

An Archaeological evaluation at NCC Car Park, Beaulieu Chelmsford



Archaeological Evaluation Report



June 2015

Client: Countryside Zest (Beaulieu Park) LLP

OA East Report No: 1769

OASIS No: oxfordar3-211318

NGR: TL 7230 1014

An Archaeological evaluation at NCC Car Park, Beaulieu, Chelmsford

Archaeological Evaluation

By Helen Stocks-Morgan BSc

Editor: Richard Mortimer (MCIfA)

Report Date: May 2015

Report Number: 1769
Site Name: NCC Car Park, Beaulieu, Chelmsford
HER Event No: SPBP 15
Date of Works: April 2015
Client Name: Countryside Zest (Beaulieu Park) LLP
Client Ref: 15344
Planning Ref: 09/01314/EIA
Grid Ref: TL 7230 1014
Site Code: SP BP 15
Finance Code: XEX BEP 15
Receiving Body: Chelmsford Museum / Stores
Accession No:

Prepared by: Helen Stocks-Morgan
Position: Supervisor
Date: 26/02/14

Checked by: Richard Mortimer
Position: Senior Project Manager

Date: 01/07/15
Signed:



Disclaimer

This document has been prepared for the titled project or named part thereof and should not be relied upon or used for any other project without an independent check being carried out as to its suitability and prior written authority of Oxford Archaeology being obtained. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for the consequences of this document being used for a purpose other than the purposes for which it was commissioned. Any person/party using or relying on the document for such other purposes agrees and will by such use or reliance be taken to confirm their agreement to indemnify Oxford Archaeology for all loss or damage resulting therefrom. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for this document to any party other than the person/party by whom it was commissioned.

Oxford Archaeology East,
15 Trafalgar Way,
Bar Hill,
Cambridge,
CB23 8SQ

t: 01223 850500
f: 01223 850599
e: oaeast@thehumanjourney.net
w: <http://thehumanjourney.net/oaeast>

© Oxford Archaeology East 2011
Oxford Archaeology Limited is a Registered Charity No: 285627

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Summary..... 5

1 Introduction..... 7

 1.1 Location and scope of work..... 7

 1.2 Geology and topography..... 7

 1.3 Archaeological and historical background..... 7

 1.4 Acknowledgements..... 11

2 Aims and Methodology..... 12

 2.1 Aims..... 12

 2.2 Methodology..... 12

3 Results..... 13

 3.1 Introduction..... 13

 3.2 Finds Summary..... 13

4 Discussion and Conclusions..... 14

 4.1 Discussion..... 14

 4.2 Recommendations..... 14

Appendix A. Trench Descriptions and Context Inventory..... 15

Appendix B. Finds Reports..... 18

 B.1 Flint..... 18

Appendix C. Bibliography..... 19

Appendix D. OASIS Report Form..... 21

List of Figures

Fig. 1 Site location map

Fig. 2 Trench location

List of Plates

Plate 1 Trench 213, looking east

Summary

An archaeological evaluation was carried out at NCC Car Park, Beaulieu, Chelmsford. The fieldwork took place between the 31/3/15 and the 4/4/15. A total of nine trenches were excavated within the proposed development area.

One natural feature thought to be of glacial origin was encountered during the evaluation, with no archaeological remains encountered.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Location and scope of work

- 1.1.1 Between the 31th March and 4th April 2015 Oxford Archaeology East carried out an archaeological evaluation at NCC Car park, Beaulieu, Chelmsford (TL 7230 1014) in advance of a construction of a new car park planned for North-East Chelmsford, known as Beaulieu. Chelmsford City Council has resolved to grant outline planning permission (ref: 09/01314/EIA) for a new neighbourhood at Beaulieu of up to 3,600 new homes and up to 62,300m² of mixed use development including new schools, leisure and community facilities, employment areas, new highways and associated ancillary development, including full details in respect of roundabout access from Essex Regiment Way and a priority junction from White Hart Lane.
- 1.1.2 An archaeological evaluation was conducted on land to the west of Essex Regiment Way and south of White Hart Lane, at Beaulieu, Chelmsford (see fig. 1 for location). The evaluation was undertaken in advance of a car park construction.
- 1.1.3 This archaeological evaluation was undertaken in accordance with the Archaeological Investigation and Mitigation Strategy (URS 2013) prepared for the Beaulieu scheme in consultation with Richard Havis of the Historic Environment Branch, ECC (Planning Application 09/01314/EIA), and supplemented by a Method Statement prepared by OA East.
- 1.1.4 The work was designed to assist in defining the character and extent of any archaeological remains within the proposed redevelopment area, in accordance with the guidelines set out in *National Planning Policy Framework* (Department for Communities and Local Government March 2012). The results will enable decisions to be made by CCC, on behalf of the Local Planning Authority, with regard to the treatment of any archaeological remains found.
- 1.1.5 The site archive is currently held by OA East and will be deposited with the appropriate county stores in due course.

1.2 Geology and topography

- 1.2.1 Beaulieu (the Site) is located approximately 4km to the north-east of Chelmsford, Essex (centred on TL 7230 1014; Figure 1). The Site encompasses an area of high ground surrounded on three sides by river valleys. To the west and south is the River Chelmer, and to the east is Boreham Brook. North of the Site the ground rises towards the village of Terling. From the southern part of the Site there are views south towards the Chelmer Valley and Danbury Hill.
- 1.2.2 The superficial geology consists of boulder clay of the Lowestoft Till formation underlain by London Clays. To the south of the area lay a mixture of head deposits and sand and gravels (British Geological Survey).

1.3 Archaeological and historical background

Neolithic

- 1.3.1 Essex has some of the earliest surviving evidence of settlement, mainly concentrated to the north-east along the River Crouch at Lawford and Lemarsh (Hedges, 1984). Evidence for possible domestic settlement within the vicinity of Beaulieu was recorded

at Court Road, 1km to the north-west, in the form of several pits with Neolithic pottery within their fills (SMR 6142).

Bronze Age

- 1.3.2 Settlement continued to be concentrated along the river valleys of the Chelmer and Crouch, however during the Bronze Age the landscape was enclosed by field systems for the first time, such as those found at Great Wakering (Kemble, 2001). These enclosed field systems would have continued in use through into the early Iron Age. It has been suggested that these Bronze Age field systems form the basis for the modern landscape in the Chelmer Valley (Drury & Rodwell, 1980).
- 1.3.3 Several crop-marks have been recorded by aerial photography to the south of Belstead Hall and interpreted as part of a Bronze Age settlement (SMR 16888), with further domestic dwellings excavated at Springfield Lyons, 2.5km to the south-west. Further occupation sites are attested to by the recovery of artefacts, such as at New Hall School, to the south-east and Pratt's Farm, to the north.

Iron Age

- 1.3.4 The settlement pattern during the Iron Age would have been of nucleated settlements within a larger farming landscape. Evidence of this, within the vicinity of the development area, was seen to the south of Belstead Hall (SMR 17438). This comprised a large enclosure with associated pits and smaller ditches (Drury, 1978).
- 1.3.5 The Later Iron Age witnessed an expansion of settlement onto the heavier clay soils and the continued occupation of the estuaries. These estuarine sites are seen to become more complex in nature over time, with higher population density and sustained occupation, such as has been found at Little Waltham (Drury 1980).
- 1.3.6 By the end of the Iron Age sites such as Gosbecks oppida show that portions of the population were highly structured and of high status. These sites would have relied on farming communities scattered around the environs to supply agricultural commodities. (Crummy 1997).

Roman

- 1.3.7 During the Roman period a small market town would have grown up around the Mansio, located 5km to the south-west at Moulsham Street. The area surrounding this would have formed an agricultural hinterland to supply produce to the town.
- 1.3.8 This agricultural landscape would have comprised of large farms and villa complexes, such as those at Great Holts Farm and Bulls Farm Lodge. Smaller domestic sites would also have formed part of the landscape. Evidence for these has been recorded during evaluation work at Greater Beaulieu. Evidence for pottery making, associated with domestic use was also recorded.

Anglo-Saxon

- 1.3.9 In the immediate post-Roman period, the Roman town at Chelmsford was abandoned and much of the surrounding landscape reverted to rough pasture or woodland (Hunter, 2003). No known remains of Anglo-Saxon date are recorded within the application site although this is more likely to reflect the relatively poor archaeological visibility of Anglo-Saxon settlement sites rather than a lack of activity during the period.
- 1.3.10 Two records dating to the Anglo-Saxon period are held by the EHER; both of which are documentary records for Late Saxon manors, Belestedam (Belstead Hall) is recorded in the Domesday survey of AD 1086 (Reaney, 1035).

Medieval

- 1.3.11 The medieval town of Chelmsford was founded at the end of the 12th century, by the Bishop of London, to the north of the earlier Roman settlement at Moulsham. Throughout the medieval period the site was located within the rural hinterland of Chelmsford in a landscape populated by scattered farmsteads and manors.
- 1.3.12 To the south-east lay the manor of New Hall on the site of the current New Hall School. It is first mentioned by name (as 'Nova Aula') in documents dating to AD1301 when the site formed part of the lands owned by the Canons of Waltham Abbey and was used as the summer residence of the Abbott. It was later transferred to the Regular Canons under Henry II (Burgess & Rance, 1988).
- 1.3.13 The first deer park surrounding New Hall was created during the medieval period with the manor at its centre (Tuckwell, 2006). Under Henry VII, New Hall was granted to Thomas Boteler, Earl of Ormond, who received a licence to crenellate (fortify) it in AD1481 (E41/420) and who, in all likelihood, rebuilt or remodelled the original medieval hall in the latest architectural style. The new structure came to the attention of Henry VIII who visited New Hall in 1510 and 1515, shortly before Ormond's death. Subsequently, the property passed to Thomas' daughter and thus into the Boleyn family through her husband Sir Thomas Boleyn, from whom Henry VIII acquired the hall in 1516, changing its name to the 'Palace of Beaulieu'. Shortly after 1518 he rebuilt the Ormond's medieval hall on a quadrangular plan with gatehouse in the south range, great hall in the east and chapel in the west ranges. Mary Tudor took residency at New Hall intermittently between 1532 and her ascendancy to the crown in 1553.
- 1.3.14 Evidence for a further moated manor is recorded at Belstead. This manor was occupied throughout the medieval period. By 1325 it was called Belestede, in 1354 it was recorded as Belestede Hall and by 1504 it was known as Belested Hall. The name is thought to derive from 'the site of the bell house' (P.H Reaney 1935).
- 1.3.15 Analysis of aerial photographs and geophysical survey identified a number of features which, when investigated by trial trench evaluation, were found to comprise a possible enclosure ditch or moat. A cobbled surface (possibly representing a house platform or yard surface), pit and several further ditches were recorded within the enclosure. Pottery recovered from the features suggests an occupation date of the 12-13th century (ECC FAU 2009). These remains have been interpreted as a medieval farmstead or manor, possibly the precursor to the later manorial site at Belstead Hall c.160m to the north-east of site 7.

Post-Medieval

- 1.3.16 The development of New Hall and its deer park dominated the landscape of the application site and the surrounding area until the park contracted in size and the fields were enclosed for agriculture in the early 18th century. As the deer park was reduced in size the former medieval manors or lodges developed into farms, creating an essentially agricultural landscape.
- 1.3.17 Since the medieval period, New Hall had been set within the largest deer park in Essex; once totalling some 1,500 acres. The EHER records that the enclosed area actually comprised four separate parks surrounding New Hall and its gardens. Within the Great or Old Park located to the north of New Hall. The remaining parks were known as the Red Deer Park located to east of New Hall, the Dukes Park (located further east beyond the study area; EHER 47226) and the New or Little Park situated to the south and west of New Hall. The application site is located within this latter area.

Previous Archaeological Investigations

Geophysical Surveys

- 1.3.18 Geophysical magnetic susceptibility and detailed magnetometer surveys were carried out to evaluate the potential for important archaeological remains that may be buried within the Site. The magnetic susceptibility survey provided a rapid assessment of likely areas for previous settlement and industrial activity. The survey identified six areas of high potential, ten areas of medium potential and seven areas of low potential (Scott Wilson 2008). The magnetic susceptibility survey was followed by a detailed magnetometer survey of c.50% of the Beaulieu scheme. This survey provided a greater level of detail and identified individual features such as pits and ditches, field boundaries, buildings and structures, kilns or hearths and buried iron objects. The detailed magnetometer survey identified ten areas of high archaeological potential; six of medium potential and 19 of low potential (Scott Wilson 2008).

Trial trench Evaluation (2008)

- 1.3.19 A limited programme of targeted trial trench evaluation was undertaken between June and August 2008. The purpose of the trial trenching was to confirm the presence/absence and significance of archaeological remains at eight sites identified by an assessment of the combined results of the desk-based studies and non-intrusive surveys (Scott Wilson 2007).
- 1.3.20 The trial trenching confirmed the presence of archaeological remains dating from the late prehistoric to post-medieval periods. This included a Late Iron Age and Early Romano-British settlement (Site 8); an Iron Age ditch (Site 5); medieval rural settlement possibly indicative of a precursor to Belstead Hall (Site 7); a possible medieval/early post-medieval warrener's lodge associated with the former deer park (Site 10); early post-medieval moated enclosure (Site 11); Tudor fishpond and associated earthwork dam (Site 2); a brick making site comprising two scove or clamp kilns of possible Tudor date (Site 3) and evidence for associated quarrying activity (Site 4).

Beaulieu Minerals trial trench evaluation

- 1.3.21 A trial trench evaluation was undertaken in September/October 2011 to inform and support the planning application for the Beaulieu Minerals Extraction scheme. The evaluation identified a concentration of archaeological remains to the north-west of New Hall School. These remains appear to represent a rural settlement and possible metalworking activity dating from the Late Bronze Age through to the end of the Roman period. Metal detecting of the plough soil revealed several Early Roman coins and fragments of Early Roman brooches within the main area of activity.

Beaulieu 1st Mitigation evaluation and excavations 2013

- 1.3.22 Recent archaeological trial trench evaluation of the proposed Essex Regiment Way roundabout, White Hart Lane junction and connecting access road identified four locations of significant archaeological remains (Stocks-Morgan, 2013).
- 1.3.23 Site 5, located within the footprint of the proposed Essex Regiments Way roundabout, identified part of a Middle Iron Age settlement comprised a single round-house, surviving only as the remains of an eaves-drip gully. Several small pits and postholes were identified outside the roundhouse and were likely to be associated with domestic activity contemporary with the building. This settlement was surrounded by a large oval enclosure.
- 1.3.24 In Area A1 a single east to west aligned field boundary ditch of possibly Late Iron Age date attests to a wider agricultural landscape of field systems. A second, probably

medieval, ditch was encountered on a north-west to south-east alignment (Stocks-Morgan, 2013a).

- 1.3.25 Site 11 and Zone D1 identified evidence of two High Medieval house platforms and their surrounding enclosures. Thought to be a medieval settlement associated with Belstead Manor estate (Stocks-Morgan, 2013b).

Beaulieu Zones B and E Trench Evaluation, 2014

- 1.3.26 Four areas of significant archaeological remains were identified on Zones B and E.
- 1.3.27 Two small open area excavations were undertaken to the west of the area, which encountered Late Bronze Age / Early Iron Age open settlement, comprising five four-poster structures and several pits. A further area to the north of the site encountered a small undated gully.
- 1.3.28 A large open area excavation was undertaken towards the south-eastern corner of the site, which identified occupation spanning a period from the Late Iron Age into the Early Roman period. These settlement remains consisted of an enclosure surrounding a roundhouse and associated occupation features. In the Early Roman period this enclosure was reconfigured and a replacement roundhouse. This phase of settlement also produced associated midden deposits and an ancillary roundhouse.

1.4 Acknowledgements

- 1.4.1 The author would like to thank Iain Williamson of URS and Countryside Zest (Beaulieu Park) LLP who respectively commissioned and funded the archaeological work. The project was managed by Richard Mortimer and the illustrator was Severine Bezie. Thanks are also extended to Mary Andrews, Alexandra Cameron, Nick Gilmour, Ted Levermore, and Daria Tsybaeva who helped with the fieldwork. The project was monitored by Richard Havis and Alison Bennett of Essex County Council. The machining was undertaken by Oliver Scanlon of Danbury Plant Hire.

2 AIMS AND METHODOLOGY

2.1 Aims

2.1.1 The objective of this evaluation was to determine as far as reasonably possible the presence/absence, location, nature, extent, date, quality, condition and significance of any surviving archaeological deposits within the development area.

2.2 Methodology

2.2.1 Nine trenches were excavated within the proposed development area and all archaeological remains were excavated where appropriate and possible.

2.2.2 Machine excavation was carried out under constant archaeological supervision with a tracked 15 ton machine using a toothless ditching bucket.

2.2.3 The site survey was carried out by Pat Moan using a Leica GPS fitted with *Smartnet* technology.

2.2.4 Spoil, exposed surfaces and features were scanned with a metal detector. All metal-detected and hand-collected finds were retained for inspection, other than those which were obviously modern.

2.2.5 All archaeological features and deposits were recorded using OA East's *pro-forma* sheets. Trench locations, plans and sections were recorded at appropriate scales and colour and monochrome photographs were taken of all relevant features and deposits.

2.2.6 The site conditions were dry and sunny.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Introduction

3.1.1 The trenches are presented below in numerical order (see Fig. 2 for trench locations). All the trenches exposed a natural of mid brown boulder clay (2251), which was overlain by a dark brown silty clay topsoil (2250) with an average depth of 0.38m.

Trenches 211 - 212

1.1.1 No archaeological features were recorded in these trenches.

Trench 213

1.1.2 No archaeological features were recorded in this trench (see plate 1).

Trenches 214 - 216

1.1.3 No archaeological features were recorded in these trenches.

Trench 217

3.1.2 At the northern end of the trench lay a linear feature (2252), aligned east to west, with an exposed width of 2m. This feature had gently sloping sides and a flattish base, measuring 0.2m deep. It was filled by a light greyish brown silty clay (2253).

Trenches 218 - 219

1.1.4 No archaeological features were recorded in these trenches.

3.2 Finds Summary

3.2.1 One flint scraper was recovered from the topsoil in trench 216.

4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

4.1 Discussion

- 4.1.1 No archaeological features of any significance were encountered during the evaluation. On the eastern side of the development area a natural hollow was identified, however this is thought to be glacial origin. Such natural hollows have been present throughout the Beaulieu landscape, and formed when the ice sheets retreated and scoured the natural clay.
- 4.1.2 The discussion concentrates on features that are dated and can be grouped. It is presented as an overall chronological format to help set the findings into context within their wider landscape setting.

4.2 Recommendations

- 4.2.1 Recommendations for any future work based upon this report will be agreed in consultation with the ECC HEM.

APPENDIX A. TRENCH DESCRIPTIONS AND CONTEXT INVENTORY

Trench 211						
General description					Orientation	E-W
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil overlying a natural of brown boulder clay.					Avg. depth (m)	0.36
					Width (m)	2
					Length (m)	30
Contexts						
context no	type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	comment	finds	date
2250	layer		0.38	Topsoil	-	-
Trench 212						
General description					Orientation	N-S
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil overlying a natural of brown boulder clay.					Avg. depth (m)	0.4
					Width (m)	2
					Length (m)	30
Contexts						
context no	type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	comment	finds	date
2250	Layer	-	0.5	Topsoil	-	-
Trench 213						
General description					Orientation	E-W
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil overlying a natural of brown boulder clay.					Avg. depth (m)	0.38
					Width (m)	2
					Length (m)	30
Contexts						
context no	type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	comment	finds	date
2250	Layer	-	0.4	Topsoil	-	-
Trench 214						
General description					Orientation	N-S
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil overlying a natural of brown boulder clay.					Avg. depth (m)	0.36
					Width (m)	2
					Length (m)	30
Contexts						
context no	type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	comment	finds	date
2250	Layer	-	0.38	Topsoil	-	-
Trench 215						
General description					Orientation	E-W

Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil overlying a natural of brown boulder clay.					Avg. depth (m)	0.4
					Width (m)	2
					Length (m)	30
Contexts						
context no	type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	comment	finds	date
2250	Layer	-	0.4	Topsoil	-	-
Trench 216						
General description					Orientation	E-W
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil overlying a natural of brown boulder clay.					Avg. depth (m)	0.35
					Width (m)	2
					Length (m)	30
Contexts						
context no	type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	comment	finds	date
2250	Layer	-	0.4	Topsoil	-	-
Trench 217						
General description					Orientation	N-S
Trench contained a natural feature. Consists of topsoil overlying a natural of brown boulder clay.					Avg. depth (m)	0.35
					Width (m)	2
					Length (m)	30
Contexts						
context no	type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	comment	finds	date
2250	Layer	-	0.36	Topsoil	-	-
2252	Cut	2	0.2	Natural feature	-	-
2253	Fill	2	0.2	Natural feature	-	-
Trench 218						
General description					Orientation	E-W
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil overlying a natural of brown boulder clay.					Avg. depth (m)	0.35
					Width (m)	2
					Length (m)	30
Contexts						
context no	type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	comment	finds	date
2250	Layer	-	0.35	Topsoil	-	-
Trench 219						
General description					Orientation	NW-SE
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil overlying a natural of brown boulder clay.					Avg. depth (m)	0.36
					Width (m)	2

					Length (m)	30
Contexts						
context no	type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	comment	finds	date
2250	Layer	-	0.4	Subsoil	-	-

APPENDIX B. FINDS REPORTS

B.1 Flint

Introduction

- B.1.1 A possible scraper was recovered front the topsoil in trench 216. The scraper was made on a mid blueish grey semi opaque flint.

APPENDIX C. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Brudenell, M., 2012 *Pots, practice and society: an investigation of pattern and variability in the post-Deverel Rimbury ceramic tradition of East Anglia*. Unpublished PhD thesis, York University
- Burgess, & Rance (eds) 1988 *Boreham – History, Tales and Memories of an Essex Village*. (Boreham Histories Project Group)
- Crummy, P., 1997 *City of Victory: the story of Colchester - Britain's first Roman town* (Colchester: Colchester Archaeological Trust)
- Davis, S. J. M. 1992 A rapid method for recording information about mammal bones from archaeological sites. Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report 19/92.
- Dobney, K & Reilly, K. 1988 *A method for recording archaeological animal bones: the use of diagnostic zones*. *Circaea* 5(2): 79-96
- Drury, P.J., 1978 *Excavations at Little Waltham, 1970-71*, CBA Res. Rep. 26, London
- Drury, P. J., 1980 'The early and middle phases of the Iron Age in Essex', in Buckley, B. G., (eds), *The Archaeology of Essex to AD 1500*, CBA Research Report 34
- Drury, P. J. & Rodwell, W., 1986 'Settlement in the Later Iron Age and Roman periods', in Buckley, B. G., (eds), *The Archaeology of Essex to AD 1500*. CBA Research Report 34
- Hedges, 1984 'The Neolithic in Essex', in Buckley, B. G., (eds), *The Archaeology of Essex to AD 1500*. CBA Research Report 34
- House, J., 2010 *Prehistoric and Roman Remains at Beaulieu Park, Chelmsford*. Oxford Arch. East Report No, 1309 (unpublished)
- Hunter, J., 2003 *Field systems in Essex*. (Essex Society for Archaeology and History, Colchester)
- Kemble, J., 2001 *Prehistoric and Roman Essex* (Shroud: Tempus)
- Mortimer, R., 2013 *Method Statement for Trial Trenching*. Oxford Arch. East Tender Ref No, 13149 (unpublished)
- Pocock, M. 2008 Archaeological Evaluation at Greater Beaulieu Park, Chelmsford, Essex. Essex County Council Archaeological Field Unit Report No 1905 (unpublished)
- Reaney, P.H., 1933 *Place names of Essex*. (Cambridge)
- Stocks-Morgan, H. 2013 *Iron Age and Medieval Remains on land at Phase 1, Beaulieu, Chelmsford*. Oxford Arch. East Report No, 1473 (unpublished)
- Stocks-Morgan, H. 2013a *Iron Age Remains at Site 5 and Area A1, Phase 1, Beaulieu, Chelmsford*. Oxford Arch. East Report No, 1541 (unpublished)
- Stocks-Morgan, H. 2013b *Medieval Remains at Beaulieu (zone D) Chelmsford*. Oxford Arch. East Report No, 1544 (unpublished)
- Tuckwell, T., 2006 *New Hall and its School* (Kings Lynn)
- Tyler, S. & Major, H. 2005 The Early Anglo-Saxon Cemetery and Later Saxon Settlement at Springfield Lyons, Essex. Essex. East Anglian Archaeology Report No. 111

URS 2013 *Beaulieu Park, Chelmsford, Essex: Archaeological Investigation and Mitigation Strategy.* (Unpublished Archaeological Design)

Maps consulted

British Geological Survey, 1993 Sheet 241, England and Wales 1:50,000

Websites consulted

<http://www.old-maps.co.uk/maps.html>. 1897 1:2500 Essex Viewed 22/06/11

APPENDIX D. OASIS REPORT FORM

Project Details

OASIS Number	oxfordar3-211318		
Project Name	Beaulieu, Chelmsford, Essex, NCC car park		
Project Dates (fieldwork) Start	31-03-2105	Finish	04-04-2015
Previous Work (by OA East)	Yes	Future Work	No

Project Reference Codes

Site Code	SPBP 15	Planning App. No.	09/01314/EIA
HER No.	sp bp 15	Related HER/OASIS No.	oxfordar3-152484,

Type of Project/Techniques Used

Prompt	Food and Environmental Protection Act 1985 (FEPA) Part II
Development Type	Housing Estate

Please select all techniques used:

<input type="checkbox"/> Aerial Photography - interpretation	<input type="checkbox"/> Grab-Sampling	<input type="checkbox"/> Remote Operated Vehicle Survey
<input type="checkbox"/> Aerial Photography - new	<input type="checkbox"/> Gravity-Core	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sample Trenches
<input type="checkbox"/> Annotated Sketch	<input type="checkbox"/> Laser Scanning	<input type="checkbox"/> Survey/Recording Of Fabric/Structure
<input type="checkbox"/> Augering	<input type="checkbox"/> Measured Survey	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Targeted Trenches
<input type="checkbox"/> Dendrochronological Survey	<input type="checkbox"/> Metal Detectors	<input type="checkbox"/> Test Pits
<input type="checkbox"/> Documentary Search	<input type="checkbox"/> Phosphate Survey	<input type="checkbox"/> Topographic Survey
<input type="checkbox"/> Environmental Sampling	<input type="checkbox"/> Photogrammetric Survey	<input type="checkbox"/> Vibro-core
<input type="checkbox"/> Fieldwalking	<input type="checkbox"/> Photographic Survey	<input type="checkbox"/> Visual Inspection (Initial Site Visit)
<input type="checkbox"/> Geophysical Survey	<input type="checkbox"/> Rectified Photography	

Monument Types/Significant Finds & Their Periods

List feature types using the [NMR Monument Type Thesaurus](#) and significant finds using the [MDA Object type Thesaurus](#) together with their respective periods. If no features/finds were found, please state "none".

Monument	Period	Object	Period
	Select period...	flint	Late Prehistoric -4k to 43
	Select period...		Select period...
	Select period...		Select period...

Project Location

County	essex	Site Address (including postcode if possible)	
District	Chelmsford	land of White Hart Lane, Chelmsford CM2 6TD	
Parish	Springfield		
HER	SP BP 15		
Study Area	0.5ha	National Grid Reference	TL 7230 1014

Project Originators

Organisation	OA EAST
Project Brief Originator	Richard Havis (ECC HER)
Project Design Originator	Iain Williamson (URS)
Project Manager	Richard Mortimer (OA East)
Supervisor	Helen Stocks-Morgan (OA East)

Project Archives


Physical Archive	Digital Archive	Paper Archive
OA East	OA East	OA East
SP BP 15	SPBP 15	SPBP 15

Archive Contents/Media

	Physical Contents	Digital Contents	Paper Contents
Animal Bones	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ceramics	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Environmental	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Glass	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Human Bones	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Industrial	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Leather	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Metal	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Stratigraphic		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Survey		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Textiles	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Wood	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Worked Bone	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Worked Stone/Lithic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Digital Media	Paper Media
<input type="checkbox"/> Database	<input type="checkbox"/> Aerial Photos
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Context Sheet
<input type="checkbox"/> Geophysics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Correspondence
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Images	<input type="checkbox"/> Diary
<input type="checkbox"/> Illustrations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drawing
<input type="checkbox"/> Moving Image	<input type="checkbox"/> Manuscript
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spreadsheets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Map
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Survey	<input type="checkbox"/> Matrices
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Text	<input type="checkbox"/> Microfilm
<input type="checkbox"/> Virtual Reality	<input type="checkbox"/> Misc.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Research/Notes
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photos
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plans
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Report
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sections
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Survey

Notes:



Ordnance Survey. © Crown copyright 2015. All rights reserved. Licence number 10001998

Figure 1: Site location plan showing NCC Car Park area (outlined red) and trenches (black)




Figure 2: Trench locations



Plate 1: Trench 213, looking east



Head Office/Registered Office/ OA South

Janus House
Osney Mead
Oxford OX2 0ES

t: +44 (0) 1865 263 800
f: +44 (0) 1865 793 496
e: info@oxfordarchaeology.com
w: <http://oxfordarchaeology.com>

OA North

Mill 3
Moor Lane
Lancaster LA1 1QD

t: +44 (0) 1524 541 000
f: +44 (0) 1524 848 606
e: [oanorth@oxfordarchaeology.com](mailto: oanorth@oxfordarchaeology.com)
w: <http://oxfordarchaeology.com>

OA East

15 Trafalgar Way
Bar Hill
Cambridgeshire
CB23 8SQ

t: +44 (0) 1223 850500
e: [oaeast@oxfordarchaeology.com](mailto: oaeast@oxfordarchaeology.com)
w: <http://oxfordarchaeology.com>



Director: Gill Hey, BA PhD FSA MCIFA
*Oxford Archaeology Ltd is a
Private Limited Company, N^o: 1618597
and a Registered Charity, N^o: 285627*