

Site/Project Name: Land off Barton Road, Headington

Site Code: OXHBR13

Site/Project Type: Evaluation

Year(s): 2013

Accession Number: OXCMS: 2013.145

Record Group	Contents	Comments	Box/File Number
	<b>INTRODUCTION</b> Archaeological brief Written scheme of investigation Desk based assessment	10 sheets See digital version See digital version	Box 1 File 1
<b>A</b>	<b>REPORT</b> Archaeological evaluation report  OASIS data collection form	<a href="http://library.thehumanjourney.net/1777">http://library.thehumanjourney.net/1777</a>  3 Sheets	Box 1 File 2
<b>B</b>	<b>PRIMARY CONTEXT RECORDS</b> Levels register Daily Journal Evaluation trench sheet (No's 1-4) Context sheet	1 Sheet 2 Sheets 4 Sheets 20 Sheets	Box 1 File 3
<b>B</b>	<b>PRIMARY DRAWINGS</b> Plan record sheet (No's 101-400) Plans Section record sheet (No's 101-301) Sections	1 Sheet 4 Sheets 1 Sheet 4 Sheets	Box 1 File 4
<b>C</b>	<b>FINDS DATA</b> Finds compendium Box contents sheet	1 Sheet 4 Sheets	Box 1 File 5
<b>D</b>	<b>CATALOGUE OF PHOTOGRAPHS</b> B+W photo register (Film 1) Digital contact sheet Digital photo register	1 Sheet 1 Sheet 1 Sheet	Box 1 File 6

**PDF/A SCAN**

**FILMING INSTRUCTIONS**

Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

**Headings**

**Site information**

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Headington]

Site[Land off Barton Road] Site code[OXHBR13]

Line 2: Excavators name[S Leech]

Line 3:

**Classification of material**

**Tick if present**

Classification of material	Tick if present
Index to archive	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Introduction	<input type="checkbox"/>
A: Final Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
A: Publication Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	<input type="checkbox"/>
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	<input type="checkbox"/>
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>
F: Documentary	<input type="checkbox"/>
F: Press and Publicity	<input type="checkbox"/>
G: Correspondence	<input type="checkbox"/>
H: Miscellaneous	<input type="checkbox"/>

# OASIS DATA COLLECTION FORM: England

[List of Projects](#) | [Manage Projects](#) | [Search Projects](#) | [New project](#) | [Change your details](#) | [HER coverage](#) | [Change country](#) | [Log out](#)

[Printable version](#)

**OASIS ID: oxfordar1-184987**

## Project details

Project name	Land off Barton Road, Headington
Short description of the project	A four-trench evaluation was carried out by Oxford Archaeology (OA), on the site of a proposed residential development in Headington, Oxford. The development area is c 1.1 hectares in extent, lying between the historic villages of Barton and Old Headington (Fig.1). The trenching followed a geophysical survey which had not detected any obvious archaeological features due to interference from numerous magnetic anomalies, probably caused by modern disturbance and metal objects within the topsoil. The evaluation was generally successful in establishing the low potential of the site for significant archaeological discoveries. There was no indication of either Roman or Anglo-Saxon activity, previous finds of these dates in the vicinity being the main reason for undertaking the evaluation. Seven possible archaeological features were identified in the trenches, including ditches, pits and possible postholes, five of which contained no artefacts and are therefore of uncertain date. They are most likely to derive from the 20th century use of the site. Several features investigated, particularly those in Trench 4, were found to be shallow and irregular and were considered to be geological in origin. Artefact concentrations were found in two early 20th century refuse deposits, one infilling a ditch or pit in Trench 3 (in the centre of the site), and one infilling a ditch in Trench 1 (near the NW corner of the site). The artefacts present in these deposits in general date from the late 19th and early 20th century, although one 13th-14th century medieval pottery sherd and a few 18th century sherds were found incorporated within these later contexts. The ditches found in the trenches do not correspond with any boundary ditches shown on historic maps of the site. They may be internal drainage ditches associated with the early 20th century orchard.
Project dates	Start: 09-10-2013 End: 10-10-2013
Previous/future work	No / Not known
Any associated project reference codes	OXCMS: 2013.145 - Museum accession ID
Any associated project reference codes	OXHBR13 - Sitecode
Type of project	Field evaluation
Monument type	DITCH Post Medieval
Monument type	GULLY Uncertain
Monument type	POSTHOLE Uncertain

Monument type PIT Uncertain  
 Monument type DITCH Medieval  
 Significant Finds ANIMAL BONE Uncertain  
 Significant Finds CBM Post Medieval  
 Significant Finds GLASS Post Medieval  
 Significant Finds IRON Post Medieval  
 Significant Finds POTTERY Post Medieval  
 Significant Finds POTTERY Medieval  
 Significant Finds STONE Uncertain  
 Methods & techniques ""Targeted Trenches""  
 Development type Housing estate  
 Prompt Planning condition  
 Position in the planning process Not known / Not recorded

**Project location**

Country England  
 Site location OXFORDSHIRE OXFORD OXFORD Land off Barton Road, Headington  
 Study area 1.10 Hectares  
 Site coordinates SP 5500 0758 51.7637437443 -1.20294709513 51 45 49 N 001 12 10 W Point

**Project creators**

Name of Organisation Oxford Archaeology  
 Project brief originator Oxford City Council  
 Project design originator Oxford Archaeology  
 Project director/manager S Foreman  
 Project supervisor A. Latham  
 Type of sponsor/funding body H  
 Name of sponsor/funding body Thomas Homes

**Project archives**

Physical Archive recipient Oxfordshire County Museum Service  
 Physical Archive ID OXCMS:2013.145  
 Physical Contents "Animal Bones","Ceramics","Glass","other"  
 Digital Archive recipient Oxford Archaeology

Digital Archive ID OXHBR13  
Digital Contents "other"  
Digital Media available "Images raster / digital photography", "Survey", "Text"  
Paper Archive recipient Oxfordshire County Museum Service  
Paper Archive ID OXCMS:2013.145  
Paper Contents "other"  
Paper Media available "Context sheet", "Diary", "Photograph", "Plan", "Report", "Section", "Unpublished Text"

**Project  
bibliography 1**

Publication type Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)  
Title Land off Barton Road, Headington  
Author(s)/Editor(s) Latham, A  
Date 2013  
Issuer or publisher Oxford Archaeology  
Place of issue or publication Oxford  
URL <http://library.thehumanjourney.net/1777>  
  
Entered by lee sparks (lee.sparks@oxfordarch.co.uk)  
Entered on 28 July 2014

## OASIS:

Please e-mail English Heritage for OASIS help and advice

© ADS 1996-2012 Created by Jo Gilham and Jen Mitcham, email Last modified Wednesday 9 May 2012

Cite only: <http://www.oasis.ac.uk/form/print.cfm> for this page

7313

LAND OFF BARTON ROAD, HEADINGTON  
 OXHBRIS  
 Box 1 FILE 1

INTRODUCTION

Office  
DEPOT®



[Vertical dashed lines for writing]

[Horizontal dashed lines for writing]

[Horizontal dashed lines for writing]

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Headington]

Site[Land off Barton Road] Site code[OXHBR13]

Line 2: Excavators name[S Leech]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Classification of material	Tick if present
Index to archive	
Introduction	✓
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

**Oxford City Council Heritage and Specialist Services Team  
Brief for an Archaeological Excavation.**

**Project: 13/00631/FUL Cricket Ground Barton Road, Oxford OX3 9JB.**

**Development: Erection of 30 residential units (8 x 4 bed houses, 17 x 3 bed houses, 2 x 2 bed flats and 3 x 1 bed flats) together with access road, 51 car parking spaces, 60 cycle parking spaces, public open space and landscaping**

**Brief issued: 16/9/2013**

**Prepared by: David Radford**

## 1. SUMMARY

*This brief sets out the requirement for archaeological excavation at this site, comprising of Stage 1: archaeological trial trenching and Stage 2: further excavation if required. The purpose of this work is to record any significant deposits affected by development. The investigation has been required because of the potential for prehistoric, Roman and early Saxon remains in this location.*

## 2. DEFINITION

The definition of archaeological excavation is a programme of controlled, intrusive fieldwork with defined research objectives which examines, records and interprets archaeological deposits, features and structures and, as appropriate, retrieves artefacts, ecofacts and other remains within a specified area or site .... The records made and objects gathered during fieldwork are studied and the results of that study published in detail appropriate to the Project Design." (IFA, 1999)<sup>1</sup>

## 3. SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located at Barton Road, Headington, NGR SP54990759. The site is currently a former cricket ground under rough grass.

## 4. PLANNING BACKGROUND

- a. The brief relates to planning application no 13/00631/FUL to Oxford City Council.
- b. The National Planning Policy Framework states that where appropriate local planning authorities should require developers to record and advance understanding of the significance of any heritage assets to be lost (wholly or in part) in a manner proportionate to their importance and the impact, and to make this evidence (and any archive generated) publicly accessible.
- c. Requirements for excavation are normally secured by means of a "negative condition" (or, more rarely, through a legal agreement) and must be specified in a "written scheme of investigation" which has been agreed in writing by Oxford City Council prior to commencing fieldwork. The "written scheme of investigation" should comprise this brief combined with the archaeological contractor's project

---

<sup>1</sup> An excavation project may be supplemented by non-destructive means of investigation such as geophysical, earthwork, fieldwalking, geochemical and building survey and also by a watching brief during development.



design (see below). Archaeological planning conditions will not be discharged until all fieldwork and post-excavation work has been completed, the archive has been deposited and publication secured.

## 5. ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

a. This brief sets out the requirements for archaeological excavation at this site which will comprise of trial trenching (Stage 1) followed by a second stage of work if required.

b. An archaeological excavation is required for this site because it is located within a corridor of land adjacent to the Dorchester-Alchester Roman Road with general potential for Roman activity associated with a dispersed landscape of pottery production. Furthermore the site is located 160m to the south of recorded evidence for early Saxon settlement and burial (County HER No. 3802). A desk based assessment has been produced for this site by Oxford Archaeology (2013) and a magnetometer survey has been undertaken by ADH Bartlett (2013). The desk based assessment notes is a high potential for the site to contain archaeological deposits from the pre-historic, Roman and early medieval periods. The magnetometer survey detected a limited number of anomalies that may be linear or pit like features of archaeological interest.

c. Further contextual information is available from the regional and city resource assessments available on the web:

[http://thehumanjourney.net/index.php?option=com\\_content&task=view&id=553&Itemid=277](http://thehumanjourney.net/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=553&Itemid=277)

<http://www.oxford.gov.uk/PageRender/decP/OxfordArchaeologicalPlan.htm>

## 6. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

a. **Stage 1** trial trenching should aim to gather sufficient information to generate a reliable predictive model of the extent, character, date, state of preservation and depth of burial of important archaeological remains<sup>2</sup> within the area of study. In this case the following specific objectives have been identified:

- Establish the character and extent of any Roman activity.
- Establish the character and extent of any early Saxon activity.

b. **Stage 2** (if this is required) should, subject to the results of the trial trenching seek to establish, as far as is practical, the chronology, plan form and function of archaeological features affected by development and interpret the results in terms of the documented history and historical topography of Headington.

## 7. PROCEDURE AND PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

a. Archaeological Excavations must be undertaken in accordance with the *Standard and Guidance for archaeological excavation* published by the Institute of Field Archaeologists (IFA, 1999). The project should meet the standard set out in the

---

<sup>2</sup> and associated palaeo-environmental deposits

*Standard and guidance for the collection, documentation and research of archaeological materials* (IFA, 2001)

b. Each excavation must be governed by a project design which has been agreed in writing by Oxford City Council. The project design should be based on a thorough study of all relevant background information (especially any assessment or evaluation reports or, in their absence, data held or referenced in the HER). The project design should conform to the guidelines set out in paragraph 3.2.17 of the IFA guidelines and should in particular specify:

- The project's research objectives.
- The site and area(s) to be investigated by each method (to be shown on a plan).
- Procedures for project management (to follow the principles set out in Management of Archaeological Projects (MAP) (English Heritage, 1991)). Key monitoring milestones should be identified.
- The expertise of the project team. The project manager should be a named Member of the Institute of Field Archaeologists (MIFA) who is adequately qualified to manage the required archaeological work in line with the guidance set out in the IFA code of conduct. The composition and experience of the project team should be described. Specialists should be identified where required (e.g. for finds and environmental work). In some cases it will also be necessary to identify academic advisors. CVs should be supplied outlining the relevant qualifications and experience of key personnel - where relevant this should include specific reference to knowledge of particular periods and local/ regional traditions. *Note: Specialists should be able to demonstrate a relevant qualification and track record of at least 3 years continuous relevant work (or equivalent) and appropriate publication. In appropriate circumstances, less experienced staff may conduct work under the supervision of well established and widely recognised specialists.*
- Proposals for public and/or media involvement.
- Reporting and Archiving arrangements.
- An outline of the proposed timetable and staff resources - this must be non-binding and presented "for information only"
- Contingency arrangements.

## 8. FIELDWORK METHODOLOGY

### a. Surveying

- Accurate and precise surveying is essential. At the commencement of each fieldwork project a site grid should be carefully laid out by an experienced surveyor and related to the national grid (the accuracy of any previously surveyed grids should also be checked). All subsequent fieldwork should use the site grid. The grid should be

established using semi-permanent survey stations or by relating the survey to equivalent fixed points. Excavation and survey area boundaries should be plotted to within  $\pm 1\text{m}$  relative to the national grid. Within an excavation or survey area internal grid points should be located to within an error of no more than  $\pm 0.1\text{m}$  relative to the site grid. On most sites the use of an EDM or theodolite will be essential to set out site grids. All levels should be recorded relative to an Ordnance Survey datum level.

#### b. Machine stripping

- Machinery may be used to remove topsoil and overburden to reveal the significant archaeological deposits. Such excavation should be undertaken in level spits using an appropriate machine using a toothless bucket and working under archaeological supervision. **Archaeological deposits should not be removed by machine except where such a procedure has been sanctioned by the City Council Archaeologist.** Particular care should be taken when controlling machining in situations where vertical stratigraphy is to be expected or where it is considered that significant archaeological deposits may be vulnerable to damage - in such circumstances machining should be controlled by experienced senior staff. Potentially significant deposits should not be removed by machine before their character is reasonably understood.

- **Stage 1.** Following the demolition of the existing building 120m of trial trenches should be excavated within the footprint of proposed development.

- **Stage 2.** Subject to the results of Stage 1, the excavation of the new development footprint may be required if appropriate.

#### c. Cleaning and Recording in plan-form

- Each excavation area should be cleaned by hand sufficiently to allow the identification and planning of archaeological features and scanned with a metal-detector. Where archaeological features appear to be absent sufficient work should be done to demonstrate this. The excavation area should be planned at an appropriate scale (normally 1:20 where complex deposits are present or 1:50 or 1:100 in areas of lesser complexity). Spot levels should be taken as appropriate.

#### d. Sampling

- **Stage 1:** Sufficient features should be sampled by hand excavation to achieve the Stage 1 objectives. For discrete features such as pits and postholes this will normally involve half-sectioning a representative sample. Linear features should be sectioned. If deeply stratified deposits are encountered it may be appropriate to excavate sample boxes and/or examine the stratigraphy revealed in the section of excavated cut features.

- **Stage 2** (should this be required) Features should be excavated by hand in accordance with the sampling criteria defined below (unless varied by subsequent agreement of the City Council Archaeologist):

- Linear features: 20%

- Postholes: Full excavation
  - Pits: normally ½ section
  - Stone structures: Full excavation
  - Floor/occupation layers: Full excavation
  - Kilns/furnaces etc: Full excavation
  - Burials: Full excavation
  - Waterlogged deposits: environmental sampling
- A contingency should be identified for more intensive sampling. The use of the contingency should be agreed with the City Council Archaeologist prior to implementation.

#### e. Context recording

- Each context should be recorded on pro-forma records which should include the following minimum details: character; contextual relationships; detailed description (dimensions and shape; soil components, colour, texture and consistency); associated finds; interpretation and phasing as well as cross-references to the drawn, photographic and finds registers. Normally each context should be recorded on an individual record. Sections should be drawn through all significant cut features and levelled to ordnance datum. Trench and excavation sides should also be drawn in section where they contain significant archaeological information.
- A black and white photographic record should be maintained including photos of all significant features and overall photos of each area or trench. Digital photographs should not be taken instead of 35mm film. Where selected digital photographs are taken to supplement 35mm film they should adhere to the National Monuments Record's *Digital Imaging Guidelines* which requires that only cameras of four mega pixel specification (or greater) should be used.

#### Artefact and Ecofact collection and recording

- All stratified finds should be collected by context or, where appropriate, individually recorded in 3 dimensions. Unstratified finds should only be collected where they contribute significantly to the project objectives or are of particular intrinsic interest. Provision should be made for on-site conservation advice for the lifting and treatment of fragile objects and investigative conservation. Finds of "treasure" must be reported to the Coroner in accordance with the Treasure Act procedures.
- Collection policies for structural remains and industrial residues have been set out by the Society of Museum Archaeologists (SMA, 1993). The presence of such materials within a context should always be recorded and, where they are considered to be of importance, the excavation strategy should aim to quantify their occurrence, even where comprehensive retention is not considered appropriate.
- Contractors should, where relevant, follow the guidelines for handling Post Roman Ceramics produced by the Medieval Pottery Research Group (Slowikowski, Nenck & Pearce, 2001). This specifies that all ceramic finds must be collected, washed, marked, bagged, boxed and assessed with regard to the project aims and

objectives. Where a sampling procedure is employed this should be undertaken in consultation with a ceramic specialist.

- Finds recording should be carried out in a manner compatible with existing typological series for the City of Oxford.
- Contractors should refer to *Environmental Archaeology: A guide to the theory and practice of methods from sampling and recovery to post excavation* (English Heritage, 2002) as a guide to best practice in this field.
- Waterlogged wood should be recorded, sampled and conserved in accordance with English Heritage guidelines (English Heritage, 1996)
- In the event of discovery of any human remains the archaeological contractor should inform the client, the City Archaeologist, the Coroner, the Police and the Ministry of Justice via the submission of an application form for the 'Archaeological/Accidental/Site Investigation Licence regarding the disturbance of human remains'. The Human remains should be left *in-situ*, covered and protected. Where a licence for their excavation is issued by the Ministry of Justice, the requirements of that licence should be followed. Where the Ministry of Justice is unable to issue a licence and it is reasonably determined that the remains are likely to be subject to further unavoidable disturbance or deterioration the archaeological contractor should inform the client and Ministry of Justice of their intention to excavate the remains with due decency and in accordance with the general conditions formerly attached to licences issued for excavation of human remains under similar circumstances. The only exception is where excavations are being undertaken in a churchyard under a faculty issued by the Chancellor of Oxford Diocese (in such cases the faculty requirements should be followed). In certain situations special arrangements may be required for the recovery of samples for DNA analysis. Human remains should be treated in accordance with IFA guidelines (IFA, 2004) and the advice set out in *Guidance for best practice for treatment of human remains excavated from Christian burial grounds in England* (English Heritage, 2005).
- In certain situations special arrangements may be required for the recovery of samples for DNA analysis. Human remains should be treated in accordance with IFA guidelines (IFA, 2004) and the advice set out in *Guidance for best practice for treatment of human remains excavated from Christian burial grounds in England* (English Heritage, 2005).
- A contingency should be allowed for at least 1 scientific date and appropriate provision made for the selection and recovery of samples.
- Specialist reports should employ the appropriate keywords as set out in '*Guidelines for the addition of Archaeological Science data to the Historic Environment Record* (English Heritage, 2007)'
- During **stage 1** an initial assessment of the site's palaeo-environmental potential should be made by the project manager in consultation with the City Council Archaeologist. If the site has significant potential it may be necessary to obtain specialist advice and undertake sampling in accordance with a programme agreed

with English Heritage's Adviser in Archaeological Science. A contingency should be allowed for this.

### Metal Detecting

- Whenever private individuals or subcontractors are engaged to undertake metal detecting as part of an archaeological fieldwork project they should be asked to sign a formal agreement in which the right to claim Treasure is waived. Please refer to the revised Treasure Act Code of Practice (2003, paragraph 81). A suggested clause is:-
- “In the process of working on the archaeological/ excavation at [location of site] between the dates of [insert dates], [name of person contributing to the project] has been working under the direction or permission of [name of archaeological organisation or responsible individual archaeologist] and hereby waives all rights to rewards for objects discovered that could be otherwise payable under the Treasure Act 1996.”
- Contracts should ensure that investigations are covered by a written agreement with the owner & occupier regarding rewards which may be payable.

## 9. POST-EXCAVATION METHODOLOGY

- a. A brief preliminary statement of the results and assessment of the site's significance should be provided within one month of the completion of fieldwork. This preliminary assessment should be agreed by the City Council Archaeologist.
- b. For projects which have been agreed to be of purely local significance it will be sufficient to complete an archive report for the HER, publish a summary and deposit the archive (see below).
- c. For projects which have been agreed to be of more than local significance an illustrated interim report together with a post-excavation assessment and updated project design (MAP Stage 3) should be submitted by the archaeological contractor and approved by the City Council Archaeologist within 6 months of the completion of fieldwork. Post-excavation analysis and report preparation should proceed in accordance with the agreed updated project design unless subsequent variations are agreed by the City Council Archaeologist.

## 10. PUBLICATION

- a. A summary report (including illustrations where appropriate) should be sent to the editors of *South Midlands Archaeology* not later than three months after the end of the calendar year in which the work is undertaken.
- b. If appropriate an illustrated final report which meets the guidelines set out in MAP Appendix 7 and is suitable for publication in an approved archaeological journal (normally *Oxeniensia* or an equivalent publication) should be provided to the Oxford City Council Archaeologist within one year of the completion of fieldwork (unless a longer time period has been agreed in the updated project design). The overall content of the report should be agreed with the Oxford City Council Archaeologist. The report should be clearly referenced in all respects to all work on the site, evaluation,

excavation, watching briefs, building recording, background research including aerial photography etc, in order that a coherent picture may be presented. It should place the site in its local archaeological, historical and topographical context and include a clear location map. Each plan included should clearly relate to some other included plan of an appropriate scale and should normally include national grid references.

c. A bound offprint of the final report/publication and a digital copy of the text in PDF format must be supplied to the Oxford Urban Archaeological Database. A further report/offprint should accompany the archive and another should be supplied to the County Historic Environment Record. A copy of any specialist papers relating to the site should also be supplied to the Oxford City Archaeologist.

d. A publication grant should be provided to the publishers of the report in accordance with their requirements.

e. Contractors taking part in the OASIS scheme should complete an OASIS fieldwork summary form and submit it to the Archaeology Data Service.

## 11. ARCHIVING

a. The archaeological contractor should endeavour to ensure that the site archive (including any artefacts recovered) are deposited in an acceptable condition with museum which is registered with the Museums, Libraries and Archives Council and approved for the storage of archaeological archives. The preferred archive for in this instance is the County Museum. Contractors should refer to the County Museum Service for the procedures and requirements which must be followed for the deposit of archaeological archives. A storage grant should be provided to the museum in accordance with their requirements. The archive should be prepared and deposited in accordance with the guidelines set out in *'Archaeological Archives: A guide to best practice in creation, compilation, transfer and curation'* (AAF, 2007).

b. The archaeological contractor should arrange for the archive to be copied on microfiche to the standard required by the National Monuments Record and should be deposited with the NMR.

c. The archive report should include copies of specialist reports.

d. Where the archive has been identified as of National importance the contracting unit should liaise with Oxford City Council Archaeologist and Museum curator to agree any requirements for long term DIGITAL storage. A contingency for DIGITAL storage should be included within the project design,

## 12. MONITORING

a. Monitoring is carried out by the Oxford City Council Archaeologist, normally acting on behalf of the local planning authority, to ensure that projects are being carried out in accordance with the brief and approved project design, to enable the need for modifications to the project to be independently considered and validated and to control and validate the use of available contingencies.

b. A programme of monitoring should be agreed with the Oxford City Council Archaeologist prior to the commencement of fieldwork. The archaeological contractor should keep the City Archaeologist regularly informed of the project's progress and facilitate the monitoring of the project at each stage, including post-excitation. In particular, there should be no substantial modification of the approved brief and project design without the prior consent of the City Archaeologist and no fieldwork should be carried out without the service's knowledge and approval - the service should always be afforded the opportunity to observe archaeological excavations.

c. All monitoring visits will be documented by the Oxford City Council Archaeologist and the archaeological contractor will be informed of any perceived deficiencies.

d. The Oxford City Council Archaeologist should be informed at the earliest opportunity of any unexpected discoveries, especially where there may be a need to vary the project design. The archaeological contractor should carry out such reasonable contingency works as requested by the City Archaeologist within the resources defined in the project design.

### 13. HEALTH AND SAFETY AND OTHER CONSTRAINTS

a. **Health and Safety must take priority over archaeological requirements.** It is essential that all projects are carried out in accordance with safe working practices and under a defined Health and Safety Policy. **Risk Assessments must be carried out for every field project.** If the risk assessment indicates it is necessary, the requirements of the brief can be varied in the interests of health and safety.

b. It is the responsibility of the archaeological contractor and their client to ensure that other constraints (e.g. SSSI's or protected trees) are identified and properly safeguarded.

c. Approval for proposed changes to the project design must be obtained from the Oxford City Council Archaeologist.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

ADH Bartlett 2013 *Former Headington Cricket Club, Headington, Oxford. Report on Archaeological Geophysical Survey*

BCM, 1999 *Procedures for deposit of archaeological archives.*

Communities and Local Government, 2010 *Planning Policy Statement 5: Planning and the Historic Environment*

English Heritage, 1991 *Management of Archaeological Projects.*

English Heritage, 1996. *Waterlogged wood. Guidelines on the Recording, Sampling, Conservation and Curation of Waterlogged Wood.*



English Heritage, 2005      *Guidance for best practice for treatment of human remains excavated from Christian burial grounds in England*, English Heritage and Church of England

English Heritage 2006      *Understanding Historic Buildings: A guide to good practice*

English Heritage, 2007      *Guidelines for the addition of Archaeological Science data to the Historic Environment Record*

English Heritage, 2011,      *Environmental Archaeology :A guide to the theory and practice of methods from sampling and recovery to post excavation* (second edition)

IFA, 1999      *Standard and Guidance for archaeological excavation.*

IFA, 2004      *Guidelines to the Standards for Recording Human Remains*

Oxford Archaeology 2013      *Land off Barton Road, Headington, Oxford Archaeological Desk Based Assessment.*

Slowikowski, A, Nenck, B,      *Minimum Standards for the Processing, Recording, & Pearce, J 2001      Analysis and Publication of Post Roman Ceramics, Medieval Pottery Research Group, Occasional Paper No2*

SMA, 1993.      *Selection, Retention and Dispersal of Archaeological Collections.*

## CONTACTS

### **Council For British Archaeology South Midlands Group (South Midlands Archaeology)**

Mr Barry Horne, Hon.Editor, "Beaumont", Church End, Edlesborough, Dunstable, Beds, LU6 2EP.

### **English Heritage Regional Adviser in Archaeological Science (South East Region)**

Jane Cochoran , English Heritage Regional Office, Eastgate Court, 195-205 High Street, Guildford, Surrey GU1 3EH , 01483 252000. e-mail: d.demoulins@ucl.ac.uk

For extensive guidance on thematic research see the HELM Website  
<http://www.helm.org.uk>

**Office  
DEPOT**



727  
LAND OFF BARTON ROAD, HEADINGTON

OX2 1BR13

Box 1 FILE 2

A: REPORT

Form with two horizontal dashed lines.

Form with a solid vertical line on the left and three horizontal dashed lines on the right.

Form with three horizontal dashed lines.

Form with three horizontal dashed lines.

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Headington]

Site[Land off Barton Road] Site code[OXHBR13]

Line 2: Excavators name[S Leech]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Classification of material	Tick if present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report /	✓
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

# OASIS DATA COLLECTION FORM:

## England

[List of Projects](#) | [Manage Projects](#) | [Search Projects](#) | [New project](#) | [Change your details](#) | [HER coverage](#) | [Change country](#) | [Log out](#)

Printable version

**OASIS ID: oxfordar1-184987**

### Project details

Project name	Land off Barton Road, Headington
Short description of the project	A four-trench evaluation was carried out by Oxford Archaeology (OA), on the site of a proposed residential development in Headington, Oxford. The development area is c 1.1 hectares in extent, lying between the historic villages of Barton and Old Headington (Fig.1). The trenching followed a geophysical survey which had not detected any obvious archaeological features due to interference from numerous magnetic anomalies, probably caused by modern disturbance and metal objects within the topsoil. The evaluation was generally successful in establishing the low potential of the site for significant archaeological discoveries. There was no indication of either Roman or Anglo-Saxon activity, previous finds of these dates in the vicinity being the main reason for undertaking the evaluation. Seven possible archaeological features were identified in the trenches, including ditches, pits and possible postholes, five of which contained no artefacts and are therefore of uncertain date. They are most likely to derive from the 20th century use of the site. Several features investigated, particularly those in Trench 4, were found to be shallow and irregular and were considered to be geological in origin. Artefact concentrations were found in two early 20th century refuse deposits, one infilling a ditch or pit in Trench 3 (in the centre of the site), and one infilling a ditch in Trench 1 (near the NW corner of the site). The artefacts present in these deposits in general date from the late 19th and early 20th century, although one 13th-14th century medieval pottery sherd and a few 18th century sherds were found incorporated within these later contexts. The ditches found in the trenches do not correspond with any boundary ditches shown on historic maps of the site. They may be internal drainage ditches associated with the early 20th century orchard.
Project dates	Start: 09-10-2013 End: 10-10-2013
Previous/future work	No / Not known
Any associated project reference codes	OXCMS: 2013.145 - Museum accession ID
Any associated project reference codes	OXHBR13 - Sitecode
Type of project	Field evaluation
Monument type	DITCH Post Medieval
Monument type	GULLY Uncertain
Monument type	POSTHOLE Uncertain

Monument type PIT Uncertain  
 Monument type DITCH Medieval  
 Significant Finds ANIMAL BONE Uncertain  
 Significant Finds CBM Post Medieval  
 Significant Finds GLASS Post Medieval  
 Significant Finds IRON Post Medieval  
 Significant Finds POTTERY Post Medieval  
 Significant Finds POTTERY Medieval  
 Significant Finds STONE Uncertain  
 Methods & techniques ""Targeted Trenches""  
 Development type Housing estate  
 Prompt Planning condition  
 Position in the planning process Not known / Not recorded

**Project location**

Country England  
 Site location OXFORDSHIRE OXFORD OXFORD Land off Barton Road, Headington  
 Study area 1.10 Hectares  
 Site coordinates SP 5500 0758 51.7637437443 -1.20294709513 51 45 49 N 001 12 10 W Point

**Project creators**

Name of Organisation Oxford Archaeology  
 Project brief originator Oxford City Council  
 Project design originator Oxford Archaeology  
 Project director/manager S Foreman  
 Project supervisor A. Latham  
 Type of sponsor/funding body H  
 Name of sponsor/funding body Thomas Homes

**Project archives**

Physical Archive recipient Oxfordshire County Museum Service  
 Physical Archive ID OXCMS:2013.145  
 Physical Contents "Animal Bones","Ceramics","Glass","other"  
 Digital Archive recipient Oxford Archaeology

Digital Archive ID OXHBR13  
Digital Contents "other"  
Digital Media available "Images raster / digital photography","Survey","Text"  
Paper Archive recipient Oxfordshire County Museum Service  
Paper Archive ID OXCMS:2013.145  
Paper Contents "other"  
Paper Media available "Context sheet","Diary","Photograph","Plan","Report","Section","Unpublished Text"

**Project  
bibliography 1**

Publication type Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)

Title Land off Barton Road, Headington

Author(s)/Editor(s) Latham, A

Date 2013

Issuer or publisher Oxford Archaeology

Place of issue or publication Oxford

URL <http://library.thehumanjourney.net/1777>

Entered by lee sparks ([lee.sparks@oxfordarch.co.uk](mailto:lee.sparks@oxfordarch.co.uk))

Entered on 28 July 2014

## OASIS:

Please e-mail English Heritage for OASIS help and advice

© ADS 1996-2012 Created by Jo Gilham and Jen Mitcham, email Last modified Wednesday 9 May 2012

Cite only: <http://www.oasis.ac.uk/form/print.cfm> for this page

**Office  
DEPOT®**



LAND OFF BARTON ROAD, HEADINGTON

OXHBR13

Box 1 FILE 3

B: PRIMARY CONTEXT RECORDS

Form with three horizontal dotted lines for writing.

Form with three horizontal dotted lines for writing.

Form with three horizontal dotted lines for writing.

Form with a solid vertical line on the left and three horizontal dotted lines for writing.

**PDF/A SCAN**

**FILMING INSTRUCTIONS**

Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

**Headings**

**Site information**

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Headington]

Site[Land off Barton Road] Site code[OXHBR13]

Line 2: Excavators name[S Leech]

Line 3:

**Classification of material**

**Tick if present**

Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	✓
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	







# DAILY JOURNAL

SITE CODE *OXUBR13*

SITE NAME *Berton Rd Headington*

DATE *9/10/13*

Project Manager  
*S. Foreman*

Visitors

Weather  
*Fine*

Area stripped by plant: .....m<sup>2</sup>

Plant type

**Task descriptions:**

Enter the number of staff days in increments of 0.5 (half) days for each of the tasks used during the day. If task 07 or 08 is used please describe the task done.

Task number and description	Staff days	Task number and description	Staff days
01 General supervision/management		02 Surface cleaning	
03 Planning		04 Surveying/levelling	
05 Excavation/recording	<i>1</i>	06 Machine supervision	<i>1</i>
07 Other		08 Other	

Standing time: list numbers of hours for each member of staff and give full details

Name *SL 7:30 > 4:30* Details *MW*  
*FF 8:00 > 4:00* *exc*

*On Site 8am - Move padlocks + chains then keys - return to office to get bolt cutters*

*On Site 9am - Got into Site - Survey equipment not working  
12pm - Started Machine whilst FF+RL using tapes to measure in benches.*

*2pm - SCB has puncture in front wheel*

*245 > 3:15 fixing tyre  
Tr 1, 4, 3 done*

Comments (continue on reverse if necessary)



# DAILY JOURNAL

SITE CODE **OXHBR13**

SITE NAME **Barrow Rd Headington**

DATE **10/10/13**

Project Manager  
**S. Foreman**

Visitors  
**S. Foreman / David Ballard**

Weather  
**☀ Fine**

Area stripped by plant: ..... m<sup>2</sup> Plant type

Task descriptions:  
Enter the number of staff days in increments of 0.5 (half) days for each of the tasks used during the day. If task 07 or 08 is used please describe the task done.

Task number and description	Staff days	Task number and description	Staff days
01 General supervision/management		02 Surface cleaning	
03 Planning		04 Surveying/levelling	
05 Excavation/recording	<b>2 3/4</b>	06 Machine supervision	<b>1/4</b>
07 Other		08 Other	

Standing time: list numbers of hours for each member of staff and give full details

Name **SL 7:30 → 4:30** Details **MW+Exc/rec**  
**FF 8:00 → 4:00** **Exc/rec**  
**NS** " " "

**To 2 Machines - Site meeting OK - Started backfilling**  
**Barrow Rd turned up 12pm - Surveyed in trenches**  
**- All trenches recorded**

Comments (continue on reverse if necessary)



<b>SITE</b> OXMBR13	<b>EVALUATION TRENCH RECORD SHEET</b>	<b>Trench No.</b> 1
------------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------------

Trench orientation N/S	Grid reference	Field No.
Length 30m	Width 1.6m	Average depth to top of natural 0.35m
Plan Nos? 101	Section Nos? 101, 102, 103	Were finds recovered? Yes

If a trench contains only a small number of contexts, and requires only one or two plans and sections, list plans and sections on this sheet. If the trench contains large numbers of contexts use a conventional context check list and plan and section list sheets as necessary.


**Context check list / Descriptions**

Context No.	Description
101	Present topsoil/ploughsoil mid greyish brown loose silty <del>very</del> sand
102	ground makeup layer: loose mixed sand/silt/stones
103	FILL } GULLY
104	CUT }
105	FILL } DITCH (N/S ALIGNED)
106	CUT }
107	FILL } DITCH (E/W ALIGNED)
108	CUT }
110	FILL } POST HOLE
111	CUT }
112	FILL } SMALL PIT
113	CUT }
109	Natural (describe) soft pale orangey yellow sand


**Brief description of archaeology/comments**

Several archaeological features:  
 ↳ 2 intersecting ditches at the north end (post-medieval or early modern in date)  
 ↳ a small pit towards the southern end  
 ↳ a natural gully  
 ↳ a square post or stake hole

SUR F# 1	Recorder FJF
DIGIS: 21 - 33	Date 10/10/13



SAMPLE SECTION:





# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

103

SITE OX4BR 13

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FILL OF GULLY

Trench 1

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (102)

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

101

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

101

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of: [104]

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1) firm

2) pale brownish orange

3) sand

4) no inclusions

5) 0.28 m deep 6) 0.75 x &gt; 1.50 m extent

7) probably geological (v. similar to (109))

8) matted and trawel; dry and sunny

Interpretation/Discussion:

Fill of irregularly-shaped gully [104]

Very clean sand, probably a geological feature

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass []  
 Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

△ Small Finds

Recorder

◇ Samples

Date 10/10/13

⬆ Building Materials

Initials



# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

104

SITE OXHBR 13

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE CUT OF GULLY

Trench 1

Context Type: Deposit  Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

101

Cut by:

Filled by: (103)

Section No.

101

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level:

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts:

(109)

Neg No.

Fill of:



Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

- 1) linear NW/SE
- 2) U-shaped
- 3) 0.75m wide; >1.50m long; 0.28m deep
- 4) none
- 5) (103)
- 6) probably geological

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion:

Irregularly shaped gully filled with clean sand (103). Probably not archaeological.

Finds (tick): None  Pot  Bone  Flint  Stone  Burnt stone  Glass   
 Metal  CBM  Wood  Leather

 Small Finds

Recorder JFE

 Samples

Date 10/10/13

 Building Materials

Initials



# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

105

SITE OXHBR 13

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FILL OF DITCH

Trench

1

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

(102)

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

101

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

102

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of:

[106]

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1) firm

2) mid greyish brown

3) sandy silt

4) 60%: stones (20mm - 0.15m diameter) 40% and animal bone (lots of cow and fish) 20%

5) 0.70m deep 6) &gt;0.90m x 3.20m extent

7) very similar to (107) 8) matted + towel, dry + sunny

Interpretation Discussion:

Fill of north-south aligned post-medieval or early modern ditch [106]. Represents the disposal of household waste. Unclear whether [106] cuts [108] or vice versa due to similarity of fills

Finds (tick): None [ ] Pot [✓] Bone [✓] Flint [ ] Stone [✓] Burnt stone [ ] Glass [✓]  
 Metal [✓] CBM [✓] Wood [ ] Leather [ ] SLAG [✓]

△ Small Finds

Recorder

GJE

◇ Samples

Date

10/10/13

△ Building Materials

Initials





# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

106

SITE OX4BR13

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE CUT OF DITCH

Trench 1

Context Type: Deposit (Cut) Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

101

Cut by:

Filled by: (105)

Section No.

102

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts:

(107) (109)

Neg No.

Fill of:

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain (107)?



Description (See check lists):

- 1) linear north-south
- 2) U-shaped (steep-sided)
- 3) > 0.90 m wide; > 3.20 m long; 0.70 m deep
- 5) truncates (107) [108] ditch
- 6) (105)
- 7) truncation may be other way

Interpretation/Discussion:

Round - fills (105) and (107)  
very similar.

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



NOT TO SCALE

Almost north-south aligned post-medieval or early modern ditch filled with household waste. Unclear whether [106] cuts [108] or vice versa.

Finds (tick): None  Pot  Bone  Flint  Stone  Burnt stone  Glass   
Metal  CBM  Wood  Leather

 Small Finds

Recorder FJF

 Samples

Date 10/10/13

 Building Materials

Initials



# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

107

SITE OXHB R 13

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FILL OF DITCH

Trench 1

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div.

Overlain by: (102)

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No. 101

Cut by: [106]?

Filled by:

Section No. 103

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of: [108]


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain [106]?

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- 1) firm  
 2) mid greyish brown  
 3) silty sand  
 4) 40% stones (10mm - 0.10m diameter)  
 5) 0.50m deep 6) 0.70 x > 0.60m extent  
 7) finds from this fill have been labelled (105) due to uncertain stratigraphy  
 8) maddock and towel; dry and overcast



Interpretation/Discussion:

Fill of east-west aligned post-medieval or early modern ditch [108] contains patches of sand that look like redeposited natural (109). Probably represents the disposal of household waste. Very similar to (105) so it is unclear whether [106] cuts [108] or vice versa.

Finds (tick): None [ ] Pot [✓] Bone [✓] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone [ ] Glass [✓]  
 Metal [✓] CBM [✓] Wood [ ] Leather [ ] SLAG [✓]

△ Small Finds

Recorder JF

◇ Samples

Date 10/10/13

△ Building Materials

Initials



# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

108

SITE OX+BR 13

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE

Trench 1

Context Type: Deposit (Cut) Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

101

Cut by:

Filled by: (107)

Section No.

103

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts:

(109)

Neg No.

Fill of:

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain



Description (See check lists):

- 1) linear east-west
- 2) U-shaped (slight overhang to south)
- 3) 0.50 m deep; 0.70 m wide; > 0.60 m long
- 5) truncated by ditch [106]
- 6) (107) 7) truncation may be

Interpretation/Discussion:

other way around

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



NOT TO SCALE

Namsw ditch running east-west across trench 1.  
 Finds suggest domestic waste, post-medieval or early modern in date. Originally a field boundary?

Finds (tick): None  Pot  Bone  Flint  Stone  Burnt stone  Glass   
 Metal  CBM  Wood  Leather

△ Small Finds

◇ Samples

△ Building Materials

Recorder JFE

Date 10/10/13

Initials



# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

110

SITE OX+BR13

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE: FILL OF POST-HOLE

Trench 1

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (102)

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

101

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of: [111]


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

- 1) firm
- 2) mid greyish brown
- 3) sandy silt
- 4) 30% stones (2mm-15mm diameter)
- 5) unknown
- 6) 0.15 x 0.15 m extent
- 7) none
- 8) unexcavated

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion:

fill of small, square post hole [111]

Finds (tick): None  Pot  Bone  Flint  Stone  Burnt stone  Glass   
 Metal  CBM  Wood  Leather

△ Small Finds

Recorder JF

◇ Samples

Date 10/10/13

⬆ Building Materials

Initials



# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

111

SITE OXHBR 13

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE CUT OF POST-HOLE

Trench 1

Context Type: Deposit (Cut) Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

- 1. compaction
- 2. colour
- 3. composition
- 4. inclusion
- 5. thickness
- 6. extent
- 7. comments
- 8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

101

Cut by:

Filled by: (110)

Section No.

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

- 1. shape in plan
- 2. base/sides/top profile
- 3. dimension and depth
- 4. sketch
- 5. truncation
- 6. fill nos
- 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

- 1. materials
- 2. size of bricks etc
- 3. finish of stones
- 4. coursing/bond
- 5. form
- 6. faces
- 7. bond
- 8. dimensions as found
- 9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts:

(109)

Neg No.

Fill of:

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain



Description (See check lists):

- 1) roughly square
- 2) unknown
- 3) 0.15 x 0.15 m (depth unknown)
- 4) none
- 5) (110)
- 6) unexcavated

Interpretation/Discussion:

Small, isolated square post hole in trench 1  
 May relate to features outside the excavated area.

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



NOT TO SCALE

Finds (tick): None  Pot  Bone  Flint  Stone  Burnt stone  Glass   
 Metal  CBM  Wood  Leather

 Small Finds

Recorder JF

 Samples

Date 10/10/13

 Building Materials

Initials



# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No. 112

SITE OX+BR13

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FILL OF PIT

Trench 1

Context Type Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (102)

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

- 1. compaction
- 2. colour
- 3. composition
- 4. inclusion
- 5. thickness
- 6. extent
- 7. comments
- 8. method & conditions

Plan No. 101

Cut by:

Filled by:

CUT:

Section No. /

Same as:

Part of:

- 1. shape in plan
- 2. base/sides/top profile
- 3. dimension and depth
- 4. sketch
- 5. truncation
- 6. fill nos
- 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

- 1. materials
- 2. size of bricks etc
- 3. finish of stones
- 4. coursing/bond
- 5. form
- 6. faces
- 7. bond
- 8. dimensions as found
- 9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of: [113]


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- 1) firm
- 2) mid greyish brown
- 3) sandy silt
- 4) 30% stones (10mm-60mm diameter)
- 5) unknown
- 6) 0.75 x 0.75 m extent
- 7) unexcavated
- 8) unexcavated



Interpretation/Discussion:

Small, blind pit (or large post hole?) in trench 1 filled by stony sandy silt deposit that looks similar to (105) and (107) although no finds visible on surface.

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

△ Small Finds

Recorder JF

◇ Samples

Date 10/10/13

△ Building Materials

Initials



# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No. **113**

SITE **OX4BR13**

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE **CUT OF PIT**

Trench **1**

Context Type: Deposit **(Cut)** Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

- 1. compaction
- 2. colour
- 3. composition
- 4. inclusion
- 5. thickness
- 6. extent
- 7. comments
- 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

**101**

Cut by:

Filled by: **(112)**

CUT:

Section No.

Same as:

- 1. shape in plan
- 2. base/sides/top profile
- 3. dimension and depth
- 4. sketch
- 5. truncation
- 6. fill nos
- 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

**(109)**

- 1. materials
- 2. size of bricks etc
- 3. finish of stones
- 4. coursing/bond
- 5. form 6 faces
- 7. bond
- 8. dimensions as found
- 9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:



Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- 1) Bond
- 2) unknown
- 3) 0.75 x 0.75 m (depth unknown)
- 5) none
- 6) (112)
- 7) unexcavated



Interpretation/Discussion:

Small pit or large post hole. NOT TO SCALE

Fill (112) very similar to (108) and (107).

Finds (tick): None  Pot  Bone  Flint  Stone  Burnt stone  Glass   
 Metal  CBM  Wood  Leather

Small Finds

Recorder **FT**

Samples

Date **10/10/13**

Building Materials

Initials







# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

**[202]**SITE **OXHBR 13**

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE **Natural feature**Trench **2**Context Type: ~~Deposit~~ / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

~~DEPOSIT:~~

- ~~1. compaction~~
- ~~2. colour~~
- ~~3. composition~~
- ~~4. inclusion~~
- ~~5. thickness~~
- ~~6. extent~~
- ~~7. comments~~
- ~~8. method & conditions~~

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

**200**

Cut by:

Filled by: **(203)**

Section No.

**200**

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

- 1. shape in plan
- 2. base/sides/top profile
- 3. dimension and depth
- 4. sketch
- 5. truncation
- 6. fill nos
- 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level.

Butts:

MASONRY:

- 1. materials
- 2. size of bricks etc
- 3. finish of stones
- 4. coursing/bond
- 5. form
- 6. faces
- 7. bond
- 8. dimensions as found
- 9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts: **(201)**

Neg No.

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- ① N/S linear
- ② Uneven base / Irregular Slope
- ③ 0.44m W x 0.1m D
- ④ /
- ⑤ /
- ⑥ (203)
- ⑦ /



Interpretation/Discussion:

**Cut of natural feature - Shallow natural hollow**

Finds (tick): None  Pot  Bone  Flint  Stone  Burnt stone  Glass   
 Metal  CBM  Wood  Leather

 Small FindsRecorder **S** SamplesDate **10/10/13** Building Materials

Initials



# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

(203)

SITE  
OXHBR 13

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE  
Natural feature fillTrench  
2

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (200)

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

Cut by:

200

Filled by:

Section No.

Same as:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

200

Part of:

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coupling/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of: [202]


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- ① Firm
- ② Brown
- ③ Silty clay
- ④ None
- ⑤ 0.1m
- ⑥ 0.44m
- ⑦ / ⑧ Hand exc fine + clay



Interpretation/Discussion:

Fill of Natural feature

Finds (tick): None  Pot  Bone  Flint  Stone  Burnt stone  Glass   
 Metal  CBM  Wood  Leather

△ Small Finds

Recorder  
S

◇ Samples

Date  
10/10/13

⬆ Building Materials

Initials



SITE OXHBR13		EVALUATION TRENCH RECORD SHEET		Trench No. 3
Trench orientation NE-SW		Grid reference		Field No.
Length 30M	Width 1.50M	Average depth to top of natural 0.25M	Was archaeology present? YES	
Plan Nos? 300	Section Nos? 300, 301		Were finds recovered? YES	

If a trench contains only a small number of contexts, and requires only one or two plans and sections, list plans and sections on this sheet. If the trench contains large numbers of contexts use a conventional context check list and plan and section list sheets as necessary.

**Context check list / Descriptions**

Context No.	Description
300	Present topsoil/ploughsoil MID GREYISH BROWN FINEABLE SILT
302	- CUT - SUB CIRCULAR PIT, POSSIBLY END OF DITCH.
303	- FILL - MID GREYISH BROWN CLAYEY SILT 50% STONES, POORLY SORTED 0.005 - 0.10M
304	CUT - SHALLOW PIT, POSSIBLY END OF A DITCH.
305	FILL - MID GREYISH BROWN CLAYEY SILT 50% STONES, POORLY SORTED, 0.005 - 0.10M.
	> 50% LIMESTONE INCLUSION.
301	Natural (describe) MID GREYISH BROWN LOOSE CLAYEY SILT

**Brief description of archaeology/comments**

TRENCH PULLED BY JCB.  
COOL, DRY CONDITIONS.  
POST-MEDIEVAL FINDS RECOVERED.

DLG1 - 7-10	Recorder NS
NEA - 10-15	Date 10/10/13



# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

302

SITE OXHBRL3

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE CUTTrench 3Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: —

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by: —

Plan No.

300Cut by: —Filled by: (303)

Section No.

300Same as: —Part of: —

Co-Ordinates

Consists of: —Overlies: —

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Level T-1.55, B-2.08Butts: —Ditch  
Side No. 11, 12Cuts: (301)Neg No. 16, 17, 18Fill of: —

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain



MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1. SUB-CIRCULAR
2. SHALLOW SIDES, CURVED BASE
3. L-0.72M, W-1.25M, D-0.22M

5. YES. ~~10~~ 0.10M APPROX.6. (303)

7. NO.

Interpretation/Discussion:

THIS FEATURE APPEARS TO BE EITHER A SHALLOW PIT OR THE END OF A DITCH - THE FEATURE RUNS UNDERNEATH THE BULK. IT IS UNCLEAR IF THIS IS NATURAL OR MAN-MADE, ALTHOUGH THE FINDS UNCOVERED IN ITS FILL (303) SUGGEST IT COULD BE MANMADE.

Finds (tick): None  Pot  Bone  Flint  Stone  Burnt stone  Glass   
Metal  CBM  Wood  Leather

Small Finds

Recorder NS

Samples

Date 10/10/13

Building Materials

Initials



# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.


303

SITE <b>OXHR13</b>	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE <b>FILL</b>
Trench <b>3</b>	Context Type: Deposit / <del>Out</del> / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: <b>300</b>	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Structure No.	Abutted by: _____	
Plan No. <b>300</b>	Cut by: _____	CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments
	Filled by: _____	
Section No. <b>300</b>	Same as: _____	MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. term 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
	Part of: _____	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of: _____	
	Overlies: _____	
Level <del>Plot</del>	Butts: _____	
Site No. <b>11, 12</b>	Cuts: _____	
Neg No. <b>16, 17, 18</b>	Fill of: <b>302</b>	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	

Description (See check lists):

1. SOFT
2. MID GREYISH BROWN.
3. CLAYEY SILT
4. STONES, <sup>SA</sup> POORLY SORTED, 0.005 - 0.10M, > 50%
5. 0.22M
6. L-0.72M, W-1.25M
- 7.
8. MATTOCK + TROWEL USED
- COOL, DRY CONDITIONS

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion:

FROM THE AMOUNT OF FINDS, IT SEEMS TO SUGGEST THAT THIS FILL WAS THE RESULT OF HUMAN AGENCY

Finds (tick): None [ ] Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone [ ] Glass [4]  
Metal [✓] CBM [✓] Wood [ ] Leather [ ]

- Small Finds X
- Samples X
- Building Materials X

Recorder **NS**Date **10/10/13**

Initials



# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

304

SITE OXHBR13

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE CUTTrench 3Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: —

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by: —

Plan No.

300Cut by: —Filled by: (305)

Section No.

301Same as: —Part of: —

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of: —Overlies: —Level T=1.55, B=1.93Butts: —

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Ditch Site No. 13, 14Cuts: (301)Neg No. 19, 20, 21Fill of: —

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain


Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1. SUB-CIRCULAR

2. SHALLOW SIDES, CURVED BASE


3. L-0.70M, W-0.80M, D-0.14M

4. 

5. YES. APPROX 0.06M

6. (305)

7.



Interpretation/Discussion:

THIS FEATURE APPEARS TO BE EITHER A VERY SHALLOW PIT OR THE END OF A DITCH - THE FEATURE RUNS UNDERNEATH THE ROAD. IT IS UNCERTAIN WHETHER THIS IS NATURAL OR MAN-MADE, HOWEVER, THE FINDS UNCOVERED IN ITS FILL (305) SUGGEST THAT IT COULD BE THE RESULT OF HUMAN AGENCY.

Finds (tick): None  Pot  Bone  Flint  Stone  Burnt stone  Glass  Metal  CBM  Wood  Leather

 Small Finds 
Recorder NS
 Samples 
Date 10/10/13
 Building Materials 

Initials



# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

305

SITE OXH13213

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FILLTrench 3Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (300)

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by: \_\_\_\_\_

Plan No.

300

Cut by: \_\_\_\_\_

Filled by: \_\_\_\_\_

Section No.

301

Same as: \_\_\_\_\_

Part of: \_\_\_\_\_

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/side/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncated
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of: \_\_\_\_\_

Overlies: \_\_\_\_\_

Level

13, 14

Butts: \_\_\_\_\_

Site No.

13, 14

Cuts: \_\_\_\_\_

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

19, 20, 21Fill of: 304

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1. SOFT

2. MUD GREYISH BROWN

3. CLAYEY SILT

4. STONES, SUB-ANGULAR, POOR SORTED, 0.005-0.10M, &gt; 50%


5. 0.14M

6. L-0.70M, W-0.80M

8. TROWEL USED.

7.

COOL, DRY CONDITIONS.



Interpretation/Discussion:

FROM THE AMOUNT OF FINDS RECOVERED, IT IS LIKELY THAT THIS FILL IS THE RESULT OF HUMAN AGENCY.

Finds (tick): None [ ] Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone [ ] Glass [X]  
 Metal [ ] CBM [X] Wood [ ] Leather [ ]

△ Small Finds X

Recorder NS

◇ Samples X

Date 10/10/13

⊠ Building Materials X

Initials

<b>SITE</b> OXHBA 13	<b>EVALUATION TRENCH RECORD SHEET</b>	<b>Trench No.</b> 4
-------------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------------

Trench orientation NW/SE	Grid reference	Field No.
Length 30	Width 1.6	Average depth to top of natural 0.3m
Plan Nos? 400	Section Nos? 400	Was archaeology present? Yes
		Were finds recovered? No

If a trench contains only a small number of contexts, and requires only one or two plans and sections, list plans and sections on this sheet. If the trench contains large numbers of contexts use a conventional context check list and plan and section list sheets as necessary.

**Context check list / Descriptions**

Context No.	Description
(400)	Present topsoil/ploughsoil D. Grey Silty clay
[402]	Nat feature 0.35m W x 0.2m D
(403)	Fill - Brown S/C
[404]	Nat hollow 0.5m W x 0.1m D
(405)	Fill - Brown S/C
[406]	Nat feature 0.2m W x 0.15m D
(407)	Fill - Brown S/C
[408]	Nat feature 0.2m W x 0.1m D
(409)	Fill - Brown S/C
[410]	Nat hollow 0.3m W x 0.05m D
(411)	Fill - Brown S/C
[412]	Pit 0.7m W x 0.1m D
(413)	Pit fill - Dark Grey S/C (Post Med?)
(401)	Natural (describe) Limestone

**Brief description of archaeology/comments**

3 Natural features  
 2 Natural hollows  
 1 Pit

Recorder <i>Se</i>
Date 10/10/13





# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

**[402]**SITE  
**OXHRR 13**

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

Natural feature  
TYPE **[402]**Trench  
**4**Context Type: ~~Deposit / Cut / Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: **401**

DEPOSIT:

- 1. compaction
- 2. colour
- 3. composition
- 4. inclusion
- 5. thickness
- 6. extent
- 7. comments
- 8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

**400**

Cut by:

Filled by: **(403)**

Section No.

**400**

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

- 1. shape in plan
- 2. base/sides/top profile
- 3. dimension and depth
- 4. sketch
- 5. truncation
- 6. fill nos
- 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

- 1. materials
- 2. size of bricks etc
- 3. finish of stones
- 4. coursing/bond
- 5. form
- 6. faces
- 7. bond
- 8. dimensions as found
- 9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts: **(401)**

Neg No.

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- ① E/W linear
- ② V shaped base - irregular sides & base
- ③ 0.35m W x 1m L x 0.2m D
- ④ V
- ⑤ ✓
- ⑥ (403)
- ⑦ Hand exc fine + dry



Interpretation/Discussion:

Cut of Natural feature cut into limestone - resulting from glacial activity? - Very irregular + v. clean sterile fill - Similar to [406] + [408]

Finds (tick): None [ ] Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone [ ] Glass [ ] Metal [ ] CBM [ ] Wood [ ] Leather [ ]

△ Small Finds

Recorder

◇ Samples

Date

⬆ Building Materials

Initials



# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

(403)

SITE OXHBR 13

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE not feature fillTrench 4Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (400)

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions.

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

400

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

400

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form of faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of: [402]


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- ① Firm
- ② Brown
- ③ Silty clay
- ④ None
- ⑤ 0.2
- ⑥ 0.35m  $\approx$  1m L
- ⑦ / ⑧ Hand exc fine + dry



Interpretation/Discussion:

Fill of Natural feature

Finds (tick): None  Pot  Bone  Flint  Stone  Burnt stone  Glass   
 Metal  CBM  Wood  Leather

 Small Finds

Recorder

 Samples

Date

 Building Materials

Initials



# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

[412]

SITE OXHBR 13

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE Pit

Trench 4

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

- 1. compaction
- 2. colour
- 3. composition
- 4. inclusion
- 5. thickness
- 6. extent
- 7. comments
- 8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

Cut by:

400

Filled by: (413)

Section No.

Same as:

CUT:

- 1. shape in plan
- 2. base/sides/top profile
- 3. dimension and depth
- 4. sketch
- 5. truncation
- 6. fill nos
- 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

- 1. materials
- 2. size of bricks etc
- 3. finish of stones
- 4. coursing/bond
- 5. form
- 6. faces
- 7. bond
- 8. dimensions as found
- 9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts: (401)

Neg No.

Fill of:

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

① Circular

② /


③ 0.6m Ø

④ /

⑤ /

⑥ (413)

⑦ not excavated - recorded in plan



Interpretation/Discussion:

Cut of pit - fill colour very similar/same as other post-med features on site

Finds (tick): None [ ] Pot [ ] Bone [ ] Flint [ ] Stone [ ] Burnt stone [ ] Glass [ ] Metal [ ] CBM [ ] Wood [ ] Leather [ ]

Small Finds

Recorder

Samples

Date 10/10/13

Building Materials

Initials



# CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

[413]

SITE **OXMR 13**

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE **Pit**Trench **4**Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: **(400)**

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

**400**

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of: **[412]**


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

- ① 4cm
- ② Dark Grey
- ③ Silty clay
- ④ /
- ⑤ /
- ⑥ 0.6m  $\phi$
- ⑦/⑧ Recorded in plan only

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion:

**fill of pit**

Finds (tick): None  Pot  Bone  Flint  Stone  Burnt stone  Glass   
 Metal  CBM  Wood  Leather

 Small FindsRecorder **S** SamplesDate **10/10/13** Building Materials

Initials

**Office  
DEPOT®**



LAND OFF BARTON ROAD, HEADWATON

OXHBR13

Box 1 FILE 5

C: FINDS DATA.

Three horizontal dotted lines for writing.

Three horizontal dotted lines for writing.

**PDF/A SCAN**

**FILMING INSTRUCTIONS**

Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

**Headings**

**Site information**

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Headington]

Site[Land off Barton Road] Site code[OXHBR13]

Line 2: Excavators name[S Leech]

Line 3:

**Classification of material**

**Tick if present**

Classification of material	Tick if present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	/
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

# Finds Compendium

Site Code	Invoice Code	Site Name	Accession No	OAU No
OXHBR 13	OXHBREV	Barton Road, Headington, Oxford		

Finds materials summarised for Site Code: OXHBR 13 and invoice code: OXHBREV ,

Material	No of Boxes	No Of Contexts	No Of Sherds	Total Weight (g)	Box Sizes	Box Numbers
Animal Bone	1	1	275	3433	1 x Size 1	B.01
CBM	1	2	51	7368	1 x Size 2	BM.01
Glass		3	14	169		MISC.01 - mixed box
Iron	1	2	49	710	1 x Plastic size 8	FE.01
Pottery		3	37	577		MISC.01 - mixed box
Shell		1	3	36		MISC.01 - mixed box
Slag		2	34	801		MISC.01 - mixed box
Stone		2	5	86		MISC.01 - mixed box

Totals: 468 13,180 g

Total No of Boxes: 3 boxes + 1 miscellaneous boxes

Miscellaneous Box Sizes:  
MISC.01 Size 2

**Box Contents Sheets**

<b>Site Code</b> OXHBR 13	<b>Material:</b> Animal Bone
<b>Box Size</b> Size 1	<b>Box No</b> B.01 <b>Accession No</b>

Context	SF No	No of Bags	No of Objects	Material:	Weight (g)	Context	SF Number	No of Bags	No of Objects	Material:	Weight (g)
105		1	68	Animal Bone	743						
105		1	192	Animal Bone	1339						
105		1	15	Animal Bone	1351						

**No of Contexts:**      3      **Total Bags:**      3  
**Total Objects:**      275      **Total Weight:**      3433



**Box Contents Sheets**

<b>Site Code</b> OXHBR 13	<b>Material:</b> CBM
<b>Box Size</b> Size 2	<b>Box No</b> BM.01 <b>Accession No</b>

Context	SF No	No of Bags	No of Objects	Material:	Weight (g)	Context	SF Number	No of Bags	No of Objects	Material:	Weight (g)
105		1	35	CBM	3781						
105		1	5	CBM	819						
105		1	1	CBM Brick	2740						
303		1	10	CBM	28						

**No of Contexts:**      4      **Total Bags:**      4  
**Total Objects:**      51      **Total Weight:**      7368

**Box Contents Sheets**

<b>Site Code</b> OXHBR 13	<b>Material:</b> Iron
<b>Box Size</b> Plastic size 8	<b>Box No</b> FE.01 <b>Accession No</b>

Context	SF No	No of Bags	No of Objects	Material:	Weight (g)	Context	SF Number	No of Bags	No of Objects	Material:	Weight (g)
105		1	47	Iron	702						
303		1	2	Iron	8						

**No of Contexts:** 2      **Total Bags:** 2  
**Total Objects:** 49      **Total Weight:** 710

**Box Contents Sheets**

<b>Site Code</b> OXHBR 13	<b>Material:</b> Miscellaneous
<b>Box Size</b> Size 2	<b>Box No</b> MISC.01 <b>Accession No</b>

Context	SF No	No of Bags	No of Objects	Material:	Weight (g)	Context	SF Number	No of Bags	No of Objects	Material:	Weight (g)
105		1	9	Glass	156						
303		1	2	Glass	6						
305		1	3	Glass	7						
105		1	33	Pottery	557						
303		1	1	Pottery	12						
305		1	3	Pottery	8						
105		1	3	Shell	36						
105		1	30	Slag	794						
303		1	4	Slag	7						
105		1	3	Stone slate	71						
303		1	2	Stone slate	15						

<b>No of Contexts:</b>	11	<b>Total Bags:</b>	11
<b>Total Objects:</b>	93	<b>Total Weight:</b>	1669

**Office  
DEPOT®**



LAND OFF BARTON ROAD, HEADINGTON

OXHBR13

Box 1 FILE 4

B: PRIMARY DRAWINGS

Empty rounded rectangular box with three horizontal dashed lines for writing.

Three horizontal dashed lines for writing.

A single horizontal solid line for writing.

Empty rounded rectangular box with three horizontal dashed lines for writing.

Large vertical rectangular area with a solid left border and three horizontal dashed lines for writing.

**PDF/A SCAN**

**FILMING INSTRUCTIONS**

Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

**Headings**

**Site information**

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Headington]

Site[Land off Barton Road] Site code[OXHBR13]

Line 2: Excavators name[S Leech]




Line 3:

**Classification of material**

Tick if present


Classification of material	Tick if present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	✓
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	✓
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	








OXHBR 13  
Trench 1  
Plan no. 101  
FJF  
10/10/13

→ N



REDUCED LEVELS:

- 1 = 105.41 m
- 2 = 104.93 m
- 3 = 104.91 m
- 4 = 104.60 m
- 5 = 104.57 m
- 6 = 104.49 m
- 7 = 104.58 m
- 8 = 104.41 m
- 9 = 103.91 m
- 10 = 103.71 m
- 11 = 104.91 m




OXMBR 13  
 P. 200 - TR 2  
 SCALE - 1:50  
 10/10/13

1m









OXHBRIS  
TRENCH  
P# 300  
10/10/13  
NS





OXHBA 13  
P.400 - T.4  
SCALE - 1:50  
E 10/10/13







S# 101  
102  
103

OXHBR13  
S#101 Trench 1  
FJF 10/10/13






OXHBR13  
S#102 Trench 1  
FJF 10/10/13




ALL INCLUSIONS ARE  
STONE UNLESS OTHERWISE  
INDICATED

OXHBR13  
S#103 Trench 1  
FJF 10/10/13





OXHER 13.  
 S.400 - NAT FEATURE [402]  
 SCALE - 1:20  
 SECTION ON P.400  
 & 10/10/13




OXHER 13  
 S.200 - NAT FEATURE [202]  
 SCALE - 1:20  
 SECTION ON P.200  
 & 10/10/13




OXHBR13  
TR3  
S# 300+301

OXHBR13  
TRENCH 3  
S# 300  
10/10/13  
NS



OXHBR13  
TRENCH 3  
S# 301  
10/10/13  
NS



**Office  
DEPOT®**



LAND OFF BARTON ROAD, HEADINGTON

OXHBRIS

Box 1 FILE 6

D. CATALOGUE OF PHOTOGRAPHS.

Form with three horizontal dashed lines for writing.

Form with three horizontal dashed lines for writing.

**PDF/A SCAN**

**FILMING INSTRUCTIONS**

Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

**Headings**

**Site information**

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Headington]

Site[Land off Barton Road] Site code[OXHBR13]

Line 2: Excavators name[S Leech]

Line 3:

**Classification of material**

**Tick if present**

Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	✓
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	





# PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET

SITE CODE <b>OXHBR 13</b>	SITE NAME <b>Barton Rd Headington</b>	FILM NO. <b>1</b>
Camera number <b>270</b>	Lens number	<b>Black &amp; white</b> colour

Date	Negative number	View	Context(s)	Initials
	<b>0</b>	<del>SE</del>	<b>ID SHOT</b>	<b>SE</b>
	<b>1</b>	<b>NW</b>	<b>Trench 4</b> <b>2x1m</b>	<b>WB</b>
	<b>2</b>	↓	↓	<b>WB</b>
	<b>3</b>	↓	↓	<b>"</b>
	<b>4</b>	<b>SE</b>	↓	<b>WB</b>
	<b>5</b>	↓	↓	<b>WB</b>
	<b>6</b>	↓	↓	<b>"</b>
	<b>7</b>	<b>SW</b>	<b>S.400 Tr 4</b> <b>1x1m</b>	<b>WB</b>
	<b>8</b>	↓	↓	<b>WB</b>
	<b>9</b>	↓	↓	<b>"</b>
	<b>10</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>TRENCH 3</b> <b>2x1m</b>	<b>WB</b>
	<b>11</b>	↓	↓	<b>WB</b>
	<b>12</b>	↓	↓	<b>WB</b>
	<b>13</b>	<b>W</b>	↓	<b>WB</b>
	<b>14</b>	↓	↓	<b>WB</b>
	<b>15</b>	↓	↓	<b>WB</b>
	<b>16</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>TR3 S.300</b> <b>1x1m</b>	<b>WB</b>
	<b>17</b>	↓	↓	<b>WB</b>
	<b>18</b>	↓	↓	<b>WB</b>
	<b>19</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>TR3 S.301</b> <b>1x1m</b>	<b>WB</b>
	<b>20</b>	↓	↓	<b>WB</b>
	<b>21</b>	↓	↓	<b>WB</b>
	<b>22</b>	<b>SE</b>	<b>Trench 2</b> <b>2x1m</b>	<b>WB</b>
	<b>23</b>	"	↓	<b>WB</b>
	<b>24</b>	<b>SW</b>	↓	<b>WB</b>
	<b>25</b>	"	↓	<b>WB</b>
	<b>26</b>	<b>SW</b>	<b>S.200 Tr 2</b> <b>1x1m</b>	<b>WB</b>
	<b>27</b>	"	"	<b>WB</b>
	<b>28</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>N. 1 S# 101 [104]</b> <b>1x1m</b>	<b>WB</b>
	<b>29</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>" S# 102 [106]</b> <b>"</b>	<b>"</b>
	<b>30</b>	<b>W</b>	<b>" S# 103 [108]</b> <b>"</b>	<b>"</b>
	<b>31</b>			
	<b>32</b>			
	<b>33</b>			
	<b>34</b>			
	<b>35</b>			
	<b>36</b>			
	<b>37</b>			

## Sheet1

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Site Code: OXHBR13		Site Name: Barton Road Headington			
2	Site shot	Archive Shot				
3	Number	Number	View	Description	Initials	Date
4	0001	0001		Image corrupt	SL	10/10/13
5	0002	0002	NW	Trench 4 2x1m NB	SL	10/10/13
6	0003	0003	SE	Trench 4 2x1m WB	SL	10/10/13
7	0004	0004	SE	Trench 4 2x1m NB	SL	10/10/13
8	0005	0005	SW	S.400 Trench 4 1x1m WB	SL	10/10/13
9	0006	0006	SW	S.400 Trench 4 1x1m NB	SL	10/10/13
10	0007	0007	E	Trench 3 2x1m WB	NS	10/10/13
11	0009	0008	W	Trench 3 2x1m WB	NS	10/10/13
12	0010	0009	W	Trench 3 2x1m NB	NS	10/10/13
13	0011	0010	NW	Trench 3 S.300 1x1m WB	NS	10/10/13
14	0012	0011	NW	Trench 3 S.300 1x1m NB	NS	10/10/13
15	0013	0012	S	Trench 3 S>301 1x1m WB	NS	10/10/13
16	0014	0013	S	Trench 3 S>301 1x1m NB	NS	10/10/13
17	0015	0014	SE	Trench 2 2x2m WB	NS	10/10/13
18	0016	0015	SE	Trench 2 2x2m NB	SL	10/10/13
19	0017	0016	NW	Trench 2 2x2m WB	SL	10/10/13
20	0018	0017	NW	Trench 2 2x2m NB	SL	10/10/13
21	0019	0018	SW	S.200 Trench 2 1x1m WB	SL	10/10/13
22	0020	0019	SW	S.200 Trench 2 1x1m NB	SL	10/10/13
23	0021	0020	E	Trench 1 S.101 [104] 1x1m WB	SL	10/10/13
24	0022	0021	E	Trench 1 S.101 [104] 1x1m NB	FJF	10/10/13
25	0023	0022	E	Trench 1 S.101 [104] 1x1m NB	FJF	10/10/13
26	0024	0023	E	Trench 1 S.101 [104] 1x1m NB	FJF	10/10/13
27	0025	0024	E	Trench 1 S.101 [104] 1x1m NB	FJF	10/10/13
28	0026	0025	E	Trench 1 S.102 [106] 1x1m WB	FJF	10/10/13
29	0027	0026	E	Trench 1 S.102 [106] 1x1m NB	FJF	10/10/13
30	0028	0027	W	Trench 1 S.103 [108] 1x1m WB	FJF	10/10/13
31	0029	0028	W	Trench 1 S.103 [108] 1x1m NB	FJF	10/10/13
32	0030	0029	S	Trench 1 general shot 2x1m WB	FJF	10/10/13
33	0031	0030	S	Trench 1 general shot 2x1m NB	FJF	10/10/13
34	0032	0031	NW	Trench 1 general shot 2x1m WB	FJF	10/10/13
35	0033	0032	NW	Trench 1 general shot 2x1m NB	FJF	10/10/13
36	0034	0033		Trench 2 backfilled	SL	10/10/13
37	0035	0034		Trench 1 backfilled	SL	10/10/13
38	0036	0035		Trench 4 backfilled	SL	10/10/13
39	0037	0036		Trench 3 backfilled	SL	10/10/13



OXHBR13\_0002.JPG



OXHBR13\_0003.JPG



OXHBR13\_0004.JPG



OXHBR13\_0005.JPG



OXHBR13\_0006.JPG



OXHBR13\_0007.JPG



OXHBR13\_0008.JPG



OXHBR13\_0009.JPG




OXHBR13\_0010.JPG



OXHBR13\_0011.JPG



OXHBR13\_0012.JPG



OXHBR13\_0013.JPG



OXHBR13\_0014.JPG



OXHBR13\_0015.JPG



OXHBR13\_0016.JPG



OXHBR13\_0017.JPG



OXHBR13\_0018.JPG



OXHBR13\_0019.JPG



OXHBR13\_0020.JPG



OXHBR13\_0021.JPG



OXHBR13\_0022.JPG



OXHBR13\_0023.JPG



OXHBR13\_0024.JPG



OXHBR13\_0025.JPG



OXHBR13\_0026.JPG



OXHBR13\_0027.JPG



OXHBR13\_0028.JPG



OXHBR13\_0029.JPG



OXHBR13\_0030.JPG



OXHBR13\_0031.JPG



OXHBR13\_0032.JPG



OXHBR13\_0033.JPG



OXHBR13\_0034.JPG



OXHBR13\_0035.JPG



OXHBR13\_0036.JPG