling dates for three timbers in relatively close proximity to the Black Death.

Due to its significance the building has been subject to previous studies and programmes of recording, but these were hampered by difficulties of access and visibility. The current investigation in more favourable conditions has been of value and has increased our understanding of the structure. The principal features identified by the current works have been a series of mortices in the wall plate which have shown that there would have been two large projecting oriel windows to either side of the hall.

The other main area where the current work has advanced understanding has been of the western end of the building. When the main previous studies were undertaken in the 1970s this area was almost entirely obscured by large modern grain bins and it was hoped that the removal of these would reveal further medieval fabric, or at least evidence of the building's original form. The bins have now been removed but unfortunately this has revealed that the west end was completely reconstructed in the post-medieval period, albeit with a small number of re-used timbers. A good record of this end of the building has been made which can be added to the overall archive of the building but it has not been possible to answer the many remaining questions regarding the original form of this end of the building. The building may have had a similar footprint to that surviving or it may have been intended to construct an adjoining range aligned with the point where the primary fabric currently ends.

Other features identified in the current project have included a number of impressive and distinctive carpenters' marks.

© Oxford Archaeology





Historic Building Recording and investigation

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 Oxford Archaeology was commissioned by Regeneration Holdings Limited to undertake a programme of historic building recording and archaeological investigation at Church Farm, Lewknor, Oxfordshire (Fig 1). Regeneration Holdings Limited were granted planning permission for a development at the site including the conversion of several buildings, the demolition of others and the construction of new dwellings, with the condition that programmes of building recording and below-ground archaeological watching brief be undertaken. The planning permission and current investigation do not cover the recently listed house at Church Farm and the current report only covers the recording of the existing buildings at the site. The listed building description covering the house is however included in this report as Appendix B (together with that of the barn).
- 1.1.2 The main focus of the investigation and recording at Church Farm was the barn which is believed to have been constructed in the first half of the 14th century and is a Grade I listed building. The recording also included a number of other unlisted buildings at the farm (principally stable range and a granary) but the level of recording for these was much lower than for the barn, reflecting their lesser significance.
- 1.1.3 In February 2009 a design brief was issued by Richard Oram (County Archaeological Services) to cover the below-ground archaeological watching brief but no similar brief was issued to cover the above-ground building recording. Therefore in April 2009 OA proposed a methodology (detailed in a Written Scheme of Investigation) and this was verbally approved by South Oxfordshire District Council.
- 1.1.4 The level of recording reflected the fact that the barn has been previously investigated in some detail (particularly by the Royal Commission on Historical Monuments, RCHM, in the early 1970s) and the new work was intended to complement and add to the understanding of the building rather than to replicate the existing work.
- 1.1.5 A structural survey of the barn had previously been undertaken by Monson structural engineers and this showed that the building was in a relatively good condition. Therefore the current development will only see relatively minor works to the barn.

1.2 Aims and objectives

- 1.2.1 The main objectives of the project were:
 - to take the opportunity afforded by the current development to produce an archive record of the buildings at the site after the clearance of a thick layer of grain dust from within the building and after the removal of the large grain bins;
 - to record any features to be lost by the development;
 - to add to the existing understanding of the development, history, use, function and previous form of the barn.

© Oxford Archaeology



1.3 Methodology

- 1.3.1 The building recording was undertaken during three visits to Church Farm (21 April, 3 June, 17 July 2009). The initial visit was undertaken before the start of any repair or clearance works while the other two visits were undertaken after the barn had been cleared of considerable grain dust which obscured many of the details of the roof's timber framing.
- 1.3.2 The recording of the barn was generally undertaken to Level II (as defined by English Heritage in *Understanding Historic Buildings: a Guide to Good Recording Practice*) but when added to previous studies this creates an overall Level III-IV record of the barn.
- 1.3.3 The recording comprised three principal elements: a photographic survey, a drawn survey and a descriptive survey. The photographic survey consisted of general views and specific details (internal and external) and was undertaken using black and white print film (35 mm) and a digital camera.
- 1.3.4 The drawn survey utilised existing plans and elevations produced by the RCHME in 1972 as well sketch elevations produced by Monson in their report on the structural condition of the building. The new work added annotation and further details to the existing survey, particularly in areas which have become visible since the RCHM work. For example the west end of the main barn was substantially obscured at the time of the RCHM survey by large grain silos but this area has now been exposed by the removal of the structures. The current work has principally focussed on enhancing the understanding of the building rather than producing a metrically accurate survey although the framing in the previously obscured areas has been added to the RCHM drawings The drawn survey also included the production of several important detail drawings such as the tracing of remarkable carpenters marks. The descriptive survey complemented the other survey and added further information to explain the building. The main recording was undertaken by members of the OA Buildings Department.
- 1.3.5 The project has not involved new detailed historical research, largely due to the extent of previous studies but it has utilised the principal secondary sources (see bibliography) and copies of the recording undertaken by the RCHM in the 1970s have been obtained from the English Heritage National Monuments Record in Swindon.
- 1.3.6 A rapid programme of recording was also undertaken on the other farm buildings at the site (excluding the house). The stable and granary were photographically recording to the same methodology as the barn and additional descriptive notes were made on these structures. Rapid photographs were also taken of the modern farm buildings prior to their demolition.
- 2 HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

2.1 Introduction and previous investigations

- 2.1.1 The barn at Church Farm, Lewknor is a Grade I listed, medieval building probably dating from the first half of the 14th century. However, its considerable significance has not always been recognised and it was only in 1969 that an indication of the building's interest was raised by Dr Peter Salway of All Souls College, Oxford, who noticed medieval timber framing with ornamental cusping hidden by modern cladding.
- 2.1.2 In the following years the building was subject to considerable study and investigations and these were reported in three separate articles contained in *Oxoniensia*¹:

¹ Oxoniensia: annual journal of the Oxfordshire Architectural; and Historical Society.



- Hilary Turner, 'The Great Barn', Lewknor: The Documentary Evidence, Oxoniensia xxxvii (1972), 187-91.
- MCJ Morrey and JT Smith, 'The Great Barn' Lewknor: The Architectural Evidence,

- The Medieval Hall at Lewknor by John Fletcher Oxoniensia xL (1975), 247-53.
- 2.1.3 The 1972 article concentrated on the historical and documentary evidence while the 1973 article concentrated on the architectural evidence and summarised a programme of recording undertaken by the RCHME. The 1975 article attempted to answer remaining questions from a regional and economic perspective but concluded that the building still merited further study. The barn was formally listed Grade I in 1976.
- 2.1.4 In c.1989 a programme of dendrochronology was undertaken on the barn by Dan Miles for the Ancient Monuments Laboratory of the Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England. Four samples were taken and three of these provided felling dates of 1339, 1342/3 and 1350/1 (see Appendix C).
- 2.1.5 In 2002 there was a previous proposal for the site when All Souls College (who had owned the site since the mid 15th century) intended to sell the site for a residential development, thus allowing Mr Graham (whose family had been tenants since 1935) to relocate their farm to the outskirts of Lewknor.

2.2 Documentary evidence

- 2.2.1 Research undertaken by Hilary Turner in the archives of All Souls College, Oxford (until recently the owners of the current site) has shown that Church Farm was acquired by All Souls College in 1440 together with other land (the advowson, the glebe land and the rectorial tithes) probably associated with Abingdon Abbey. Several particulars of accounts from the 1450s-1480s confirm the farm as then being within the College's ownership and evidence suggests that the acquisition had included an existing set of farm buildings at the site. These buildings must have formed part of the rectorial estate and among the structures was a barn and a 'Great Barn'. Documents of the same period show that a new Parsonage was added to this complex in or around 1440 and it may be that it was constructed to replace the previous house on the site (the building in the current study) which was converted to a barn. Turner's article considers that the barn was therefore probably constructed by Abingdon Abbey which would have had both the money and the skilled craftsmen to erect a building such as that in the current study.
- 2.2.2 John Fletcher's article cast doubt on this interpretation, and suggested that it was 'particularly unlikely' that the Abbey would have constructed the hall. Due to the cusps and other detailing it is apparent that the building was designed to impress relative to other comparable buildings in the region and based on various stylistic evidence Fletcher considered the building to probably date from c.1325-1350. Comparisons were particularly drawn with other known hall houses constructed in this region in the 14th century including Sutton Courtenay Rectory House (c.1320), South Moreton (c.1330), Harwell (c.1360), Sutton Courtenay Manor House (c.1390), and Marlow Rectory. If the building was built in this period then Fletcher felt that John de Lewknor (c.1316-1360) was the most likely person to have been responsible for its construction. John de Lewknor was of the family who had been hereditary lay rectors of Lewknor, and who may have kept the Rectory Farm after Abingdon had started appointing the rectors. He was a county gentleman, Knight of the Shire (M.P. For Oxfordshire) between 1320 and

Oxoniensia xxxviii (1973), 339-45.



1340 (Amery, 2006). Houses of this quality, typical of the last generation before the Black Death, were in general built by people of this status in county society.

- 2.2.3 The relatively slight smoke blackening on the roof suggests a small number of fires in the hall and Fletcher postulated that it might be that the construction of the hall was interrupted (or not fully completed) due to the Black Death (1348-9). Fletcher's proposed date for the building was subsequently supported by the results of the dendrochronology survey (referred to above). The dendrochronology may also support the theory that the barn's construction or occupation was affected by the Black Death but it cannot confirm this.
- 2.2.4 A report undertaken by Dr James Moir of Finial Associates in 2002 raises the interesting possibility that in fact the barn was originally constructed elsewhere and that it was dismantled and re-erected at Lewknor, possibly as late as the 18th century. Although Dr Moir does not state firmly that this is his belief he does show that this possibility cannot be discounted and that there are a number of pieces of evidence which tentatively suggest that this could be the case. Further documentary research may ultimately prove or disprove this theory but the current study assumes that although the building has been much altered it has not been moved to Lewknor from elsewhere.

3 BARN DESCRIPTION

3.1 Introduction

3.1.1 Church farm is located close to the centre of Lewknor and c.40 m west of the village church. The barn is orientated north-west to south-east but for ease of reference in this study the orientation has been taken as east to west. Therefore the main long walls are taken as facing north and south. This follows the orientation used in the RCHME study and in the *Oxoniensia* articles. As referred to above the current recording has been undertaken entirely from the ground (or low ladders) so there will be features (eg carpenters marks) in the upper parts of the roof that have not been identified.

3.2 Summary general description related to the original form of the hall house.

3.2.1 The barn at Church Farm is 15.25 m (50 ft) long by 9.75 m (32 ft) wide and it has a raised aisle type roof with clasped purlins. As detailed above it is believed to have originally been constructed as a richly decorated end hall house. The eastern two bays would have formed the hall itself and at the centre of this area there would have been an open hearth with smoke allowed to escape through the roof. The hall would have been c.10.3 m (34 ft) long and it would have had a clear span of 8.8 m (29 ft). The smoke blackening on the rafters and other timbers (albeit relatively light) appears to confirm that there was an open fire in the hall but for how long the house was occupied is uncertain. The trusses, frames, walls and roof in the area of the hall would have been richly decorated, particularly with cusping which partially survives, and in these areas the structure is clearly designed for display. The hall would have been illuminated by pairs of projecting bay windows, c.2.6 m wide, in both north and south walls (evidence of these revealed in the current study) and the main entrance into this hall would have been through the central arch of the spere truss (in-situ) via a screens passage. The screens passage was immediately west of the spere truss and beyond this there would presumably have been a service range but this end of the building has been entirely reconstructed, possibly in the 18th or 19th century, so that its primary form is unknown.



3.3 External description

- 3.3.1 The significance of the barn at Church Farm lies entirely in its internal form and in structural features which are not visible from the exterior. From the outside the building looks like an old but modest sized aisled barn and it is not greatly surprising that the deeper interest of the building remained undetected until 1969.
- 3.3.2 The barn has a half-hipped roof, clad in clay tiles, and the walls, which are largely clad in weatherboarding of several phases, are now in poor condition (Pl.2-4). The exception to this are the lower sections of the east wall and the eastern end of the north and south walls, which were reconstructed in the later 20th-century in concrete blockwork. The main walls are set on a brick plinth and there are tall double threshing doors in both the north and south walls. The external cladding has been stripped and replaced, some of this prior to the site inspection, so the external timber faces were not inspected.

3.4 Internal description

3.4.1 Introduction

- 3.4.2 The internal description below details each cross frame (or truss) followed by each of the main walls and roof.
- 3.4.3 The initial visit of the current recording project was undertaken after the barn had been cleared of silos and other large obstructions which had limited access but before the extensive grain dust was cleared from the timbers by a vacuum cleaner. This phase of cleaning also removed a number of secondary detritus such as various items on the modern gantry but it left the gantry itself in-situ as well as a grain conveyor draped over Frame 2.

3.5 Internal description: LONG WALLS

3.5.1 South wall (recorded by RCHM)

- 3.5.2 The phasing of the south wall divides into the largely primary eastern two bays and the western bay which has been entirely reconstructed, possibly in the 18th century (Fig 6).
- 3.5.3 At the base of the roof is a stop-chamfered upper wall plate (or cornice) which supports the bases of each of the rafters and immediately below this is the more substantial principal wall plate. The upper wall plate aligns with curved horizontal braces (or knees) at each corner of the former hall. Thus they secure the west side of the tie-beam from Truss 1 to the upper wall plate and the east side of Truss 3 to the plate. The principal wall plate is scarfed immediately west of the Spere truss (Frame 3).
- 3.5.4 The main framing in the south wall is entirely secondary but there are a series of empty mortices in the underside of the principal wall plate which provides an indication of the former wall arrangement and this includes some of the most significant features revealed in the current project. Several of the mortices relate to the former wall framing but there are also four horizontal mortices now observed for the first time on the outside of the wallplates, immediately beneath the eaves, two in each of the main bays within the former open hall (central and eastern bays). Located adjacent to vertical mortices for wall posts, the horizontal mortices are chased (i.e. have an angled side) and would have housed horizontal members which projected out from the external walls of the building at an angle rather than at 90 degrees to the wall (Pl. 21).
- 3.5.5 These could only have been intended for supporting two projecting oriel windows to this elevation. In each bay the pairs of mortices form a mirror image of each other so that the sloped side is on the 'inner' face and thus the former projecting horizontals would have

[©] Oxford Archaeology

converged towards each other. These horizontals must have formed uppermost structure of a bay or oriel window and they would have been jointed to a third member parallel to the main wall. Presumably these joints would have been supported by corner posts and each of the whole oriels would have been supported from beneath. The two mortices in each pair are c.2.6 m apart; this shows the width of of each window at its widest point.

- 3.5.6 Projecting oriels such as these would have been a relatively common feature of medieval hall houses such as that at Lewknor and the identification of the evidence relating to it is an important advance in understanding this building. Similar mortices have been noted at the Golden Cross, the medieval (early 16th century) courtyard inn in Oxford. These projecting first floor oriels survive in-situ and refurbishment works in 1986 revealed similar mortices in the vertical face of the wall plate (see South Midlands Archaeology, 1987).
- 3.5.7 The south wall framing in the western bay is entirely secondary, contemporary with the reconstruction of this end of the building, and it comprises a central post, two raking struts and vertical studs.

3.5.8 North wall (recorded by OA)

- 3.5.9 The north wall framing (Fig 7) is also broadly similar to the south wall. The eastern bay of the north wall incorporates concrete blockwork (post 1972) to the lower section and secondary elm stud framing above. One of the members in this frame (the sloped eastern bearer or plate) may be a reused medieval member.
- 3.5.10 The western bay of the north wall again incorporates secondary stud framing but the central post in this area is a reused medieval member with long chase mortice to one side and a deep, tapered trench which re-profiles the same face. The chase mortice and re-profiled face suggest that this member may have been a section from a tie-beam (or possibly collar) and that the chase mortice would have housed an arched brace. The post is 3.43 m long and the uppermost 1.13 m (above the mortice and trench step) is moulded. Assuming this member was a tie-beam the moulded section would have formed the underside of the central part of the truss between the two arched braces. As a comparison the tie-beam in Frame 2 has a moulded central section of almost 4 m long (prior to the 20th-century re-setting of the aisle posts). If this is a surviving, reused tie-beam from the medieval hall it would be of considerable significance and presumably was from the western side of the screens passage (immediately west of Frame 3) or from the service bay beyond this.
- 3.5.11 Similarly to the south wall the wall plate in the central and eastern bays of the north wall has a number of empty mortices and among these are two pairs of horizontal mortices from former projecting oriel windows. These mortices are the same as those in the south wall (detailed above) with slots to the underside for posts and slots to the outer face for projecting members which would have extended from the wall at an angle to it.

3.6 Internal description: END WALLS AND TRUSSES

3.6.1 East wall and Frame 1 (Fig 3)

- 3.6.2 The east wall (Frame 1) of the barn would have formed the upper (dais) end of the open hall and it is an attractively decorated frame with cusping and mouldings which were clearly intended to impress visitors to the hall (Fig 3, Pl. 12). The wall divides into two main elements: i) the truss and half hipped gable; ii) the wall beneath the truss.
- 3.6.3 The truss comprises tie-beam, principal rafters, lower collar (or upper tie), upper collar, raised aisle posts and curved struts between raised aisle posts and lower collar. Unlike in



the other main frames the principal rafters are not diminished. The upper part of the roof (above the lower collar) is half hipped and this section of the roof has been entirely reconstructed with secondary rafters. The upper collar is a smoke-blackened reused primary rafter. The wall was originally entirely gabled and the half hip is a secondary alteration of unknown date. We can see that the wall must originally have been fully gabled through empty mortices in the purlin soffit for a former further bay of cusped windbraces. These would have extended beyond the current half hip. Between the lower collar and the tie-beam are nine studs which are set behind the primary arched brace and these all appear to be secondary (some reused members) probably dating from the same alterations as the half hip. There are two further studs to each side of the truss beyond the raised aisle posts.

- 3.6.4 The tie-beam is chamfered and it is supported by five posts which divide the main wall into four bays. Three of the posts (central and corner posts) are full height from the plinth while the other two posts are smaller in section and only rise from the mid rail. Within each bay there are two scratched Roman numeral carpenter's marks on the tie-beam's soffit which are different to the unusual primary marks found elsewhere in the barn (see below) but are assumed to be primary.
- 3.6.5 Below the tie-beam there are pairs of cusped braces in each of the four bays between post and tie. Behind the braces there are three secondary studs (several smoke blackened reused rafters) in each bay between tie and mid-rail. The studs which hold the weatherboarding are fixed with hand-made nails of probable 18th or 19th century date. In the upper face of the mid-rail there is a trench, apparently along the entirely length of the rail, within which the primary studs from the wall would have sat. These would have supported wattle and daub panels. There are also several other small mortices in the upper face, which appear to be secondary and which are inside (ie to the west of) the trench. At either end of the truss there is a curved horizontal corner brace tenoned into the face of the tie-beam which extends around to the north and south walls. These corner braces are found at each of the four corners of the former hall and they are tenoned into upper wall plates in the long main walls.
- In the soffit of the mid-rail there are also a series of mortices although at this lowest level 3.6.6 the wall has been almost entirely replaced by concrete block which appears to have been constructed since the RCHM recording in 1972. The studs are known to have been intact in 1911 as they are shown in a valuable photograph included in the 2002 report by Dr James Moir of Finial Associates. The three main posts (central and at each corner) survive down to the plinth but the two smaller posts, bracing and studs have all been lost. There are regular mortices for studs as well as two larger ones from the missing posts, and within each bay is a mortice with two pegs which would have housed the upper tenon of a curved brace. It is possible that these braces were cusped similarly to the surviving ones beneath the tie-beam but the photograph from 1911 mentioned above suggests that they were not. The photograph shows plain straight braces but they do not appear to correspond with the existing mortices and smaller posts beneath the mid-rail are not shown suggesting that by 1911 the original arrangement in this area had been substantially altered. Therefore it is still possible that the primary lower braces were cusped but these had been lost before 1911. From the surviving mortices it is apparent that there would just have been a single brace in each bay as opposed to the pair of braces in each bay above the mid-rail. The corresponding lower mortices from the bases of the braces are also apparent with three pegs each in the sides of the three surviving posts. At the southern end of the wall is a small doorway in the concrete blockwork which leads through into the modern barn (being demolished in the current works). This doorway is clearly modern and would have been blocked by one of the former braces. The plinth is



of rendered brick. There are chase mortices in the west faces of each of the two main corner posts which would have housed braces in the north and south walls.

3.6.7 East central Frame 2 ('Open Truss' Fig 4)

- 3.6.8 The cross frame on the east side of the central bay (Frame 2) would have formed the central display truss of the original two-bay open hall. It is now superficially similar to the spere truss on the west side of this bay but this is due to a 20th-century alteration and its primary form would have been significantly different. The original frame would have been formed a remarkably wide, clear span below tie-beam level for a building of this date (c.8.8 m) but it has been altered by the repositioning of the arched braces towards the centre in order to provide greater support for the tie-beam. In the original building these braces would have been supported by the outer posts but they are now supported by inserted 20th-century 'aisle posts'. Large empty mortices with sloped shoulders are clearly visible in both main posts (with 6 peg holes) and in the soffits of the slightly towards the outer edges and there is a clear step down at the points where the arched braces would have sat. There is now a small block (c.25 cm wide) between the heads of the two braces whereas they would originally have been c.3.75 m apart.
- 3.6.9 It is known that this alteration was undertaken in the 20th century because the braces are shown in their primary position in the photograph referred to above from 1911 (Pl. 1). The photograph shows the southern arched brace supported at its head by a large temporary prop (apparently a circular section post). The arched braces are each formed from a single very large piece of timber (c.70 cm wide at the widest point) but the southern member has had a new section added towards the upper edge on the east face. The two braces are moulded with a central trench to their undersides and they each incorporate three small mortices which probably held cusping to the underside of the braces and tie-beam. There are two similar small empty mortices to the underside of the tie-beam immediate inside (ie towards the centre) of the point where the arched braces would have been located. These presumably helped to hold the upper parts of the cusping. There are further mortices in the faces of the main posts, immediately below where the braces would have adjoined, which presumably housed the bases of the cusping.
- 3.6.10 A number of sections of iron strapping have been added to strengthen the cross-frame including plates between arched braces and tie beam and a long iron strap bolted to the northern post. The west side of this post head has been cut back and re-profiled to the allow the insertion of the ironwork; the east side is jowled. A modern horizontal bearer has been added between the 20th-century 'aisle post' and the main primary post.
- 3.6.11 The truss within Frame 2 (above the tie) is of raised aisle type and it appears to survive largely intact from its original arrangement. It comprises upper collar, lower collar, principal rafters (diminished above the upper collar), raised aisle posts, lower principals with arched heads supporting the aisle posts, arched braces beneath the lower collar and cusping beneath the upper collar. There are a series of mortices in the soffits of the two braces which presumably would have supported further ogival cusping within the truss.
- 3.6.12 The eastern end of a 20th century gantry structure rests on the tie-beam of Frame 2 and extends to the corresponding tie of Frame 3. The gantry is a simple structure which formed a walkway to the former grain bins at the west end of the building. There is an opening in the guard rail on the south side of the gantry suggesting that a ladder would have extended up to this point.

3.6.13 West central Frame 3 (spere truss, Fig 5)



- 3.6.14 The frame on the west side of the central bay (Frame 3) comprised the spere truss, that is the division between the cross-passage at lower end of the building and the main hall to the east. The spere truss would also have formed the main entrance into the hall. This cross frame remains very close to its original arrangement with very few secondary alterations. The truss is similar to that in Frame 2 with cambered tie-beam, lower collar (also cambered), upper collar, principal rafters (diminished above the upper collar), raised aisle posts, arched braces and lower principals. The upper section of the lower principals curves to meet the face of the raised aisle posts. There is cusping extant below the upper collar and against the adjacent section of the principals and it appears there would have been similar cusping to the arched braces below the lower collar. Each of these braces has a number of peg holes and empty mortices which would almost certainly have supported cusping. The uppermost pair of mortices from the former cusping are in the underside of the lower collar. The lowest sections of each of the two principal rafters is supported by a secondary raking strut, the lower end of which is wedged between tiebeam and the base of the raised aisle post, and a contemporary inserted purlin. The primary lower principal is cut in this area to allow for the insertion of the secondary purlin.
- The tie-beam is chamfered to its underside with stops immediately either side of the two 3.6.15 main aisle posts. Adjacent to each of these posts is an unusual carpenters mark in the tiebeam's soffit (detailed further below). At either end of the tie-beam there are curved corner braces fixing the upper wall plate (which rests on top of the main lower wall plate) to the tie-beam. The two arched braces beneath the tie-beam each appear to be constructed from two sections of timber and the soffits have three empty mortices which presumably would have held cusping. There are also similar mortices in the inner face of each of the aisle posts below the arched braces and these probably would have held the base of the cusping. Cusping survives within each of the aisles between main post, aisle post and tie-beam. Beneath this cusping is a horizontal spreader within each aisle (c.3 m above ground level) and further mortices beneath this suggesting that there was another similar member between main post and aisle post in each aisle at c.1 m above ground. These former members would not have been structural and their function would presumably have been to emphasise the central entrance to the hall beneath the arched braces. They were presumably removed to allow a more practical use of the space as a barn.

3.6.16 West end Frame 4

- 3.6.17 The west end wall has been entirely reconstructed (together with the western ends of the north and south walls) and we know very little about the original form of this end of the building (Fig. 8). The primary structure may have had a similar footprint to that existing today or it may have extended further west. Alternatively it may have ended further east, a short distance west from Frame 3 if the construction of the building was unexpectedly curtailed at this point (possibly for example by the Black Death) or it may have adjoined a separate (or proposed) building at this point.
- 3.6.18 The west wall now comprises a central post, two further intermediate main posts and four raking struts (between these three and the corner posts). The wall between these posts comprises vertical studs almost all of which appear to be of 20th-century date.
- 3.6.19 The central post is formed from a reused medieval post or tie-beam 3.65 m long by 28 cm wide by 18 cm deep. There are three mortices along the northern edge which would have been the underside (if this was a tie-beam) and two smaller mortices for former studs on the southern (formerly upper) side. The larger mortices along the northern side include

© Oxford Archaeology

J

two 50 cm long chase mortices and a 30 cm long square-edged mortice towards the centre.

- 3.6.20 Immediately to the north of the southern intermediate post is a further re-used medieval member with mouldings along its full length. This is 3.4 m long and its one flat face (to the outside) is 25 cm wide. The central part of this timber is 20 cm deep but to either side of this there are chamfers (not aligned with each other) which reduce the size of the post's cross-section. There are three mortices in the flat face of the member: two chase mortices and one flat-edged (similarly to the other reused member in this wall referred to above).
- 3.6.21 Above the tie-beam in Frame 4 the wall framing is similar and includes three reused members which appear to be of medieval date.

3.7 Internal description: THE ROOF

3.7.1 General

3.7.2 The unusual roof was well described by Morrey and Smith in 1973:

Above the tie-beam the roof was of raised-aisle type that is to say, it is in effect an aisled hall raised high above the ground. The aisled frame thus formed has square posts, chamfered on the inner edges, which support plates and a slightly cambered tie-beam and which are themselves propped by braces, tenoned into the ends of the tie-beam below. In the arch-braces which join the raised-aisle posts to the tie-beam are a series of peg-holes and tenons which must have been intended for applied cusping like that below. The topmost part of the truss comprises short principal rafters into the top of which purlins are slotted; the principals are linked by a collar-beam which is supported by cusped arch-braces and there are cusped wind-braces from principals to purlins. The topmost tie thus forms a clasped-purlin roof. [Note also that the upper principals are truncated or diminished and are, above the purlin, of similar size to the common rafters.]

3.7.3 Roof trusses

3.7.4 The individual roof trusses have been described above, each having a different character according to its place in the roof, but all giving support to a unified roof structure (subject to later changes).

3.7.5 South side of the roof

3.7.6 The roof comprises an aisle plate, supported by the raised aisle posts and pairs of arched braces, and a purlin immediately above the upper collars of the trusses and also above pairs of cusped wind braces. There are two pairs of wind braces within each full bay extending between the main trusses and intermediate upper collars. The intermediate upper collars also have cusping the same as that on the main trusses. At the eastern end there is an empty mortice in the underside of the purlin from where a former further windbrace would have continued eastwards when the end of the building was gabled (or continued further west). There are 11 rafters in the eastern bay and nine in the central bay; all of these appear primary and smoke blackened. Immediately west of the spere truss (Frame 3) the three first rafters appear to be primary above the aisle plate but the separate rafter sections below are secondary. The aisle plate at this junction is scarfed immediately to the east of this junction, with an iron strap bracing the two sections, and a secondary member now continues to the half-hipped west end. The roof structure at the western end (beyond the three primary rafters mentioned above) entirely comprises secondary members which are relatively easy to identify by the lack of smoke blackening. The secondary rafters are more slender than the primary ones and their lower



sections are supported by an additional secondary purlin. The rafters are waney edged and of possible 18th or 19th century date.

3.7.7 North side of the roof

3.7.8 The wall framing and roof construction on the north side of the building is very similar to that on the south side. The central and eastern bays survive substantially from the original construction with primary rafters and principal roof members in-situ. The western bay was again reconstructed in the post-medieval period (possibly 18th or 19th century) with waney-edged members and an additional straight brace from the western corner to the purlin. One minor difference with the southern side of the roof is that there are no cusped wind braces to the west of Frame 3.

3.7.9 The rebuilt West End of the roof

The reconstructed western third of the roof is additionally supported by a frame formed 3.7.10 from machine-sawn softwood members which appear to be of 19th-century date. The frame has similarities to a king-post truss with a tie-beam, king-post, principal 'rafters' and various raking props which support the arcade plates and purlins. The 'tie-beam' extends east to west between the tie of Truss 3 and a small raised frame on the tie of Truss 4. The 'tie-beam' supports a post (fixed to the tie by an iron strap) which extends up to the height of the roof's upper collar and upper purlin. At its head the post supports a horizontal bearer which itself supports the secondary purlins in this part of the roof. The 'tie-beam' also supports two raking props which project at right angles to the tie and whch support the arcade plates in the main north and south roof slopes. The post is braced close to its mid point by two angled members (like the truss's principal rafters) and the heads of these 'rafters' are secured to the post by an iron strap. The base of the two 'rafters' are fixed to the tie. Immediately above the junction between these 'rafters' and the post is another raking prop which is supported by the main post and which supports the upper purlin in the west slope. This large frame could be contemporary with the rest of the reconstructed west end but it is more likely to have been added after the reconstruction to prop the sagging roof.

3.8 Internal description: CARPENTRY DETAILS

3.8.1 Carpenters marks

- 3.8.2 Among the interesting features of the barn identified during the current works have been a number of unusual and distinctive carpenters marks (Fig 9). At the east end of the building there are a series of Roman numeral marks (Fig. 3) scratched to the underside of the tie-beam and these are interesting but conventional setting out marks whereas on the two main trusses of the hall (particularly the spere truss) there are a number of more individual marks which may have had symbolic value or formed a carpenter's 'signature'.
- 3.8.3 Setting-out (or assembly) marks are the most recognised form of carpenters mark. The marks are made during the initial framing process where the frame would be made in the framing yard which may be adjacent to or some distance from the construction site. Once the frame has been made the joints would be marked in order to facilitate the final assembly on site. The assembly marks upon the frame enable the carpenter to identify individual joints an important process as each joint would be made to fit exactly and often the final frame may have been constructed by itinerant workers led by a master carpenter as opposed to a team of carpenters. There are several different types of assembly mark, the most common being Roman numerals scribed into the face of the timber (such as those at the east end). Symbolic markings made using the circular race knife to form patterns are less common. Examples of these have been found in the roof

of the Chapter House at York Minster (late $C13^{th}$), the undercroft of the Merchant Adventurers Hall in York (mid $C14^{th}$), Astley Hall in Lancashire (c.1600) and Chichester Cathedral (C13th).

- 3.8.4 The marks at Lewknor include a *Fleur-de-lys symbol* on the soffit of the northern arched brace of the spere truss (Frame 3). This had been scribed with a circular race knife with approximately 40mm diameter on the circle. The central point can clearly be seen on photographs (Plate 19 and Fig 5). This is an unusual mark and probably an individual piece of decorative graffiti or with some symbolic purpose rather than forming part of a series of assembly marks.
- 3.8.5 On the corresponding soffit of the southern arched brace in the spere truss is an unusual *V* shaped mark with half circles (two to one side and three to the other) and again this was scribe-cut with a race knife (Fig 5). It is likely that the race knife had a central point that enabled the user to make circular shapes of approximately 40mm diameter. This central point is not found on post 18th century race knives. It is again unlikely that this is an assembly mark and is probably a graffito or decoration.
- 3.8.6 Also on the spere truss a number of similar marks were identified with *half circles and lines* and these do form part of a pattern of assembly marks (Fig 5, Pl 20). Each mark includes a straight central line and half circles branching to either side from this line. On one set of marks there are two half circles to either side while another set has a single half circle to one side and two to the other. Corresponding marks are found to either side of the truss on the tie beam soffit and side of the post. This mark is very similar to arrow style markings seen elsewhere (eg. moulded roof truss in the Chapel Royal Hampton Court Palace c. 1536). It would appear that the lower two half circles denote whether the frame goes on the left or right with only one half circle on one side of the barn and two on the other. This appears to be made with the same size (40mm) circular race knife as the two marks above suggesting they are all of a similar date.
- 3.8.7 The formerly open truss (Frame 2) also has some marks but they are smaller and less distinctive. They included a small looped shape on the western arch and an 'r' shape to the east (Fig 4).
- 3.8.8 Unfortunately it is very difficult to date carpenters' marks purely on style and execution as they were often individual to the carpenter, or on large projects assigned by the master carpenter., however true assembly marks will usually date to the period of the frame construction. Graffiti is inaccessible locations will probably date to this period as well. Recording of the marks found on timbers will enable comparison with other local buildings of similar date and possibly allow researchers to trace the work of carpenters.
- 3.8.9 As referred to above the current investigation did not form a comprehensive record of the building and the work was almost entirely undertaken from the ground. Therefore it is likely that there are additional carpenter's marks in the upper sections of the roof which were not identified and recorded. Indeed the carpenter working on the building indicated that he had seen a number of interesting marks on the roof timbers.

3.9 Evidence of the modern use of the barn

3.9.1 The barn remained in agricultural use until recent years and as referred to above the large grain silos had obscured access to the western end of the barn when the previous recording was undertaken in the 1970s. These silos and other features had been removed prior to the current project but evidence of them remained in the floor. There is a 10 cm tall step across the barn, c.3.75 m in from the west wall, and immediately east of this step there are four cement-lined recesses within the concrete floor. These recesses are c. _ cm

deep and have the approximate shape of an upturned pyramid. Adjacent to the western side of each recess is a small slope in the edge of the main step across the building and presumably this would have a chute from the four large silos on the raised platform to the west.

- 3.9.2 Also immediately to the east of this step there are two modern post sockets: one adjacent to the north wall and one adjacent to the south wall. Presumably these would have held steel posts from the main frame across the building supporting the silos. There are also two further modern post sockets along the central line of the barn's central bay which presumably would also have held raised structures relating to the modern use of the building.
- 3.9.3 In the eastern bay there are two further modern features in the floor each lined with a brick edging flush with the surface. The eastern feature is a plain square hole (c.1 m²) which has now been infilled with concrete while the western feature is larger, with an irregular shape and retains its sunken form (c.23 cm deep; pl 18). Along the north edge of this feature there remains a sloped, cement-lined surface which presumably held the base of a chute. There is also a sloped cement-lining to the western edge and part of the southern edge but a low brick wall divides the recess into two sections.
- 3.9.4 Other evidence of the 20th-century use of the barn includes a raised gantry supported by the tie-beam of the spere truss and open truss (Pl. 7) as well as surviving belts from a grain conveyor.
- 4 OTHER FARM BUILDINGS

4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 Although the main barn is by far the most significant building at Church Farm a record was also made of the other structures

4.2 Stables

- 4.2.1 Adjoining the western edge of the south side of the barn is a range of stables which extend c.24 m along one side of a yard. This range is not shown on the 1815 Enclosure map (reproduced in the Finial Associates 2002 report) and the structure all appears of later 19th and 20th century date.
- 4.2.2 The stable range divides into two distinct elements (a southern half and a northern half) which were probably of the same phase but the northern half has undergone major alterations in the 20th century including the insertion of internal concrete block walls and the construction (or rebuild) of the east wall. The evidence suggests that the northern half may originally have been formed a cart shed or a similar building open-fronted to the east and with an open-plan interior. The roofs of both halves of the range were then recovered, possibly at the same time as the conversion of the north block, with diagonally set tiles typical of the inter-war period or mid 20th century.
- 4.2.3 The *northern half* of the stables comprises a secondary concrete block east wall (Pl. 25) and a brick west wall with a variation Flemish bond (generally three stretchers to each header but inconsistent). The west wall (Pl. 26) comprises three doorways and one 6-light window, each of which is below a concrete lintel and other than the northernmost door they all appear to have been inserted, probably at the time as the wider alterations to the north block referred to above. The door at the northern end of the west wall was probably the only original entrance into the building from the west, when it is thought to have been an open-plan range; this opening has a sliding door. The concrete block east wall



comprises three doorways and two windows each of which are probably of the date as the openings in the west wall.

- 4.2.4 The interior of the northern half is now divided into three rooms by two secondary concrete block walls. The northern room is two bays long while the southern rooms are each of a single bay. The southern end wall was of brick but this has been recently removed to link the room with the adjacent space (in the southern half of the range). The roof of the northern range is supported by three trusses typical of the later 19th century (Pl. 27) although two of these are now immediately above the inserted concrete block walls. These trusses each comprise a tie beam, two principal rafters, two raking struts and a vertical tie-roof at the centre. The principal rafters are overlain by three purlins which directly support roof boards. There are no common rafters and the purlins are supported by wedge-shaped blocks resting directly on the principals. The walls and roof structure of this larger northern room are all painted white and the west end of the truss in this area is supported by a brick corbel within the brick wall.
- 4.2.5 The *southern half* of the stable range comprises four rooms although as referred to above the party wall at the north end has been recently removed. The internal and external walls are all of brick (variation Flemish bond similar to the northern walls). The west wall comprises three stable doors while the east wall contains two stable doors and two windows (although some of these have been recently removed). Unlike in the north range there are no trusses and the internal walls directly support the roof, which comprises a three-purlin structure similar to the northern structure. There are two ridge vents in the roof.

4.3 Granary

- 4.3.1 The granary (Pl. 23-24) is a rectangular plan, single-storey building (7 x 4.5m) with a slate-covered gabled roof and walls clad in weatherboarding. The condition of the building is now very poor and it is being substantially rebuilt in the current project reusing materials from the existing building.
- 4.3.2 The floor of the building is raised above ground level but secondary works have altered the arrangement of primary staddle stones, particularly to the north wall. To this side of the building the staddles have been replaced by a solid plinth comprising three brick piers with flint infill between. The weatherboarding in the north wall shows evidence of patching and alteration. This wall has a central doorway and two small windows, one to each side, which have each been infilled with boarding. The east wall includes a set of double doors at the northern end and there is a single door at the western end of the south wall. The south wall is particularly overgrown and the condition of the weatherboarding is very poor.
- 4.3.3 The *interior* is a single room with a crude common rafter roof braced by two evenly spaced tie-beams which divide the room into three bays. There are no trusses and the roof is further strengthened by four straight brace, one at each corner. Each of these braces spans from the ends of the ridge to the junction between the tie-beams and wall plate. The timber members all appear to be softwood and none of the visible fabric appears older than the 19th/20th century. The walls are generally clad in horizontal boarding but the crude framing (vertical studs) is visible in the upper parts of the gable ends.
- 4.3.4 The granary retains a number of grain bins or bays and it has clearly been used as a general store. The interior was unsafe to enter and the only visual access was from the doorways.



4.3.5 The modern farm buildings which are being demolished in the current works include a large single phase concrete frame barn which wraps around the southern and eastern sides of the main barn constructed with pre-cast concrete members. The corrugated panel roof is supported by concrete posts with jowled heads on which principal rafters sit.

5 CONCLUSION

- 5.1.1 The Grade I listed barn at Church Farm, Lewknor is one of Oxfordshire's most interesting and significant medieval buildings. It has been well researched and investigated since its potential importance was first recognised in 1969 but the current programme of building recording has highlighted previously unknown features and it has increased our understanding of the building's original form.
- 5.1.2 The significance of the building partly lies in its considerable age with both stylistic evidence and dendrochronology suggesting it was probably constructed in the second quarter of the 14th century but the interest is greatly enhanced by the fact that the barn appears to have been originally constructed as an important, high-status hall house. The conversion of the hall to a barn meant that it avoided the fate of most medieval halls of having an upper floor and chimney stack inserted. Therefore, although the building is greatly altered from its original construction it is still able to provide a greater impression of the historic form of the hall than most comparable converted halls.
- 5.1.3 Even without the interest of its partial preservation as a barn the building would have been of considerable significance due to its unusually large size, relative to other halls of the same period, and the highly impressive nature of its prominent cusping and other decorative detailing. The Lewknor hall is an excellent representative of high-quality domestic buildings built in the generation before the Black Death, with profligate use of timber (e.g. secondary wallplates), elaborate design (e.g. raised aisle construction), and decorative features such as cusping. These kinds of buildings have often been associated with county gentry, and there is every likelihood that John of Lewknor was indeed responsible for its construction.
- 5.1.4 There is also an added interest created by the suggestion that the completion of the building may have been interrupted by the Black Death of 1348-9 or that it may have resulted in the building never being fully occupied.
- 5.1.5 The current programme of building recording has provided a good archive record of the building prior to the current conversion works but it has also significantly enhanced our understanding of the original form of the building. Of particular interest has been the identification of mortices in the wall plates which show that there were four projecting oriel windows from the north and south walls. Despite the building having been previously investigated no evidence had been found prior to the current project regarding the original arrangement of windows and doors. Also of interest have been the remarkable carpenters marks which have been identified and recorded. These had previously been obscured by the thick layer of grain dust in the building which was removed prior to the current recording.
- 5.1.6 The upper roof has not been examined in detail (and is not being altered), but there is no doubt that close examination from a scaffold tower would reveal further details of interest.
- 5.1.7 The current project has also allowed a close examination of the west end of the building, which was obscured by grain bins when the RCHME undertook their investigation in the early 1970s. This has shown that the west end of the structure was entirely reconstructed in the post-medieval period (albeit reusing a small number of medieval timbers) and this leaves a number of questions unanswered regarding the primary form of the west end. The reconstructed bay does not incorporate a large quantity of reused timber as may have been expected if a large timber-framed structure to the west of the spere truss and cross passage



was dismantled and then reconstructed. The fact that the condition of the main building is relatively good also suggests against the possibility that a large existing structure at the west end was dismantled.

Oxford Archaeology October 2009

© Oxford Archaeology



APPENDIX A. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Published Sources

Amery A Greater Medieval Houses of England and Wales 1300-1500: Southern England (2006) English Heritage Understanding Historic Buildings: a Guide to Good Recording Practice (2006) Wood M The English Medieval House (1965)

Fletcher J 'The Medieval Hall at Lewknor' Oxoniensia XL (1975)

Mercer E English Vernacular Houses 1975

Morrey MCJ and Smith JT 'The Great Barn' Lewknor: The Architectural Evidence' Oxoniensia XXXVIII (1973)

Turner HL 'The Great Barn, Lewknor: The Documentary Evidence' Oxoniensia XXXVII (1972)

'List 38, Tree Ring Dates' Vernacular Architecture 21 (1990)

Steane J 'Oxford Golden Cross Cornmarket' South Midlands Archaeology 17 (1987)

Non-published sources

Monson Condition Survey Report: Grade I Listed Barn at Church Farm, Lewknor (April 2007)

Finial Associates Church Farm Barn: Report and Recommendations (July 2002)



Appendix B. Listed building descriptions for Church Farm barn and house

Building Name: Church Farm, Barn Approximately 30 Metres East South East Of Farmhouse (notIncluded)Parish: LewknorDistrict: South OxfordshireLBS Number: 248940Grade: IDate Listed: 19 November 1976

National Grid Reference: SU7150697658

LEWKNOR CHURCH ROADGV ISU7197 (East side)10/94 Church Farm, barn approx. 30m.19/11/76 ESE of farmhouse (not included) (Formerly listed as The Old Barn at Church Farm)

House, now barn. Mid/late C14. C20 weatherboarding over heavy timber framing on brick base; half-hipped roof, old tiles to left and C20 tiles to right. Aisled 3-bay hall. C20 plank double doors. Interior: left end wall has 8 panels of which top 4 are cusped. Left truss: arch braces from wall to tie beam were reset to centre when aisle posts were inserted to support long tie beam; queen-post truss with tension-braced collar and arch braces to tie: arch-braced collar above with clasped purlins, diminishing principals and wind braces. Right spere truss: of similar construction, but lower aisled part has arch-braced aisle posts flanked by trefoil-cusped aisles. Probably built by John de Lewknor, who rebuilt the east end of the church (q.v.) in the Decorated style c.1320-40. Church Farm was acquired by All Souls College from Abingdon Abbey in 1340. Morrey and Smith date the barn to between 1350 and 1440. (Buildings of England: Oxfordshire, p.684; J.M. Fletcher, The Medieval Hall at Lewknor; Oxonensia, Vol.40 (1975); M.C.J. Morrey and J.T. Smith, The Great Barn Lewknor, the architectural evidence; Oxonensia, Vol.38 (1973), pp.339-349; Eric Mercer, English Vernacular Houses, 1975, p.194; National Monuments Record).

Building Name: Church FarmParish: LewknorDistrict: South OxfordshireLBS Number: 504424Grade: IIDate Listed:15 February 2008National Grid Reference:SU7145397674

1696/0/10007 Church Farm 15-FEB-08

GV II

Farmhouse with perhaps later C16 core, cased in brick and partly rebuilt in later C18; some C20 rebuilding and additions.

EXTERIOR: Church Farm farmhouse is a brick-cased, south-east facing building of three front bays and two storeys, with dormer windows lighting converted attics. The brick casing to the front is typical of the area in that it exhibits the decorative use of blue headers; it is of two phases, probably fairly close in date and of the later C18. The left-hand two bays are the older, the brickwork irregularly bonded with reddish bricks with dark blue headers. This is a refronting, later in date than the narrow-bricked gable wall to the left which is probably of the late C17 or early



C18 (projecting early C20 ground-floor window bay not of interest). The right-hand front bay is of a more orangey brick, in a regular Flemish bond with blue brick headers producing a chequered pattern. This probably represents a rebuilding of this end of the property (shown with an end-jetty on an illustration of 1764), extending to include a short, integral, single-storey range to the rear. Front door to the centre (immediately against the right edge of the older two bays); C20 brick porch (not of interest). The windows give an approximate symmetry to the front: three-light casements to the end bays (those to the ground floor slightly longer than those above) with a small two-light casement to the centre of the first floor, and a small pair of four-pane casements to the left of the front door lighting the staircase within. Most of the windows have been renewed in uPVC.

To the rear-right a large external chimney stack with flint and stone lower part and brick above is recognisably that shown on the illustration of 1764. A similar (but presumably rebuilt) chimney rises from the rear-left. C20 brick lean-tos against the rear of the house and against the c.1800 rear range are not of interest, nor is the C20 brick extension to the c.1800 rear range.

The roof is of red tile, relaid when the roof was partly replaced in the early C20; two brick stacks of this date rise from the centre of the older two bays and from the right-hand gable.

INTERIOR: The front door opens into a hall which extends the full depth of the property, and which extends left of the door (as entered) where a simple staircase of c.1800 leads off at right angles against the outside wall. Front room with kitchen behind in right-hand bay; dining room in left-hand bay; and small office in centre bay with bathroom behind. Upstairs the plan is roughly replicated, and there are some surviving planked doors, perhaps C18. There is also a curved brace visible in the centre-rear first-floor bedroom relating to the possible crown post roof above. The staircase continues to the attic floor with a bedroom in each end bay (only that to the right with fireplace) and a store room to the centre. The attic rooms are set within the probably later C16 roof; this survives largely intact in the older two bays with tie beams, collars and common rafters (and thus possibly of crown-post type), as seen most clearly in the closed attic space over the collars. Over the newer bay is a replacement roof of the early C20.

HISTORY: Church Farm is identified by the Victoria County History as the rectory farm, the living being in the possession of All Souls College from 1440. It was therefore either the college, or its tenants by agreement, which successively built and rebuilt the farmhouse. The Church Farm complex stands immediately west of St Margaret's church (listed Grade I) on the north edge of Lewknor. The complex includes, on its east edge, a Grade I listed building, a mid-C14 aisled house which was later converted to a barn.

SOURCES: Victoria History of the County of Oxford 8 (1964), 98-115; J M Fletcher, 'The medieval hall at Lewknor', Oxoniensia 40 (1975), 247-53; A Quiney, The Traditional Buildings of England (1990), 54.

REASONS FOR DESIGNATION DECISION: Church Farm farmhouse, Lewknor, is listed for the following principal reasons:

- * its core is essentially of the later C16, as shown on an illustration of 1764
- * two-thirds of the roof of this date is extant
- * for its attractive external brick casing of the late C18

* for its setting: its buildings include a Grade I listed C14 barn, beyond which is the parish church (Grade I) with which Church Farm was associated tenurially.

© Oxford Archaeology



APPENDIX C. BRIEF REPORT ON TREE-RING DATING

Source: Website http://www.dendrochronology.com/

List of dated Buildings in England and Wales [downloaded September 2009]


LEWKNOR, Church Farm, The Great Barn (SU 715 976)

Felling dates: Summer 1339, Winter 1342/3, Winter 1350/1

Lower collar 1350 (30C); Principal post 1319 (H/S); Tiebeam of open truss 1338(17¹/₂C); Rear wall plate 1342 (22C). *Site Master* 1188-1350 LEWKNER (t=10.5 READING; 7.4 OXON; 7.1 EASTMID; 5.8 ZACHS)

The Great Barn at Lewknor was formerly the principal residence on the rectorial farm belonging to Abingdon Abbey. The timber framing is all that survives of this hall, the wall filling having gone, and the building is now used as a barn. The roof is of raised-aisle type with clasped purlins and diminished principals, with ogival cusped bracing, It was dated by John Fletcher to 1325-50 on stylistic grounds, and attributed to the Abbey's hereditary tenant John de Lewknor (c. 1316-1360; Knight of the Shire, 1331-1354). Fletcher's suggestion that the house was not completed, as a result of the Black Death, or never fully occupied, might at first seem to be corroborated by the range of dates given here. It is perhaps just as reasonable to postulate the use of old or partially seasoned timber.

VAG Spring Conference Programme (1987), 7; M. C.J. Morrey & J. T. Smith, Oxoniensia 38 (1973); 339-45; J.M. Fletcher, Oxoniensia 40 (1975); 247-5. E. Mercer, English Vernacular Houses (1975), 338. Core samples taken by D. H. M. Notes by J. T. M. (Haddon-Reece, Miles, and Munby 1990, VA 21, list 38)



Reproduced by permission of the Ordnance Survey on behalf of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office (c) Crown Copyright, 1996 All rights reserved. License No. AL 100005569

Figure 1: Site location







Figure 2: Site plan












0_____2 m 1:50



Server 10:/oaupubs1_ItoQ*LEWCFBS*Lewknor Church Farm Barn*jm*21.09.09




Server 10:/oaupubs1_ItoQ*LEWCFBS*Lewknor Church Farm Barn*jm*21.09.09



0



Server 10:/oaupubs1_ltoQ*LEWCFBS*Lewknor Church Farm Barn*jm*01.10.09



2

Figure 9: Plan of barn showing carpenters marks (based on RCHM survey)




Plate 1: Interior of barn



Plate 2: Exterior of barn from north



Plate 3: Exterior of barn from SE during demolition of adjacent modern structure



Plate 4: Exterior of barn from NW



Plate 5: Detail of east elevation during removal of weather boarding

ethed = however Structure from the server go*LEWCFBS*Lewknor Church Farm Barn*jm*16.09.09




Plate 6: General internal view of barn from east



Plate 7: Roof in east half of barn



Plate 8: Roof in east half of barn



Plate 10: View of roof at east end of barn



Plate 9: Detail of Frame 2 and 20th-century gantry



Plate 11: Detail of framing in Frame 3



Plate 12: East end of barn (Frame 1)



Plate 14: Roof at W end. Rafters to left secondary. Primary smoke blackened rafters to right




Plate 13: Secondary framing and possibly reused tie at W end of N wall



Plate 15: Secondary frame at W end of barn

Plates 12-15




Plate 16: Secondary west end of barn



Plate 18: Modern trough/chute in floor of barn



Plate 17: Secondary west end of barn



Plate 19: Fleur de Lis carpenters mark



Plate 20: Carpenters mark on Frame 3 (W central)



Plate 22: Curved corner brace and end of tie beam at E end of barn



0

servergo*LEWCFBS*Lewknor Church Farm Barn*jm*16.09.09

Plate 21: Chase mortice in face of wall plate, under eaves, from former projecting oriel



Plate 23: Granary from south

Plates 20-23



servergo*LEWCFBS*Lewknor Church Farm Barn*jm*16.09.09




Plate 24: Granary from east



Plate 26: West side of stables range



Plate 25: East wall of stables. Right side formerly open fronted



Plate 27: Interior of north room of stable range

Plates 24-27



OASouth

Janus House Osney Mead Oxford OX20ES

t:+44(0)1865 263800 f:+44(0)1865 793496 e:info@oxfordarch.co.uk w:http://thehumanjourney.net

OA North Mill 3 Moor Lane Mills Moor Lane Lancaster LA11GF

t:+44(0)1524541000 f:+44(0)1524848606 e:oanorth@thehumanjourney.net w:http://thehumanjourney.net

OAEast

15 Trafalgar Way Bar Hill Cambridgeshire CB23 8SQ

t:+44(0)1223 850500 f:+44(0)1223 850599 e:oaeast@thehumanjourney.net w:http://thehumanjourney.net

OA Méditerranée 115 Rue Merlot ZAC La Louvade

34 130 Mauguio France

t: +33(0)4.67.57.86.92 f: +33(0)4.67.42.65.93 e: oamed@thehumanjourney.net w: http://oamed.fr/

Director: David Jennings, BA MIFA FSA



The Oxford Archaeological Unit Ltd is a Private Limited Company, N^o: 1618597 and a Registered Charity, No: 285627 Registered Office: Janus House, Osney Mead, Oxford, OX20ES

LEWKNOR LAURCH FARM BARN LEWCF OG Box FILE3 B. PRIMARY CONTEXT DATA

000

OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 0ES


PART 1	L	FILMING INSTRUCTIONS	·
Submit	ter: OA		i
No. of I	Diazo Copies	=	
SCAN	1 DISKS	*2	
PART 2	2	TITLE/HEADINGS	
Site Info	ormation:		~
Line 1:	[OA]	County: [Cron] Parish: [LEWKNOR]
1	Site:[(HU)	RCH FARMBARN LEWCFOG	1
÷.	Site identifi	ier/accession code may be included	-
Line 2:	Fieldworke	r/Excavator's Name [J. Gu]
Line 3:	1		
Classific	cation of Mat	erial:	
		 A strategy of the second se	Tick if


Present

Index to Archive					
Introduction				 	
A: Final Report				 	•
A: Publication Report					
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes					
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries		-			
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records				~	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records					
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports					
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings				 	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings				 	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings					•
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data					
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	•2				
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	· .				
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List					
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays			1		
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	~ '				
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records		<i>i</i> .			
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports					
F: Documentary					
F: Press and Publicity					
G: Correspondence					
H: Miscellaneous					

LEWCF09

WWay 2 revent John JMute. Flur de lys. (cuitre) Certo N true Cup mber & a selft of the & a brue self fully - should SE -{ W (Entre) Carto S thin & mile W + ME on the Aft (E) SWM Cap the II, III, IV, V, VI a torift - smill II III on free (new detit a W care). What ded provide down to sendy (no peg) NBoe was port in New buy, where chace note. nite, a versi post in N by. . ded scaf-or contitem. EWM Mirt + 5 by dum wallplot stat. for 24 matrices for 2 byuch Ned sections of well head + revised when Flites ream.





LEWCF09 D. mm i e Cherle Wet ull, monthey in bay 2. · Chule over meanuts in W Wall and Gat mill. PCNM o fend and out of 1 Solt chul · ? New extension -23-空日) sety ditely. chah. Q. $\Delta \Delta \Delta$ soch dill. Grand ellon б,

• Development Officer – 1 fte [self-funded after one year] -- £29,400

- To research potential funding opportunities, and to make contacts with possible funders
- To advise the Chair of all funding possibilities at both national and local level, and to seek opportunities for funding both through the funding councils and trusts, and through philanthropic funding.
- Report to the Business Manager and the Chair
- Publications Assistant 1 fte [currently EPE funded] £26,069
 - Support for the Publications Manager in production of all paper and digital resources
 - Support (indirectly) for Executive and Architectural editors to relieve them of routine administrative burdens and free up time for research development
 - Report to the Publications Manager
- Digital Resources Manager 1 fte [currently EPE funded] -- £46,863
 - Maintain websites and hosting arrangements
 - Advise and train county staff on web mounting of material
 - Drive new development in the dissemination of CLH outputs
 - Report to the Projects Manager
- Education Manager 1 fte [currently EPE funded] -- £43,800
 - Deliver the outreach activities for schools and lifelong learners (see objectives)
 - Report to the Projects Manager
- Outreach Officer 1 fte -- £36,148
 - Develop the existing training programme for volunteers
 - o Co-ordinate the volunteer programmes in counties with or without a current
 - VCH/EPE office
 - Work closely with the education officer to offer relevant materials at all educational levels from Key Stage 1 to lifelong learners, and to engage learners with the CLH programme.
 - Report to the Education Manager
 - Communications Officer 1 fte [currently EPE funded] -- £31,464
 - \circ $\,$ $\,$ To run a national outreach programme in relation to raising the profile of the CLH,
 - and within it the VCH and EPE
 - To undertake work on all aspects of resource launches, to maximise publicity and
 - profile raising.
 - o Report to the Projects Manager

The CLH staff will oversee the publication, research, academic and administrative responsibilities of projects around the country. There are 28 county staff and 1 consultant editor that oversee the research and writing of paper and digital resources. Each county is funded through a separate partner organization, usually another university or a county council. In some counties much of the funding is provided by a trust. The IHR has contracts with each county, and CLH staff sit on appointments committees and attend Advisory Board and trust meetings. For a full staff list see appendix 3.

J. *

LEWKNOR CHURCH FARM BARN BOXIFILE4

B. PRIMARY DRAWINGS


WWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW MANT/ WENYRAPON.

OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 0ES

PART 1 FILMING INSTRUCTIONS	
Submitter: OA	
No-of Diazo Conies-3	
SCAN BISKS X2	
PART 2 TITLE/HEADINGS	
Site Information:	
Line 1: [OA] County: [Oxor] Parish: [LEUKNOR	21
Site: [CHURCH FARM BARN LEWCFOG	i
Site identifier/accession code may be included	-
Line 2: Fieldworker/Excavator's Name [J. Gu	1
Line 3:	-
Classification of Material:	
Tic	k if


Present


Index to Archive	[
Introduction	1
A: Final Report	
A: Publication Report	,
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	·
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	•
H: Miscellaneous	
	·







MORTICOD INTO SOUTH WALL PRATE










REMOVE TO EXI





Measured & Drawn by MCJ Morrey 1922 NATIONAL MONUMENTS RECORD Scale of feet 3 G 9





NORTH WAI

LEWCF09

damaged

Damaged horizontal knee

Sawn timbers in centre & south east bays





&ASA1P1

PROMIX 38 + UREA PELLETS IN BULK

Best before: 23-MAR-2005

This is a complementary feedingstuff for feeding to lactating dairy cows and growing cattle from 12 weeks. It is designed as a mid day feed and must be fed in conjunction with roughage, to a maximum of 6 kg/head/day for dairy cows and 3kg/head/day for growing cattle. THIS FEED DOES NOT CONTAIN ADDED VITAMINS, TRACE ELEMENTS AND MINERALS WHICH MUST BE PROVIDED SEPARATELY. Clean water must be available. Feed Materials in descending order by weight: Sunflower ext, Rape seed ext (low in glucosinolate), Maize Distiller's Dark Grains (GM*2), Hipro Soya ext, Cane molasses; Palm Kernel exp, Maize Gluten Feed (GM*2)

(GM*2) Produced from genetically modified material. Contains 3.33% Urea, providing 25.4% of the total protein provided by non-protein nitrogen D0 NOT EXCEED AN INTAKE OF 20g NPN per 100kg liveweight.

Pye Bibby Agriculture Lansil Way,Lansil Industrial Estate,Lancaster Lancashire LAT 30Y NET WEIGHT: See bag or delivery note/invoice Store in a cool, dry place.

Formula Code : 73 / 271 Version: 4121 Run No: 007081 3206522 Serial:

UFAS - Compound Feed - Certificate End Date 01/07/05 . Establishment Approval No: aGB2019527

		¢.	AL_OPS\$V	28-NOV-1	05 12:00 AM
Account 01633 (Rep 76109 (Order Received 23 Simon Pickard	1 and	600DS 000752		ED
H/Z : 0X2		NG GRP; (AGENT	PORTE MAR PORTE MAR CALNE WILTSHIRE SNT1 9BW	RSH TRADING H E	ESTATE
Contact : Mobile/Phone : Required : 28-1 Despatched : 2	07768 894111 /0 NOV-05 06:00 18:00 7-NOV-05 Ref :	1844 5 Lac st : 28	NOV-0'5		
Product		Or Racks	dered Quantity	Despatche Packs Quan	d Bin Tckt tity DP
TE54B1 SUGAR I	BEET BLEND IN BULH	< · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.0000	9E 4	42585 2000 73
1 J	• • •				
	· · ·				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Totals To	onnage	4.0000	4.	2000
PALLETS OUT LOADED BY HAULIER VEHICLE REG. RUN NO. CUSTOMER DRIVER	:	N	· · · · ·	۶	
DIRECTIONS					
	4				

6⁴¹ ¥.


P


a r

÷.,


ġ.

TE54B1 Ŷ SUGAR 1 BEET BLEND IN -EUL+ OPA PROTEIN FIBRE . 5 8 96.96 . 6 3 ASH MQISTURE 6.8% 13.8% Best before 24-FED 2006 Ihis is a complementary feedingstuff for feeding to lactating dairy corst and growing cattle from 12 weeks. At is designed as a mid day feed and must be feed in conjunction with roughage, to a maximum of 6 kg/head/dai for dairy/cows and skg/head/day for growing cattle. THIS FEED DOES HOT CONTAIN WODED VITAMINS, TRACE ELEMENTS AND MINERALS WHICH MUST-BE PROVIDED SEPARATELY. Clean water must be available. Feed Materials in descending order by weight: Molassed Sugar Beet Pulp, Wheatfeed Southern Valley Feeds L Statter Poad Southern lton Devon IX30 sbr Dee bag or delivery hote/invoice ore in a cool, dry plage. NET WEIGNI /Stor€ Run No: 005957 1984 Version: 5101 Serifal: Ţ. DFAS - Compound Feed - Certificate End Date 01/07/2006 Establishment Approval No: aGB2019527 FULTONE









LEWKNOR CHURCH FARM BARN LEWCF 09 BOXIFILES D. CATALOGUE OF PHOTOGRAPHS

OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 0ES

PART 1	l	FILMING INSTRU	CTIONS		
Submitt	ter: OA				
No. of I	Diazo Copies	≝	•	•	. •
SC_Ar	1 DISKS	×2			
PART 2	2	TITLE/HEADINGS			
Site Info	ormation:		×	:	
Line 1:	[OA]	County: Oxor	1	Parish: [LEWK	NOR]
1	Site:[CHC	RCH FARMBA		FOG	i
	Site identif	ier/accession code may	be included		-
Line 2:	Fieldwork	er/Excavator's Name [;	J.GILL		.]
Line 3:	1	. '	-		-
Classific	cation of Ma	terial:		•	1
		 A start of the sta			Tick if

Present

IntroductionA: Final ReportA: Publication ReportB: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/FieldnotesB: Site Data – Text: General SummariesB: Site Data – Text: General SummariesB: Site Data – Text: Primary Context RecordsB: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context RecordsB: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context RecordsB: Site Data – Text: Survey ReportsB: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of DrawingsB: Site Data – Text: Primary DrawingsB: Site Data – Text: Primary DrawingsB: Site Data – Text: Synthesised DrawingsC: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds DataC: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds DataC: Finds Data – Text: Specialist ReportsC: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag ListD: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-raysE: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised RecordsE: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist ReportsF: DocumentaryF: Press and PublicityG: Correspondence	Index to Archive	
A: Final Report A: Publication Report B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		
A: Publication Report B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays ✓ E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		·
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of DrawingsB: Site Data – Text: Primary DrawingsB: Site Data – Text: Synthesised DrawingsC: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds DataC: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds DataC: Finds Data – Text: Specialist ReportsC: Finds Data – Text: Specialist ReportsC: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag ListD: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-raysE: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary RecordsE: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised RecordsE: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist ReportsF: DocumentaryF: Press and PublicityG: Correspondence		·
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays V E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		· · ·
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings		
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		•
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays Image: Constraint of the state o		
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records Image: Content of the second		
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F F: Documentary F F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		\checkmark
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		
F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence F: Press and Publicity		
F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		
G: Correspondence		
H: Miscellaneous	H: Miscellaneous	

Oxford A	rchaeology	РН	IOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET	J.G1	
SITE COD	LEWCFOG	SITE NA	ME CHURCH FARM, LEWKNOR	FILM NO.	
Camera nur	nber	Lens num	hber	Black & white	olour-
Date	Negative	View	Context(s)		Initia
	number	FROM			50
۶A.	. 0		УЛ	. i	
	1				· ·
3/6/0	9 , 2	SE	FARMYARD GENERAL		- ·
	3	Sw	Modern born	·	
	4	S	<u>11 h</u>		
	5	5	Main barn	· · · ·	
	6	9	u h	- 	
	7	NE	Modern born		
	8	SE	Main barn	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	9	SE	Yord		
	10	E	Main barn etc		
	11	モ	<u>4</u> ()	•	
	12	NE	te U		
	13	NE	11 IC		
	14	NE	11 ⁽⁷		
	15	NE	11 ¹ 1		
	16	E	11 V		
	17	Ν	H		
	18	SĒ	Granary		
	19	SE	stables		
1.	20	SE	11	· · ·	
	21	SE	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	22	NE	Granary		
	23	SW	Stahler etc		
	24	SW	Stables		
	25	SW	Stables Main barn Stabley		
	26	E	Stably		
	27	- sw	Granory	<u> </u>	
Ŀ	28	SE	Farmhouse		
	29	N	Main have	- <u> </u>	
	30	N	Main barn		
	31	NE	Modern barn		
	32	NE	stables	·······	
	33	NE	11		
	34	NE	(i		
	35	NE			
5	36	SE		<u> </u>	
<u>`</u> }//	37	SE	Modern barn		L

Oxford Arch		Р	HOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET J. G.	ILL
	EWCFO9	SITE N	AME CHURCH FARM, LEWKNOR FILM NO.	ス
Camera numb	er	Lens nu		
Date	Negative number	View FROM	Context(s)	Initials
	0			
1 1	1	· · · · · ·		50
3609	2	SE	STABLES BLOCK INTERIOR	
	3	₩ W		
	4	₩ W		
	5	NE		
	6	NE		_
	7	E		
	8	SW		
	9	SW		
	10	NW		
	11	NE		
	12	SW	MAIN BARN INTERIOR N. Wall	
	13	SW	I I North wall	+
	14	sw	East corner	
	15	sw	Rafters N. slope	
	16	SW		+
	17	#S	Ganton etc	
	18	NW	East wall	
	19	NW		
	20	W		· · · ·
	21		FLASH FAILED	
·	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			
	29			
	30			
	31			
	32			
	33			1
	34			
5	35		4 4	
	36	NW (2pen truss	·
<u></u>	37	NW	11 11 11 11	

•

Oxford Ar	chaeology	Р	HOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET J.G.	
SITE CODE	LEWCFO	9 SITE N	AME CHURCH FARM, LEWKNOR FILM NO.	3
Camera num	iber	Lens nu	mber (Black & white)/ co	olour
Date	Negative number		Context(s)	Initials
17/7/09	0		MAIN BARN INTERIOR	36
	1	Ŵ	Southan Corina	+
	2	W	11 11	<u>† </u>
	3	SW	N slope (E. end)	
	4	<u> </u>	Open truss	1
	5	W		
	6	51	OPEN TRUSS detail	
·	7	E		
	8	NE	South wall	
	9	NE	Rafturs in south slope	
	10	NE	11 11 11 11 - at E. end	
	11	E	Gantry etc + Open truss	
·	12 13	N N	EAST WALL	
	14	╉╼╼╧╍╉		
	14	NW		
	16	SW SW	Door in N. Wall	
	17	SW	Rafters above door in N. well	
	18	SW	Frame details at W. end of N. Wall (Revsed Post)	
	19	507		
	20	5		·
	21	5		
	22	sw	V	
	23	SW		
	24	5		
	25	NE	Fram details - W. end of S. Wall	
	26	NE		
	27	NE		
	28	W	Spire truss - N. ad detail	
	29	N	Southern CORNER	[
	30	N		
	31	NE	ExPOSED FEATURES IN N. WALL	
	32	NE		
	33	NE	t t cha	
	34	wи	South WALL - F. Western end	
-+	35			
¥	36			
	37			

/3

i -

SITE CODE LEWCF09 SITE NAME Church FARM LEWKNOR FILM NO. Gamera number Date Negative View Context(s) $22/7/090$ 0 Context(s) $32/7/090$ 0 Context(s) $32/7/090$ 0 Context(s) $42/7/090$ 0 Context(s) $42/7/090$ 0 Context(s) $43/560$ 0 Context(s) $45/500$ 0 Context(s) $45/5000$ 0 Context(s) $43/56000$ 0 Context(s) $45/7000000000000000000000000000000000000$	L
Camera number Lens number Context(s) Date Negative number View FROM Context(s) $22/7/00$ 0 1 SE Main barn during weathuboard removal 2 SE 4 SE 6 E 7 SE 8 S 10 E 11 E 12 N 13 S 14 E 15 w 16 S 17 S 18 v 22 Z 23 Z 24 Z 25 Z 30 Z 21 Z	 +-
Date Negative number View FROM Context(s) $2/7/cq$ 0 1 SE Main born during weathubord removed $2/7/cq$ 0 1 SE 1 $2/7/cq$ 0 1 SE 1 $2/7/cq$ $3/7$ SE 1 1 $4/7 SE 1 1 1 4/7 SE 1 1 1 6/7 SE 1 1 1 8/7 SE 1 1 1 9/7 SE 1 1 1 10/7 SE 1 1 1 11/7 S 1 1 1 11/7 S 1 1 1 20 1 1 1 1 1 21 1 1 1 1 1 1 22 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 211 1 1 1 1 1 1 $	1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	┿
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	┢
3 $5 \in$ 4 $5 \in$ 5 $5 \in$ 6 E 7 $5 E$ 9 W 8 5 9 W 10 E 11 E 12 N 13 5 14 E 15 W 16 5 17 5 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	┢
4 SE 5 SE 6 E 7 SE 9 W 10 E 11 E 12 N 13 S 14 E 15 W 16 S 17 S 18 V 20 V 21 V 22 V 23 V 24 V 25 V 26 V 27 V 30 31	╋
6 E 7 SE \checkmark 8 5 TKENCHES 9 ω \checkmark 10 E \checkmark 11 E \bullet 12 N \bullet 13 S \bullet 14 E \bullet 15 ω \bullet 16 S \bullet 18 ω \checkmark 20 \bullet \bullet 21 \bullet \bullet 22 \bullet \bullet 23 \bullet \bullet 24 \bullet \bullet 25 \bullet \bullet 26 \bullet \bullet 29 \bullet \bullet 30 \bullet \bullet 31 \bullet \bullet	╀─
6 E 7 SE 9 W 10 E 11 E 12 N 13 S 14 E 15 W 16 S 17 S 18 V 20 V 21 V 22 V 23 V 24 V 25 V 30 V 31 V	┝
7 SE \checkmark \checkmark 8 5 TKENCHES 9 W 10 10 E 11 11 E 12 12 N 13 13 S 14 15 W 16 16 S 17 18 V V 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 31	┝
8 5 TKENCHES 9 ω 10 ε 11 ε 12 N 13 ς 14 ε 15 ω 16 ς 17 ς 18 ω 20 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	┢
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	┣─
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	
27 28 29 30 31 32	
28 29 30 31 32	
29 30 31 32	<u></u>
30 31 32	
31 32	
32	
	 ,
34	
35	
36	
30 37 37	

Site Code	e:	Site Name:	FILM 1	
LEWCF0	-	CHURCH FARM, LEWKNOR	_	
Shot No.	View from	Description	Initial	Date
0			JG	21.4.09
	SW	Eastern end of north wall	JG	21.4.09
	SW	Eastern end of north wall	JG	21.4.09
	SW	Rafters at eastern end of north slope	JG	21.4.09
4	SW	Rafters at eastern end of north slope	JG	21.4.09
5	NW	East wall	JG	21.4.09
6	NW	East wall	JG	21.4.09
7		Roof at east end	JG	21.4.09
8	E	South side of open truss	JG	21.4.09
9	NE	East end of south wall	JG	21.4.09
	NE	Rafters at eastern end of south slope	JG	21.4.09
11	E	Roof general - East end of south slope	JG	21.4.09
12	SE	Roof general - East third	JG	21.4.09
13	SE	Roof and 'open' truss general	JG	21.4.09
14	NE	Door in south wall	JG	21.4:09
	SE	South part of spere truss	JG	21.4.09
16		Spere truss	JG	21.4.09
	SW	Gantry and central part of roof	JG	21.4.09
	NE	South wall, west section	JG	21.4.09
19	NE	Rafters in south slope at west end	JG	21.4.09
20	NW	Roof above spere truss	JG	21.4.09
21	W	Spere truss	JG	21.4.09
.22	SW	Rebuilt roof at west end	JG	21.4.09
	NE	Rebuilt roof at west end	JG	21.4.09
24		Spere truss	JG	21.4.09
	NE	Exterior - north elevation	JG	21.4.09
	NE	Exterior - north elevation	JG	21.4.09
27		Grain conveyor shutes on 'open' truss	JG -	21.4.09
28		Rafter feet detail	JG	21.4.09
29		Detail of rebuilt frame at W end	JG	21.4.09
30	E	Gantry detail	JG	21.4.09
31	N	Gantry detail	JG	21.4.09

Sheet1

Site Code:		Site Name:	FILM 2	
EWCF09	View from	CHURCH FARM, LEWKNOR	Initial	Date
0			JG	Duit
	SE	General view	JG	3.6.09
	SE	Roof general	JG	3.6.09
	SW	East end of north wall	JG	3.6.09
	sw	Roof at east end	JG	3.6.09
	SW	Roof at east end	JG	3.6.09
	SW	Detail at east end	JG	3.6.09
	NW	East end	JG	3.6.09
	NW	East end	JG	3.6.09
	NW	East end	JG	3.6.09
	NW	East end	JG	3.6.09
	NW	East end	JG	3.6.09
	NW	Roof at east end	JG	3.6.09
	NW	Detail at east end	JG	3.6.09
	NE	East end of south wall	JG	3.6.09
	NE	East end of south wall	JG	3.6.09
	NE	East end of south wall	JG	3.6.09
	NE	Rafters in east bay (south slope)	JG	3.6.09
18		Rafters in east bay (south slope)	JG	3.6.09
	NE	Rafters in east bay (south slope)	JG	3.6.09
	SW	Rafters in east bay (south slope)	JG	3.6.09
	SE	General roof - open truss	JG	3.6.09
	SE	General	JG	3.6.09
	SE	Oopen truss detail	JG	3.6.09
23		Roof at east end	JG	3.6.09
	SE	Detail of 'open' truss	JG	3.6.09
	SE	Detail of 'open' truss	JG	3.6.09
20			JG	3.6.09
	SE	Detail of 'open' truss	JG	3.6.09
	SW	Detail of 'open' truss	JG	3.6.09
	SE	Detail of north wall at east end Detail of roof at east end	JG 、	3.6.09
	SE SE		JG	3.6.09
		North side of 'open' truss		
32	E	Roof general	JG	3.6.09
		Stales block - room at south end	JG	3.6.09
	SW	Stales block - room at south end	JG	3.6.09
35		Granary staddle stones	JG	3.6.09
	W	Granary interior	JG	3.6.09
37		Granary interior	JG	3.6.09
38		Granary interior	JG	3.6.09
	W	Granary interior	JG	3.6.09
	W	Granary interior	JG	3.6.09
	SW	Granary interior	JG	3.6.09
	W	Detail of 'open' truss	JG	3.6.09
	N	Open' truss detail	JG	3.6.09
	SW	West end of north wall	JG	3.6.09
	SW	Rafters in west bay (north slope)	JG	3.6.09
46		Roof general at west end	JG	3.6.09
	SE	West wall	JG	3.6.09
	SE	West wall	JG	3.6.09
	SE	West wall	JG	3.6.09
	SE	West wall	JG	3.6.09
51	SE	Roof at west end	JG	3.6.09
52	NE	West end of south wall	JG	3.6.09

Sheet2

Sheet2

	NE	West end of south wall	JG	3.6.09
	NE	General west end	JG	3.6.09
55	NE	General west end	JG	3.6.09
56	NE	General west end	JG	3.6.09
57	E	General west end	JG	3.6.09
58	N	Detail of frame at west end	JG	3.6.09
59	N	General west end	JG	3.6.09
60	NW	Spere truss detail	JG	3.6.09
61	NW	Spere truss detail	JG	3.6.09
62	NW	Spere truss detail	JG	3.6.09
63	NW	Spere truss detail	JG	3.6.09
64	NW	Spere truss detail	JG	3.6.09
65	N	Spere truss detail	JG	3.6.09
66	NW	Open' truss detail	JG	3.6.09
67	NŴ	Open' truss detail	JG	3.6.09
68	W	Open' truss detail	JG	3.6.09
69	W	Open' truss detail	JG	3.6.09
70	SW	Open' truss detail	JG	3.6.09
71	NW	Open' truss detail	JG	3.6.09
72	SE	Spere truss detail	JG	3.6.09
	SE	Gantry and spere truss	JG	3.6.09
74	S	Spere truss detail	JG	3.6.09
75	SE	Spere truss detail	JG	3.6.09
76	SE	Floor detail - eastern bay	JG	3.6.09
77	SW	Floor detail - eastern bay	JG	3.6.09
78	NW	Floor detail - eastern bay	JG	3.6.09
79	SW	Floor detail - west bay	JG	3.6.09
80	NW	Floor detail - west bay	JG	3.6.09
81	W	Floor detail - west bay	JG	3.6.09
		-		-

Site Code: LEWCF09		Site Name: CHURCH FARM, LEWKNOF	FILM 3 R	3					
	View from	Description		Date	7				ċ
	sw	Stables block south room			-				
1	SE	General farm yard	JG	3.6.09	_				
	SE	General farm yard	JG	3.6.09	-				
	sw	Modern barn	JG	3.6.09	-				
	ISW	Modern barn	JG	3.6.09	-				
	5 S	Main barn	JG	3.6.09	-				
	SE	Main barn	JG	3.6.09	-		•		
7		Modern barn	JG	3.6.09					
	B SE	Modern barn	JG	3.6.09	-				
)E	Main barn exterior	JG	3.6.09	-				
		Main barn exterior	JG	3.6.09	-				
	NE	Main barn exterior	JG	3.6.09	-				
		Main barn exterior	JG	3.6.09					
	NE	Main barn exterior	JG	3.6.09	-				
	I NE	Main barn exterior	JG	3.6.09	-				
	5 E	Main barn exterior	JG	3.6.09	- -				
	SE	Granary	JG	3.6.09	-				
	'SE	Stables	JG	3.6.09	-				
	B SE	Stables	JG	3.6.09	-				
	SE	Stables	JG	3.6.09	-				
	NE	Granary	JG	3.6.09	-				
and the second second	SW	Stables	JG	3.6.09	-	•			
	2 S	Stables	JG	3.6.09	-				
	ssw	Main barn exterior	JG	3.6.09	-				
	NE	Stables	JG	3.6.09	-				
	SW	Granary	JG	3.6.09	-			2	
	NW	Main barn	JG	3.6.09	-				
	NW	Main barn	JG	3.6.09	-				
	B NE	Stables	JG	3.6.09	_				
	NE	Stables	JG	3.6.09	-				
	w w	Stables interior	JG	3.6.09	-				
	W	Stables interior	JG	3.6.09	-				
	2 SW	Stables interior	JG	3.6.09	-				
	3 N	Stables interior	JG	3.6.09	-				
	W	Stables interior	JG	3.6.09	-				
	NE	Stables interior	JG	3.6.09					
	NE	Stables interior	JG	3.6.09	_				
	'SW	Stables interior	JG	3.6.09	-		4		
	NW	Stables interior	JG	3.6.09	-				
) SE	Stables interior		3.6.09	_				
	95	Olables interior		0.0.00					

Site Code:		Site Name:	FILM 4		
LEWCF09 Shot No.	View from	CHURCH FARM, LEWKNOR	Initial	Date	
0			indai	Date	
	n/a	Mark on post & tie soffit of Spere truss (N side)	JG	17.7.09	
	n/a	Mark on post & tie soffit of Spere truss (N side)	JG	17.7.09	
	n/a	Mark on arch of soffit of Spere truss (S side)	JG	17.7.09	
	n/a	Mark on arch of soffit of Spere truss (S side)	JG	17.7.09	
	n/a	Mark on arch of soffit of Spere truss (S side)	JG	17.7.09	
6	n/a	Mark on arch of soffit of Spere truss (S side)	JG	17.7.09	
7	n/a	Mark on arch of soffit of Spere truss (S side)	JG	17.7.09	
8	n/a	Mark on post & tie soffit of Spere truss (N side)	JG	17.7.09	
, 9	n/a	Mark on post & tie soffit of Spere truss (N side)	JG	17.7.09	
10	n/a	Mark on post & tie soffit of Spere truss (N side)	JG	17.7.09	
11	n/a	Mark on arch brace soffit of Spere truss (N side)	JG	17.7.09	
12	n/a	Mark on arch brace soffit of Spere truss (N side)	JG	17.7.09	
13	n/a	Mark on arch brace soffit of Spere truss (N side)	JG	17.7.09	
	n/a	Mark on post & tie soffit of spere truss (S side)	JG	17.7.09	
	n/a	Mark on post & tie soffit of spere truss (S side)	JG	17.7.09	
	n/a	Mark on post & tie soffit of spere truss (S side)	JG	17.7.09	
	n/a	Mark on post & tie soffit of Spere truss (N side)	JG	17.7.09	
	n/a	Mark on post & tie soffit of Spere truss (N side)	JG	17.7.09	
	n/a	Mark on post & tie soffit of Spere truss (N side)	JG	17.7.09	
	n/a	Mark on post & tie soffit of Spere truss (N side)	JG	17.7.09	
21	n/a	Mark on post & tie soffit of Spere truss (N side)	JG	17.7.09	

Site Code:		Site Name:	FILM 5	
LEWCF09		CHURCH FARM, LEWKNOR	/ 	D-4-
		Description	Initial	Date
0			10	00 7 00
	SE	East elevation during works	JG	22.7.09
	SE	East elevation during works	JG	22.7.09
	SE	East elevation during works	JG	22.7.09
	SE	East elevation during works	JG	22.7.09
	SE	East elevation during works	JG	22.7.09
	SE	East elevation during works	JG	22.7.09
	E	East elevation during works	JG	22.7.09
8	E	East elevation during works	JG	22.7.09
. 9	SW	Curved corner brace at south corner	JG	22.7.09
10	SW	Curved corner brace at south corner	JG	22.7.09
11	SW	Curved corner brace at south corner	JG	22.7.09
12	SW	Curved corner brace at south corner	JG	22.7.09
13	sw	Curved corner brace at south corner	JG	22.7.09
14	sw	Curved corner brace at south corner	JG	22.7.09
15	SW	Curved corner brace at south corner	JG	22.7.09
	sw	Curved corner brace at south corner	JG	22.7.09
17	sw	Curved corner brace at south corner	JG	22.7.09
18	sw	Mortice in south wall plate	JG	22.7.09
	SW	Mortice in south wall plate	JG	22.7.09
	NW	South slope rafter feet	JG	22.7.09
	SW	Mortice for oriels in south wall plate	JG	22.7.09
	SW	Mortice for oriel in south wall plate	JG	22.7.09
	sw	Mortice for oriel in south wall plate	JG	22.7.09
	1 - 1 - 1		100	