

Roman Settlement Remains South of Old School Lane, Upware, Cambridgeshire Archaeological Excavation Report

December 2020

Client: Emma Cross

Issue No: 1 OAE Report No: 2406 NGR: TL 5375 7005





Client Name:	Emma Cross								
Document Title:	Roman Settlement Remains South of Old School Lane, Upware, Cambridgeshire								
Document Type:	Excavation Report								
Report No:	2406								
Grid Reference:	TL 5375 7005								
Planning Reference:	15/00482/OUT & 16/01307/OUT								
Site/HER Code:	ECB 5205								
Invoice Code:	WICOSL18								
OASIS No:	oxfordar3-408279								
OA Document File Location:	Y:\Cambridgeshire\WICOSL18_Old School Lane_Upware\Project Reports								
Issue No:	1								
Date:	December 2020								
Prepared by:	Lawrence Billington (Post-Excavation Project Officer) and Leanne Robinson-Zeki (prev. Project Officer, OAE)								
Checked by:	Matt Brudenell (Senior Project Manager)								
Edited by:	Elizabeth Popescu (Head of Post-Excavation and Publication)								
Approved for Issue by:	Elizabeth Popescu (Head of Post-Excavation and Publication)								
Signature:	Elipsan								

Disclaimer:

This document has been prepared for the titled project or named part thereof and should not be relied upon or used for any other project without an independent check being carried out as to its suitability and prior written authority of Oxford Archaeology being obtained. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for the consequences of this document being used for a purpose other than the purposes for which it was commissioned. Any person/party using or relying on the document for such other purposes agrees and will by such use or reliance be taken to confirm their agreement to indemnify Oxford Archaeology for all loss or damage resulting therefrom. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for this document to any party other than the person/party by whom it was commissioned.

OA South	
Janus House	
Osney Mead	
Oxford	
OX2 0ES	

t. +44 (0)1865 263 800

OA East 15 Trafalgar Way Bar Hill Cambridge CB23 8SQ

t. +44 (0)1223 850 500

e. info@oxfordarch.co.uk w. oxfordarchaeology.com Oxford Archaeology is a registered Charity: No. 285627 OA North Mill 3 Moor Lane Mills Moor Lane Lancaster

Lancaster LA1 1QD t. +44 (0)1524 880 250



i



Roman Settlement Remains South of Old School Lane, Upware, Cambridgeshire

Archaeological Excavation Report

Written by Lawrence Billington PhD and Leanne Robinson-Zeki BA MA

With contributions from Rona Booth MA PhD, Katie Brudenell BA MA, Matt Brudenell PhD, Natasha Dodwell BA MSc, Carole Fletcher HND BA ACIfA, Rachel Fosberry ACIfA, Hayley Foster MA PhD, Laura James BA, Ted Levermore BA MA, Ian Riddler MCIfA, Mairead Rutherford MSc, Denis Sami ACIfA PhD and Simon Timberlake MSc PhD

Illustrations by David Brown BA and Severine Bezie BA MA

List o	of Figuresv										
List o	List of Plates										
List	ist of Tables										
Sum	maryix										
Ackr	owledgementsx										
1	INTRODUCTION1										
1.1	Background and scope of work1										
1.2	Topography and geology1										
1.3	Archaeological and historical background2										
2	EXCAVATION AIMS AND METHODOLOGY										
2.1	Aims4										
2.2	Fieldwork Methodology										
3	RESULTS										
3.1	Introduction and presentation of results7										
3.2	Phase 1: Prehistoric (Fig. 6)8										
3.3	Phase 2.1: Middle Roman 1 (Fig. 7)10										
3.4	Phase 2.2: Middle Roman 2 (Fig. 8)14										
3.5	Phase 2.3 Middle/Late Roman 1 (Fig. 9)15										
3.6	Phase 2.4 Middle/Late Roman 2 (Fig. 10)24										
3.7	Phase 2.5 Late Roman (Fig. 14)										
3.8	Phase 3 – Post-Roman (Fig. 16)										
3.9	Undated (Fig. 4)43										
3.10	Summary of finds and environmental evidence44										

Contents

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd

V.1

4 December 2020



Roman	Settlement Remai	ns South of Old School Lane, Upware, Cambridgeshire	V.1								
4	DISCUSS	ion									
4.1	Introduction										
4.2	Site sequence and overview										
4.3	Aisled Building										
4.4	Economy, agrie	culture, and the wider landscape									
4.5	Funerary activi	ty and ritual	61								
4.6	Communicatio	n and exchange	61								
4.7	Significance		64								
APPI	ENDIX A	CONTEXT INVENTORY	65								
APPI	ENDIX B	FINDS REPORTS									
B.1	Metalwork		85								
B.2	Coins		95								
B.3	Flint										
B.4	Shale										
B.5	Worked Stone	and Building Stone									
B.6	Waterlogged V	Vood									
B.7	Prehistoric Pot	tery									
B.8	Roman Pottery	,									
B.9	Ceramic Buildi	ng Material									
B.10	Fired Clay										
B.11	Plaster and	Mortar									
B.12	Portable X-I	Ray Fluorescence Analysis of Painted Wall Plaster									
B.13	Glass										
B.14	Worked Bo	ne									
B.15	Slag										
B.16	Coal and cli	nker									
APPI	ENDIX C	ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTS									
C.1	Human bone										
C.2	Faunal remain	5									
C.3	Environmental	samples									
C.4	Marine Mollus	са									
C.5	Pollen										
APPI	ENDIX D	RADIOCARBON DATING									
APPI	ENDIX E	BIBLIOGRAPHY									
APPI	ENDIX F	OASIS REPORT FORM									



List of Figures

- Fig. 2 Excavation area and HER data
- Fig. 3 Phased plan of all archaeological features with key features labelled
- Fig. 4 Phase plan of Northern Area
- Fig. 5 Phase plan of Southern Area
- Fig. 6 Phase 1: Prehistoric
- Fig. 7 Phase 2.1: Middle Roman 1
- Fig. 8 Phase 2.2: Middle Roman 2
- Fig. 9 Phase 2.3: Middle/Late Roman 1
- Fig. 10 Phase 2.4: Middle/Late Roman 2
- Fig. 11 Aisled Building 815
- Fig. 12 Double inhumation burial
- Fig. 13 Post pad and neonatal burials (Test Pit Area 1)
- Fig. 14 Phase 2.5: Late Roman
- Fig. 15 Pit/oven 512
- Fig. 16 Phase 3: Post-Roman
- Fig. 17 Selected sections
- Fig. 18 Roman pottery distributions
- Fig. 19Selected small finds and coins distributions
- Fig. 20 Aisled Building comparisons (after Lyons 2019, fig. 6.6)
- Fig. 21Site location in relation to major Roman communication/transport routes
and the contemporary fen edge
- Fig. 22 Roman pottery
- Fig. 23 Roman pottery
- Fig. 24 Roman pottery
- Fig. 25 Photographs of selected small finds
- Fig. 26 Photographs of worked stone

List of Plates

- Plate 1 Aerial view of excavation of the Northern Area
- Plate 2 Aerial view of excavation of the Southern Area
- Plate 3. Well/watering hole **534** (Phase 2.3), mid excavation, looking northwest.
- Plate 4. Aisled building **815** with post-settings part-excavated, looking south
- Plate. 5 Working shot of excavation within Building 815, looking south
- Plate 6. Exposed stone footing in Test Pit J4 at the southeast corner of Building 815, looking west
- Plate 7. Detail of footing construction of aisled building **815**
- Plate 8. Post-setting **884** with post-pipe **845** removed, looking east
- Plate 9. East facing section of Posthole **891** (Phase 2.4) following removal of fills of postpipe
- Plate 10. Well 557 (Phase 2.5), cutting ditch 563 (Phase 2.4)



- Plate 11. Clay-lined pit **512** (Phase 2.5), half sectioned with clay lining exposed, looking east
- Plate 12. South facing section of clay-lined pit **512**, with clay lining exposed

List of Tables

- Table 1.Identified phases of activity
- Table 2.Excavated interventions in Phase 2.1 boundary ditches
- Table 3.Excavated interventions in Phase 2.1 enclosure ditches
- Table 4.Pits and postholes assigned to Phase 2.1
- Table 5.Interventions excavated in Phase 2.2 boundary ditches
- Table 6.Interventions in Phase 2.3 ladder enclosure ditches
- Table 7.Discrete features associated with the ladder enclosures
- Table 8.
 Interventions excavated in Phase 2.3 Enclosure 53 ditches
- Table 9.Phase 2.3 discrete features associated with Enclosure 53
- Table 10.Interventions in Phase 2.3 boundary ditch 418
- Table 11.Interventions in ditch of Phase 2.3 Enclosure 286
- Table 12.Pits and postholes associated with Enclosure 286
- Table 13.Interventions in ditches of Phase 2.3 Enclosure 295
- Table 14.Interventions in ditch of Phase 2.3 Enclosure 510
- Table 15.Discrete features associated with Enclosure 286
- Table 16.
 Interventions in Phase 2.3 ditches in the Northern Area
- Table 17.Other discrete features in the Northern Area attributed to Phase 2.3
- Table 18.Postholes, Phase 2.4 Aisled building 815
- Table 19.
 Interventions in Phase 2.4 enclosure ditches in the Northern Area
- Table 20. Interventions in Phase 2.4 ladder enclosure ditches
- Table 21.
 Phase 2.4 discrete features associated with ladder enclosures
- Table 22.Postpipes of Building 815, Phase 2.5
- Table 23. Interventions in Phase 2.5 Boundary Ditch **412** and associated features
- Table 24.Interventions in Phase 2.5 enclosure ditches.
- Table 25.Excavated slots in post-Roman ditches
- Table 26.Excavated slots in post-Roman discrete features
- Table 27. Finds summary
- Table 28. Environmental summary
- Table 29.Summary of finds and environmental evidence from key contexts associated
with Building 815
- Table 30.The percentage of cranial elements and extremities among the cattle bone
assemblages from 4th century phases at Upware, Langdale Hale and the
Camp Ground
- Table 31.Quantity of artefacts by metal type
- Table 32.Quantification of metalwork by site phase
- Table 33. Typology of copper-alloy items
- Table 34.Catalogue of copper-alloy artefacts
- Table 35.Quantity of iron artefacts by site phase



- Table 36. Catalogue of iron artefacts
- Table 37.Quantification of lead items from phased contexts
- Table 38. Catalogue of lead artefacts
- Table 39. Quantity of coins by feature/deposit type
- Table 40.Quantity of coins by phase
- Table 11.
 The coin assemblage subdivided by Reece's periods and deposit/feature type
- Table 42.Catalogue of the coins
- Table 43.Catalogue of worked flint
- Table 44.Catalogue of unworked burnt flint
- Table 45. Shale spindle whorl
- Table 46.Catalogue of querns, millstones and other worked stone
- Table 47. Catalogue of building stone
- Table 48.Quantification of prehistoric pottery by context
- Table 49. Quantification of prehistoric pottery period
- Table 50.Quantification of prehistoric pottery by fabric. MNV calculated as the total
number of different rims and bases (four rims, four bases)
- Table 51. Quantification of Roman pottery by site phase
- Table 52.Quantification of Roman pottery by fabric
- Table 53.Quantification of Roman pottery by vessel form
- Table 54.Quantification of Roman pottery by context
- Table 55.Summary of CBM by phase and area
- Table 56. Catalogue of ceramic building material
- Table 57. Fired clay objects by phase
- Table 58. Summary of kiln structure and furniture forms
- Table 59. Fired clay catalogue
- Table 60.Plaster and mortar fabrics
- Table 61. Plaster and mortar catalogue
- Table 62.A comparison of true (provided) and sampled Certified Reference Material
(CRM) values recording metals in parts per million (ppm) using the Olympus
Innova 6500 pXRF
- Table 63.Chemical reference analyses for the purposes of comparison
- Table 64.pXRF semi-quantitative elemental analysis taken of samples of plaster using
the Olympus InnovX
- Table 65. Glass catalogue
- Table 66. Bone spatulae
- Table 67. Bone hinge
- Table 68. Bone pin
- Table 69.Summary quantification of the slag assemblage
- Table 70. Coal and clinker catalogue
- Table 71.Skeletons 401 and 402: osteological and contextual details
- Table 72.Disarticulated elements of adult human remains
- Table 73.
 Articulated, partially articulated and disarticulated perinatal/neonate bones
- Table 74.Total number of identifiable fragments (NISP) and minimum number of
individuals (MNI)
- Table 75.Number of identifiable fragments (NISP) from the assemblage by phase



- Table 76.Summary of epiphyseal fusion for ageing (those phases and species with
more than eight elements to assess)
- Table 77. Table of measurements (mm)
- Table 78. Abbreviations for table of measurements
- Table 79. Identifiable fragments with burning
- Table 80. Identifiable fragments with gnawing
- Table 81.Identifiable fragments with butchery marks
- Table 82. Mandible wear per stage for cattle
- Table 83. Mandible wear per stage for sheep/goat
- Table 84. Mandible wear per stage for pig
- Table 85.Quantification of samples from feature 512
- Table 86.
 Quantification of samples from Building 815 and associated ditches
- Table 87.Raw pollen counts from samples taken from Test Pit J2 monolith
- Table 88. Radiocarbon dates



Summary

Between the 11th of December 2017 and the 11th of July 2018, Oxford Archaeology East carried out the archaeological excavation of an area adjacent to Old School Lane, Upware, Cambridgeshire. Alongside slight traces of earlier, prehistoric, activity the excavations revealed extensive Romano-British remains, attesting to activity between the mid 2nd century and the later 4th century AD. A linear 'ladder' system of cojoined ditched enclosures was partially exposed in the southern part of the site, whilst a complex, multi-phase complex of small enclosures was revealed in the northern half of the site. Most significantly, the well-preserved remains of a masonry-footed aisled building were partially exposed on the northern edge of excavation.

The various enclosure ditches produced relatively substantial finds assemblages and seem to have made up part of a major rural settlement located on the very southern end of the Upware 'promontory', immediately adjacent to the contemporary fen edge and the course of the River Cam. Features associated with the enclosures included burials, pits, wells and a possible grain steeping/malting tank. The aisled building was represented by a set of large postholes associated with surviving masonry footings and internal layers/surfaces, and major finds assemblages were recovered from a middenlike deposit exposed immediately to the south of the structure. The fills of the post pipes of the structure's post settings produced very large assemblages of charred grain, suggesting that it had been used for the storage of agricultural produce and had perhaps been destroyed by fire. Domestic use of at least part of the structure is, however, indicated by other finds, including painted wall plaster, from deposits associated with the building.

The finds and environmental evidence from the site indicate a prosperous agricultural settlement, with activity peaking in the 3rd and 4th centuries AD. The character of the pottery and metalwork hint at a relatively high status for the site and indicate good access to contemporary networks of communication and exchange. This is also reflected by the site's distinctive topographic location, immediately adjacent to the River Cam, with access to the important network of navigable waterways in the southern fens. The site makes an important contribution to the record of Romano-British activity in this area, and represents the first excavation of a major site along this stretch of the Cam valley, downstream from the major enclave of Romano-British settlement and industry known from around the junction of the Cam and the Old Tillage (Car Dyke) at Horningsea/Waterbeach.



Acknowledgements

Oxford Archaeology East (OA East) would like to thank Emma Cross for commissioning this project and Tom Cross for his help in organising plant and welfare. Thanks are also extended to Kasia Gdaniec and Gemma Stewart, who monitored the work on behalf of the Cambridgeshire Historic Environment Team (CHET).

The project was managed for Oxford Archaeology by Matt Brudenell. The fieldwork was directed by Paddy Lambert, Robin Webb and Leanne Robinson Zeki, who were supported by Tegan Abel, Sam Corke, Anne-Marie Webb, Andrzej Zanko, Jamie Hirst, Dan Firth, Jo Nastazsyc, Carlotta Marchetto, Kelly Sinclair, Rona Booth, Scott Forsyth and Lexi Dawson. Survey and digitising were carried out by Sarita Louzolo with help from Jo Nastazsyc and Gareth Rees. Thanks are also due to the teams of OA East staff that cleaned and packaged the finds under the management of Natasha Dodwell, Martha Craven who processed the environmental remains under the supervision of Rachel Fosberry, and Katherine Hamilton prepared the archive under the direction of Natasha Dodwell.

Thanks are also due to Colin Bennet, Ceri Davis, Rosalind Hall, Kenneth Peck, Matthew Stevens and Heidi Stewart who volunteered their time to contribute to this project and to Dr Simon King who shared his knowledge of the local geology. Simon Timberlake would like to express his thanks to Dr Norman Moles, Senior Lecturer in Applied Geology, University of Brighton who facilitated the use of the pXRF and helped with the sampling of the painted wall plaster.



1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and scope of work

- 1.1.1 Between the 11th December 2017 and 11th July 2018, OA East carried out excavations at Land South of Nos 1 to 7 Old School Lane, Upware, Cambridgeshire (NGR TL 53751 70051; Fig. 1). The site lies on the western edge of the village of Upware near to the current course of the River Cam and just north of Reach Lode Lock.
- 1.1.2 The work was commissioned by Emma Cross in respect of residential development of the site (Planning Application: 15/00482/OUT and 16/01307/OUT).
- 1.1.3 The archaeological excavations were undertaken in accordance with an approved Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) prepared by OA East (Blackbourn & Brudenell 2017), the preparation of which was informed by a Brief issued by Gemma Stewart of CHET (Stewart 2017).
- 1.1.4 Previous evaluation conducted by OA East in September 2017 (Blackbourn 2017) had identified a concentration of Roman settlement remains. Enclosure ditches and pits were revealed, while stones found in the subsoil indicated the possibility of stone-built structures. The majority of the dateable artefacts suggested that the remains dated to the later part of the Roman period (*c*.AD 250-400).
- 1.1.5 The excavation comprised a total of 0.57ha, investigated in two contiguous areas: the Southern Area (consisting of former Block B and part of Block A); and the Northern Area (consisting of the remainder of former Block A; see Blackbourn and Brudenell 2017). These were excavated in two phases: the Southern Area (0.17ha) between 11th December 2017 and 8th January 2018 and the Northern Area (0.23ha) between 24th April and 11th July 2018.
- 1.1.6 Following completion of the fieldwork a programme of post-excavation assessment was carried out and reported on, allowing the production of an Updated Project Design (Robinson-Zeki 2020), which has guided the full analysis of the site reported on here.

1.2 Topography and geology

- 1.2.1 The site lies on land which slopes slightly to the south and west, situated to the south of Old School Lane and west of Upware Road. At the time of excavation, it comprised pasture next to the River Cam lying at approximately 2.4m to 3.7m OD, bounded by residential housing to the north and Upware Road to the east. The River Cam lies *c*.60m to the west and south, with pasture land between the watercourse and the development area.
- 1.2.2 The underlying geology of the development area comprises Upware Limestone Member, with the site situated *c*.2km south of the limestone outcrop. Superficial deposits were found to be a mix of clays and sands with occasional small patches of degraded limestone in the north of the site.
- 1.2.3 An alluvial deposit of pale brown/grey silty clay was found to cover a small portion of the site close to the western edge of the excavations.



1.3 Archaeological and historical background

1.3.1 A full search of the Cambridgeshire Historic Environment Record (CHER) of a 1km radius centred on the excavation site was commissioned from CHET. The following section provides a brief summary of these records along with the results of previous archaeological investigations in the vicinity, with selected records plotted on Fig. 2 and highlighted in bold in the text below.

Prehistoric

- 1.3.2 All of the records dating to the prehistoric period in this area relate to unstratified findspots. An unidentified Mesolithic object (CHER 06917) was recorded *c*.1km northwest of the site. Further evidence for Mesolithic activity was recovered from land just north of Old School Lane in the form of an assemblage of Mesolithic flintwork (CHER 06896) consisting of cores, flakes, blades and microliths.
- 1.3.3 Several axeheads have been recovered in the vicinity, dating to the Neolithic and Bronze Age periods. Nearest to the site, 300m to the south, an axehead of an unknown date (CHER 06579) was recovered. Further afield, 500m to the north-east, a Neolithic polished flint axehead (CHER 06968), along with a further flint axehead, stone axehead and flint tools (CHER 06969A) were collected. A bronze socketed axehead (CHER 06969), of Bronze Age date, was also found in this field.

Roman

- 1.3.4 Prior to the 2017 evaluation of the site discussed here (see below), Roman remains in the area were restricted to unstratified findspots. Immediately to the east of the site, across Upware Road, are three such findspots. A Roman coin (CHER 06937) of Constantine the Great (AD308-337) was recovered, while a number of pottery sherds were also found (CHER 06939, 06930), although the quantity is unknown and specific dates were not included in the records.
- 1.3.5 Other Roman findspots have been identified further afield. Most of these are pottery sherds recovered from approximately 250m east of the site (CHER **06940**), 300m to the north-west (CHER **06942**) and 600m to the north (CHER **06943**). Those from the latter location are fragments of Roman grey ware jars.
- 1.3.6 Puddingstone quern fragments were also recovered from the sites to the north-west and north (CHER **06942**, 06943).

Anglo-Saxon and medieval

- 1.3.7 Very few remains dating to the Anglo-Saxon and medieval periods have been uncovered in the vicinity of the site. Closest to the site, an Anglo-Saxon scramasax, small knife and skeletal remains (CHER **08152**) were recovered from land *c*.0.5km to the east.
- 1.3.8 An early 13th century sword (CHER 02107) was dredged from the River Cam in 1982, c.0.8km north of the site.
- 1.3.9 Reach Lode (CHER **07896**) is an artificial navigable water course, which runs for 4.82km between Upware and Reach. Whilst for much of the 20th century it was suspected to



have its origins as a Roman canal, it is now more usually thought to date no earlier than the Late Saxon period.

Post-medieval and modern

- 1.3.10 Immediately north of Old School Lane, to the north of the site, are the rectangular cropmarks of a moated Civil War gun battery (CHER **01066**), built upon an earlier mound and moat. It is believed that the mound overlies a cell or chamber. The moat has been backfilled and is visible as a cropmark measuring approximately 5m in width.
- 1.3.11 Two pumping stations and a steam engine pump lie to the south of the site. The Burwell pumping station (CHER 06524) is located just off Upware Road, around 60m south of the site: its boilers are recorded as being made by William Fairbairn of Manchester. The Swaffham Prior pumping station (CHER 06398) lies 250m to the south and comprised a boiler room and engine room. The site of a steam engine pump (CHER 06873) is also recorded 350m south. These buildings probably originated in the 1800s.
- 1.3.12 Various manmade drains are also located south-east of Upware, and include Commissioner's Drain (CHER 06874), which runs for 8.04km across Bottisham and Swaffham Fen, and Wicken Lode (CHER 06817) which runs between Wicken and Burwell. Harrison Farm is located 700m south-west of the site (CHER 06578) and was built in 1810 by Thomas Harrison.

Undated

1.3.13 Cropmarks from undated features have been identified in the environs of Upware. Two east to west parallel linear cropmarks (CHER 10208) have been mapped 150m east of the site, although they did not appear to continue onto the development area.

Previous work

1.3.14 The programme of works described here began with an archaeological evaluation (ECB5205; Blackbourn 2017) conducted at the site in September 2017. Six trenches (each measuring 30m x 2m) were opened in the development area (see Fig. 1), revealing evidence for Roman settlement. This included a relatively dense network of ditches, gullies and pits forming parts of several rectilinear enclosures. Artefacts included Roman pottery (primarily dating between AD250-400), animal bone, ceramic building material, fragments of quern, stone, shell, three Late Roman coins and a lead weight. The ceramic building material suggested the presence of structures, and stone observed in the topsoil was interpreted as the possible disturbance of stone footings or stone-packed postholes.

V.1



2 EXCAVATION AIMS AND METHODOLOGY

2.1 Aims

Original research aims and objectives

- 2.1.1 A series of project research aims and objectives were outlined in the WSI (Blackbourn & Brudenell 2017), based upon the results of the evaluation (Blackbourn 2017). These provided a research framework for the excavations and were formulated in reference to the brief set by the CHET and relevant Regional Research Frameworks (Glazebrook 1997; Brown and Glazebrook 2000; Medlycott 2011). The objectives were separated into a series of generic excavation aims common to most projects (which focus on defining the date and form of evidence) and a set of more site specific research questions the latter are outlined below.
 - Settlement: What was the form of the Roman settlement? Are different activity zones apparent and how were they used? How did the settlement structure develop over time? How does the settlement morphology compare with other similar settlement in the local and wider area?
 - Environment: what can be reconstructed about the environmental conditions on this fen-edge settlement, as well as how they changed over the Roman period.
 - Material culture: what is the range of ceramics used on the site, and how do they develop over time? What are their sources, and what does their distribution reveal about how the site was connected to wider patterns of material culture in the Late Roman period?
 - Agriculture: what can be said about the animals and crops, and how were they used? What can be said about wider agricultural practices and land use on this fen-edge site? What can be said about animal husbandry on the site and the wider area?
 - Economy: what was the economic basis for the settlement? What did it produce and what did it import? In particular, what can the site's ceramic sequence and proximity to the River Cam reveal about trade and communication in the region and into the Fens during the Roman period?
 - Romanisation: what can the site contribute to understanding of the Romanisation of area (the Soham peninsula in particular)? How does this site relate to the other Roman settlements known along the edge of the Cam to the north of the site, and what does it reveal about Roman settlement strategies?

Revised aims

2.1.2 The programme of Post-Excavation Assessment demonstrated the evidence obtained from the excavations was able to contribute to the original research aims as listed above, and that they remained relevant to the project. However, the unanticipated discovery of a regionally significant masonry-footed aisled building led to the formulation of further series of research questions explicitly concerned with this structure:



2.1.3 The Aisled Building: What form did the building take? What function(s) did the building have? How long did the building remain in use and how was it demolished/dismantled? What are the implications of the building in terms of the status and character of the wider settlement?

2.2 Fieldwork Methodology

Excavation standards

- 2.2.1 Archaeological excavations and analysis were conducted in accordance with current best archaeological practice and the appropriate national and regional standards and guidelines.
- 2.2.2 All work was conducted in accordance with the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists' (2014a) *Code of Conduct and Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Excavation* and undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the OA Field Manual, and the revised OA fieldwork manual.

Excavation methods

- 2.2.3 The site was excavated in accordance with the Written Scheme of Investigation (Blackbourn & Brudenell 2017). The development area was divided into two contiguous areas which were excavated in two separate mobilisations: the Southern Area was excavated first and subsequently backfilled following agreement with CHET; following which the Northern Area was excavated.
- 2.2.4 All machine excavation took place under the supervision of a suitably qualified and experienced archaeologist. The excavation areas were stripped by a mechanical excavator to the base of the topsoil. The subsoil was then metal detected by an experienced user with all finds plotted and retrieved. Following this, the subsoil was stripped by a mechanical excavator to the base of the subsoil. A toothless ditching bucket was used to remove the topsoil and subsoil and overburden was excavated in spits not greater than 0.1m thick.
- 2.2.5 Alluvium was encountered on the western edge of the excavation area. This was removed, since it obscured archaeological features.
- 2.2.6 Localised spreads of artefacts and pieces of stone rubble were visible at the base of the topsoil in two areas at the eastern edge of the site. These were left in place for hand excavation in the form of 1m by 1m test pits arranged on a grid (Test pit Areas 1 and 2). The stone footings of the rectangular building in the north-east corner of the site were also identified at the topsoil/subsoil interphase. The walls and interior of the building were subsequently cleaned and recorded, with artefacts collected at this level. No interior features could be clearly defined at this stage as the ground was rooted from adjacent trees and ploughing scarring obscured the internal fixtures. Following the excavation of a series of strategically placed 1m by 1m test pits (which served to characterise the building stratigraphy and the presence of underlying features), and, with the agreement of the CHET, the interior of the building was carefully machine stripped with a mini-digger.



- 2.2.7 Sufficient excavation of all features was carried out in line with Written Scheme of Investigation (Blackbourn & Brudenell 2017) and in consultation with the CHET.
- 2.2.8 Metal detector searches were undertaken at all stages of the excavation by an experienced metal detector user. Excavated areas were detected immediately after mechanical stripping of the topsoil. Topsoil spoil heaps were also checked. Metal detectors were not set to discriminate against iron. All artefacts were retrieved, given an individual small find number and located via GPS survey.
- 2.2.9 All archaeological features and deposits were recorded using OA East's *pro-forma* sheets. Plans and sections were recorded at an appropriate scale and digital photographs were taken of all relevant features and deposits. Registers of all contexts, features, photographs, sections, small finds, environmental samples and human remains were kept.
- 2.2.10 Surveying was undertaken using a survey-grade differential GPS fitted with "smartnet" technology with an accuracy of 5mm horizontally and 10 mm vertically. The site grid has been tied into the Ordnance Survey National Grid and elevations have been levelled to the Ordnance Datum.
- 2.2.11 Pre-excavation plans were prepared using a combination of GPS-based survey and photogrammetry.
- 2.2.12 Plans and sections were supplemented with photogrammetric recording of the excavation areas in general and in detail in specific occasions. Models have been based on high-resolution digital photographs and processed using Agisoft Photosoft (Professional Edition) software. Models incorporated reference points located using GPS-based survey equipment.
- 2.2.13 A total of 144 bulk samples were taken from the excavated features. A selection of 54 samples were processed for assessment via flotation at OA East's environmental processing facility at Bourn. In addition, a column tin sample was taken, and a total of 29 samples were taken for phosphate analysis.
- 2.2.14 Site conditions varied with the seasons being frozen, puddled and dry in turn.



3 RESULTS

3.1 Introduction and presentation of results

- 3.1.1 Two contiguous open area excavations (the Southern Area and the Northern Area) were undertaken in the development area, totalling approximately 0.57ha (Fig. 3; Plates 1 and 2).
- 3.1.2 The archaeological works in the southern part of the site revealed the partial remains of a Roman ladder settlement on a north-east to south-west alignment, associated with discrete pits and postholes also dating broadly to the Roman period. Two ditches on a different north to south alignment were identified as the latest features, which probably dated to the post-Roman period.
- 3.1.3 Further Roman enclosures and boundary ditches were exposed across the Northern Area, here forming a complex sequence of inter-cutting ditches which clearly belonged to a complex multi-phase enclosure system associated with various features including pits, wells, ovens and burials. In the north-eastern comer of the area, the remains of a relatively well-preserved aisled building with masonry footing were found.
- 3.1.4 At the most general level, the remains recorded during the excavation have been separated into three broad phases: Phase 1: Prehistoric, Phase 2: Roman and Phase 3: Post-Roman. The vast majority of features belong to Phase 2, which has itself been divided into five subphases (Phases 2.1-2.5), based largely on stratigraphic and spatial relationships between the elements of the complex series of enclosure and boundary ditches exposed in the Northern Area.

Phase	Chronological Period	Approximate Date Range
1	Prehistoric	pre AD 43
2.1	Middle Roman 1	mid 2nd to early 3rd century AD
2.2	Middle Roman 2	
2.3	Middle/Late Roman 1	early 3rd to mid 4th century AD
2.4	Middle/Late Roman 2	
2.5	Late Roman	4th century AD
3	Post-Roman	c. AD 410 onwards
0	Unphased	n/a

Table 1. Phasing scheme, with indicative chronology

3.1.5 The results of the excavation are set out below by phase. Phased base plans of all features are provided in Figs 3-5, accompanied by a series of individual phase plans and detailed plans of selected individual features/areas of the site (Figs 6-16). Selected section drawings are provided in Fig. 17 and selected photographs of the excavations have been reproduced in Plates 1-12. The distribution of Roman pottery has been plotted by phase in Fig. 19 and similar plots of selected small finds and coins can be found in Fig. 19. A context inventory is provided in App. A, and full specialist reports on the finds and environmental remains are provided in Apps B and C respectively. Details of the three radiocarbon dates obtained on samples from the site are supplied in App. D.



3.2 Phase 1: Prehistoric (Fig. 6)

Introduction

3.2.1 Evidence for pre-Roman activity was sparse and features and finds of this date were largely restricted to the Northern Area, with the exception of an isolated cremation burial found in the southernmost part of the site. Although very few features could be attributed to this broad period, it has been possible to attribute most of the more significant remains to three broad phases: Mesolithic to Neolithic, Early/Middle Bronze Age, Late Bronze Age/Early Iron Age and later Iron Age.

Mesolithic and Neolithic

3.2.2 No pottery predating the Early/Middle Bronze Age was recovered from the site, although Mesolithic and Neolithic activity is represented by an assemblage of 109 worked flints recovered either as residual finds within later features or from unstratified deposits (see Booth, App. B.3). The flintwork was thinly, but widely, distributed across the site, with no obvious concentrations of material. Notable/diagnostic finds include three arrowheads; an early Neolithic leaf arrowhead from the extreme southern part of the site from Phase 2.1 pit **153**, and two Middle/Late Neolithic chisel arrowheads, from ditches **513** (Phase 2.4) and **739** (Phase 2.5) in the Northern Area.

Early to Middle Bronze Age (c. 1900-1200 BC)

- 3.2.3 A single pit (931), located within the area later occupied by the Roman aisled building (815) produced a small quantity of shell-tempered pottery, probably of Middle Bronze Age date (four sherds, 19g). This bowl-shaped pit measured up to 0.76m in diameter and up to 0.4m deep (Fig. 17, Section 311) and contained a primary fill of light yellowish grey clay (932) overlain by a mid orangey brown sandy clay with charcoal inclusions (933). Fragments of red deer antler were also recovered from this upper deposit, including a large beam fragment with attached tines, and other fauna, as well as some charred wheat and barley grains, which probably represent intrusive (Roman) material (App. C.3) Two sherds (21g) of residual grog-tempered Early-Middle Bronze Age pottery were recovered from Phase 2.1 ditch 674 (cut 920) immediately (within 0.5m) to the south of pit 931 (see Fig. 4), and seems likely to have been associated with the same episode of activity. A single sherd of probable Middle Bronze Age pottery (5g) was also recovered from Phase 2.3 ditch 639 (cut 658), some 16m south of pit 931 (see Fig. 4).
- 3.2.4 In the Southern Area, within the area of a later, Roman, enclosure a subcircular, pit (245), not on Fig. 6 measuring 0.6m in diameter and 0.3m deep contained a basal fill of dark grey silty sand with frequent charcoal and almost 2kg (1925g) of cremated human bone, belonging to at least one (unsexed) adult individual (App. C.1). This deposit was overlain and sealed by a mid sandy clay. A sample of the bone from the lower fill has been radiocarbon dated (App. D; BRAMS-4091; 3013±25 BP), and although the calibrated date range is imprecise, at 75.3% confidence it is dated to 1311-1194 cal BC, suggesting a date in the latter part of the Middle Bronze Age for this cremation burial.



Late Bronze Age/Early Iron Age (c. 1200-350 BC)

3.2.5 Late Bronze Age/Early Iron Age activity was represented exclusively by a small quantity of residual pottery (22 sherds, 152g; App. B.7). Although recovered in very low densities from later features (deriving from more than 20 individual contexts/interventions), it is notable that the distribution of this material was largely restricted to the northern part of the Northern Area and is likely to indicate an episode of occupation in this area which left no clear evidence in the form of cut features.

Later Iron Age (c. 350 BC - AD 50)

3.2.6 Although essentially undated, a curvilinear gully which was found to underlie the aisled building (815) in the northern part of the site, is thought likely to have represented the heavily truncated remains of a roundhouse drip gully (926). Some 13m to the south-west of this was a pair of intercutting pits (708 and 710), the latest of which produced a small quantity of Iron Age pottery including a sherd of wheel-thrown, Late Iron Age pottery (c. 50 BC-AD 50). Additionally, a total of 45 sherds (347g) of residual/poorly stratified handmade Middle Iron Age type pottery was also recovered (App. B.7). As with the Late Bronze Age/Early Iron Age material, this was virtually restricted to the northern half of the Northern Area of the site, with a notable concentration from features and deposits in the area of Building 815, perhaps suggesting an association with ring gully 926.

Ring gully **926** consisted of three shallow, heavily truncated, segments of curvilinear gully cut into the natural clay. It was revealed following the removal of deposits associated with the aisled building (see below and Fig. 11). If projected (beyond the limits of excavation) The gully would have demarcated a circular area with an internal diameter of around 8m. The entire length of the gully, as exposed in the excavated area, was excavated in a series of nine interventions (**926**, **928**, **930**, **946**, **948**, **950**, **952**, **954**, **956**). The gully was up to 0.3m wide and 0.17m deep, with a U-shaped profile, and was filled by a single mid yellowish orange grey clay silt. The only find from this feature was a single sherd of coarseware Roman pottery (16g, from intervention **926**), which is likely to have been intrusive from overlying deposits associated with the aisled building - the same is likely to be true of charred cereal grain recovered from bulk sampling of the gully's fill.

Some 13m to the southwest of the drip gully were a pair of intercutting, circular, pits. The earliest of these features (**708**) measured up to 0.9m in diameter and 0.2m deep and was filled by a single light grey brown clay sand. Cutting through this feature, on its southern side, pit **710** measured 1.3m in diameter and up to 0.25m deep, with a shallow U-shaped profile. The single mid grey brown clay sand fill of this pit contained 12 sherds (270g) of pottery, mostly from handmade vessels in sandy fabrics but including a wheel-made base sherd which can be dated to the Late Iron Age.

Possible prehistoric features

3.2.7 A further ten features, comprising nine small pits and possible postholes and a short length of slightly curvilinear gully, all located in the northern part of the Northern Area, produced no dating evidence but are thought likely to have related to prehistoric activity given a lack of later finds and/or on the basis of their stratigraphic relationships with other features. In most cases, these features were located within the main area of the distribution of residual Late Bronze Age to Iron Age pottery in the northern part of the site.

The gully (**734**: **734**, **736**) was located approximately 12m to the west of ring gully **926** and was aligned broadly north to south. It measured 4m long, having bene truncated at its northern end by Period 2.5



ditch **676** (Enclosure 526). It was very shallow, surviving only up to 80mm deep and 0.23m wide. It produced a single tiny sherd of Roman pottery (4g) which is likely to be intrusive.

The pits and postholes (**325**, **705**, **714**, **805**, **836**, **838**, **867**, **879**, **934**) were all insubstantial features, measuring between 0.2 and 0.6m wide and between 0.1 and 0.38m deep. Most were regular circular/sub-circular features but several, notably **867** and **934**, were more irregular/amorphous in plan and may have been natural features. All were filled with single deposits of yellow brown/grey sandy clays.

3.3 Phase 2.1: Middle Roman 1 (Fig. 7)

Introduction

3.3.1 Features attributed to the earliest phase of Roman activity were concentrated in the Northern Area and consisted of three boundary ditches defining a series of narrow plots/fields and a pair of conjoined enclosures which were partially exposed in the north-eastern corner of the excavation area. A number of poorly dated pits and postholes have also been tentatively attributed to this period, including a cluster of features in the southern part of the Southern Area. Relatively few finds were recovered from features assigned to this phase, although the pottery is broadly consistent with activity beginning no earlier than the 2nd century AD.

Boundary Ditches

3.3.2 Three boundary ditches (**59**, **317** and **639**) laid out on a west-north-west to east-southeast alignment divided the Northern Area into two roughly equal plots of land approximately 20m wide. A minor north-south aligned ditch (**290**) which extended from the southern side of ditch **639** may represent a contemporary sub-division of the northernmost of these two plots. Summary information on the three major boundary ditches and their associated finds is provided in Table 2. A total of 176 sherds of Roman pottery was recovered from these features, most of which consisted of coarse wares broadly dated to between AD 100 and 400. Few other finds were recovered and processing of bulk soil samples of the ditch fills produced very sparse charred plant remains (App. C.3).

			Dimensions		Pottery		Other finds			
Feat. No.	Cut No.	No. of Fills	Width (m)	Depth (m)	Roman	Prehist.	Flint	Bone	CBM	Fired Clay
	59	4	2.2	0.46	77 (543g)	-	-	26	2 (62g)	
59	353	2	0.8	0.3	7 (38g)	-	-	2	-	
	356	1	0.9	0.46	10 (67g)	-	1	10	-	1 (18g)
	317	1	0.93	0.42	1 (21g)	-	-	-	-	
217	321	1	0.94	0.47	-	-	-	-	-	
517	446	1	1	0.46	-	-	-	-	-	
	473	1	0.84	0.31	5 (15g)	-	-	8	-	
	639=646	3	1.47	0.62	40 (312g)	5 (25g)	-	27	-	
639	658	3	1.4	0.76	11 (445g)	-	6	7	-	
	703	1	0.34	0.13	-	-	-	-	-	

10

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd



718	3	1.82	0.62	18 (334g)	6 (42g)	-	57	-	
			Total	174 (1805g)	11 (67g)	7	137	2 (62g)	1 (18g)

Table 2. Excavated interventions in Phase 2.1 boundary ditches

Ditch **59** was the southernmost of the three boundary ditches; it extended beyond the excavation area at both ends and ran on a broadly straight course across the site, until it curved to the north-west at its western end. During the excavation it was investigated in three 1m wide interventions (**59**, **353** and **356**) and, although along much of its length its northern edge was cut away by Phase 2.2 ditch **69**, where its full profile was recorded it measured up to 2.4m wide and 0.46m deep. The ditch was generally steep sided with a flat base (Section 156, Fig. 17) and individual interventions contained between one and four fills, generally dark grey brown/brown grey silty sands and clays. A total of 94 sherds (648g) of Roman pottery was recovered from the ditch fills – largely from the fills of intervention **59**. Little of this was closely dateable but none of this material is likely to predate the 2nd century AD. A small fragment (18g) of clay kiln furniture (plate), probably deriving from a 1st century AD kiln, was recovered from the single fill of intervention **356** (fill 357), although this may have been residual. Other finds included small quantities of animal bone and ceramic building material (see Table 2).

Some 20m north of ditch **59**, ditch **317** ran from the eastern edge of excavation for around 20m before terminating some 5m to the east of the western edge of excavation. Investigated in four interventions (**317**, **321**, **446** and **473**) it was between 0.8 and 0.1m wide and up to 0.46m deep, usually with steeply sloping sides and a flat base. It was consistently filled with a single deposit of mottled/mixed light grey to mid reddish brown sandy silt. Very few finds were recovered from this feature (Table 2), with just six sherds of Roman pottery – although the fill (472) of intervention 473 contained a fragment of quernstone (App.B.5).

The northernmost boundary ditch (639), was located approximately 20m north of ditch 59. Exposed for a length of 35m and extending across the entire excavation area, this was a somewhat more substantial feature than the ditches to the south, but in places it had been heavily truncated by later ditches (Section 222, Fig, 17; see Fig. 4). Investigated in four interventions (639=646 (Section 222, Fig. 17), 658, 703 and 718), it measured up to 1.8m wide and 0.62m deep with a broad U-shaped profile, and contained up to three fills, with basal mid grey sandy silts generally overlaid by darker brownish grey silty clays. Alongside a small quantity of residual prehistoric pottery, this feature produced 69 sherds (1091g) of Roman pottery, most of which can only be broadly dated to c. AD 100-400 but which included sherds from two more distinctive coarseware vessels - a Beaker and a dish - from the secondary fills of intervention 639=646 (deposits 641 and 648) which suggest a 2nd century date for the infilling of the ditch. A narrow north to south aligned linear ditch, 290 (290, 604, 697, 699, 701) adjoined, and appeared to be contemporary with, ditch 639, and extended southwards for a distance of 12m. Measuring up to 0.38m wide and 0.25m deep it was filled by a single mid greyish brown silty clay and produced no finds.

Enclosure ditches

3.3.3 Lying to the north of the northernmost boundary ditch were two small conjoined enclosures (Enclosures 674 and 877), which were exposed in the area later occupied by the aisled building. Partially revealed against the northern and eastern limits of excavation, their original extent and layout are uncertain. The easternmost enclosure was defined by a curvilinear ditch (877) which enclosed the south-west corner of an area which continued beyond the north and east limits of the development area. The second enclosure (674) was contiguous to the western edge of enclosure 877, with which it shared a dividing ditch (906), and consisted of three further ditches (674, 747 and 752). Summary information on these features and their associated finds are provided in Table 3.

V.1



3.3.4 Most of the pottery recovered from the enclosure ditches is only dated broadly to the Roman period (41 sherds, 372g), with eleven sherds (88g) of prehistoric pottery probably being residual. Other finds include animal bone, Roman ceramic building material and fired clay.

		Dimensions		Potte	ery	Other finds				
Enc. No.	Feat.	Cut No.	lo. No. of Width (m		Depth (m)	Roman	Prehist.	Bone	CBM	Fired
	No.		Fills							Clay
			1	0.88	0.48	2 (14g)	1 (23g)	17	-	-
		674=675								
	C74	749	2	1.16	0.48	1 (10g)	1 (6g)	6	-	-
	674	917	2	0.84	0.37	1 (1g)	2 (8g)	2	1 (299g)	-
		920	2	0.89	0.55	2 (6g)	4 (25g)	-	-	-
674		937	1	>0.2	>0.2	-		2	-	-
674	747	747	1	0.24	0.11	-		-	-	-
	752	752	1	0.96	0.19	1 (10g)	3 (26g)	3	-	-
			1	0.7	0.55	30 (311g)		12	-	4 (51g)
		892=800								
		923	1	0.65	0.2	-		1	-	-
		942	1	>0.3	0.22	-		-	-	-
		877	3	0.75	0.58	-		-	-	-
		903	1	0.9	>0.18	1 (9g)		1	-	-
877	877	906	4	0.93	0.42	1 (1g)		1	-	-
		911	2	0.82	0.32	1 (3g)		-	-	-
		914	2	0.6	0.3	1 (7g)		-	2 (204g)	-
					Total	41 (372g)	11(88g)	43	3 (503g)	4 (51g)

Table 3. Excavated interventions in Phase 2.1 enclosure ditches

The easternmost of the pair of enclosures, enclosure **877** was formed by a single curvilinear ditch, examined in five individual interventions (**877**, **903**, **906**, **911**, **914**). This feature varied from 0.6m to 0.93m in width and between 0.3m and 0.58m deep and generally had a simple U-shaped profile. Its fill sequence varied somewhat in the various interventions, with between one and four fills identified, although these were invariably mid to dark grey brown silty sands and clays. Very few finds were recovered from these deposits – with just four small sherds of Roman pottery and two fragments of ceramic building material.

The western enclosure, enclosure **674**, was somewhat more complex in its layout: its eastern edge was formed by the northernmost length of the ditch of Enclosure **877**, and its southern side was formed by a straight linear ditch (**752**) which had been subject to a major episode of modification/recutting, represented by ditch **674**. A short length of ditch exposed against the edge of excavation within the interior of the area defined by these ditches (**747**) may have represented an internal subdivision of this space.

Ditch **752** (**752**, **892=800**, **923**, **942**) was a straight-sided, linear feature measuring up to 0.96m wide and 0.55m deep with a U-shaped profile. It contained a single fill of mid grey silty clay and produced few finds, although the fill of intervention 892=800 produced a notable concentration of Roman pottery (30 sherds, 311g), none of which was closely dateable.

Ditch **674** (**674=675**, **749**, **917**, **920**) cut along the northern edge of ditch **752** for much its length, and measured up to 1.16m wide and 0.55m deep, with a broad U-shaped profile and, where best-preserved contained two fills, typically a lower mid brownish grey silty clay and an upper mid orange brown silty clay. Few finds were recovered. The pottery included a notably high proportion of residual prehistoric material, presumably relating to the Phase 1 remains encountered in this area (see above).

Intersecting with the northern side of ditch **674**, with which it appeared to be contemporary, a short length of north-to south aligned ditch (**747**) was exposed. This was an insubstantial feature measuring 0.55m in width and although its profile was not fully excavated appears to have been no deeper than 0.15m. It contained a single mid brownish grey sandy clay and produced no finds .



Pits and postholes

- 3.3.5 A total of 23 pits and postholes have been assigned to Phase 2.1. In all cases, however, this attribution is tentative, and closely dateable finds were few. Summary information on all these features and their finds is provided in Table 4.
- 3.3.6 A small number of these features were found in the Northern Area and have been attributed to this phase on the basis of their spatial relationship to the boundary ditches and enclosures in this part of the site. These comprise a pair of intercutting pits (596 and 599) south of boundary ditch 639, a small pit or posthole (457) immediately south of boundary ditch 317, a pit (365) immediately north of boundary ditch 59 and a pair of pits (860 and 862) within the area enclosed by enclosure 877. Of these features, only intercutting pits 596 and 599 produced finds limited to a small quantity of Roman pottery, including some material dating no earlier than the second half of the 2nd century AD, and a little animal bone.
- 3.3.7 In the Southern Area, a larger number of features have been attributed to this phase on the basis of their stratigraphic relationships to later ditches belonging to Phase 2.3 and it should be noted that these features may equally relate to activity during Phase 2.2. These include a relatively dense scatter of features in the very southern part of the site, bisected by the ditch of Phase 2.3 enclosure **171**. The only significant finds assemblage came from pit **153**, which produced 155 pottery sherds (1,465g) dating to AD 150-300. Bulk sampling of the fill of one of these features (pit **97**) failed to produce any preserved plant remains.

					Dimensions	5	Finds		
Feat.	Cut	Fills	Shape	Length	Width	Depth	Roman Pot	Bone	
No.	No.			(m)	(m)	(m)			
95	95	1	Sub-circular	0.36	0.3	0.15	-	-	
97	97	1	Sub-circular	0.34	0.32	0.07	1 (9g)	-	
153	153	1	Circular	2	1.22	0.22	155 (1465g)	37	
169	169	1	Oval	1.3	2.4	0.12	7 (116g)	-	
206	206	1	Oval	1.2	0.66	0.24	-	-	
213	213	2	Sub-circular	0.56	0.6	0.35	-	-	
216	216	3	Sub-circular	1.2	0.38	0.28	4 (65g)	4	
	222	1	Sub-oval	~1.3	0.22	0.04	-	-	
222	226	1	Sub-oval	~1.3	0.28	0.1	1 (4g)	1	
	228	1	Sub-oval	~1.3	0.28	0.1	-	-	
230	230	1	Circular	0.82	0.82	0.12	11 (116g)	4	
236	236	1	Circular	0.3	0.3	0.1	-	-	
238	238	1	Circular	0.3	0.3	0.7	-	-	
240	240	2	-	>0.4	0.9	0.27	-	-	
243	243	1	Sub-oval	0.65	0.3	0.06	4 (41g)	1	
256	256	1	Sub-circular	0.7	0.52	0.14	-	-	
272	272	1	Oval	1.2	0.68	0.21	-	-	
365	365	2	Sub-circular	0.4	0.37	0.15	-	3	
457	457	1	Sub-circular	0.29	0.29	0.11	-	-	
596	596	2	Oval	0.66	0.7	0.34	9 (168g)	21	
599	599	1	Oval	0.66	0.64	0.28	1 (5g)	3	
860	860	1	Sub-oval	>0.3	0.24	0.33	-	-	
862	862	1	Sub-oval	>0.7	0.2	0.18	-	-	
			-	•	•	Total	193 (1989g)	46	

Table 4. Pits and postholes assigned to Phase 2.1



3.4 Phase 2.2: Middle Roman 2 (Fig. 8)

Introduction

3.4.1 Features attributed to Phase 2.2 essentially comprise a number of ditches which appear to represent modifications to the plots formed by boundary ditches originally set out during Phase 2.1 in the Northern Area.

Boundary ditches

3.4.2 Three boundary ditches in the Northern Area, all sharing the same alignment as the earlier Phase 2.1 boundary ditches, have been attributed to this phase. One of these (ditch **69**) was a recut of Phase 2.1 ditch **59**, whilst the other two (ditches **414** and **594**) may have represented subdivisions within the two plots created by the Phase 2.1 boundaries, which probably continued in use into this phase. Summary information on these features and their finds are provided in Table 5. A total of 109 sherds of Roman pottery was recovered from these ditches and although densities were low, most of the interventions produced at least one sherd of pottery. A single undated copper alloy artefact was recovered from enclosure ditch **414**. Small amounts of animal bone, residual flint and shell were also recovered.

			Dime	nsions		Finds	
Feat. No.	Cut No.	No. of	Width (m)	Depth (m)	Roman Pot	Bone	CuA
		Fills					
	69	1	0.8	0.42	7 (26g)	-	-
60	351	1	0.6	0.1	1 (3g)	1	-
09	358	1	0.94	0.4	6 (35g)	-	-
	368	1	>0.53	>0.26	-	-	-
	414	1	>1.1	>0.72	11 (201g)	-	-
414	433	3	>2.2	1	28 (676g)	36	1 (2g)
414	475	1	>1.3	0.66	-	-	-
	762	3	>0.94	>0.63	40 (572g)	6	-
	594	1	0.62	0.22	6 (93g)	2	-
594	626	1	0.53	0.17	1 (2g)	1	-
	712	1	0.36	0.21	9 (227g)	7	-
				Total	109 (1835g)	53	1 (2g)

Table 5. Interventions excavated in Phase 2.2 boundary ditches

Ditch **69** (**69**, **351**, **358** (Section 156, Fig. 17), **368**) cut along the northern edge of Phase 2.1 ditch **59** and followed its slightly curvilinear course, terminating some 4m from the western edge of excavation. It measured up to 0.9m wide and 0.4m deep and contained a single fill of mid greyish brown sandy silt. Finds were limited to 14 small sherds of Roman pottery and a single fragment of animal bone.

Ditch **414** (**414**, **433**, **475**, **762**) had been heavily truncated along most its length by later features belonging to Phases 2.3-5 (see below, Fig. 4) but appears to have bisected the southern of the two plots created by the Phase 2.1 boundary ditches - laid out on a similar north-north-west to south-south-east alignment as the earlier boundaries but perhaps turning at its eastern end to run on a more north to south alignment. Although its full profile had been cut away by later features, this was clearly a substantial ditch – measuring at least 2.2 wide and 1m deep. In its best preserved sections it contained three fills and was associated with a fairly substantial assemblage of Roman pottery and a single fragmentary, unidentified, copper alloy artefact (SF 99; from the basal fill (440) of intervention **433**).

Ditch **594** (**594**, **626**, **712**) was a short length of narrow ditch laid out parallel to and just 5m east of Phase 2.1 ditch **290** – and like this earlier feature it seems likely to have served to subdivide the



northernmost of the two plots formed by the Phase 2.1 boundary ditches. Measuring up to 0.62m wide and 0.22m deep this feature had a U-shaped profile and was filled by a single mid orange brown sandy clay which produced small quantities of Roman pottery and a little animal bone.

Pits and postholes

- 3.4.3 A small sub-circular pit or posthole (**284**) has been assigned to this phase (0.17m length, 0.18m wide, 0.04m deep): it lay relatively close to ditch **594** and may originally have been enclosed by it.
- 3.4.4 At the northern end of the site, a pit (873) cut through an earlier enclosure ditch (877). The pit was sub-circular and was 1.12m long, 1.3m wide and 0.89m deep. It contained three fills and twelve sherds (118g) of Roman pottery, dating to AD100-400, and its attribution to this phase is uncertain.

3.5 Phase 2.3 Middle/Late Roman 1 (Fig. 9)

Introduction

3.5.1 Phase 2.3 saw the establishment of a series of small, ditched enclosures across the site. In the Southern Area, these took the form of a ladder enclosure system, defining at least four small sub-square plots, the ditches of which produced relatively large quantities of pottery and animal bone suggestive of settlement related activity. A somewhat more irregular set of four sub-square/rectangular enclosures was also laid out in the Northern Area – the produced fewer finds and may have had a different function to those exposed in the Southern Area. Small numbers of discrete features (pits, postholes and single large well/watering hole) appear to have been associated with both enclosure systems, but few produced significant finds assemblages and there were no clear traces of structures/buildings.

Southern Area: Ladder Enclosures

3.5.2 The partially exposed system of ditches revealed in the Southern Area appear to have defined the western edge of a set of four conjoined enclosures laid out on a linear, north-north-east to south-south-west alignment: from south to north, Enclosures 194, 171, 124 and 79. The southern part of the straight western boundary of the conjoined enclosures was formed by a single ditch (99) which formed the western side of the three southern enclosures. Following a short break of 3m, which probably marked an entranceway into Enclosure 124, this ditch line was continued by a recut ditch (ditch 82, recut by ditch 79; Section 57, Fig. 17), which formed the western side of Enclosure **79** and which also turned to form this enclosure's southern boundary with Enclosure 124. To the south, the boundaries between Enclosures 124 and 171 and between Enclosures 171 and 194 were formed of straight lengths of west-north-west to eastsouth-east aligned ditches (171 and 194 respectively). The overall dimensions of the enclosures remain unclear; the most fully exposed, Enclosures 124 and 171, measured 15m and 22m across respectively – and it seems likely that they defined relatively small square or rectangular plots/compounds. Discrete features associated with the enclosures were rare but included a group of three pits/postholes adjacent to Enclosure **124**. A series of other poorly dated discrete features in the area of the enclosures have been assigned to Period 2.4 (see below).



3.5.3 Summary information on the enclosure ditches and the small number of potentially associated discrete features are provided in Tables 6 and 7 respectively. The relatively large quantity of Roman pottery and animal bone recovered from enclosure ditches, together with smaller amounts of Roman ceramic building material in some contexts, provides evidence for domestic settlement within these enclosed areas, albeit that no structural elements have survived. It is assumed that such features may have lain further to the east, beyond the limit of the development area. The dating of the pottery ranges between AD 50-400, with one sherd dating more narrowly to between AD 100-200. Two pieces of slag from intervention **104** may indicate that metal-working took place within enclosure **79**, although the evidence is meagre. Small amounts of shell, stone and residual flint were also found in several contexts whilst bulk sampling of the ditch fills produced meagre assemblages of charred plant remains dominated by wood charcoal but including occasional cereal grains.

		Dimer	sions	Pottery	Other Finds					
Feat.	Enc.	Cut	No. of	Width	Depth	Roman	Prehist.	Bone	CBM	Fired
No.	No.	No.	Fills	(m)	(m)					Clay
		79	3	1.8	0.38	120 (1460g)	-	26	2 (302g)	-
		104	2	1.22	0.18	95 (788g)	-	22	-	2 (26g)
70		124	1	0.23	0.2	5 (44g)	-	1	-	-
79	70.	150	2	>0.4	>0.22	8 (43g)	-	-	-	-
	124	268	1	>0.25	0.32	1 (4g)	-	-	-	-
	124	274	2	0.84	0.4	23 (497g)	-	14	-	-
		82	3	1.24	0.61	78 (1318g)	-	8	-	-
82		101	2	0.76	0.26	18 (155g)	-	-	-	-
		147	2	1.2	0.4	55 (592g)	-	10	4 (134g)	-
		99	1	1	0.38	132 (1611g)	1 (5g)	39	2 (232g)	-
		126	1	0.6	0.16	36 (568g)	-	8	-	-
	124.1	128	1	0.55	0.17	37 (265g)	-	6	-	-
00	124+1	155	1	0.9	0.24	210 (1935g)	-	44	-	-
99	/1+19	174	2	1.4	0.36	44 (1037g)	-	30	-	-
	4	224	1	1.2	0.36	20 (267g)	-	1	-	-
		234	1	1.3	>0.35	38 (505g)	-	3	-	-
		254	1	0.6	0.16	24 (440g)	-	4	-	-
171	171+1	171	2	1.5	>0.5	41 (670g)	-	18	-	1 (3g)
1/1	24	189	2	1.5	0.55	90 (1456g)	-	41	-	-
194	194+1	194	3	1.84	0.46	37 (619g)	-	3	-	-
	71	232	1	>0.32	>0.35	43 (522g)	-	13	2 (440g)	-
					Total	1155 (14756g)	1 (5g)	283	7 (584g)	3 (29g)

Table 6. Interventions in Phase 2.3 ladder enclosure ditches

					Dimensions		Finds		
Feat.	Cut	Fills	Shape	Length	Width	Depth	Roman	Bone	
No.	No.			(m)	(m)	(m)	Pot		
143	143	1	Sub-circular	0.28	0.28	0.2	-	-	
145	145	1	Sub-circular	0.2	0.2	0.2	-	-	
192	192	1	Sub-oval	1.2	0.9	0.17	2 (23g)	1	
						Total	2 (23g)	1	

Table 7. Discrete features associated with the Phase 2.3 ladder enclosures

Enclosure ditches (Table 6)

Ditch 99 was exposed for a length of 34m on a north-north-east to south-south-west alignment, passing beyond the southern limit of excavation. It formed the western side of Enclosures **194**, **171** and **124**



and was investigated in eight individual interventions (99, 126, 128, 155, 174, 224, 234, 254). Along much of its length it was a fairly substantial feature, measuring between 0.6 and 1.4m wide and up to 0.36m deep, but at its northern end (interventions 126 and 128) it became much shallower and narrower (at most up to 0.6m wide and well under 0.2m deep) and one short stretch had been entirely truncated away. The ditch invariably contained a single fill of mid grey brown sandy silt and finds – particularly pottery and animal bone - were fairly frequent, and fragments of imbrex tile were recovered from intervention 99 (fill 100).

The boundary between the southernmost pair of enclosures, Enclosures **194** and **171**, was formed by ditch **194** (**194**, **234**). In the single intervention excavated across the full profile of this ditch (**194**) it was up to 1.84m wide and 0.46m deep and contained three fills, with a thin basal mid grey brown silty clay overlain by two thicker layers of dark grey brown silty clays. Both interventions produced relatively substantial assemblages of pottery (Table 6). The equivalent boundary between Enclosure **171** and **124** was formed by ditch **171** (**171**, **189**). This measured up to 1.5m wide and 0.55m deep and contained two fills of dark grey clay silt, again producing substantial quantities of pottery. A notable find was part of a samian dish from the upper fill of intervention **189** (**191**) which bore a graffito letter scratched on its base.

Enclosure **79** was defined by ditch **79** (**79**, **104**, **124**, **150**, **268**), an L-shaped feature which formed both its western and southern sides. Along its northern part, on the western side of the enclosure, traces of an earlier iteration of this ditch (ditch **82**: **82**, **101**, **147**) were revealed in three interventions (see Section 57, Fig. 17). This earlier ditch was heavily truncated but was at least 1.2m wide and up to 0.6m deep, whilst ditch **79** itself was somewhat less substantial, up to 1.34m wide and 0.38m deep. Both features produced large assemblages of pottery, together with animal bone and a small quantity of ceramic building material including tegula and imbrex fragments.

Discrete features (Table 7)

Three discrete features were found immediately adjacent to the northern terminus of ditch **99**, on the exterior of Enclosure **124** and close to the putative entranceway into this enclosure. The features comprised a single oval shaped pit (**143**) and two small postholes (**143** and **145**). The pair of postholes were located less than 0.5m apart and may have been associated with some kind of gateway or fence associated with this entranceway. All were filled with single deposits of mid to dark grey/brown silty clays and only the pit contained any finds – two sherds of Roman pottery and a single fragment of animal bone.

Northern Area: Boundary ditches and rectilinear enclosures

3.5.4 The features exposed in the Northern Area related to a somewhat more irregular system of enclosures and boundaries than those of the ladder enclosures to the south. Four probable sub-square/rectangular enclosures (Enclosures **53**, **286**, **295** and **510**) have been identified, alongside several more isolated lengths of ditch. A small number of discrete features probably associated with these enclosures have also been attributed to this phase. These enclosures produced fewer finds (especially pottery) than those in the Southern Area and it seems possible that these were not directly associated with settlement activity. The major elements of the Phase 2.3 enclosure and boundary systems in the Northern Area are described separately below, in geographical order from the south to the north.

Enclosure 53

3.5.5 Enclosure 53 was the southernmost of the enclosures in the Northern Area and was sub-square, aligned north-south/east-west, and measured approximately 20m across. It was defined by a single ditch on its southern, western and eastern sides (ditch 53), although in places this feature was discontinuous due to subsequent truncation, and

along its western edge there was evidence for a phase of recutting/modification in the form of a surviving length of an earlier iteration of the enclosure ditch (471). Its northern side may have been defined by boundary ditch 418 (see below). Very few finds were recovered from the ditch fills – limited to five sherds of pottery and a little animal bone. Summary information on the enclosure ditches and their associated finds is provided in Table 8.

3.5.6 Three discrete features may have been associated with this enclosure (Table 9) – a pit (61) and a posthole (73) within its interior, close to its southern edge, and a larger pit (77) just outside its southern boundary. Again, very few finds were recovered from these features.

				Dimer	isions								
Enc.	Feat.	Cut	No. of	Width Depth		Roman Pot	Bone						
No.	No.	No.	Fills	(m)	(m)								
		53	3	0.5	0.28	-	8						
	53	75	1	0.3	0.08	4 (22g)	-						
			85	1	0.36	0.11	-	-					
52		87	2	0.42	0.23	2 (69g)	2						
55					303	1	0.39	0.09	-	-			
					·						-	305	1
		468	2	0.68	0.32	1 (12g)	19						
	471	471	1	0.57	0.11	-	-						

Table 8. Interventions excavated in Phase 2.3 Enclosure 53 ditches

				[Dimensions		Finds		
Feat.	Cut	Fills	Shape	Length	Length Width Depth			Bone	
No.	No.			(m)	(m)	(m)	Pot		
61	61	2	Sub-circular	0.71	0.9	0.2	3 (11g)	43	
73	73	1	Sub-circular	0.33	0.26	0.18	-	-	
77	77	1	Sub-oval	1.88	0.78	0.33	-	13	

Table 9. Phase 2.3 discrete features associated with Enclosure 53

Enclosure ditches (Table 8)

The eastern, southern and western sides of the enclosure were defined by a single, insubstantial, ditch (53: 53, 75, 85, 87, 303, 305, 468), although the western side of the enclosure had evidently seen at least one phase of modification/recutting, as here an earlier length of ditch was also revealed (471), whilst the later ditch on this side of the enclosure continued beyond the western edge of excavation. Ditch 53 was up to 0.68m wide and 0.28m deep but was often considerably narrower and shallower than this, frequently surviving only to a depth of around 0.1m, especially along the eastern side of the enclosure. The more substantial sections of the ditch contained two or three fills (interventions 53, 87 and 468), but most contained a single deposit; in all cases, the fills were mid to dark brown and grey sandy silts and clays from which few finds were recovered. It seems likely that the ditch on the eastern side of the enclosure originally extended further north to meet boundary ditch 418, which would thus have formed the northern side of the enclosure, whilst access may have been through the gap in its north-western corner.

Discrete features (Table 9)

Pit **61** and posthole **73** were located some 6m apart, both immediately adjacent to ditch **53** on the southern edge of the interior of the enclosure. Posthole **73** contained a single fill of mid grey brown clay silt and produced no finds. Pit **61** was a larger feature, measuring up to 0.9m across, but was only up to 0.2m deep. It contained two fills, a lower mid grey brown sandy clay devoid of finds and an upper



dark grey brown sandy clay which produced a small quantity of Roman pottery (three sherds, 11g) and a little animal bone.

Just outside of Enclosure 53, on its southern side, pit **77** was a relatively large oval shaped feature measuring up to 1.88m long and 0.33m deep. Filled by a single deposit of mid grey brown clay silt, it produced only a small quantity of animal bone, whilst bulk sampling of its fills (Samples 20 and 40) produced only a few cereal grains and very little charcoal.

Boundary Ditch 418

- 3.5.7 North of Enclosure **53**, and possibly serving to form its northern side, a length of east to west aligned ditch, curving slightly to the north at its western end, was exposed (boundary ditch **418**). Its entire length, some 35m, was exposed within the excavation area. It intercut with the ditch defining the southern edge of Enclosure **286**, and may have cut through this feature, although this relationship was ambiguous.
- 3.5.8 Summary details about the ditch and its finds are provided in Table 10. Finds, especially pottery, were somewhat more abundant in this feature than in many of the Phase 2.3 enclosure ditches in the Northern Area, with a total of 97 sherds (1349g) of Roman pottery. A single mid 4th-century copper alloy coin (SF 185) was also recovered from the upper fill of the ditch in intervention **480** but, given its location high in the ditch profile and the presence of later features cutting through the ditch at this point, this is likely to be intrusive.

			Dime	nsions		Fin		
Feat. No.	Cut No.	No. of	Width (m) Depth (m)		Roman Pot	Bone	CBM	CuA
		Fills						
	418	1	0.63	0.22	2 (18g)	2	-	-
410	428	1	0.59	0.23	16 (71g)	-	-	-
418	435	2	1.42	0.42	15 (303g)	-	-	-
	480	2	1.82	0.37	64 (957g)	29	3 (514g)	1 (1g)
				Total	97 (1349g)	31	3 (514g)	1 (1g)

Table 10. Interventions in Phase 2.3 boundary ditch 418

Ditch **418** (**418**, **428**, **435**, **480**) measured 35m long and was investigated in four individual interventions. It was more substantial at its eastern end, up to 1.8m wide and 0.42m deep, and became much narrower to the west – its western terminus (**428**) measuring up to 0.6m wide and 0.22m deep. The more substantial sections of the ditch (interventions **435** and **480**) had a broad U-shaped profile and contained two fills of mid to dark grey sandy silt, whilst only one deposit was recorded in the interventions in the shallower eastern part of the ditch. All of the interventions produced finds (dominated by pottery; see Table 10), but most came from intervention **480**, close to the south-western corner of Enclosure **286**; finds from here included a copper alloy coin (SF 185), dated to AD 348-351 from upper fill 482.

Enclosure 286

3.5.9 On the northern side of boundary ditch **418**, and adjoining it on its southern side, Enclosure **286** was formed by a single ditch (**286**). To the north this ditch intercut with the southern ditch of Enclosure **295**, but the relationship between the ditches was ambiguous and it seems likely that they were in contemporary use. Subsquare/rectangular in plan, the southern and northern sides of Enclosure **286** were aligned broadly east to west and its western side was aligned broadly north to south, while along its eastern side the ditch was aligned north-east to southwest. The northern corner of the enclosure lay beyond the limits of excavation but the ditch on

the northern side of the enclosure terminated close to the edge of excavation and it seems likely that an entranceway to the enclosure was located here, corresponding to a probable entranceway in the south-eastern corner of Enclosure **295**, immediately to the north. The enclosure is estimated to have covered an area of some 12m x 15m. Summary details of the interventions excavated in this enclosure and their associated finds are provided in Table 11. Most of the interventions produced moderate quantities of Roman pottery (192 sherds, 1906g in total) and animal bone whilst other finds included a small quantity of tile and a single iron nail.

3.5.10 Three pits/postholes were exposed in the northern half of the enclosure (Table 12) and, although they produced few finds, they may have been associated with its use.

				Dimer	nsions		I	inds											
Enc. No.	Feat. No.	Cut No.	No. of Fills	Width Depth (m) (m)		Roman Pot	Bone	СВМ	Metal (Fe)										
		286	3	0.8	0.36	36 (934g)	84	1 (109g)	-										
		319	1	0.41	0.26	8 (58g)	5	1	-										
		327	2	0.75	0.31	27 (516g)	46	1 (28g)	-										
286	286	362	2	0.8	0.55	94 (132g)	1	3 (451g)	-										
												392	2	1.04	0.37	22 (230g)	13	-	-
		436	1	0.84	0.3	5 (36g)	10	-	1										
		483	1	>0.3	>0.35	-	-	-	-										

Table 11. Interventions in ditch of Phase 2.3 Enclosure 286

				[Dimensions		Finds		
Feat.	Cut	Fills	Shape	Length	Width	Depth	Roman Pot	Bone	
No.	No.			(m)	(m)	(m)			
338	338	1	Sub-circular	0.54	0.67	0.1	-	2	
340	340	4	Sub-circular	0.49	0.53	0.44	1 (14g)	-	
242	343	1	Sub-circular	1.88	0.78	0.33	-	-	
545	349	1	Sub-circular	0.23	0.19	0.3	1 (7g)	1	

Table 12. Pits and postholes associated with Enclosure 286

Enclosure ditches (Table 11)

All four sides of the enclosure were defined by a single ditch, **286** (**286**, **319**, **327**, **362**, **392**, **436**, **483**). This feature intersected with boundary ditch **418** on the southern side of the enclosure, and with the ditch on the southern edge of Enclosure **295** on its northern side, but in neither case was the relationship between these features clear. The ditch varied considerably in size in the seven interventions excavated through it, from 0.41-1.04m wide and from 0.3 to 0.55m deep. Its profile/morphology also varied, in places having a broad, relatively steep sided and flat base and elsewhere having more gently sloping sides and a rounded base. The more substantial sections of the ditch contained up to three fills but in other places only a single fill was identified. All of these deposits were mid to dark grey or brown silty sandy silts or clay silts. Finds were concentrated in interventions excavated through the ditch on the enclosure's northern side, immediately adjacent to Enclosure **295**.

Pits and postholes (Table 12)

The three discrete features were all located in the northern part of the enclosure. Isolated posthole **343** was subcircular in plan and measured 0.45m in diameter. It contained a mid grey brown packing fill surrounding a clearly defined circular post pipe measuring 0.2m in diameter (**349**) which was filled by a very dark grey silty sand containing a single sherd of Roman pottery. Some 5.5m to the east, feature **340** was a substantial, steep-sided circular posthole measuring 0.5m in diameter and 0.44m deep. It



contained three possible basal/packing fills and a mid-brownish grey silt sand which may have been the fill of a postpipe, and which produced a single sherd of Roman pottery. A shallow sub-circular pit/hollow measuring up to 0.67m across and 0.1m deep (**338**) was located 2m to the east of this feature. It was filled by a mid brownish grey silty sand which produced only two fragments of animal bone.

Enclosure 295

- 3.5.11 Enclosure **295** adjoined Enclosure **286** on its northern side, and its southern, western and northern sides were exposed within the excavation area. Unlike most of the enclosures belonging to this phase, each side of this broadly rectangular shaped enclosure was formed by individual ditches with adjoining/intercutting butt ends (ditches **295**, **382**, **397**). The ditch on the southern side of the enclosure terminated some 5m from the eastern edge of excavation and there was probably an entranceway at this point. Although the overall dimensions of the enclosure are unknown, the exposed area measured some 12m by 15m across. No discrete features potentially associated with enclosure were identified.
- 3.5.12 Summary details of the interventions excavated in the ditches of this enclosure and their associated finds are provided in Table 13. Most of the interventions produced small quantities of Roman pottery (74 sherds, 797g in total) and animal bone. Two metal artefacts were recorded, both from ditch **382**: a possible (fragmentary) copper alloy finger ring from ditch **382** (SF 97) and a 4th-century coin (SF 109) recovered from the uppermost fill of the ditch the latter probably representing an intrusive find.

				Dimer	sions		I	inds	
Enc.	Feat.	Cut	No. of	Width	Depth	Roman Pot	Bone	CBM	Metal
No.	No.	No.	Fills	(m)	(m)				
		295	3	>0.38	0.5	23 (330g)	20	2 (258g)	-
	295	330	1	0.55	0.26	16 (255g)	12	1 (170g)	-
		395	1	0.52	0.4	-	-	-	-
		382	1	0.55	0.25	20 (116g)	24	-	1 - finger ring
295	382	601	2	0.63	0.33	11 (69g)	6	-	1 (1.68g)
		635	1	0.28	0.15	-	-	-	-
		397	1	0.7	0.12	1 (7g)	3	-	-
	397	532	1	>0.38	0.21	-	-	-	-
		633	1	0.61	0.19	3 (20g)	16	-	-

Table 13. Interventions in ditches of Phase 2.3 Enclosure 295

The southern side of Enclosure **295** was formed by a 12m long west-north-west to east-south-east aligned ditch, **295** (**295**, **330**, **395**). It intercut with the ditch of Enclosure **286** on its southern side along its entire length, but it measured up to at least 0.55m in width and between 0.26 and 0.5m deep. In two of the three interventions excavated in this feature it was filled by a single mid greyish brown sandy silt, but a more complex sequence of three deposits of mid to dark grey/brown sandy silts was encountered in intervention **295**. Both interventions **295** and **330** produced Roman pottery alongside animal bone and several fragments of tile, including tegulae and a single piece of flue tile.

Ditch **397** (**397**, **532**, **633**) formed the western side of the enclosure; it measured 9m long and up to 0.7m wide and 0.21m deep and was filled throughout by a single deposit of mid grey/brown sandy silt. Finds were limited to four small sherds of Roman pottery and a little animal bone.

On the northern side of the enclosure, ditch **382** (**382**, **601**, **635**) measured at least 15m long and extended beyond the eastern limits of excavation. It measured up to 0.63m wide and 0.33m deep and was filled by similar grey/brown sandy silts similar to those from the other ditches making up this enclosure. Two of the three interventions in this ditch produced finds, and alongside small quantities of pottery and bone these included a copper alloy finger ring (SF 97) from the sole fill of intervention

V.1



382, and a copper alloy coin dated to AD 364-378 (SF 109) from the upper fill of the ditch close to intervention **601**.

Enclosure 510

- 3.5.13 To the west of Enclosures **286** and **295**, partially exposed against the western edge of excavation, was a further sub-rectangular enclosure Enclosure **510**. This was formed by two ditches: a straight north-north-east to south-south-west aligned ditch (**510**), the northern part of which had been modified/recut by an L-shaped ditch (**575**) to create two sides of what was probably a rectangular shaped enclosure, open to the south. Summary details of the interventions excavated in these ditches and their associated finds are provided in Table 14. Finds from the ditches were limited to very small quantities of Roman pottery and animal bone.
- 3.5.14 A relatively large number of discrete features were found within the interior of Enclosure **510**, as summarised in Table 15. Most of these were small pits and postholes located adjacent to the ditch line on the eastern side of the enclosure, none of these produced dateable or significant finds. More significantly, a large watering hole/well (**534**; Section 198, Fig. 17; Plate 3) was partially exposed against the western edge of excavation and its lower, waterlogged, fills produced a small quantity of Roman pottery as well as a wooden plank /split timber whilst a small assemblage of waterlogged seeds, mostly from ruderal plants, were recovered from bulk samples of its lowest fill.

				Dimen	isions		F	inds	
Enc.	Feat.	Cut	No. of	Width Depth		Roman Pot	Bone	CBM	Metal
No.	No.	No.	Fills	(m)	(m)				
		510	2	0.61	0.18	-	-	-	-
	510	577	1	0.55	0.24	12 (190g)	9	-	-
		655	1	0.22	0.11	-	-	-	-
510	575	575	1	0.66	0.24	1 (9g)	-	-	-
			2	0.57	0.24	4 (20g)	10	-	-
	575	649=64							
		4							

Table 14. Interventions in ditch of Phase 2.3 Enclosure 510

					Dimensions		Finds			
Feat.	Cut	Fills	Shape	Length	Width	Depth	Roman Pot	Prehist	Bone	Wood
No.	No.			(m)	(m)	(m)				
386	386	1	Sub-circular	0.4	0.25	0.3	-	-	-	-
388	388	1	Sub-circular	0.3	0.3	0.25	-	-	-	-
390	390	1	Sub-circular	0.8	0.85	0.18	-	-	-	-
502	502	1	Sub aval	0.97	0.24	0.12	-	-	-	-
502	957	1	Sub-ovai	0.97	0.25	0.13	-	-	-	-
F04	504	1	Sub aval	1.03	0.38	0.14	-	-	-	-
504	959	1	Sub-ovai	1.03	0.36	0.12	-	-	-	-
534	534	4	Sub-circular	3.3	>2.3	1.95	40 (487g)	-	4	1
567	567	3	Sub-circular	1.27	0.97	0.36	-	1 (3g)	4	-
571	571	2	Sub-circular	1.12	0.98	0.28	-	-	-	-

Table 15. Discrete features associated with Enclosure 286



Enclosure ditches (Table 14)

Ditch **510** (**510**, **577**, **655**) was a straight linear feature, 22m long and aligned north-north-east to southsouth-west with a U-shaped profile, and it consistently measured up to 0.61m wide and 0.24m deep. It was generally filled with a single deposit of light to mid brown silty clay/sandy silt, but one intervention (**510**) contained two fills: a basal light yellowish brown silty clay overlain by a light reddish brown silty clay. Finds were recovered only from intervention **577** and were limited to 12 sherds of Roman pottery and fragmentary animal bone. Ditch **510** was cut by L-shaped ditch **575** (**575**, 649=644). This ditch ran for 13m on the same alignment as Ditch **510** before turning at right angles to run east-north east/westsouth-west for 14m, passing beyond the western limit of excavation. This feature was of similar proportions to the earlier ditch, measuring up to 0.66m wide and 0.24m deep and was filled by mid to dark grey clayey silts, with very small quantities of pottery coming from both interventions excavated through this feature.

Discrete features (Table 15)

The most significant feature associated with Enclosure **510** was well/watering hole **534** (Plate 3). Although only partially exposed against the edge of excavation, this large subcircular feature measured at least 3.3m across and 1.83m deep, with steeply sloping sides descending to what was probably a fairly narrow, flattish base (Section 198, Fig. 17). Its lowest fill (535) was a thin mid brownish grey slightly sandy silt which covered the base and lower sides of the feature, and was waterlogged towards the base of the feature. The only find from this deposit was a large fragment of wooden plank/split timber (0.6m long and 0.14m wide) found close to the base of the feature. Bulk sampling of this deposit recovered a sparse and poorly preserved assemblage of waterlogged seeds including dead nettle (*Lamium* sp.), stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica*), mouse-ear chickweed (*Cerastium sp*.) and water-dropwort (*Oeananthe crocata*). Overlying this thin basal fill was a much thicker deposit of mottled dark brownish grey organic clay silt which produced no finds (536). This was sealed by a mottled mid greyish brown clayey silt (537) containing 18 sherds of pottery - including sherds from a cordoned jar dating to the 3rd or 4th century AD. The feature was capped by a mid orange brown clayey silt (538) which produced 22 sherds of pottery.

The other discrete features within Enclosure **510** were found further to the east. A pair of very similar oval-shaped pits (**502=957** and **504=959**), both measuring almost 1m long and between 0.25m, 0.38m wide and under 0.15m deep, were found cutting the southern end of ditch **510**, laid out parallel and immediately adjacent to one another. The layout and morphology of these features was distinctive but neither produced finds and their function remains unclear. The other features consisted of five shallow postholes and pits laid out in a roughly linear arrangement on the interior of the enclosure's eastern edge (postholes **386** and **388** and pits **390**, **567** and **571**). None of these produced finds.

Other Features in the Northern Area

Ditches

3.5.15 In addition to the enclosures detailed above, five other ditches have been assigned to this phase but not to a specific enclosure or boundary (Table 16). These ditches had no obvious function but may have been elements of enclosures or trackways that could not be identified within the bounds of the excavated area.



			Dime	nsions	Potte	ery	Othe	r finds
Feat. No.	Cut No.	No. of Fills	Width (m) Depth (m)		Roman	Prehist.	Bone	СВМ
384	384	1	0.7	0.09	-	-	1	-
425	425	1	0.8	0.36	2 (25g)	-	2	-
	565	1	0.55	0.28	-	-	6	-
679	678	1	0.6	0.08	-	-	1	1 (42g)
0/8	783	1	0.19	0.27	-	-	-	-
716	716	1	0.4	0.12	2 (6g)	4 (7g)	4	-
785	785	1	0.51	0.2	15 (100g)	-	3	-

Table 16. Interventions in Phase 2.3 ditches in the Northern Area

Lying within the complex of enclosures in the northern part of the site was a short length of ditch (**425**: **425**, **565**). Its north-east terminal was situated approximately 2m to the east of enclosure ditch **510** at its south-west extent. Ditch **425** ran on a similar north-east to south-west alignment as ditch **510** and other similar enclosure ditches but was only c.6.5m in length. Two sherds of Roman pottery from its fills date to AD 100-400.

In the north-west corner of the site, two parallel shallow ditches (**384** and **716**) both continued beyond the excavated area. The two ditches were situated approximately 2m apart on a north-east to south-west alignment. The ditches contained very few finds, with six sherds of pottery from ditch **716** forming the only datable material. Two of these were Roman sherds and four sherds were identified as prehistoric.

Further east, lay two iterations of a ditch (**678** and **785**) which continued north-eastwards beyond the northern limit of the excavated area. Some 15 sherds of Roman pottery, spot dated to AD 200-400, were found in this feature. Its function is unknown.

Discrete features

3.5.16 A small number of discrete features were found within the Northern Area outside of the areas occupied by the various enclosures which have very tentatively been assigned to this phase (Table 17). These comprised a single small pit to the south of the eastern end of boundary ditch **418** (pit **495**), which produced no finds, and a cluster of four small pits (**545**, **548**, **632** and **637**) located to the east of Enclosure **510**, only two of which produced very small quantities of Roman pottery.

					Dimensions	;		Finds	
Feat.	Cut	Fills	Shape	Length	Width	Depth	Roman	Iron Age	Bone
No.	No.			(m)	(m)	(m)	Pot	Pot	
495	495	1	Sub-circular	0.2	0.3	0.16	-	-	-
545	545	2	Sub-circular	0.55	>0.3	0.33	1 (1g)	-	-
548	548	2	Sub-circular	0.31	0.35	0.22	-	-	-
632	632	1	Sub-circular	0.66	0.7	0.27	5 (28g)	-	9
637	637	1	Sub-circular	0.67	0.62	0.24	-	-	2

Table 17. Other discrete features in the Northern Area attributed to Phase 2.3

3.6 Phase 2.4 Middle/Late Roman 2 (Fig. 10)

Introduction

3.6.1 The most significant development attributed to Phase 2.4 was the construction of stone-footed aisled building, partially exposed in the north-eastern corner of the site (Building **815**; Fig. 11, Plates 4 and 5). The establishment of this structure is thought to


have been broadly contemporary with a major reorganisation/replacement of the enclosures in the Northern Area, with four new enclosures being established during this phase. One of these enclosures (Enclosure **399**) contained a group of discrete features which included three neonatal/perinatal burials, whilst a double inhumation burial of two adult individuals had been interred into one of the enclosure ditches. In the Southern Area, the ladder enclosure system appears to have remained in use with only minor modifications.

Aisled Building 815 (Fig. 11)

Introduction and phasing summary

- 3.6.2 The original construction and use of the stone-footed aisled building exposed in the north-eastern corner of the site has been attributed to this phase and, whilst other elements of the remains of this building relating to its disuse, have been assigned to subsequent Phase 2.5. For the purposes of clarity, a brief overview of the excavation strategy and sequence of the building is provided here, and illustrated in Fig. 11a, which includes a series of phased plans (A-C) and a schematic, composite section drawing of the various deposits associated with the building (Section 294). A large-scale plan showing the details of the masonry footings of building (and the composition of environmental samples relating to Phase 2.5 deposits) is provided in Fig. 11b.
- 3.6.3 Mechanical stripping of this part of the site revealed the truncated upper surface of masonry footings/foundations along the eastern and southern sides of the building (**815**). Mechanical excavation was halted at this point and the footings and deposits preserved within the interior of the building were hand cleaned and then investigated through a series of hand dug test pits/sondages (TPs J1-J7; Fig. 11a). Extensive bulk sampling was undertaken of deposits associated with the building (App. C.3), whilst spot pollen samples were taken from the internal layers within the building from a section exposed in Test Pit J6 (App. C.5).
- 3.6.4 The uppermost deposits preserved within the footprint of the building appear to have been related to its disuse and to a period of demolition/abandonment. Assigned to Period 2.5 (see Fig. 11a) these are fully described below, but essentially comprised a continuous layer (807) of light brownish grey silty/sandy clay containing occasional fragments of angular limestone rubble. Cut into the exposed surface of this deposit, several small scoops/hollows were recorded, and, more significantly, six regularly spaced circular features all containing distinctive very dark grey, fills were revealed. Subsequent excavation demonstrated that these were the infilled postpipes of a set of substantial postholes and that the cuts of the postholes themselves and their packing fills were sealed beneath layer 807 indicating that this deposit had accumulated prior to the infilling of the postpipes.
- 3.6.5 With the removal of layer 807 and the fills of the postpipes, the remaining elements of the building appear to relate to its original construction and use; these have been attributed to Phase 2.4 and are described in detail in this section (see Fig. 11). They comprise the six postholes which supported the timbers of the roof of the aisled building, the masonry footings themselves and a levelling/floor layer within the area



of the footings through which the postholes had been cut and which directly overlay the natural geology and sealed earlier features belonging to Phase 1 to Phase 2.3.

Levelling/floor layer 522

- 3.6.6 The footprint of the building was defined by the masonry-built footings of the external wall of the building and by a levelling/floor layer (522) within it interior. This levelling layer appears to have been the stratigraphically earliest element of the construction as in some places it underlay the stone footing (Section 294, Fig. 11), although in other places the footings had been laid directly on top of the natural geology and the layer abutted the interior face of the footing (Section 304, Fig. 11). This deposit sealed the various Phase 1 and earlier Phase 2 features exposed in this area.
- 3.6.7 This deposit was 0.1-0.2m thick and was a firm mid orangey-brown silty clay. The origin of the material used to create this layer is unknown and, although it did produce finds, it is unclear to what extent these represent residual material or instead derive from the use of the building itself. Clearly residual material includes small quantities of worked flint (two flakes) and five small sherds of prehistoric pottery. Fifteen sherds of Roman pottery were recovered from this deposit, all from coarse ware vessels dated only broadly to AD 100-400, while a fragment of clay pedestal, probably from an oven or kiln of some kind, was also found. Metal finds included four iron nails (SFs 121, 170, 171 and 192), a fragment of copper alloy bracelet (SF 120) and a copper alloy coin unfortunately worn and illegible but probably of 4th-century date (SF 123).

Wall footing 815

- 3.6.8 The masonry footing was exposed on the eastern and southern sides of the building (Fig. 11a and b). On the eastern side, the footing was aligned north-north-east to south-south-west and was exposed for a length of 8.8m from the northern edge of excavation, turning at a right angle and running for a further 3.3m along the southern side of the building. Following a 4m gap in the footing on the southern side of the building representing a wide entranceway into the structure on this side a short length of the footing was preserved close to the eastern edge of excavation, but had been partly truncated by later, Phase 3, ditches. An exploratory test pit hand dug outside of the excavation area along the line of the western side of the structure found that the stone footings continued for at least another 3m in this direction, indicating a minimum length of 11.8m for the building as a whole.
- 3.6.9 The wall footing varied in width from 0.5 to 1m in width, with several clearly defined changes in its thickness at various points along its length, and it survived up to a height of between 0.5m and 0.6m. Although it is unclear how much of its original height had been lost to truncation or during demolition/robbing, there were no major deposits of collapsed masonry to suggest that it originally extended much higher. The stonework was examined *in situ* by Dr Simon King, who identified the vast majority as angular fragments of Upware limestone, probably field-gathered rather than quarried, and displaying few traces of shaping/dressing (see App. B.5). Most sections of the wall were formed by informally pitched pieces of this angular stone, with more regular sections where the stones had been pitched in herringbone-like pattern and were



bounded together with a fine yellow grey mortar (Sections 270 and 304, Fig. 11a, Plates 6 and 7).

Post settings

- 3.6.10 The six post-settings (see Table 18 for dimensions and associated finds) were cut through layer 522 and were arranged in two lines, 1.8m apart, running parallel to the stone foundations. Within each line, the features were regularly spaced from each other at a distance of between 1.5m and 1.54m. The three post-settings forming the western alignment (883, 884 and 891) and one feature (888) in the eastern alignment were fully exposed, with parts of the other two features on this side (896 and 898) lying beyond the eastern edge of excavation. They varied little in shape or size, being circular, steep-sided and flat-bottomed features measuring between 1.3m and 1.5m across (Sections 292, 295, 294, Fig. 11; Plates 8 and 9). The maximum depths of these features, however, varied considerably, from 0.5 to 1.18 m. Each of the post-settings had a central circular/sub-circular postpipe, c.0.4m in diameter, where a large circular post would have been inserted into the post-setting (see below, Phase 2.5, for description of these postpipes and their fills). Around these central posts, the settings had been backfilled with one or two packing fills, typically very compact deposits of mid yellowish grey/brown silty clay, in one case (posthole **891**) containing frequent angular fragments of limestone.
- 3.6.11 Finds from these packing fills were sparse and were dominated by small sherds of coarse ware Roman pottery, with a piece of clay oven lining coming from posthole **883** and a single iron nail from posthole **898**.

				Dimensions	;	Finds				
Feat.	Fills	Shape	Length	Width	Depth	Pot	Fired clay	Bone	Metal	
No.			(m)	(m)	(m)				(Fe)	
883	1	Sub-circular	1.51	1.19	0.7	19 (269g)	35 (76g)	10	-	
884	2	Sub-circular	1.3	1.25	>0.45	1 (4g)	-	2	-	
888	1	Sub-circular	1.39	1.4	0.5	1 (3g)	-	1	-	
891	1	Sub-circular	1.48	1.32	0.81	1 (13g)	-	1	-	
896	1	Sub-circular	1.25	1.2	0.68	11 (118g)	-	1	-	
898	2	Sub-circular	1.25	0.76	1.18	3 (13g)	-	14	1	

Table 18. Postholes, Phase 2.4 Building 815.

Enclosures in the Northern Area

Introduction

- 3.6.12 To the south of Building 815, in the Northern Area, a new set of ditches defining a set of at least four small conjoined sub-square/rectangular enclosures (Enclosures 282, 399, 498 and 528) were laid out, replacing the earlier series of enclosures in this area assigned to Phase 2.3. The dimensions of and finds from the various ditches making up this enclosure complex are summarised in Table 19, whilst each enclosure is discussed below from south to north, together with any associated /internal features.
- 3.6.13 The most significant remains were associated with Enclosure **399**, where a double inhumation burial had been interred into the ditch forming the northern boundary of the enclosure and where a group of discrete features lay preserved beneath a layer of



				Dimer	nsions	Pottery		Other finds		
Enc. No.	Feat. No.	Cut No.	No. of Fills	Width (m)	Depth (m)	Roman	Prehist	Bone	СВМ	Slag
		282	1	0.6	0.06	4 (134g)	-	-	2 (250g)	-
		312	2	0.51	0.15	1 (2g)	-	1	-	1
		314	1	0.53	0.11	1 (1g)	-	-	-	-
282	282	336	1	0.95	0.13	3 (9g)	-	-	-	-
		345	1	0.65	0.17	3 (9g)	-	1	-	-
		455	1	0.82	0.22	5 (27g)	-	3	-	-
		506	1	0.57	0.08	1 (1g)	-	-	-	-
		508	1	0.34	0.1	-	-	-	-	-
200	200	399	4	0.9	0.44	20 (201g)	5 (42g)	25		
299	299	652= 642	2	1.05	0.34	17 (137g)	-	-	-	
		528	2	1.16	0.49	10 (354g)	-	66	1 (115g)	-
EDO	EDO	563	1	>0.2	>0.06	-	-	-	-	-
526	526	606	2	1.08	0.52	11 (100g)	-	9	-	-
		621*	1	1.6	0.4	10 (58g)	1 (4g)	24	-	-
	409	498	3	1	0.22	10 (422g)	-	17	-	-
498	498	513	4	0.73	0.24	30 (983g)	-	26	1 (219g)	-
	416	416	1	>0.88	0.2	19 (341g)	-	-	-	
	-	766	1	>0.64	0.12	-	-	1	-	-

dark earth/midden like material in the eastern part of the enclosure. These included three neonate/perinate burials and a stone-lined pit or post pad associated with the semi-articulated remains of a calf. Discrete features were rare in the other enclosures.

Table 19. interventions in Phase 2.4 enclosure ditches in the Northern Area

Enclosure 282

3.6.14 Enclosure **282** was the best defined of the four enclosures in the Northern Area attributed to this phase. Laid out on a broadly north to south / east to west alignment, it appears to have replaced, and substantially enlarged Phase 2.3 Enclosure **286**. Although its eastern extent lay beyond the edge of excavation, it seems to have been sub-square/rectangular in plan, enclosing an area of at least 20m x 20m. The ditch surrounding this enclosure **(282, 312, 314, 336, 345, 455, 506, 508)** was extremely shallow and had been entirely truncated at several places around the enclosure's perimeter, especially along its northern edge, although enough traces remained to suggest that it had originally been continuous. In the eight excavated interventions the ditch measured between 0.82m and 0.34m wide and, at most, up to 0.22m deep (Section 104, Fig. 17). It was invariably filled by a single deposit of mid grey brown



clayey and sandy silts, from which very small quantities of Roman pottery, bone and tile were recovered.

3.6.15 A single discrete feature was recorded within Enclosure **282**; located close to its northern boundary this was a shallow subcircular pit (**360**). Measuring 0.55m in diameter and up to 0.16m deep it contained a single fill of mid grey silty from which a single sherd of coarseware pottery was recovered, alongside a residual struck flint.

Enclosure 498

3.6.16 To the west of Enclosure **268** a further sub-square/rectangular enclosure was been defined by two ditches (**498** and **416**) on its southern and northern sides, which extended beyond the limits of excavation to the west, and by the western boundary of Enclosure **282** (see above) and the southern boundary ditch of Enclosure **528** (see below). This created a broadly sub-square or sub-rectangular plot covering an area of at least 15m x 12m, partly open to the south and with a broad entranceway into Enclosure **528** to the north. Fairly substantial assemblages of pottery were recovered from the enclosure ditches, including sherds from several vessels dating to the 3rd and/or 4th century AD, but other finds were scarce (Table 19). A single small pit (**422**), devoid of finds, was found within this enclosure.

Enclosure ditch **416** (**416**, **766**) was straight and aligned east to west; it passed beyond the western boundary of the excavation and was exposed for a length of 6.7m, ending in a regular rounded terminus. It was truncated along its northern side by a large later, Phase 2.4, ditch (**412**) but survived to a width of up to 0.88m and depth of 0.2m. It contained a single fill of mid grey clay silt. In one intervention (**416**) this fill produced 19 sherds of Roman pottery.

Ditch **498** (**498**, **513**), on the opposite (northern) side of the enclosure was L-/dog leg-shaped, running form the western edge of excavation on a south-west to north-east alignment before turning to run west-north-west to east-south-east and terminating, leaving a gap of 2.8m between its termination and that of Enclosure **399** ditch **528**. This ditch was up to 1m wide and 0.24m deep and the two excavated interventions both had multiple fills of grey to brown silty clays which produced fairly substantial assemblages of pottery – 40 sherds in total, including a large portion of a single flanged rim bowl (SF 33) - along with animal bone and a piece of tile.

Enclosure 528

3.6.17 Immediately to the north of Enclosure 498 was another sub-rectangular enclosure (Enclosure 528), partially exposed against the western edge of excavation. Its southern side was formed partly by the northern ditch of Enclosure 498 (ditch 498, see above) but the remainder of its southern side and its eastern and northern sides were delineated by a single ditch, 528 (528, 563, 606, 621), which although heavily truncated in places by later features was fairly substantial – measuring up to 1.6m wide and 0.52m deep – and which produced a small assemblage (21 sherds) of Roman pottery.

Enclosure 399

3.6.18 Enclosure 399 lay north west of Enclosure 528 and north of Enclosure 282 (see above). With its southern and western sides defined by the ditches of those enclosures, its northern side was bounded by a linear ditch **399** which extended beyond the eastern edge of excavation. Close to the edge of excavation a double inhumation burial of two



adult males had been made into this ditch (intervention **399**; Fig. ref; SKs 401 and 402; Fig. 12).

3.6.19 Within the eastern part of the enclosure (as exposed in the excavation area) was a loose cluster of discrete features (Fig. 13), most of which were exposed following the removal of a dark earth/midden-like deposit which lay in this area (belonging to Phase 2.5, Test Pit Area 1; see below). These included two small pits, each containing the remains of neonatal/perinatal skeletons, and two larger features, one containing another neonatal/perinatal skeleton and the other a probable post-pad – with a stone lined base and containing the partial articulated remains of a calf skeleton.

Ditch 399 and double inhumation burial (Fig. 12)

Ditch **399** (**399**, **652=642**) was exposed for a length of 28m, running on a north-west to south-east alignment. In the most westerly of the two interventions excavated across this feature (**652=642**) the ditch measured 1.05m wide and 0.34m deep and was filled by two mid/ dark grey brown deposits of silty clay which produced 17 sherds of pottery. In the easternmost intervention (**399**) the ditch measured up to 0.9m wide and 0.44m deep. A more complex sequence was revealed here: a thin basal layer of mid brownish grey silty clay covered the base of the ditch, onto which a double inhumation burial had been interred. The two skeletons, both belonging to adult males (see App. C.1) were 'stacked', one on top of one another; the lower skeleton (Sk 402) lay on its side in a slightly flexed position, facing south with its head to the west. The second skeleton (Sk 401) had been placed in a similar flexed position, facing south but had its head to the east and its torso and head overlay that of Sk 402. No sediment was recorded between the skeletons and it seems certain that they were deposited in a single episode, the ditch having been backfilled by a deposit of mid pinkish red silty clay containing abundant small fragments of limestone and twenty sherds of pottery. This backfill was later sealed by two thinner deposits of grey/brown silty clay.

Internal features

A total of 12 discrete features were exposed in Enclosure 399, nine of which were found in the eastern part of the enclosure, in the area later sealed by Phase 2.5 dark earth/midden deposit sampled by Test Pit Group 2 (Fig. 13). These included a cluster of four pits which produced special deposits in the form of the remains of neonatal burials and, in one case, semi-articulated animal remains. The most distinctive of these features was a regular circular pit with a stone lined base interpreted as a post pad/setting (663). This feature measured 0.7m in diameter and 0.2m deep with steeply sloping sides and a broad flat base and was lined with field-gathered limestone fragments, as well a large fragment of millstone from made of Folkestone Greensand, which had been reused as a saddlequern (App. B.5). In the southern part of this feature were the semi-articulated, partial remains of a calf skeleton comprising the skull and two articulated lower limb bones. The stone lining and the calf remains were sealed by dark grey silty clays which produced five sherds of Roman pottery, including two sherds from a shell tempered coarse ware jug of 3rd or 4th century date.

Half a metre to the south of this feature was a very similarly sized pit (**666**) which although lacking any stone lining, also contained a 'special'/placed deposit in the form of the partial remains of a perinatal/neonatal burial. This feature was circular in plan, measuring 0.76m in diameter and 0.23m deep and cut through an earlier, poorly defined, shallow oval shaped pit/hollow (**669**), and was filled by a lower light yellowish grey clay overlain by a mid brownish sandy clay. This upper fill contained a single sherd of pottery, whilst the remains of the neonatal burial were found on the base of the pit, close to its eastern edge. A small quantity of disarticulated neonatal bone recovered from the overlying dark earth deposit in Test Pit B2, directly above this feature seem likely to derive from this individual (see below, Phase 2.5 and App. C.1).

Two smaller pits immediately to the south of pit **666** also produced the remains of neonatal burials. Subcircular pit **333** measured 0.24m in diameter and just 20mm deep. Elements belonging a single neonatal/perinatal individual were recovered from this feature, which had probably originally been in



articulation. Under a metre to the south west, pit **335** was oval in plan, measuring 0.37m long by 0.31m wide and 40mm deep; again this contained the partial remains of an articulated neonate/perinate skeleton, and a femur recovered during the excavation of the Phase 2.5 midden/dark earth layer where it overlay this feature, in Test Pit D3, may have derived from this individual (see below).

To the north of these features were three small pits/postholes (**292**, **758** and **760**) all measuring around 0.25m in diameter and between 0.12m and 0.1m deep. These could have represented the heavily truncated and partial remains of a post-built structure(s), but they produced no finds. Just to the north of these features a large, very shallow, oval-shaped pit/hollow was recorded (300). Measuring 3.7m long and 1.15m wide and 0.14m deep it was filled by a mid grey brown silty clay which contained frequent angular fragments of field gathered limestone.

Three small features were recorded in the south-western corner of the enclosure: intercutting pits **553** and **551** and posthole **555**. All these features were very extremely shallow (less than 0.1m deep) and only pit **551** produced finds – a small sherd of Roman pottery (3g) and a residual sherd of Middle Iron Age pottery (7g).

The Southern Area – the ladder enclosures

3.6.20 In the Southern Area it seems very likely that the ladder enclosures attributed to Phase 2.3 (see above) remained in use into Phase 2.4. A small number of ditches relating to minor modifications/additions to the enclosure system have been attributed to this phase (Table 20), alongside a scatter of discrete features within the enclosures (Table 21), but it should be emphasised that this is somewhat arbitrary and the features in the Southern Area assigned to Phases 2.3 and 2.4 should probably be seen as reflecting a single broad phase of activity.

				Dimen	sions		Finds		
Feat.	Enc.	Cut	No. of	Width	Depth	Roman Pot	Bone	Fired	Glass
No.	No.	No.	Fills	(m)	(m)			clay	
		121	2	0.5	0.26	10 (85g)	1	1 (4g)	1 (2g)
121	70	139	1	>0.25	0.2	2 (37g)	-	-	-
	79, 124	265	1	0.85	0.23	-	-	-	-
266	124	141	1	>0.1	>0.08	8 (181g)	-	-	-
200		266	1	0.35	0.06	-	-	-	-
179	124,	179	1	0.45	0.15	2 (8g)	5	-	-
181	171	181	1	0.53	0.15	-	-	-	-
200	194,1	208	1	0.58	0.29	-	-	-	-
208	71								

Table 20. Interventions in Phase 2.4 ladder enclosure ditches



				Dimensions				Finds	
Feat.	Cut	Fills	Shape	Length	Width	Depth	Roman	Bone	H.S.R
No.	No.			(m)	(m)	(m)	Pot		
92	92	2	Sub-circular	0.9	0.9	0.18	-	-	-
109	109	1	Sub-circular	0.22	0.23	0.14	-	-	-
111	111	1	Sub-circular	0.15	0.18	0.12	-	-	-
113	113	1	Sub-circular	0.23	0.26	0.25	-	-	-
115	115	1	Sub-circular	0.28	0.28	0.13	-	-	-
117	117	1	Sub-circular	0.2	0.24	0.08	-	-	-
119	119	1	Sub-circular	0.23	0.24	0.26	-	-	-
159	159	1	Sub-oval	1.52	0.54	0.19	-	1	-
161	161	1	Sub-circular	0.24	0.22	0.19	-	-	-
163	163	1	Sub-circular	0.24	0.21	0.09	-	-	-
167	167	1	Sub-circular	0.52	0.6	0.2	1 (13g)	-	-
183	183	1	Sub-oval	0.5	0.36	0.13	2 (12g)	-	-
185	185	1	Sub-oval	0.22	0.18	0.1	-	-	-
187	187	1	Sub-circular	0.45	0.46	0.11	-	-	-
198	198	1	Sub-circular	0.75	0.45	0.1	-	-	-
200	200	1	Sub-circular	0.7	0.42	0.25	1 (3g)	-	-
202	202	1	Sub-circular		0.38	0.06	-	-	-
210	210	2	Sub-oval	0.38	0.33	0.09	-	-	-
220	220	1	Sub-oval	1.7	0.2	0.04	2 (54g)	-	-
248	248	1	Sub-circular	0.23	0.22	0.08	-	1	-
252	252	1	Sub-circular	0.25	0.25	0.25	_	-	-
258	258	1	Sub-circular	0.3	0.25	0.13	-	-	-
260	260	1	Sub-circular	0.45	0.5	0.15	3 (27g)	-	-

Table 21. Phase 2.4 discrete features associated with ladder enclosures

Enclosure ditches (Table 20)

Four ditches in the southern part of the site indicate reworking of elements of the ladder enclosures and all shared the same west-north-west to east-south-east orientation as the earlier enclosure ditches.

The southernmost ditch (**208**) was exposed for c.4.5m of its length, extending from the eastern site limit. It was situated approximately 5m north of pre-existing ditch 194 on the southern side of enclosure 171 and had been completely truncated at its western extent. It had a maximum width of 0.58m and a maximum depth of 0.29m.

Immediately adjacent to earlier ditch **171**, on the southern side of Enclosure **124**, two new ditches (**179** and **181**) were established, perhaps redefining the former boundary between two enclosures. Both measuring around 0.5m wide and 0.15m deep, these had been entirely truncated at their western ends.

Further north, another ditch (**121**: **121**, **139**, **141**, **265**) probably served to re-establish the southern side of Enclosure **79**. The new ditch was L-shaped, forming the south-west corner of the enclosure in a similar way to ditch **79** in the previous phase. The maximum width and maximum recorded depth for ditch **121** was 0.5m and 0.26m respectively. Ditch **121** was completely truncated approximately 1m north-north-east of the corner. Ditch **266** was a short and heavily truncated feature which cut into the western edge of ditch **121**.

In general, few finds were found in these enclosure ditches, although a relative concentration occurred at the division between the two northernmost enclosures. The pottery sherds date only broadly to the Roman period (AD100-400). A small fragment of glass was found in ditch **121** and was probably from a vessel.

Discrete features (Table 21)

A total of 23 small discrete features were associated with the ladder settlement in this period. Due to a lack of direct relationships and a dearth of datable artefacts, their phasing is tentative.

V.1



3.7 Phase 2.5 Late Roman (Fig. 14)

Introduction

3.7.1 At some point between Phases 2.4 and 2.5, episodes of overbank flooding appear to have resulted in an alluvial deposit, up to 0.3m deep, which covered some of the western part of the Northern Area (see Fig. 14). Various features assigned to Phase 2.5 were cut through this deposit. This phase was characterised by another reorganisation of the enclosure system in the Northern Area, and by the later phases of the use and disuse of the aisled building. No features have been attributed to this phase in the Southern Area but it is possible that the ladder enclosures remained in use into this period.

Aisled Building 815 – Disuse and abandonment (Fig. 11)

Introduction

- 3.7.2 As outlined above, deposits associated with the later phases of use/disuse of Building 815 have been attributed to Phase 2.5 (Fig. 11). During this phase, the remains of the building appear to have been enclosed by ditches into a small plot adjoining Enclosures 404 and 720. These ditches are fully described below, alongside the other element of the Phase 2.5 enclosure system in the Northern Area of the site, but here it is important to note that the fills of ditch 756, immediately to the west of the building, contained finds-rich fills with finds and environmental remains directly comparable to those recovered from the fills of the postpipes within the footprint of the building.
- The principal remains of the building assigned to this phase include an extensive layer 3.7.3 (807) which sealed the original levelling/floor layer of the building and the cuts of the large post settings – all assigned to Phase 2.4. This layer appears to have built up around the posts set into the postholes, as the outlines and fills of the postpipes were visible as discrete circular features in the surface of this deposit. The fills of the postpipes themselves were highly distinctive, consisting of very dark deposits extremely rich in charred plant remains, largely in the form of fully processed grain (App. C.3, Fig. 11b), these appear to represent deposits emplaced following the removal of the posts/timbers - possibly in the aftermath of a fire which had gutted the superstructure of the building (see Discussion). A series of small pits/hollows were also recorded cut into the surface of layer 807, including a small pit containing the remains of neonatal burial (pit 813). Also probably relating to a period following the abandonment of the building, or perhaps accumulating during its later period of use, was a finds rich, midden-like deposit outside, to the south, of the footprint structure. This was excavated in an array of 1x1m test pits (Test Pit Area 2).

Occupation/disuse layer 807 and associated features

3.7.4 An occupation/disuse layer (807) was exposed across the footprint of the building within the area enclosed by wall footing 815, overlying levelling layer 522 (Section 294, Fig. 11a). This deposit was excavated and sampled in the series of test pits dug to investigate the building and the southern part of the deposit was exposed in plan and subject to surface collection of finds and metal detecting (see Fig 11a). Deposit 807



was a firm light brownish grey slightly sandy silty clay. It measured between 0.1 and 0.2m thick and contained moderate amounts of angular limestone fragments. In places there were localised but poorly defined patches of redeposited natural clay and/or stony spreads in the upper part of this deposit (812 and 834). Finds were recovered from this layer both during hand excavation of the test pits and from surface collection (the latter allocated to sperate context numbers 431 and 432). A moderate quantity of Roman pottery, 218 sherds (5255g), was recovered of which the diagnostic forms were consistent with a 3rd or 4th century date. Also present was a small quantity (193g) of fired clay, including fragments of probable kiln plates. Animal bone included 17 identifiable elements, dominated by cattle but including sheep/goat and domestic fowl. Metal finds were restricted to a single amorphous piece of lead (SF 90), and an early 3rd century coin (SF 91). Other finds comprised a single shard of vessel glass and a little residual prehistoric pottery and flintwork. Environmental samples from this deposit produced some poorly preserved charred grain.

- 3.7.5 Aside from the postpipes of the major post settings of the structure, which were visible on the exposed surface of layer 807, several other ephemeral features cut into this layer. In the northern part of the building, pit **780** was a shallow, oval-shaped feature measuring 1.65m long, 1.1m wide and 0.12m deep, and was filled by a mid greyish red sandy silt. In the southern part of the structure, adjacent to the entranceway, was a second oval/subcircular pit (**795**), measuring 1.05 by 0.9m across and 0.11m deep, and containing two fills of sandy silt from which 14 sherds of Roman pottery and 220g (44 fragments) of fired clay were recovered. Immediately to the west of this feature was a smaller subcircular pit, 0.2m in diameter and just 40mm deep from which two sherds of pottery and 12 small fragments of fired clay were collected.
- 3.7.6 East of these two features, in the south-eastern corner of the building were two small oval shaped pits, **813** and **825**. Pit **813** was a very shallow scoop, sub-circular in plan and measuring less than 10mm deep it contained the partial remains of an articulated neonatal skeleton (**814**). Pit **825** was a similarly sized, very shallow feature, but produced no finds. A sample of the bone from the burial in pit **813** has been radiocarbon dated, but has produced an anomalously early result (cal AD 5-130 at 92% confidence), which is inconsistent with other strands of dating evidence relating to the building's use and disuse phases (see Discussion, Section 4.3).

Postpipes

3.7.7 The postpipes (774, 778, 789, 827, 843, 845) of the six major post settings were clearly visible in the exposed surface of layer 807 following initial mechanical stripping of the area of the structure, and were largely excavated from this horizon, with the packing fills and cuts of the post settings being fully excavated following the removal of layer 807. The dimensions of the post pipes and a summary of their associated finds are provided in Table 22. The morphology of the postpipes varied somewhat, but most appeared roughly subcircular in plan and were between 0.4m and 0.5m in diameter. Postpipe 778 was somewhat larger, at 0.7m in diameter, whilst post pipe 774 was much more irregular in plan and measured up to 1.35m across – this is likely to have been caused by the forcible removal of the post from its setting. The postpipes were filled by between one and three deposits, but where multiple fills were recorded the

differences between them were very minor and they were essentially all made up of very dark grey, charcoal rich silts. Given the presence of this material in each of the postpipes, and its similarity to the upper fills of adjacent ditch **756** (see below), it seems possible that they represent the remains of a once more extensive deposit which covered much of the interior of the building, which has subsequently been lost to truncation and has been preserved only in the postpipe voids.

3.7.8 The postpipes fills produced a small but varied finds assemblage (Table 22), and some of this material, notably a relatively large number of nails (15), 714g of wall plaster and a small quantity of mortar seem likely to derive directly from the structure of the building. The relatively small assemblage of pottery included material dated to the 3rd/4th century. The environmental remains from these deposits were extremely rich, and were overwhelmingly dominated by charred wheat grains (App. C. 3; Fig. 11b), which invariably occurred in densities of over 100 grains per litre, with the more productive samples registering well in excess of a thousand grains per litre. Many of the grains showed evidence for insect damage, but this was clearly a fully processed crop and probably reflects the large-scale storage of grain within the structure. A sample of the charred grain (from post pipe **774**) has been radiocarbon dated to cal AD 325-416 at 75.5% confidence (App. D; BRAMS-4090; 1704±25 BP).

	Dime	nsions					Fine	ds				
Feat	Fills	Length	Width	Depth	Pot	Bone	CBM	Fired	Metal	HSR	Plaster	Mortar
		(m)	(m)	(m)				clay				
No.												
774	3	1.35	1.02	0.8	10	9	-	-	1 x finger	-	27	-
					(124g)				ring (SF 164)		(205g)	
778	1	0.73	0.72	1.12	20	57	-	-	4 x nails	-	-	-
					(296g)							
789	2	0.5	0.5	>0.7	8 (164g)	7	-	-	2 x nails	-	23	4 (204g)
											(137g)	
827	1	0.4	0.39	1.15	10	36	-	14	6 x nails	-	1 (11g)	1 (25g)
					(184g)			(366g)				
843	1	0.39	0.38	0.78	10	16	2	-	2 x nails	1	10 (2g)	-
					(162g)	(1	(105g)					
						wrkd)						
845	2	0.56	0.4	>0.54	5 (38g)	34	-	-	1 x nail; 1x	-	-	1 (13g)
									CuA pin			
				Total	61	159	2	14	16	1	53	6 (242g)
					(928g)		(105g)	(366g)			(373g)	

Table 22. Postpipes of Building 815, Phase 2.5

Test Pit Area 2: Dark earth/midden deposits 430 and 755

3.7.9 Mechanical stripping of the area immediately to the south of the aisled building revealed an extensive layer of very dark brownish grey sandy grey (430=719), extending beyond the eastern edge of excavation. This deposit lay mostly within the area of Enclosure **404** (see below) but seems likely to have been associated with the final phases of use or abandonment of the building. This area was investigated through a 5m x 4m array of 1x1m test squares, initially excavated in chequerboard fashion



followed by total excavation. This revealed layer 430 to measure up to 0.2m deep and to overlay a second layer, a thin pale brown silt up to 800mm thick (755) which contained relatively large quantities of angular limestone fragments.

3.7.10 A very large assemblage of finds was recovered from upper deposit 430 (=719). Metal finds included five copper alloy coins (SFs 124, 125, 128, 129 and 130); several of these were heavily worn and illegible, but all seem to be 4th century issues and two have been dated to the later 4th century. Of somewhat later date, and potentially representing an isolated, later, episode of activity than the bulk of the finds, was an iron spearhead of Early Saxon type (SF 204; see App. B.1). Other metal finds comprised a pair of copper alloy tweezers, fragments of at least five iron nails and several small amorphous fragments of iron and lead. Also recovered was an unusual bone hinge (SF 162; App. B.14), probably a fitting from a casket or cabinet of some kind, as well as 50 fragments of CBM (8897g), fragments of fired clay (629g), three fragments of rotary quern and three small fragments of vessel glass. A relatively large assemblage of animal bone, including 105 identifiable elements (dominated by cattle, sheep/goat and horse), as well a single neonatal human bone, was also collected. By far the most abundant material, however, was pottery and over a thousand sherds were recovered (1,098, 20,351g). The lower deposit (755) produced a much smaller finds assemblage including 73 sherds (1128g) of pottery and four fragments of CBM.

Enclosures in the Northern Area and associated features

- 3.7.11 Phase 2.5 saw a third, and final, phase of reorganisation and modification of the enclosures in the Northern Area, with a series of ditches appearing to define five new sub-square/sub-rectangular enclosures: Enclosures 404, 524, 526, 720 and 737. These were bounded to the south by what appears to have been a major boundary ditch (412). As with previous phases, discrete features associated with the enclosures were relatively rare, but some important features were found within some of the enclosures, including a clay-lined pit possibly a corn dryer in Enclosure 526 and an oven/hearth and several wells in Enclosure 524, whilst a second area of midden-like/dark earth deposit was exposed and sampled in Enclosure 737.
- 3.7.12 The various elements of the enclosure system are described below from south to north, beginning with boundary ditch **412** and associated features, followed by descriptions of each of the conjoined enclosures and their associated features. Information on the dimensions and finds associated with the various ditches are tabulated below; those for Boundary ditch **412** and associated features are summarised in Table 23 and those for the enclosure ditches in Table 24.



				sions	Pottery	1	Other Finds				
Feat.	Cut	Fills	Width	Depth	Roman	Prehist	CBM	Fired	Bone	CuA	Glass
No.	No.		(m)	(m)				Clay			
	412	2	1.68	0.82	151 (4898g)	-	5 (522g)		80	2	1
410	434	3	1.86	0.5	176 (3752g)	-	8 (369g)	2 (33g)	70		-
412	497	3	>0.78	>0.33	56 (573g)	-	1 (46g)		52	-	-
	768	1	>0.5	>0.13	13 (261g)	-	-		1	-	-
105	485	1	>0.45	0.34	-	-	-		-	-	-
485	491	1	>0.3	0.36	-	-	-		-	-	-
107	487	1	0.44	0.22	82 (1172g)	2 (3g)	7 (586g)		78	-	-
467	493	1	0.51	0.19	12 (108g)	-	-		3	-	-
489	489	1	0.4	0.18	-	-	-		-	-	-

Table 23. Interventions in Phase 2.5 Boundary Ditch **412** and associated features

				Dime	nsions	Finds							
Feat. No.	Enc. No.	Cut No.	No. of Fills	Width (m)	Depth (m)	Roman Pot	Bone	СВМ	Plaster	Fe	Pb	CuA	HSR
404		404	1	0.7	0.19	2 (6g)	12	-	-	-			-
421	404	421	3	0.97	0.23	38 (772g)	39	1 (56g)	-	-			1
		526	1	1.15	0.35	52 (566g)	47	-	-	1			-
526	404, 526,524	672=673= 676=677	1	1.28	0.52	35 (936g)	99	-	-	-			-
	,737, 720	691=693	1	1.03	0.41	23 (472g)	12	-	-	-			-
		720=724	1	0.8	0.5	33 (442g)	35	2 (216g)	-	1			-
		524	1	0.82	0.29	13 (96g)	31	-	-	-			-
524	524	609	1	0.56	0.25	7 (154g)	44	-	-	-			-
		624	1	1.24	0.15	9 (225g)	4	-	-	-			-
737		737	1	0.32	0.16	-	-	-	-	-			-
739	737	739	1	0.48	0.21	20 (336g)	32	-	-	-			-
		756	4	1.15	0.3	18 (393g)	4	1 (283g)	-	5	3	3	-
756	720	817	4		0.84	125 (2611g)	8	2 (78g)	1 (8g)	2			-
		939	2	1.39	0.39	33 (460g)	-	-	-	1			-
904	404	904	1	0.37	0.11	3 (43g)	9	1 (239g)	-	-			-

Table 24. Interventions in Phase 2.5 enclosure ditches

Boundary Ditch 412 (Table 23)

- 3.7.13 The southern boundary to the area of enclosures belonging to Phase 2.5 was defined by a substantial ditch (412: 412, 434, 497, 768), which ran broadly east to west from the western edge of excavation and terminated less than 5m from the eastern edge of excavation, where it was supplemented by several smaller parallel ditches (485, 487, 489).
- 3.7.14 In the two interventions excavated across its full profile (**412**, **434**), ditch **412** measured up to 1.86m wide and 0.82m deep and contained between two and three fills. The

V.1

basal fill throughout the excavated sections was a dark grey silty clay, overlain by a very dark grey brown silty clay, in places sealed by a lighter, yellowy brown silty sand. Moderate to large quantities of finds were recovered from the ditch fills, alongside ceramic building material and bone. The more diagnostic pottery included material dated to c. AD 370-400 and is consistent with a later 3rd of 4th century date for the infilling of the feature, whilst two 4th century coins (SFs 115 and 96) were recovered, one from the upper fill and one from the basal fill (411) of intervention **412**.

- 3.7.15 Running parallel and slightly to the north of ditch **413**, and extending beyond the western edge of excavation, were a pair of intercutting ditches. The earliest of these features, **485** (**485**, **491**), measured at least 0.45m wide and was up to 0.36m deep; it was filled by a single dark grey sandy silt from which no finds were recovered. This feature was recut along its southern side by ditch **487** (**487**, **493**) which was up to 0.51m wide and 0.19m deep. This was again filled by a single dark grey sandy silt, which produced a total of 104 sherds of Roman pottery and a little animal bone and ceramic building material.
- 3.7.16 To the south of these ditches the east to west aligned portion of a L-shaped ditch (489) cut the western terminus of ditch 412 and then turned, passing beyond the eastern edge of excavation on a broadly north to south alignment. This produced no finds from its single mid grey sandy silt fill.

Enclosure 737

3.7.17 Enclosure 737 was a rectangular shaped plot, partially exposed against the eastern edge of excavation. It covered an area of at least 28m (north to south) by 13m (east to west); its southern side was defined by boundary ditch 412 and associated ditches 485 and 487 (see above), whilst its northern side was defined by a group of four intercutting ditches (404, 421, 737 and 739). A large part of its western side was open but it was partly bounded here by the southern part of major L-shaped ditch (526) which also served to delineate parts of the other four enclosures. No discrete features within Enclosure 737 have been attributed to this phase, although an area of undisturbed buried soil/dark earth was found against the eastern edge of excavation, where it sealed a series of features belonging to Phase 2.4 (see above).

Enclosure ditches (Table 24)

The northern side of Enclosure **737** was formed by a series of minor east to west aligned, intercutting ditches, which also formed the southern edge of Enclosure **404** (ditches **404**, **421**, **737**, **739**). These ditches cut across the earlier line of Phase 2.5 ditch **399** (see above). None of these features were substantial, measuring between 0.32 and 0.97m wide and up to 0.23m deep. They were invariably filled by dark brown grey silt clays which generally produced few finds. The one major exception to this was ditch **421**, which had a more complex fill sequence of three deposits of mid to dark brown/grey silty clays, and which produced a relatively substantial assemblage of pottery (38 sherds) together with a single piece of human bone (a radius) which almost certainly derived from skeleton 402, interred in Phase 2.4 ditch **399** (see above).

The southern part of the western side of Enclosure **737** was open to Enclosure **524** to the west, but the northern part of this side was defined by the southern part of L-shaped ditch **526** (**526**, **672=671**, **691**, **720**). At this point, this feature was aligned north-north-west to south-south-east and ran north to form the boundary between Enclosures **404** and **526** (see below) before turning west to form the northern boundary of Enclosure **526**. A relatively substantial feature, measuring up to 1.28m wide and 0.52m



Midden-like deposit (Test Pit Area 1)

Against the eastern edge of excavation, mechanical stripping exposed a layer of mid grey brown clayey silt in a restricted area measuring approximately 4m by 4m, which was subsequently entirely hand excavated in a grid of 1x1m test squares (Test Pit Area 1; Test Pits A1-4 to D1-4). This revealed the deposit (51) to survive to a depth of 0.2m, and to seal a number of features which have been assigned to Phase 2.4 (see above). Finds were much less abundant that in Test Pit Area 2 (see above), and consisted of 190 sherds of Roman pottery (2036g), five fragments of CBM and 368g of fired clay – including several fragments of kiln plates (see App. B.10). As discussed above, disarticulated neonatal human remains from two of the test pits (B2 and D3) are likely to have derived from the Phase 2.4 neonatal burials sealed by this deposit.

Enclosure 524

- 3.7.18 Enclosure 524 lay to the east of Enclosure 737 and was partially exposed on the western side of the Northern Area. It covered an area of at least 23m (north to south) by 17m (east to west). It may originally have formed one part of a single larger plot with Enclosure 526 to the north, defined by boundary ditch 412 to the south and L-shaped ditch 526 to the east and north, but at some point the southern part of ditch 526 had been recut by L-shaped ditch 524, which then turned to form a broadly east to west aligned boundary between the two enclosures.
- 3.7.19 A relatively large number of features were exposed within this enclosure, consisting of three wells (448, 456, 557), a single shallow pit (612) and a poorly defined pit containing a collapsed fired clay oven/hearth structure/lining which may have represented oven or corn dryer (371).

Enclosure ditches (Table 24)

The southern side of Enclosure **524** was defined by boundary ditch **412** (see above), whilst its eastern and northern sides were delineated by ditch **524** (**524**, **609**, **624**), which in part represented a recut of major L-shaped ditch **526**. Ditch **524** measured between 0.8m and 1.24m wide and between 0.15m and 0.29m deep and was filled throughout by a single deposit of mid grey silty clay, which produced small quantities of Roman pottery and animal bone.

Wells within Enclosure 524

Three wells were exposed in the south-eastern part of Enclosure **524**. The southernmost of these features (**456**) was oval in plan, measuring 1.85m long by 1.55m wide and was excavated to a depth of 1.1m, with augering of its basal fills establishing that it measured up to 1.25m deep. The upper part of the cut had weathered back to form a relatively gentle slope, but the lower part of the cut was very steeply sloping and shaft-like (Section 184, Fig. 17). The lower three fills, which filled the vertical-sided part of the well (467, 466, 465) were waterlogged, mottled grey to orange silty clays from which no finds were recovered; these were sealed by 464, an orange sandy clay which seemed to represent material eroded from the sides of the feature and which again produced no finds. The upper part of the feature's profile was filled by a series of brown/grey clay silts (460, 461, 462, 463), which seemed to reflect more gradual silting up and backfilling of the feature and which produced, in total, 44 sherds of Roman pottery and a small quantity of animal bone.

Less than 5m to the north was well **448**, the top of which was cut by Phase 2.1 Boundary ditch **317**. This feature was less substantial than well **456**; it was oval in plan, measuring 1.05m by 0.86m and up to 0.8m deep, with steeply sloping/near vertical sides and slightly concave base giving a U-shaped profile



(Section 182, Fig. 17). Its basal fill (449) was a heavily mottled mid blue grey/yellow brown silty clay – this produced a large portion of a Horningsea ware jar (15 sherds, 896g) dated to the 3rd or 4th century and seven sherds from other vessels. This was overlain by three layers of mid grey brown silty clays which produced no finds, and the feature was capped by two dark charcoal-rich deposits (453 and 454 which both produced small quantities of Roman pottery (24 sherds in total) and a little animal bone.

A further 5m to the northwest was well **557**. This was of similar size to well **456**, measuring 0.96m by 0.,74m and up to 0.98m deep, with steeply sides and a slightly rounded base (Section 202, Fig. 17; Plate 10). Its basal fill was a blue grey clay (558), overlain by upper deposits of mid grey or brown silty clays. Very small quantities of pottery (eight sherds) and animal bone were recovered from the upper fills of this feature.

Oven/hearth 407/371

Some 5m to the west of well **448** a large, recut, pit containing deposits of fired clay representing the collapsed/dumped remains of a lining and with heavily burnt/scorched fills was exposed, and probably represented the remains of a multiphase/reused oven or hearth/fire setting. This feature was cut partly into the alluvial subsoil (51) and it proved difficult to define its edges precisely (Section 164, Fig. 17). The original cut of the feature (**407**) appears to have been oval in plan, measuring 2.45m long, 1.9m wide and 0.52m deep with sloping sides and a broad flat base.

The fills of this cut had been heavily truncated by later cut **371**, but lying on the base of the feature were two deposits of fired clay (408 and 409) which, although clearly not *in situ* and somewhat amorphous in shape, appeared to represent a collapsed lining, with slabs of fired clay measuring up to 25mm thick. This fired clay was overlain by a light yellowish brown silty clay (372). This deposit was cut through by pit **371**; this later feature measured up to 1.95m long, 1.4m wide and 0.55m deep and had moderately steeply sloping sides and an undulating but generally flat base. This basal fill of this feature was a sterile mid grey silty sand (378), which was overlain by a complex series of four heavily burnt/scorched clay silts/silty clays (373-376) which varied from light pinkish brown to dark bluish grey in colour and seem likely to relate to *in situ* heating/burning. Unfortunately, environmental samples taken from three of these fills (374, 376, 378) proved to contain very sparse charred plant remains - just a few fragments of charred grain and very low volumes of charcoal – and the function of this feature remains unclear.

Pit 612

Partially exposed against the western edge of excavation was a large, shallow, pit/hollow, cut from relatively high up in the alluvium which covered this area of the site. It measured at least 1.4m across and was up to 0.3m deep. It was filled by a sequence of four yellowish grey to mid brown/grey sandy silts, from which 19 sherds (342g) of Roman pottery were recovered.

Enclosure 526

Enclosure **526** was defined on its northern and eastern sides by L-shaped ditch **526** and was separated from Enclosure **524** to the south by the western arm of ditch **524**. Both of these features have been described above. The enclosure covered an area of at least 19m by 19m, its western side extending beyond the limit of excavation. A single feature was identified within this enclosure: an elongated clay-lined pit (**512**) which was infilled by deposits rich in charred grain (Fig. 15). The shape of the feature, and its association with charred grain suggest that it is likely to represent the remains of a corn dryer, although the lack of evidence for *in situ* burning or heating suggests it may represent a clay lined tank made for another purpose, perhaps for steeping grain as part of the malting process (see App. C.3 and Discussion, below).



Clay-lined Pit 512 (Fig. 15; Plates 11 and 12)

The cut of pit 512 was 'key-hole shaped' in plan, with a broad bulbous western end (up to 1m wide) narrowing to around 0.6m wide at its eastern end. The western end of the feature was also somewhat deeper, at around 0.45m deep, becoming shallower (0.25m deep) at the east, with steeply sloping sides and a flat, somewhat undulating base. The base and parts of the sides of the feature were lined with a thin deposit of unburnt/unheated soft light grey clay, up to 50mm thick.

The infill sequence of the feature was complex. The eastern (narrower and shallower) end of the feature appeared to have infilled first; the earliest fill in this half of the feature was a localised deposit of light yellow mid grey silty sand found against the western edge of the feature (588). This was overlain by a mid grey silty sand, which contained lenses of very dark charcoal-rich material, which covered the base of this half of the feature (587). This as sealed by two deposits of brownish grey/yellowish brown sandy silts, both containing abundant charcoal inclusions (586 and 585). In the western part of the feature, the clay lining was overlain by a mid grey silty sand with lens of charcoal (580) – very similar and probably equivalent to 587. This was sealed by a very thin layer of charcoal-rich dark grey silt sand (579), which in turn overlain by a mid grey silty sand with charcoal inclusions (544). This part of the feature was capped by two deposits of mid grey/brown silty sand which appeared to represent episodes of natural silting (542 and 543).

Following the infilling of the feature with these deposits, two pits were cut through its fills; the purpose of these features is unclear and they do not seem to relate to reuse of the feature. Pit **754** cut through the centre of the earlier feature and was sub-circular in plan, up to 0.6m in diameter and 0.43m deep, with vertical sides and an undulating base and was filled by a single mid grey silt sand. Pit **589** was a smaller circular pit cut into the eastern end of the corn dryer, measuring up to 0.35m in diameter. It was up to 0.12m deep and was filed by a single mid brownish grey silty sand.

Bulk environmental samples were taken from the two major basal deposits overlying the clay lining in feature **512** – fills 580 and 587 - and from one of the upper fills in the eastern part of the feature (585). These deposits contained abundant charred grain and weed seeds (App. C.3); spelt and barley grains were frequent and there was evidence of germination of some of the spelt grains. Chaff was absent although wood charcoal and charred nutlets of Great Fen sedge (*Cladium mariscus*) and seeds of other sedge species (*Carex* spp.) were present. Weed species included stinking mayweed (*Anthemis cotula*), goosefoots (*Chenopodium* sp.) and docks (*Rumex* spp.). Twenty-nine sherds of Roman pottery were recovered from the fills of pit **512**, mostly from the upper fills (542 and 543), alongside a single iron nail (from fill 579), whilst, unusually, four fragments of bone from a large bird of prey – probably a red kite – were recovered from fill 579 (see App. C.2).

Enclosure 404

3.7.20 Enclosure 404 lay immediately south of Building 815 and the spread of middenlike/dark earth deposit that lay south of the building lay largely within this enclosure (Test Pit Area 2 - see above). The southern side of the enclosure was formed by the set of intercutting ditches on the northern side of Enclosure **737** and its western side was formed by part of L-shaped ditch **526** (see above). It was partly open to the north and, if Building **815** was still functioning during this phase, its entrance would have opened onto Enclosure **404**, but to the west the Enclosure was separated from the building by a short length of ditch (**904**). A single feature within Enclosure **404** has been attributed to this phase, a hollow (**901**) revealed following the removal of Phase 2.4 layers 430 and 755 in Test Pit Area 2.

Enclosure ditch (Table 24)

Ditch 904 was a narrow, shallow feature, aligned east to west and extending for a length of 5.5m eastwards from L-shaped ditch 526 (with which it appeared to be contemporary) before terminating.



Measuring 0.37m wide and up to 0.11m deep, this feature was filled by a single mid grey silty clay from which three sherds of pottery, a small quantity of animal bone and a large tile fragment were recovered.

Hollow 901

Beneath the deposits excavated in Test Pit Area 2, a sub-circular/amorphous shaped feature was revealed. Measuring up to 1.5m across and up to 0.15m deep this irregular feature contained a single fill of dark grey clay silt from which no finds were recovered, although bulk sampling produced some charred grain (App. C.3).

Enclosure 720

3.7.21 A small plot appears to have been defined to the west of Building **815**, its southern side delineated by L-shaped ditch **526** (see above) and its eastern side by a length of ditch (**756**: **756**, **817**, **939**) which measured up to 1.4m wide and 0.84m deep (Section 294, Fig. 11). As noted above, this ditch seems likely to have also formed the western side of a ditched compound around Building 815 and had been backfilled with finds rich deposits analogous to those found in the postpipes of the building. These fills produced a relatively substantial assemblage of pottery (178 sherds; 3511g), including some material dated to the 4th century. Three copper alloy coins were also recovered from this feature; one, presumably residual, of 2nd century date (SF 133) and two of mid 4th century date (SFs 139 and 144). Other finds included a little animal bone and tile, as well as five iron nails and several small scraps of lead. Perhaps the most compelling evidence for a very strong association with the deposits from the postpipes of the adjacent building came, however, from a single (8g) piece of painted wall plaster, and by the very similar composition of the rich charred grain assemblages derived from these deposits (dominated by fully processed wheat grains; App. C.3).

3.8 Phase 3 – Post-Roman (Fig. 16)

- 3.8.1 A total of six ditches have been interpreted as post-Roman and ran on different alignments to their Roman predecessors. These features are summarised in Table 25.
- 3.8.2 In the Southern Area, ditches **67** and **130** may once have been connected as they were truncated at their southern and northern extents. They were both shallow and narrow ditches (a maximum of 0.55m wide by 0.2m deep and 0.66m wide by 0.15m deep, respectively) running on a north to south alignment similar to ditch **297** in the northern part of the site which may have formed part of the same boundary (albeit slightly offset). Ditch **67** was truncated at its northern end, while ditch **130** was truncated at its southern end. Artefacts recovered from these ditches were relatively scarce and the associated pottery is likely to have been residual.
- 3.8.3 Ditch **297** ran the length of the Northern Area on a north to south alignment. It was a shallow, narrow ditch (a maximum of 0.75m wide and 0.35m deep) and was completely truncated at several points along its length. At its southern extent it shallowed to an end at the junction with an earlier ditch (**69**). At its northern end, the ditch continued beyond the northern limit of excavation. A total of 45 sherds (1030g) of residual Roman (AD100-400) pottery were recovered.
- 3.8.4 Other ditches dated to the post-Roman period were located in the north-east corner of the Northern Area and can be dated by their relationship to remains relating to the



aisled structure. Ditch **787**, orientated approximately north-east to south-west, cut across the western foundation of the former building, causing small amounts of damage and truncating post-pipe **845**. The ditch was shallow (maximum of 0.21m deep) and, having been truncated at both extents, it may have originally continued in either direction. Finds from its fills were proportionally more common than in other ditches, since 100% of its fills were excavated. Pottery (5 sherds, 20g) from this feature was dated to AD150-400 but was probably residual. The only other artefact of note from this feature was a possible Roman key (SF167).

3.8.5 Ditches **855** and **857** cut into the stone foundations on the eastern side of the structure's entranceway. These features were shallow, truncated at their western extents and continued beyond the eastern limit of the excavations.

			Dimer	isions	Potte	ery		Other fi	inds	
Feat.	Cut	No. of	Width	Depth	Roman	Prehist	Bone	Fired	CBM	Fe
No.	No.	Fills	(m)	(m)				clay		
67	67	1	0.55	0.2	9 (81g)		9	-	-	-
	71	1	0.5	0.1	7 (38g)		-	-	-	-
	107	1	0.28	0.2	6 (77g)		2	-	-	-
130	130	1	~0.4	0.05	-		-	-	-	-
	177	1	0.66	0.15	6 (40g)		-	-	-	-
	204	1	0.62	0.13	-		-	-	-	-
	270	1	1	0.1	-		-	-	-	-
	297	2	0.7	0.35	20 (253g)		28	-	-	-
	306	1	0.55	0.15	2 (95g)		-	-	-	-
297	323	1	0.75	0.19	3 (68g)		2	-	-	-
	592	1	0.72	0.22	19 (175g)		-	3 (73g)	2 (20g)	-
	628	1	0.35	0.06	1 (15g)		1	-	-	-
	787	1	0.73	0.13	5 (20g)		19	-	4 (39g)	1
707	808	2	0.6	0.21	9 (155g)		10	-	-	-
/8/	835	1	0.73	0.21	12 (142g)		28	-	-	-
			(max)	(max)						
855	855	1	0.8	0.12	3 (18g)	1 (6g)	3	1 (3g)	-	-
057	857	2	0.63	0.33	6 (53g)	1 (3g)	30	-	-	-
037	870	2	0.8	0.28	4 (36g)		-	-	-	-

Table 25. Excavated slots in post-Roman ditches

3.8.6 Three discrete shallow and slightly amorphous hollows were assigned to the post-Roman period (Table 26). All were found within the footprint of the disused aisled building and were cut into layer 807. The finds recovered from them are likely to derive from layer 807 and/or the disused building, other than a modern iron nail.

			Dimensions			Potte	ry	Other finds			
Feat.	Fills	Shape	Length	Width	Depth	Roman Pot	Prehist	Bone	Fired Clay	Mortar	Fe
No.			(m)	(m)	(m)		Pot				
793	1	Amorphous	1.02	0.87	0.1	6 (77g)	1 (8g)	13	-	2 (14g)	1
795	2	Amorphous	1.06	0.92	0.11	14 (173g)		-	-	-	-
833	2	Amorphous	0.34	0.33	0.03	2 (51g)		1	12 (52g)	-	-

Table 26. Excavated slots in post-Roman discrete features

3.9 Undated (Fig. 4)

3.9.1 A single, isolated feature in the northern part of the site remains unphased. This small sub-oval feature (**309**) contained three fragments of flint and may have been naturally created.



3.10 Summary of finds and environmental evidence

Finds Summary

3.10.1 The excavations yielded a large and varied finds assemblage, quantified in summary from here in Table 27 and summarised below, full specialist reports are provided in Appendix A.

Category	No.	Weight	Report ref.
Metalwork	139	-	Арр. В.1
Coins	51	-	Арр. В.2
Worked flint	109	-	Арр. В.З
Burnt flint	21	248g	Арр. В.З
Shale	1	-	Арр. В.4
Worked stone	22	5238g	Арр. В.5
Wood (waterlogged)	1	-	Арр. В.6
Prehistoric pottery	89	814g	Арр. В.7
Roman pottery	5948	9,7365g	Арр. В.8
Ceramic building material	166	2,3694g	Арр. В.9
Fired clay	247	2673g	Арр. В.10
Plaster/mortar	62	673g	App. B.11; App. B.12
Glass	8	-	Арр. В.13
Worked bone	4	-	App. B.14
Slag	11	440g	App. B.15
Coal and clinker	3	3g	App. B.16

Table 27. Fin	ds summary
---------------	------------

Metalwork (App. B.1)

3.10.2 The assemblage consists of 142 fragments of metalwork relating to a total of 134 artefacts recovered from metal detecting and excavation of archaeological features. The bulk of the assemblage dates to the Roman period, with a single item of Early Anglo-Saxon date (a spearhead) being found (in Phase 2.5, layer 719). Some artefacts can be attributed to the post-medieval and modern periods, while other items (including the collection of lead waste) are of indeterminate date. The assemblage comprises copper alloy (CuA), iron (Fe) and lead (Pb) artefacts and includes personal possessions (knives), jewellery/decorative items (bracelets, brooches, buckles, buttons, finger rings, pins), weaponry (spear head, musket balls), practical items (horse shoes, keys, loops, nails, pot mends, weights) and items associated with personal hygiene (tweezers). The copper-alloy artefacts indicate a relatively high status for part of the community settled on the site, particularly during the Late Roman period.

Coins (App. B.2)

3.10.3 A total of 53 coins Roman coins was recovered from top-soil metal-detecting and excavation of archaeological features. The identifiable coins are dominated by 3rd and



4th century issues, although a few issues attest to activity in the 2nd century. The assemblage is marked by a first major peak in coin loss in the later 3rd century (AD 260-275), with a second peak in the later 4th century (AD 330-378), and suggests that the site remained engaged with the monetary economy into a relatively late stage of the 4th century.

Flint (App. B.3)

3.10.4 A total of 109 worked flints and a small quantity (248g) of unworked flint were recovered. The flintwork was collected either as residual material from later features or from unstratified deposits, and was thinly distributed across the site. The assemblage includes a relatively high proportion of blade/narrow-flake based material suggestive of a Mesolithic/earlier Neolithic date. Diagnostic pieces include three Neolithic arrowheads (one leaf-shaped and two transverse, chisel, forms).

Shale (App. B.4)

3.10.5 A single shale spindle-whorl was recovered from Phase 2.5 ditch **526**.

Worked stone and building stone (App. B.5)

- 3.10.6 Twenty-two pieces of worked stone were recovered from the excavations, whilst a sample of 90 pieces of building stone were retained for specialist analysis. The worked stone included a complete millstone alongside fragments belonging to at least one other millstone and several rotary querns, some of which appear to have been reused as whetstones. The stone used for the millstones and querns was imported to the region and includes Folkestone Greensand (probably from sources at East Wear Bay, Kent), Hertfordshire Puddingstone and Millstone grit (from sources in the Southern Pennines).
- 3.10.7 The limestone used for the footings of the aisled building (815), and found in some quantities in deposits associated with the disuse of the building, appears to almost entirely reflect the use of local field-collected Upware limestone. Other building stone includes a small quantity of Collyweston Slate roofing tile derived from sources in Northamptonshire.

Waterlogged wood (App. B.6)

3.10.8 A single piece of waterlogged wood, a split timber or plank of oak (*Quercus* sp.) was recovered from the basal fill of well/watering hole **534**; it is not clear whether this relates to a timber structure/lining associated with the well or simply represents a discarded timber.

Prehistoric pottery (App. B.7)

3.10.9 A total of 89 sherds of prehistoric pottery were recovered. The assemblage includes four sherds of Middle Bronze Age pottery from a pit in the Northern Area (**931**) and small quantities (22 sherds) of Late Bronze Age/Early Iron Age pottery recovered as residual finds in later features. However, most of the material was of Middle-Late Iron Age date, including material from several Iron Age pits which may have been



associated with the (undated) ring drip gully of a probable roundhouse in the Northern Area.

Roman pottery (App. B.8)

A.1.1 A large assemblage of 5,948 sherds (97,365g) of Roman pottery was recovered representing an estimated 700 vessels. The composition of the assemblage suggests that most of the pottery relates to activity from the mid/late 2nd century through to the 4th century AD. In general terms, the assemblage is typical of those from other rural domestic settlements in the region: it is dominated by coarseware jars, with local production centres (namely Horningsea and Nene Valley) well represented, but with regular access to goods from outside of the local area such as Hadham wares, Oxfordshire wares and samian. Nonetheless, it is notable that the proportion of finewares is somewhat higher, and the range of vessel s fabrics somewhat wider, than at other comparable sites. This may reflect the slightly elevated wealth/status of the site, or simply relate to the excellent access to contemporary trade networks afforded by the site's location immediately adjacent to the River Cam. That there is no evidence for Early Saxon pottery at the site indicates an abrupt end to occupation sometime in the later 4th or early 5th century AD, albeit that the ceramic evidence indicates that until this point, the site was flourishing.

Ceramic building material (App. B.9)

3.10.10 A total of 166 fragments (23,694g) of ceramic building material (CBM) was recovered from the site. The CBM was moderately to severely abraded (average weight 143g) and collected from disuse fills of ditches, middens and within construction layers or the subsoil. The assemblage was mostly concentrated in features dated to Roman phases (Phases 2.1 to 2.5), with a small fraction recovered from post-Roman features (Phase 3). The CBM assemblage comprised a variety of fabrics, forms and production techniques which points to many sources for the material. The material includes pieces with diagnostic features of Roman brick and tile, mostly consisting of fragments of *tegula* and *imbrex* but including small numbers of flue tiles, *pedalis* or *besalis* and a possible *lydion*. It is clear the Roman material derives from demolition and postdemolition processes and although at least some of it may have been originally used for the buildings in the immediate vicinity of the site it is not possible to make a direct, unequivocal, link between any of the CBM and the aisled building uncovered in the northern part of the site.

Fired clay (App. B.10)

3.10.11 A small assemblage of fired clay (247 fragments, 2673g) was recovered. The material was collected largely from Roman contexts, with a concentration in the northern part of the site. The majority of the material comprises 'structural' fragments, *i.e.* pieces with recognisable attributes (208 fragments, 2458g). Of the structural fraction, a portion consists of diagnostic objects (10, 2008g), namely kiln related objects including 33 fragments of kiln plate (743g). The thirty-two confidently identified kiln plate fragments (724g in total) were found scattered in low quantities across the northern area, from contexts belonging to Phases 2.1-3 and much of this is



likely to be residual – whilst attesting to the presence of an episode of pottery production somewhere on the site.

Plaster and mortar (App. B.11; App. B.12)

Small assemblages of painted wall plaster (42 pieces, 326g), undecorated 3.10.12 plaster (13, 55g) and unrefined plaster or mortar (8, 256g) were recovered from the site. The assemblage was collected from the Northern Area, almost exclusively from the fills of the post pipes of Building 815. A variety of colours were present on the painted plaster, but no patterns were discernible aside from a simple band on one fragment. The material was small and fragmented, without the largest mortar fragment (181g) the average weight was 7g. Portable X-Ray Fluorescence analysis of samples of the painted plaster identified a high calcium and strontium signal on all the painted layers, confirming the use of a lime whitewash onto which was then painted thin pigment colours applied to the plaster whilst it was still drying, in the style of a simple fresco. The simple (and probably unsophisticated) painted wall panels appear to have been painted using the colours white, red, pink, pink-orange and grey (or black). High sulphur levels associated with the paint almost certainly reflect the formation of gypsum within the painted limewash, perhaps on account of the use of egg tempera, but more likely as a result of post-depositional changes, or possibly contemporary air pollution associated with smoke and the use of the buildings during the Roman period.

Glass (App. B.13)

3.10.13 Eight small fragments of Roman vessel glass were recovered from Phase 2.4 and 2.5 contexts, including dark earth/midden deposit 430.

Worked bone (App. B.14)

3.10.14 Four worked bone objects were recovered. Two simple pointed bone spatulae made on the metacarpals of sheep/goat were found, alongside a bone pin of later Roman type and, most notably, a compete bone hinge (from Phase 2.5 layer 430) which has its best paralleled in Early Roman contexts, probably originally from a wooden cabinet or chest of some kind.

Slag (App. B.15)

3.10.15 A small assemblage of slag, consisting of 11 pieces weighing 440g, was recovered – all from ditch fills belonging to Period 2.3-2.5.

Coal and clinker (App. B.16)

3.10.16 Three small fragments of coal (1, <1g) and clinker (2, 2g) were recovered. These were found in Roman features and are likely to be intrusive.

Environmental evidence

3.10.17 Environmental evidence from the site is summarised in Table 28.



Category	Details	Report ref.
Human remains	Two adult male skeletons; remains from at least four perinatal/neonatal burials and one deposit of cremated bone.	Арр. С.1
Animal bone	7379g; 684 identifiable specimens	App. C.2
Environmental bulk samples	54 samples assessed, 19 subject to detailed analysis and quantification	App. C.3
Marine mollusca	1565g	App. C.4
Pollen samples	Three sub samples from sequence within Building 815	App. C.5

Table 28. Environmental summary

Human Remains (App. C.1)

3.10.18 A double burial containing two adult male inhumations (skeletons 401 and 402) was identified in the upper fills of enclosure ditch **399** (Phase 2.4). Four partial perinatal/neonate burials (deposits 334 and 668, Phase 2.4 and 814 and 844, Phase 2.5) were identified. An isolated, unurned cremation burial (**245**) has been radiocarbon dated to the Middle Bronze Age.

Faunal Remains

- 3.10.19 The faunal assemblage was of medium size, with 73.79kg of bone from handcollection and from environmental samples. The number of recordable fragments that could be assigned to a phase totalled 684, with 78 of those fragments retrieved from environmental samples. The species represented include cattle (*Bos taurus*), sheep/goat (*Ovis/Capra*), pig (*Sus scrofa*), horse (*Equus caballus*), dog (*Canis familiaris*), field vole (*Microtus agrestis*), red deer (*Cervus elaphus*), mouse (*Mus musculus*), rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*), shrew (*Sorex sp.*), cat (*Felis catus*) and also amphibian, fish, birds, small rodent and probable fox/dog.
- 3.10.20 Domestic mammals were the mainstay of the food economy, with cattle being the most well represented species. The dominance of cattle in the assemblage is typical for Roman settlement sites. Beef would have made up the most important part of the residents' diet. Sheep/goat would have been a secondary species for food, however, from the ageing data it can be concluded they were probably exploited primarily for secondary products in addition to providing a source of meat.

Environmental bulk samples

3.10.21 The results of assessment of approximately 54 samples taken during the excavations revealed a background scatter of charred plant remains from earlier phases of Roman activity and a marked increase in density during the later Roman period. Detailed analysis was focussed on samples from Building **815** and surrounding features in the northern area of the site. Extremely large quantities of fully-processed cereal grain, predominantly spelt wheat, were recovered from each of the post-pipes within the structural post holes of the building and there appeared to be very little chaff or weed seeds. There also appeared to be spatial variation between the assemblages from the post pipes which could possibly suggest the distribution of stored products within the building which may have been destroyed by fire. Samples



from a broadly contemporary clay-lined pit (**512**) also produced substantial assemblages of processed grain.

Marine Mollusca

3.10.22 A total of 1.565kg of shell or shell fragments were collected by hand from ditches, pits, post pipes and a midden deposit. The shells recovered are dominated by edible examples of oyster *Ostrea edulis*, from estuarine and shallow coastal waters.

Pollen samples

3.10.23 Three sub-samples from a 30cm long monolith sample collected from Test Pit J2, through surface layers inside the aisled building were processed for pollen. Unfortunately, none of the sub-samples contained sufficient pollen for confident interpretation. Rare palynomorphs were recorded from two of the deposits sampled and included occurrences of 'robust' pollen types such as dandelion-type (*Taraxacum*type) and grasses (*Poaceae*), including large grasses/cereal types and ribwort plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*). Rare tree pollen of alder (*Alnus*) and pine (*Pinus*) was present in one deposit which also contained non-pollen palynomorphs, of which several types of fungal spore were identified. The pollen grains, together with the fungal spores may be interpreted (with caution) to suggest an environment associated with possible grassy areas and disturbed soils. Cereal-type pollen may be indicative of arable agriculture in the vicinity of the aisled building or local cereal processing, or cerealtype pollen grains may have entered the building deposits, along with straw or animal dung.



4 **DISCUSSION**

4.1 Introduction

- 4.1.1 The evaluation and subsequent excavation at Old School Lane revealed part of what appears to have been a major, previously undocumented Roman rural settlement. As such, the site makes an important contribution to the increasingly rich regional record of Roman activity in the southern fenland/Cam valley (*e.g.* Smith *et al* 2016, 192-206; J. Evans *et al* 2013). Beyond this, certain aspects are of the site are of particular significance perhaps most notably the presence of the well-preserved remains of an aisled building, as well the site's distinctive location in relation to contemporary networks of communication and exchange.
- 4.1.2 The excavation and post-excavation programme has been guided throughout by a series of explicit regional and site specific research aims and objectives. The latest iteration of these aims is set out above in Section 2.1, and alongside familiar objectives concerned with matters of the site's economy and status, and its wider regional context in terms of processes of Romanisation and patterns of exchange and communication special emphasis was placed on investigating the use and history of the aisled building.
- 4.1.3 In order to address these research objectives, the discussion that follows has been organised into five main sections. The first of these sections provides a brief overview of the site sequence and an introduction to the form and character of the Roman settlement (4.2). The second section (4.3) is concerned explicitly with the aisled building and discusses and interprets the evidence for its construction, use and demolition/abandonment. Following this, wider aspects of the evidence from the Roman settlement are discussed, with sections dealing with the evidence for agriculture/economy (4.4), funerary activity/ritual (4.5) and communication/exchange (4.6).

4.2 Site sequence and overview

- 4.2.1 Whilst evidence for prehistoric activity at the site was sparse, the small assemblages of flintwork and prehistoric pottery provide a relatively full record of at least occasional small-scale occupation at the site from the Mesolithic through until the Late Iron Age. Perhaps most significant is the evidence for Middle Bronze Age activity, with the small assemblage of pottery and animal bone/antler from pit **931** possibly hinting at an episode of domestic activity on the site, and with the cremation burial (**245**) in the Southern Area representing broadly contemporary activity. Also probably representative of small-scale domestic settlement are the small number of potential Middle to Late Iron Age features.
- 4.2.2 There is very little evidence that this Late Iron Age activity represents a direct precursor of the Roman-British settlement; the Roman pottery provides very little evidence for activity preceding the mid-2nd century AD, with only a handful of sherds, (most occurring alongside later forms and hence clearly residual) which are clearly dated earlier than c. AD 150. The features attributed to the earliest phase of Roman activity (Phase 2.1) probably date no earlier than the mid-2nd century. Indeed,

V.1



notwithstanding the relatively undiagnostic character of most of the coarsewares, much of the pottery probably relates to activity that took place in the 3rd and 4th centuries AD (see App. B.8). This dating is supported by the coins recovered from the site (App. B.2), with the bulk of the 53 coins reflecting coin loss from the mid-3rd century through to the later 4th century. The more diagnostic metal small finds are also of 'later' Roman attribution (App. B.1). Although the pottery allows for the possibility of activity continuing into the 5th century, there is no clear evidence for any significant activity post-dating the later 4th century, aside from a single find - a diagnostically early Anglo-Saxon spear head (SF 204), recovered from the surface of the midden/dark earth deposits to the south of Building 815, which seems likely to represent a casual loss, or to attest to an interest in the presumably visible earthwork/ruined remains of the Roman settlement.

- 4.2.3 Within this broad time span, covering the mid/late 2nd century to the later 4th century, the ubiquity of poorly dated coarsewares and high levels of residuality of finds has often made it difficult to allocate precise dates to individual features and deposits. The phasing of the site has therefore relied predominantly on stratigraphic relationships between features.
- 4.2.4 The identification of five individual phases of development of the settlement (Phases 2.1-2.5) thus reflects the complex sequence of multiphase boundaries and enclosure systems encountered in the Northern Area. Inevitably, the attribution of certain elements of the site, especially discrete features, to specific phases is far from certain, and any account of the site development is thus inevitably partly speculative and interpretative in nature.
- 4.2.5 A further caveat concerning interpretation of the site relates to the necessarily partial exposure of the settlement afforded by the footprint of the development and the lack of any wider trenching, geophysical survey or cropmarks which may inform on the overall extent and layout of the site. The remains of the settlement clearly extended beyond the edge of excavation in virtually all directions; in particular the exposure of the ladder enclosures in the eastern part of the Southern Area was very partial, whilst the remains of the aisled building were only partially exposed in the north-eastern corner of the site and much of the core of the settlement may thus have lain to the east and north of the excavations. Some evidence for this is provided by records of stray finds of Roman date, including a 4th century coin and pottery, from the area immediately to the north-east and east of the excavations (on the other side of Upware Road) recorded in the CHER (see Section 1.3; Fig. 2).
- 4.2.6 The earliest phases of Romano-British activity, attributed to Phases 2.1 and 2.2, essentially comprise a series of boundary ditches in the Northern Area and a small group of discrete features predating the later ladder enclosure system in the Southern Area. With the exception of a pair of possible enclosures/compounds exposed on the northern edge of excavation (Enclosures 674 and 877), the ditches of Phases 2.1 and 2.2 seem to represent simple boundaries rather than forming parts of the kind of small sub-square/rectangular enclosures which characterised the later phases of the site's use. They were also associated with relatively small quantities of pottery compared to those of later phases (see pottery distribution plots, Fig. 18), and it is unclear to what extent they were associated with settlement/occupation as opposed to representing



paddocks and holdings on the periphery of any settlement, which the more complex arrangement of possible enclosure ditches on the northern edge of the excavation may suggest lay in this direction, further to the north.

- 4.2.7 Regardless of the character and function of the Phase 2.1 and 2.2 remains, a major reorganisation of the site appears to have taken place in Phase 2.3, with the establishment of the ladder enclosures and the first iteration of the complex sequence of conjoined enclosures in the Northern Area. Dating evidence, such as it is, suggests that these developments should probably be placed no earlier than the early 3rd century AD. As presented here, the distribution of the pottery within features attributed to Phase 2.3 appears to show a major distinction between the relatively large quantities of material recovered from the ladder enclosure in the south and much smaller quantities from the northern enclosures. However, this suggestion is probably somewhat misleading given that the ladder enclosures are likely to have remained in use into Phase 2.4 (if not into Phase 2.5), whilst the features belonging to this early phase of the northern enclosures were replaced over the course of the 3rd and 4th centuries (Phases 2.4 and 2.5). Some indication that the ladder enclosures did not see intensive use during the later phases of the site's use may, however, be implied by the dearth of coins (mostly later 3rd/4th century issues) recovered from the southern part of the excavation area (Fig. 19).
- 4.2.8 The precise relationship of the construction and use of Building 815 to the various phases of 3rd and 4th century activity in the Northern Area is difficult to establish with any certainty; the building's chronology and sequence is discussed in more detail below and, whilst its construction is here attributed to Phase 2.4, it remains possible that it was first established somewhat earlier, during Phase 2.3 and remained in use for an extended period of time over the 3rd and/or 4th centuries or instead belongs to a shorter period in the mid to late 4th century.
- 4.2.9 In contrast to the relatively small assemblages of pottery recovered from the Phase 2.1 and 2.2 ditches, both the ladder enclosures in the southern area and the various configurations of enclosures in the Northern Area were associated with relatively substantial quantities of pottery (Fig. 18), as well as faunal remains and other finds, and there can be little doubt that they were associated with fairly intensive occupation. Notwithstanding the partial exposure of the site, in general terms the arrangement of small conjoined ditched enclosures seems likely to have formed part of the kind of 'complex farmstead' which form a characteristic element of rural settlement in the area (see Smith 2016, 196-201), incorporating multiple conjoined enclosures variously representing building compounds, yards and paddocks. Elsewhere, farmsteads/settlement represented by such enclosure systems invariably incorporated and were structured by well-established trackways. No such routeways were identified within the excavated area here, but it seems plausible that the linear arrangement of the ladder enclosures in the Southern Area may have been laid out in relation to a north to south aligned routeway running somewhere to the east of the site and potentially broadly corresponding to the existing route of Upware Road.
- 4.2.10 Any discussion of the layout of the settlement must take account of its distinctive topographical location. Lying at the extreme southern tip of the north to south aligned 'promontory' of the Upware ridge, this was very much both a riverside and a fen edge



Cam.

Roman Settlement Remains South of Old School Lane, Upware, Cambridgeshire

location. Crudely, fenland deposits are estimated to have reached up to around the 2.5m contour in the first half of the 1st millennium AD (Waller 1994, 75) and with the site sitting between *c*. 3.7 and 2.4m OD, with the ground falling off fairly sharply to the south and west towards the River Cam, and to the east into the wetlands of the Wicken Basin, the site would have occupied a narrow strip of habitable land (certainly less than 200m wide) at the southern end of this promontory. This location has clear implications for the organisation of the settlement in terms of its agricultural holdings, which must have lain on the higher ground of the ridge to the north, but is also of major importance in terms of emphasising the importance of its relationship to the major contemporary transport and communication route represented by the River

4.2.11 In terms of the function of the individual enclosures, unequivocal evidence for buildings/structures was essentially limited to the remains of Building 815, but it seems possible that other structures based on shallow beam slots or other insubstantial footings were originally located within the excavated portion of the site, but have simply left little or no subsurface trace of their presence (*cf.* C. Evans *et al* 2013, 24). One exception to this may be the cluster of features exposed within Phase 2.5 Enclosure 399 (Fig. 13), including at least one probable post pad, which seem likely to represent the remains of features associated with a structure of some kind, an interpretation strengthened by their association with neonatal burials and a placed animal bone deposit which can be interpreted as the remains of foundation/closing deposits associated with a building (see below, Section 4.5). This said, it seems very likely that other elements of the enclosure systems formed open paddocks and yards given over to various activities associated with the agricultural and craft/industrial processes with, for example, grain processing of some kind probably represented by the clay-lined pit in Phase 2.5 Enclosure 526 (see below, Section 4.4).

4.3 Aisled Building

Introduction

4.3.1 Aisled buildings are a familiar, and relatively common, architectural element of Romano-British rural settlements in lowland Britain and the recent Rural Settlement of Roman Britain Project was able to identify at least 219 excavated examples. These were widely distributed across Southern Britain and were found on a wide range of rural settlements including villa complexes, farmsteads, 'villages' and roadside settlements (Smith et al 2016, 67, fig. 3.18). Whilst buildings belonging to this class of rectangular structure are united by their common architectural configuration (with parallel rows of substantial post settings defining a central nave, flanked by pair of narrower aisles) there is considerable variability between individual structures in terms of their size, the details of their construction and their probable uses/functions. Nonetheless, there is a general consensus that aisled buildings were used for a wide range of activities, and that many were multi-functional structures, with different areas within the structures playing host to domestic occupation, storage, industrial activities and agricultural processing (e.g. Hingley 1989, 39-45; Smith et al 2016, 67; Taylor 2013, 178).



- 4.3.2 At a national level, there is little real precedence for structures of this kind in the Late Iron Age and, although a few aisled buildings seem to have been constructed in the later 1st century AD, they seem to have become an important element of rural settlements from the early 2nd century, and continued to be constructed and used well into the late 4th century (see Smith *et al* 2016, 67, fig. 3.19). Although the variability exhibited by aisled buildings makes generalisation difficult, Jeremey Taylor's analysis of such structures in the East Midlands (Taylor 2001, 50-53, fig.14; 2013, 178-9) has identified a general chronological trend for increased architectural elaboration of aisled buildings over the course of the 3rd and 4th centuries, with multifunctional open spaces within buildings dating to the 2nd and 3rd centuries often later giving way to more formally partitioned structures, and with evidence for architectural elaboration and well-furnished domestic spaces (sometimes including mortared stone walling, tessellated flooring and hypocausts).
- 4.3.3 In the regional context of the Cambridgeshire fenland and adjacent areas, welldocumented aisled buildings are best known from the excavations of enclosed, often relatively complex, Romano-British farmsteads, including those at Orton Hall Farm, Orton Longueville (Mackreth 1996; Barns 1-4); Langdale Hale, Earith (C. Evans et al 2013; Structure 6); North Stud, Woodditton (Mustchin et al 2016; Buildings 1 and 3); Rectory Farm, Godmanchester (Lyons 2019; Buildings 1, 3 and 4) and Vicar's Farm, Cambridge (Evans and Lucas 2020). The evidence from these sites, and the various interpretations of their architectural character and functions, provides a robust body of comparative evidence with which to interrogate the evidence for the construction and use of the building investigated at Upware. Whilst interpretation of the structure is hampered by its partial exposure within the excavation area, its significance and research potential is heightened by its relatively good preservation, both in terms of the survival of its stone footings and, perhaps more importantly, by the recovery of substantial assemblages of finds and charred plant remains from a series of deposits within and immediately adjacent to the building, thus potentially providing evidence directly relating to its construction, use and function. The sequence of the building and these associated deposits has been fully outlined above (and see Fig. 11), but given the importance of some of these deposits, specifically associated with the final use and disuse of the building, their finds and environmental remains have been subject to particularly close scrutiny. Summary information on selected finds and the charred plant assemblages from four major groups of Phase 2.5 deposits associated with the final use and disuse of the structure is provided here in Table 29.



Feature/deposit group	Pottery	Plaster/ mortar	СВМ	Fired clay	Roof slate	Nails (fe)	Coins	Other small finds	Charred plant remains
Internal layer (807 <i>etc</i> .)	218 (5255g)		6 (1912)	6 (193g) including three plate frags			1 (221 AD; SF 91)	Pb x 1 (unid.)	Poorly preserved grain (assessment only)
Post pipe fills	64 (971g)	59 (615g)	2 (105g)	14 (366g) including plate or pedestal		15		2 x CuA - finger ring and pin; 2 x Fe (unid.)	Very abundant fully processed grain
Test Pit Area 2 - 'dark earth/midden'	1098 (20,351g)		50 (8897g)	28 (629g) including pedestal or plate fragment	11 (1486g)	8	5 (SFs 124, 125, 128, 129, 130)	Fe; spearhead, 2x unid. CuA, 1x tweezers; Pb 2x unid.	Moderate grain, some chaff and weed seeds (assessment only)
Ditch 756	143 (3071g)	1 (8g)	6 (398g)	1 (25)	1 (133g)	8	3 (SFs 133, 139, 144)	Fe x2 (unid.) Pb x2 (unid.)	Very abundant fully processed grain

Table 29. Summary of selected finds and environmental evidence from key contexts
associated with Building 815

Building history, from construction to abandonment

- 4.3.4 The basic ground plan of Building 815 is shown alongside a selection of comparable structures from the region in Fig. 20. Given the partial exposure of the building, the length of the structure remains unknown, but as exploratory test pitting has demonstrated that the western stone footing continued for at least another 3m to the north of the edge of excavation, it seems likely that it had at least one further set of paired posts (giving at least three bays) and may have been significantly longer. The dimensions of the structure in terms of the width of the nave and aisles and the spacing of the posts are closely comparable to those from other structures of this kind, all of which relate closely to the constraints imposed by the size of roofing timbers available and the design of the framing of the roof structure (see Mackreth 1996, 67-70).
- 4.3.5 The scale and dimensions of the wall footing are also comparable to excavated examples from elsewhere in the region, with the use of undressed pitched limestone fragments and some more carefully laid stone pitched in a herringbone fashion and with mortaring of parts of the lower courses (cf. Wild 1974, 159; Mackreth 1996). Made almost entirely of local, field-collected, limestone the presence of wall footings here should probably be seen as a consequence of a ready supply of building stone, as opposed to indicating any elevated status of the building. Although Mackreth has suggested that some of the aisled structures excavated at Orton Hall Farm may have had fully masonry-built walls (Mackreth 1996, 68-9), such footings are more generally interpreted as providing support for a timber framed superstructure. Although limestone fragments, presumably derived from the wall footings, were present in the midden-like/dark earth deposits investigated in Test Pit Area 2, immediately to the south of the structure, there were insufficient quantities to suggest the demolition of walls of any great height, whilst there was no indication of any collapsed/eroded portion of upper courses of the wall within or adjacent to the footings, and a timber



superstructure set on low stone footings remains the authors' preferred interpretation.

- 4.3.6 Any reconstruction of the superstructure of the building is inevitably somewhat speculative, but such structures are generally envisaged as having a fairly steeply pitched roof over the nave of the building, supported on the substantial internal post settings, flanked by a pair of lower, and less steeply pitched, roofs over each aisle. Some, slight, evidence for building and roofing materials comes from the Phase 2.5 deposits potentially contemporary with the final use and demolition/destruction of the building (see Table 29). This includes the relatively large number of iron nails presumably derived from the timber framing of the structure - and the small quantities of painted wall plaster and mortar recovered from the fills of the structure's post pipes and from the finds-rich dumps found in the upper profile of ditch 754, immediately to the west of the structure. Evidence for roofing material is ambiguous: quantities of tegulae and imbrex were recovered amongst the c. 9kg of CBM recovered from midden/dark earth 430, as well as very small quantities of roofing slate (Collyweston slate), albeit that this material was generally in a very fragmentary/abraded condition. Given that it is far from certain that the material from these deposits derived exclusively from activity associated with the aisled building, as opposed to representing a more general area of maddening/deposition, none of this material need necessarily have derived from Building 815.
- 4.3.7 Dating evidence for the construction of the building was scarce. Nine sherds of pottery were found incorporated into the fabric of the wall itself, including one small sherd of an Alice Holt Farnham coarseware vessel dated to *c*. AD 200-400. The very small assemblage of pottery (36 sherds) from the packing fills of the post holes also included material post-dating the 2nd century AD, including a sherd of Hadham reduced ware and a sherd from an imported Moselkeramik black-slipped ware beaker dated to c. AD 180-250, whilst the pottery derived from the original floor/levelling layer in the interior of the building (522) consisted of a small quantity of undiagnostic coarse wares. This suggests a construction date no earlier than the early 3rd century AD, and whilst imprecise, this is important this is important in terms of demonstrating that the building does not belong to the relatively early, 2nd century, group of aisled structures from sites in the region such as Langdale Hale (Structure 6) and Vicars Farm (see C. Evans *et al* 2013, 76).
- 4.3.8 The most secure contexts associated with the end of the use of the building are internal layer 807 (which seems to have accumulated during the use of the building when the internal posts were still upstanding) and the deposits infilling the postpipes (closely associated with the building's destruction/demolition); the pottery from these contexts is dominated by poorly dated coarseware but includes small quantities of material dated to the 3rd and 4th centuries, including sherds of Oxfordshire red-slipped ware dated to *c*. AD 240-400. More usefully, the radiocarbon date acquired on charred grain from postpipe **774** suggests that these deposits are likely to date to the mid to late 4th century, providing a date of cal AD 325-416 at 75.5% confidence. Consistent with this, a single early 3rd century coin from layer 807 (SF 91) provides a *terminus post quem* for the later use of the structure. Both the finds rich dumps from ditch **754** to the west for the structure and the midden dark/earth of Test Pit Area 2

also provided plentiful dateable finds, although their direct association with the building is less certain than is the case for the internal layers and post setting of the structure itself. These deposits produced a series of mid/later 4th century coins (see Table 29 and App B.2) and the large pottery assemblage from deposit 430 clearly largely dates to the 4th century (see App. B.8).

- 4.3.9 Against all of this evidence for a mid to late 4th century date for the final use and destruction of the building is the single aberrant radiocarbon date on a neonate burial interred into a small pit/scoop (**813**) cut into layer 807. Providing a date range of cal AD 5-130 at 92% probability, this date is clearly unacceptably early, not only for the final phases of the building's use, but also considerably predating the earliest date at which the building is likely to have been constructed. Given the weight of other dating evidence for this phase of the building (and in consideration of the fact that it is it very unlikely that the sample could derive from curated 'ancestral' human remains), the authors have chosen, not without some reservations, to regard this date as anomalous.
- 4.3.10 Leaving this issue aside, it seems certain that use of the aisled building continued into use into the later part of the 4th century. The longevity of the structure can only be guessed at. It is possible that the entre sequence spanned a relatively short period during the 4th century, but a more extended history is perhaps more likely given that that individual aisled buildings at Orton Hall Farm have been shown to have remained in use for over 200 years (Mackreth 1996), and that similarly extended use-lives of well over a century can be inferred for other structures in the region (C. Evans *et al* 2013, 75-6).
- 4.3.11 On the basis of the very large quantities of charred grain from the fills of the postpipes associated with the building, it is thought probable that its abandonment/disuse was occasioned by a major structural fire, with burnt debris being incorporated into the postpipe fills following the removal of the remains of the posts during the demolition of the upstanding, fire-gutted, elements of the building. A very similar sequence of deposits was found associated with a rectilinear post-built structure (probably an aisled building) at Great Holt Farm Essex, where analogous fills very rich in charred grain were found within what were interpreted as 'extraction cuts' dug into the post settings to remove the remnants of the charred upright timber posts from the structure following a catastrophic fire (Germany 2003). Experimental archaeological studies have shown that fires in largely timber-built structures (especially those with organic roofing material) develop in a very different way to that of modern, masonrybuilt structures, and that, whilst very destructive, they are concentrated in the roof space and are 'characterised by the survival of charred roofing elements..[and]...frequent survival of upstanding walls' (Harrison 2012, 123). This kind of scenario, with the upstanding heavily charred posts of the building surviving a conflagration, and with little evidence for direct heating/burning of the masonry footings, would be consistent with the character and sequence of the remains associated with the Upware building.



Function and use

- 4.3.12 Interpretation of the function of the building rests essentially on the character of the finds assemblages and environmental evidence recovered from the deposits associated with its final use and abandonment/demolition (Table 29). Despite the small size of the assemblage, the recovery of painted wall plaster from the fills of the structure's post pipes (and from adjacent ditch **756**) is of major significance in providing evidence for domestic use of the structure. This plaster appears to have been simply decorated using a restricted range of pigments (see App. B.12) and need not imply an especially high status, but its presence clearly indicates that a part of the structure had been furnished for domestic use at some point in its use-life (see Smith *et al* 2016, 56 and *passim*). Domestic activity may also be implied by the recovery of what could be described as 'personal' items of metalwork, including two finger rings and a copper alloy pin from the post pipe fills.
- 4.3.13 Aside from these hints of domestic activity, the character of the finds and environmental evidence suggest that a major function of the building was storage, perhaps particularly for grain. This is of course most clearly evinced by the massive assemblages of charred grain from the postpipe fills (Fig. 11b). Fosberry's analysis of this material (App. C.3) makes it clear that it represents fully processed (albeit insect damaged) grain, with no evidence for crop processing taking place inside the structure, and her preferred interpretation is that they represent the remains of accidentally burnt stocks of stored grain, perhaps ultimately destined for export as well as local/on-site consumption.
- 4.3.14 An emphasis on the storage of agricultural goods/consumables is also indicated by Brudenell's analysis of the vessel forms associated with the building – with the pottery from the deposits summarised in Table 29 including a disproportionally high proportion of large Horningsea storage jars (App. B.8). Much of this material derived from the very large assemblage of pottery from midden-like deposit 430, and thus may not necessarily be directly associated with the building. However, the same pattern applies to the smaller amounts of material recovered from the internal surface of the building and the post pipe fills, including two very large sherds (629g) recovered from the surface of internal layer 807. The nature of the products stored in such Horningsea storage jars, which include very large vessels sometimes measuring up to 0.6m in height, has not yet been established (see J. Evans 2013, 57-59) and whilst it is possible they were used to store grain (see Fosberry, App. C.3) it seems equally likely that they held other, processed, food stuffs (such as flour) or liquids.
- 4.3.15 Given that researchers have suggested that many aisled buildings were internally partitioned, multifunctional structures (see above, summarised by Smith *et al* 2016, 67), it seems entirely possible that the Upware building was made up of separate rooms/bays including a domestic space and storage facilities perhaps even a dedicated granary. Equally, however, the use of the structure may have changed over time, perhaps with an initial domestic function later giving way to storage. Ultimately the evidence from the site cannot distinguish between these possibilities, but a chronological change in the use of the building would resonate with the sequence of aisled buildings from sites in the region including Rectory Farm, Godmanchester,



where buildings probably originally including domestic spaces appear later to have been used for grain drying and processing (Lyons 2019).

4.4 Economy, agriculture, and the wider landscape

with Hayley Foster

- 4.4.1 With abundant evidence for agrarian production and for animal husbandry, there can be little doubt of the essentially agricultural nature of the settlement at Upware. This is reinforced by the relative lack of evidence for other industrial/craft-type activities; small quantities of slag may hint at some small scale metalworking, while the recovery of fragments of fired clay kiln plates attests to on-site or nearby pottery production. However, there is no indication that such activities were undertaken at any scale, at least in the part of the site exposed during the excavation.
- 4.4.2 Evidence for livestock husbandry associated with the Roman settlement comes from the relatively substantial assemblage (NISP=642) of faunal remains from Phase 2.1-2.5 contexts (App. C.2). Over 70% of these derived from Phase 2.4 and 2.5 contexts, with almost a third of the assemblage deriving from deposits closely associated with the disuse/abandonment of Building 815 (see Table 29). Much of this evidence thus relates to the later phases of Roman activity in the later 3rd and 4th centuries.
- 4.4.3 In the context of Martyn Allen's recent review of faunal assemblages from rural settlements in the fens and adjacent areas (Allen 2017, 94-5), the representation of the main species of domestic stock seems entirely typical of mid to late Roman sites in this region, with cattle accounting for close to or over 50% of the material from each phase, followed by sheep/goat at between 10-30% of NISP. Other species including horse and dog are present in smaller numbers, whilst bird bones include those of domestic fowl. The, at least occasional, exploitation of the wetlands/river adjacent to the site is implied by the presence of small numbers of fish bone, including eel.
- 4.4.4 Age and sex data are consistent with the breeding of stock on site and suggest that cattle were likely to have been exploited for meat, dairying and traction, and that sheep were kept for meat and wool. In light of the site's location in terms of patterns of interregional trade and exchange along the waterways of the southern fens (see below, Section 4.7), it is important to consider any evidence that surplus meat or livestock may have been exported from the site. This issue can be explored to some extent by analysis of body representation – with a low representation of meat-bearing elements compared to axial/cranial elements potentially indicating the export of meaty joints. In lieu of any detailed regional-scale analyses of these patterns, a provisional comparison is made here between the cattle bone from Phase 2.5 contexts at Upware with broadly contemporaneous assemblages from 4th century contexts from two sites at Colne Fen, Earith (Table 30). Significantly, one of the Colne Fen sites - the major inland port/village at the Camp Ground – has been previously argued to have been a major exporter of meat, based largely on the low proportion of meatbearing bones in the assemblage (Higbee 2013, 375; Evans et al 2013, 430). The quantified comparisons of these sites in Table 30 provides support for the idea that the Camp Ground saw large scale export of meaty joints/dressed carcasses - with a very high portion of 'waste' cranial elements and extremities - and it is notable that

such elements are also better represented in the assemblage from the farmstead at Langdale Hale than at Upware. Whilst such patterns are deserving of more sustained and systematic treatment at a regional scale, on this basis it seems that there is little evidence for the transport of meat from Upware – although of course this does not preclude the possibility that some livestock left the site 'on the hoof'.

	Camp Ground Phase IV	Langdale Hale Phase III	Upware Phase 2.5	
Cattle bone NISP	351	171	178	
% cranial and extremities	96.2%	71.4%	63.4%	

Table 30. The percentage of cranial elements and extremities in the cattle bone assemblagesfrom 4th century phases at Upware, Langdale Hale and the Camp Ground.

(Figures for Langdale Hale and the Camp Ground have been calculated by HF based on data from Higbee 2013, tables 2.25 and 4.26)

- Evidence for agrarian production also derived largely from the later phases of the site's 4.4.5 use, notably in the form of the very rich assemblages of charred grain from the aisled building and from the clay-lined pit (512) exposed within Enclosure 526 (Phase 2.5). Although the composition of the charred grain assemblages from within the aisled building showed some variation (App. C.3; Fig. 11b) they were all heavily dominated by wheat grain and, although diagnostic chaff elements were rare, those that were present strongly suggest that the crop was overwhelmingly dominated by spelt wheat, with a minor element of emmer wheat and barley. The samples taken from clay-lined pit **512**, did, however, produce one assemblage that was heavily dominated by barley. Based on its morphology, the clay-lined pit itself was initially interpreted as a corndryer, but Fosberry (App. C.3) notes both the lack of *in situ* heating and some evidence from the samples (in the form of oosphores found in freshwater habitats) that it may have held standing water, suggesting that it is perhaps more likely to have been used as a steeping tank for grain malting. Further evidence of crop processing/consumption is provided by finds of quernstone (App. B.5) - most notably the large fragment of what was probably a small millstone from Phase 2.4 pit/postpad 663.
- 4.4.6 In combination, the economic evidence from the site suggests an emphasis on cattle husbandry coupled with arable farming concentrating on the cultivation of spelt wheat. This is entirely consistent with the results of recent work which has suggested that the wider region of the southern and western fens and adjacent areas saw the development of economic strategies specifically geared towards the production of large surpluses of spelt wheat through the extensification of arable agriculture during the mid-late Roman period (Allen and Lodwick 2017). These strategies appear to have relied heavily on animal-drawn tillage (*ibid.*), and some indication of the importance of cattle for traction at Upware is implied by the age distribution of the faunal remains (see Foster, App. C.2).
- 4.4.7 As noted above, any agricultural holdings associated with the settlement must have lain to the north, on the higher ground of the Upware 'promontory', and it must be assumed that exploitation of these relatively restricted areas of dryland in the


immediate environs of the site were intensively exploited. Evidence for other foci of Roman settlement is known from elsewhere on the promontory, to the north of the site, where a string of sites lying close to the floodplain of the River Cam were identified during the Fenland Survey (Hall 1996, 76-9, fig. 37). None of these sites have seen excavation and most are attested only by scatters of pottery and occasional metal finds, but one site is associated with the surviving earthworks of paddocks and enclosures, and another with the cropmarks of double ditched rectangular enclosure (Fenland Survey Sites 8 and 9) – these probably represent significant settlements/farmsteads equivalent to that at Old School Lane.

4.5 Funerary activity and ritual

- 4.5.1 Any formal cemetery associated with settlement must have lain beyond the area of excavation, and evidence for funerary/ritual activity was restricted to isolated burials, mostly of peri/neonatal individuals (App. C.1).
- 4.5.2 The only adult remains were the two skeletons (both adult males) recovered from ditch 399 (Phase 2.4). Although isolated inhumation burials are a relatively common feature of Roman rural settlements in the region (*e.g.* Dodwell 2013), it is difficult to parallel this kind of double inhumation, which seems likely to have occurred as a single interment (although this is impossible to determine unequivocally).
- 4.5.3 In contrast, the character and location of the remains of at least four peri-neonatal burials found across the site are much more in keeping with patterns of infant burial in Romano-British settlement contexts. Three of these burials were associated with the cluster of features found within Phase 2.4 Enclosure 399 (Fig. 13), and, notwithstanding the seemingly aberrant radiocarbon determination discussed above, the fourth was found in a small scoop (**813**) cut into the later internal layer of the aisled building (Phase 2.5). Another internment in the area of the aisled building might be represented by a disarticulated neonatal bone from the fill of postpipe **843**. What is significant here is the close association of these burials with buildings/structures, most obviously in the case of the material associated with the aisled building, but also in respect to those from Enclosure 399: one of the features immediately adjacent to the burials appears to have represented a substantial post pad (**663**) and it is very possible that a structure, albeit one leaving few subsurface traces, was located in this area.
- 4.5.4 A strong association between neonatal/infant burials and domestic structures has been widely documented across Roman Britain (Moore 2009) and is well-attested locally with, for example, Roman structures at Stonea and the Camp Ground being associated with infant burials (Jackson and Potter 1996, 191; Evans *et al* 2013, 230-2). This recurrent association between buildings and infant burials has been suggested to relate to specific rituals associated with the foundation and closure of individual buildings (Moore 2009), and in this context the deposit of articulated calf remains recovered from the post pad associated with the burials in Enclosure 399 seems likely to represent a similar ritual/votive deposit, but here utilising a young animal.

4.6 Communication and exchange

4.6.1 The topographical location of the site, at the southern tip of the Upware 'promontory', hard up against the fen edge and within a matter of a hundred metres from the course



of the River Cam, is significant in terms of understanding the site's place in contemporary exchange and communication networks. Notwithstanding that elements of the Roman settlement are likely to have extended further north onto the higher ground of ridge, the presence of a dense network of enclosures here must reflect on the importance of access and proximity to the low lying ground of the fen/river floodplain. There is no evidence that this choice of location was dictated by the intensive exploitation of wetland/riverine resources (*i.e.* fishing, fowling *etc.*) and instead it seems very clear that it can only have related to access to the major transport/communication artery represented by the River Cam and its associated contemporary watercourses. The importance of waterborne transport for Romano-British communities in the southern fenland has long been recognised and has seen considerable attention in recent years, most notably in the context of the investigation of the production and distribution of Horningsea Ware in the region and in relation to the excavation of the huge 'village-port' at the Camp Ground, Earith (J. Evans *et al* 2017; C. Evans *et al* 2013; see also Smith 2016, 192-207).

- 4.6.2 A simplified location map of the site in the context of the contemporary fen edge and major transport communication routes is provided here as Fig. 21. Notwithstanding the importance of the road network, represented locally by Akeman Street, the critical role of the navigable rivers in the region in terms of the transport of goods is made clear by the extensive Romano-British 'settlement/industrial enclave' at Waterbeach/Horningsea, laying astride the River Cam around and upstream of its junction with the Old Tillage (formerly known as the Cambridgeshire Car Dyke) the artificial Roman watercourse linking the Cam to the Old West River and ultimately to the Lower Ouse to the west.
- 4.6.3 Aside from the extraordinary complexes of cropmarks attesting to intensive settlement of this riverine corridor (see J. Evans *et al* 2017, fig. 3.1), small scale excavations at the junction of the Cam and Old Tillage have revealed evidence for large structures probably representing wharfside warehouses for storing grain and other goods destined for transhipment along the watercourses (Macaulay 1997; J. Evans *et al* 2017, 25-31). Other settlements in the region were also closely tied to this system of navigable waterways, most obviously the Roman town at Cambridge (*Duroliponte*), but including a series of other major sites including the possible small town located further north on the Cam at Camel Road, Littleport (Macaulay 2002; J. Evans *et al* 2017, 21), the extensive settlement complex astride the Old Tillage at Bullocks Haste, Cottenham (Phillips 1970, 124) and, further west still, the 'inland port' at Colne Fen (C. Evans *et al* 2013). More locally, this relationship can be seen in the distribution of settlements on the Upware promontory itself where, as noted above, known sites lie very close to the contemporary floodplain/fen edge (Hall 1996, fig. 37).
- 4.6.4 The extent to which the movement of goods along these transport links may have been influenced or controlled by the Roman state remains a matter of debate and, although the traditional model of much of the fenland lying with an extensive Imperial Estate has been subject to sustained critique in recent years (see J. Evans *et al* 2017, 126-128 for a recent review), it seems likely that there was at least some degree of state involvement in the production and procurement of agricultural products from the



region for the provision of the Roman military (C. Evans *et al* 2013, 451; J. Evans *et al* 2017, 50; Allen and Lodwick 2017, 153-4).

- 4.6.5 Aside from indicating the site's close relationship to these important regional networks, its specific location also warrants some discussion on the origin of the artificial watercourse/drain of Reach Lode, which in its modern form joins the River Cam immediately to the south of the site and runs south-eastwards across drained fenland to the chalk escarpment at Reach (see Fig. 21). Reach Lode is the northern most of the well-known Cambridgeshire Lodes which cross this part of the southern fens, the origins of which have been the subject of long-standing debate. The present course of Reach Lode, probably cut in the mid-18th century, appears to follow a very similar course to an earlier iteration of the channel, Fowler's 'Old Reach Lode' (Fowler 1932, 113-4) and, from the early 20th century, a Roman date was assumed for its construction on the basis of the collection of Roman pottery from along its course (McKenney Hughes 1913, 142-3; Fox 1923, 180-81).
- 4.6.6 In recent years, however, there has been a decisive shift in opinion towards a later date for the origin of the lodes. David Hall (1996) has noted that the unstratified pottery recovered from along the banks of the Reach Lode may simply represent residual material and he has also pointed to the lack of evidence for Roman settlement/activity at their landward ends, concluding that a Late Saxon or early medieval date is more likely on the basis of their known use during the medieval period and their close relationships to the medieval fen edge villages, an interpretation subsequently followed and reiterated by Susan Oosthuizen (2000, 2017). In this context, the results of the excavation described here are of some importance. The discovery of a substantial Roman settlement at the north-east end of Reach Lode, immediately adjacent to its junction with the River Cam, at least partially redresses the lack of evidence for Roman activity associated with the lodes which formed one of Hall's major objections to a Roman date. This should encourage a reappraisal of the possibility of a Roman origin for this watercourse, which could have provided a link between the Cam Valley and the south-eastern fen edge, where Roman settlements relatively close to its landfall include the villa complex north of Reach Bridge, Swaffham Prior (Atkinson 1893; Malim 2006).
- 4.6.7 Regardless of the status of Reach Lode, tangible evidence for the site's relationship to the networks of exchange within the region is provided most clearly by the pottery assemblage (App. B.8). Locally/regionally produced pottery imported to the site is well-represented, most notably in the form of Horningsea Ware, shell-tempered coarse wares and Nene Valley colour-coated vessels, whilst a range of finewares deriving from outside of the region are also present. Whilst compared to other contemporary rural settlements, the composition of the assemblage is by no means exceptional, the diversity of fabrics and the slightly elevated proportion of finewares provide at least some hint that the site may have occupied something of a privileged place within regional exchange networks during the 3rd and 4th centuries. Given the emphasis researchers have placed on the importance of the transhipment of agricultural produce on the regional river/canal network (see above), and the evidence of large-scale cereal production/storage at the site, it seems likely that it was largely



the production of surplus grain that tied the Upware settlement into this network of exchange and transportation.

4.7 Significance

- 4.7.1 The excavations are of considerable regional and local significance in having uncovered part of major rural Roman settlement occupied from the early/md 2nd century AD into the later 4th century AD. The most significant element of the site was the well-preserved remains of an aisled building, which appears to have been used both for domestic habitation and for the storage of grain and perhaps other commodities.
- 4.7.2 The location of the site, strategically positioned close to the course of the River Cam, provides additional evidence for the importance of exchange and transport links along the navigable waterways of the fenlands in this period, and the excavation represents the first intrusive investigation of a Roman site on the Upware promontory that commands this stretch of the river. Given that the settlement clearly extended further to the east and north of the site, there is clear potential for further work in the area to provide more information on the scale, layout and character of the site. Whilst the survival of such remains is likely to have been impacted in places by the construction of residential properties along part of the modern road frontages (see Fig. 1), this provides an opportunity for further research/community-driven work and highlights the potential for further development within the village to encounter significant remains.



APPENDIX A CONTEXT INVENTORY

Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
1		layer	topsoil						
3		layer	Natural						
4	4	cut	ditch	2.4		528		1.52	0.3
5	4	fill	ditch	2.4		528			
6	6	cut	ditch	2.1		317		1.4	0.6
7	6	fill	ditch	2.1		317			
8	6	fill	ditch	2.1		317			
9	9	cut	ditch	3		297		0.5	0.13
10	10	fill	ditch	3		297			
11	11	cut	ditch	2.3		286			
12	11	fill	ditch	2.3		286			
13	11	fill	ditch	2.3		286			
14	14	cut	gully	2.3		53		0.45	0.12
15	14	fill	gully	2.3		53			
16	16	cut	ditch	2.3		53		0.8	0.25
17	16	fill	ditch	2.3		53			
18	18	cut	gully	2.3		171		0.55	0.12
19	18	fill	gully	2.3		171			
20	20	cut	ditch	2.5		526		1.35	0.48
21	20	fill	ditch	2.5		526			
22	22	cut	ditch	2.1		639		1.28	0.68
23	22	fill	ditch	2.1		639			
24	22	fill	ditch	2.1		639			
25	25	cut	ditch	2.4		399			
26	25	fill	ditch	2.4		399			
27	25	fill	ditch	2.4		399			
28	25	fill	ditch	2.4		399			
29	29	cut	ditch	2.1		752		1.16	0.24
30	29	fill	ditch	2.1		752		1.16	0.24
31	31	cut	ditch	2.1		877		0.86	0.36
32	31	fill	ditch	2.1		877		0.86	0.36
33	33	cut	pit	0		0		1.3	0.18
34	33	fill	pit	0		0			
35	35	cut	ditch	2.3		286		1	3
36	35	fill	ditch	2.3		286			
37	35	fill	ditch	2.3		286			
38	38	cut	ditch	2.4		282		0.88	0.4
39	38	fill	ditch	2.4		282			
40	38	fill	ditch	2.4		282			
41	41	cut	ditch	2.4		282		0.6	0.16
42	41	fill	ditch	2.4		282			
43	43	cut	ditch	2.1		59		1.6	0.52
44	43	fill	ditch	2.1		59			
45	43	fill	ditch	2.1		59			
46	46	cut	ditch	2.2		69		1.08	0.62
47	46	fill	ditch	2.2		69			
48	46	fill	ditch	2.2		69			



Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
49	46	fill	ditch	2.2		69			
50		layer	topsoil	0		0			0.25
51		layer	Subsoil	0		0			0.28
52		layer	Natural	0		0			
53	53	cut	ditch	2.3		53		0.5	0.28
54	53	fill	ditch	2.3		53		0.5	0.1
55	53	fill	ditch	2.3		53		0.45	0.24
56	53	fill	ditch	2.3		53		0.06	0.08
59	59	cut	ditch	2.1		59		2.2	0.46
60	59	fill	ditch	2.1		59	0	1.64	0.12
61	61	cut	pit	2.3		0	0.71	0.9	0.2
62	61	fill	pit	2.3		0	0	0.7	0.2
63	61	fill	pit	2.3		0	0	0.2	0.2
64	59	fill	ditch	2.1		59	0	1.28	0.1
65	59	fill	ditch	2.1		59	0	1.7	0.18
66	59	fill	ditch	2.1		59	0	2.1	0.32
67	67	cut	ditch	3		67	0	0.55	0.2
68	67	fill	ditch	3		67	0	0.55	0.2
69	69	cut	ditch	2.2		69	0	0.8	0.42
70	69	fill	ditch	2.2		69	0	0.8	0.42
71	71	cut	ditch	3		67	0	0.5	0.1
72	71	fill	ditch	3		67	0	0.5	0.1
73	73	cut	post hole	2.3		0	0.33	0.26	0.18
74	73	fill	post hole	2.3		0	0.33	0.26	0.18
75	75	cut	ditch	2.3		53	0	0.3	0.08
76	75	fill	ditch	2.3		53	0	0.3	0.08
77	77	cut	pit	2.3		0	1.88	0.78	0.33
78	77	fill	pit	2.3		0	1.88	0.78	0.33
79	79	cut	ditch	2.3		79	0	1.8	0.38
80	79	fill	ditch	2.3		79	0	1.8	0.24
81	79	fill	ditch	2.3		79	0	0.68	0.07
82	82	cut	ditch	2.3		82	0	1.24	0.61
83	82	fill	ditch	2.3		82	0	0.74	0.21
84	82	fill	ditch	2.3		82	0	0.78	0.14
85	85	cut	ditch	2.3		53	0	0.36	0.11
86	85	fill	ditch	2.3		53	0	0.36	0.11
87	87	cut	ditch	2.3		53	0	0.42	0.23
88	87	fill	ditch	2.3		53	0	0.42	0.22
89	87	fill	ditch	2.3		53	0	0.05	0.08
90	79	fill	ditch	2.3		79	0	0.47	0.1
91	82	fill	ditch	2.3		82	0	0.47	0.08
92	92	cut	pit	2.4		0	0.9	0.9	0.18
93	92	fill	pit	2.4		0	0	0.68	0.06
94	92	fill	pit	2.4		0	0.9	0.9	0.16
95	95	cut	pit	2.1		0	0.36	0.3	0.15
96	95	fill	pit	2.1		0	0.36	0.3	0.15
97	97	cut	pit	2.1		0	0.34	0.32	0.07
98	97	fill	pit	2.1		0	0.34	0.32	0.07
99	99	cut	ditch	2.3		99	0	1	0.38
100	99	fill	ditch	2.3		99	0	1	0.38



V.1

Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
101	101	cut	ditch	2.3		82	0	0.76	0.26
102	101	fill	ditch	2.3		82	0	0.2	0.26
103	101	fill	ditch	2.3		82	0	0.64	0.24
104	104	cut	ditch	2.3		79	0	1.22	0.18
105	104	fill	ditch	2.3		79	0	1.22	0.18
106	104	fill	ditch	2.3		79	0	0.72	0.17
107	107	cut	ditch	3		67	0		
108	107	fill	ditch	3		67	0	0.28	0.2
109	109	cut	post hole	2.4		0	0.22	0.23	0.14
110	109	fill	post hole	2.4		0	0.22	0.23	0.14
111	111	cut	post hole	2.4		0	0.15	0.18	0.12
112	111	fill	post hole	2.4		0	0.15	0.18	0.12
113	113	cut	post hole	2.4		0	0.23	0.26	0.25
114	113	fill	post hole	2.4		0	0.23	0.26	0.25
115	115	cut	pit	2.4		0	0.28	0.28	0.13
116	115	fill	pit	2.4		0	0.28	0.28	0.13
117	117	cut	post hole	2.4		0	0.2	0.24	0.08
118	117	fill	post hole	2.4		0	0.2	0.24	0.08
119	119	cut	post hole	2.4		0	0.23	0.24	0.26
120	119	fill	post hole	2.4		0	0.23	0.24	0.26
121	121	cut	ditch	2.4		121	0	0.5	0.26
122	121	fill	ditch	2.4		121	0	0.09	0.2
123	121	fill	ditch	2.4		121	0	0.41	0.2
124	124	cut	ditch	2.3		79	0	0.23	0.2
125	124	fill	ditch	2.3		79	0	0.23	0.2
126	126	cut	ditch	2.3		99	0	0.6	0.16
127	126	fill	ditch	2.3		99	0	0.6	0.16
128	128	cut	ditch	2.3		99	0	0.55	0.17
129	128	fill	ditch	2.3		99	0	0.55	0.17
130	130	cut	ditch	3		130	0	0.4	0.05
131	130	fill	ditch	3		130	0	0.4	0.05
132	132	cut	ditch	2.4		121	0	0.5	0.2
133	132	fill	ditch	2.4		121	0	0.5	0.2
134	0	layer	natural	0		0	0	3.4	0.15
135	0	layer	natural	0		0	0	12.02	0.25
136	0	layer	natural	0		0	0	0.9	0.22
137	0	layer	natural	0		0	0		0.2
138	0	layer	natural	0		0	0		0.12
139	139	cut	ditch	2.4		121	0	0.5	0.2
140	139	fill	ditch	2.4		121	0	0.5	0.2
141	141	cut	ditch	2.4		141	0	0.1	0.08
142	141	fill	ditch	2.4		141	0		0.08
143	143	cut	Post hole	2.3		0	0	0.28	0.2
144	143	fill	post hole	2.3		0	0	0.28	0.2
145	145	cut	post hole	2.3		0	0	0.2	0.2
146	145	fill	post hole	2.3		0	0	0.2	0.2
147	147	cut	ditch	2.3		82	0	1.2	0.4
148	147	fill	ditch	2.3		82	0	1.08	0.28
149	147	fill	ditch	2.3		82	0	1.2	0.13
150	150	cut	ditch	2.3		79	0	0.4	0.22

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd



Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
151	150	fill	ditch	2.3		79	0		0.15
152	150	fill	ditch	2.3		79	0		0.1
153	153	cut	pit	2.1		0	2	1.22	0.22
154	153	fill	pit	2.1		0	2	1.22	0.22
155	155	cut	ditch	2.3		99	0	0.9	0.24
156	155	fill	ditch	2.3		99	0	0.9	0.24
157	0	VOID	VOID			0	0	0.74	0.12
158		VOID	VOID			0	0		
159	159	cut	lozenge	2.4		0	1.52	0.54	0.19
160	159	fill	lozenge	2.4		0	1.52	0.54	0.19
161	161	cut	post hole	2.4		0	0.24	0.22	0.19
162	161	fill	post hole	2.4		0	0.24	0.22	0.19
163	163	cut	post hole	2.4		0	0.24	0.21	0.09
164	163	fill	post hole	2.4		0	0.24	0.21	0.09
165	165	cut	Natural	0		0	0	0.7	0.12
166	165	fill	Natural	0		0	0	0.7	0.12
167	167	cut	pit	2.4		0	0.52	0.6	0.2
168	167	fill	pit	2.4		0	0.52	0.6	0.2
169	169	cut	pit	2.1		0	1.3	2.4	0.12
170	169	fill	pit	2.1		0	0		0.12
171	171	cut	ditch	2.3		171	0	1.5	0.5
172	171	fill	ditch	2.3		171	0		0.22
173	171	fill	ditch	2.3		171	0	1.5	0.26
174	174	cut	ditch	2.3		99	0	1.4	0.36
175	174	fill	ditch	2.3		99	0		0.22
176	174	fill	ditch	2.3		99	0	1.4	0.16
177	177	cut	ditch	3		130	0	0.66	0.15
178	177	fill	ditch	3		130	0	0.66	0.15
179	179	cut	Ditch	2.4		179	0	0.45	0.15
180	179	fill	Ditch	2.4		179	0	0.45	0.15
181	181	cut	pit	2.4		181	0.45	0.53	0.15
182	181	fill	pit	2.4		181	0.45	0.53	0.15
183	183	cut	lozenge	2.4		0	0.5	0.36	0.13
184	183	fill	lozenge	2.4		0	0.5	0.36	0.13
185	185	cut	lozenge	2.4		0	0.22	0.18	0.1
186	185	fill	lozenge	2.4		0	0.22	0.18	0.1
187	187	cut	pit	2.4		0	0.45	0.46	0.11
188	187	fill	pit	2.4		0	0.45	0.46	0.11
189	189	cut	ditch	2.3		171	0	1.5	0.55
190	189	fill	ditch	2.3		171	0		0.32
191	189	fill	ditch	2.3		171	0		0.23
192	192	cut	pit	2.3		0	1.2	0.9	0.17
193	192	fill	pit	2.3		0	1.2	0.9	0.17
194	194	cut	ditch	2.3		194	0	1.84	0.46
195	194	fill	ditch	2.3		194	0	1.04	0.17
196	194	fill	ditch	2.3		194	0	1.4	0.2
197	194	fill	ditch	2.3		194	0	1.06	0.24
198	198	cut	post hole	2.4		0	0.75	0.45	0.1
199	198	fill	post hole	2.4		0	0.75	0.45	0.1
200	200	cut	post hole (?)	2.4		0	0.7	0.42	0.25



Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
201	200	fill	post hole	2.4		0	0.7	0.42	0.25
202	202	cut	post hole/small pit	2.4		0	0	0.38	0.06
203	202	fill	post hole/small pit	2.4		0	0	0.38	0.06
204	204	cut	Ditch terminus	3		130	0	0.62	0.13
205	204	fill	Ditch terminus	3		130	0	0.62	0.13
206	206	cut	pit	2.1		0	0	0.66	0.24
207	206	fill	pit	2.1		0	0	0.66	0.24
208	208	cut	ditch	2.4		208	0	0.58	0.29
209	208	fill	ditch	2.4		208	0	0.58	0.29
210	210	cut	pit	2.4		0	0.38	0.33	0.09
211	210	fill	pit	2.4		0	0.38	0.33	0.04
212	210	fill	natural			0	0.38	0.33	0.09
213	213	cut	pit	2.1		0	0.56	0.6	0.35
214	213	fill	pit	0		0	0	0.4	0.2
215	213	fill	pit	2.1		0	0		
216	216	cut	pit	2.1		0	1.2	0.38	0.28
217	216	fill	pit	2.1		0	0	0.38	0.38
218	216	fill	pit	2.1		0	0	0.38	0.13
219	216	fill	pit	2.1		0	0		0.14
220	220	cut	gully	2.4		0	1.7	0.2	0.04
221	220	fill	gully	2.4		0	0	0.2	0.04
222	222	cut	lozenge	2.1		222	0	0.22	0.04
223	222	fill	lozenge	2.1		222	0	0.22	0.04
224	224	cut	ditch	2.3		99	0	1.2	0.36
225	224	fill	ditch	2.3		99	0	1.2	0.36
226	226	cut	lozenge	2.1		222	0	0.28	0.1
227	226	fill	lozenge	2.1		222	0	0.28	0.1
228	228	cut	lozenge	2.1		222	0	0.28	0.1
229	228	fill	lozenge	2.1		222	0	0.28	0.1
230	230	cut	pit	2.1		0	0.82	0.82	0.12
231	230	fill	pit	2.1		0	0	0.82	0.12
232	232	cut	ditch	2.3		194	0		0.35
233	232	fill	ditch	2.3		194	0		0.35
234	234	cut	ditch	2.3		99	0	1.3	0.35
235	234	fill	ditch	2.3		99	0	1.3	0.35
236	236	cut	post hole	2.1		0	0.3	0.3	0.1
237	236	fill	post hole	2.1		0	0	0.3	0.1
238	238	cut	post hole	2.1		0	0.3	0.3	0.7
239	238	fill	post hole	2.1		0	0	0.3	0.7
240	240	cut	pit	2.1		0	0	0.9	0.27
241	240	fill	pit	2.1		0	0		0.24
242	240	fill	pit	2.1		0	0		0.03
243	243	cut	pit	2.1		0	0.65	0.3	0.06
244	243	fill	pit	2.1		0	0	0.3	0.06
245	245	cut	cremation	2.4		0	0.62	0.5	0.3
246	245	fill	cremation	2.4		0	0.62	0.5	0.26
247	245	fill	cremation	2.4		0	0.4	0.37	0.14
248	248	cut	post hole	2.4		0	0.23	0.22	0.08



V.1

Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
249	248	fill	post hole	2.4		0	0	0.22	0.08
250	0	VOID	pit	2.1		0	2.85	0.79	0.39
251	250	VOID	pit	2.1		0	0	0.79	0.39
252	252	cut	post hole	2.4		0	0.25	0.25	0.25
253	252	fill	post hole	2.4		0	0	0.25	0.25
254	254	cut	ditch	2.3		99	0	0.6	0.16
255	254	fill	ditch	2.3		99	0	0.6	0.16
256	256	cut	pit	2.1		0	0.7	0.52	0.14
257	256	fill	pit	2.1		0	0.7	0.5	0.14
258	258	cut	post hole	2.4		0	0.3	0.25	0.13
259	258	fill	post hole	2.4		0	0.3	0.25	0.13
260	260	cut	post hole	2.4		0	0.45	0.5	0.15
261	260	fill	post hole	2.4		0	0	0.5	0.15
262	264	fill	ditch	2.3		79	0	1.34	0.32
263	265	fill	ditch	2.4		121	0	0.85	0.22
264	264	cut	ditch	2.3		79	0	1.34	0.32
265	265	cut	ditch	2.4		121	0	0.85	0.23
266	266	cut	ditch	2.4		141	0	0.35	0.06
267	266	fill	ditch	2.4		141	0	0.35	0.06
268	268	cut	ditch	2.3		79	0	0.25	0.32
269	268	fill	ditch	2.3		79	0		
270	270	cut	ditch	3		130	0	1	0.1
271	270	fill	ditch	3		130	0	0.8	0.1
272	272	cut	pit	2.1		0	1.2	0.68	0.21
273	272	fill	pit	2.1		0	0		
274	274	cut	ditch	2.3		79	0	0.84	0.4
275	274	fill	ditch	2.3		79	0		
276	274	fill	ditch	2.3		79	0		
282	282	cut	ditch	2.4		282	0	0.6	0.06
283	282	fill	ditch	2.4		282	0	0.6	0.06
284	284	cut	post hole	2.2		0	0.17	0.18	0.04
286	286	cut	ditch	2.3		286	0	0.8	0.36
287	286	fill	ditch	2.3		286	0		
288	286	fill	ditch	2.3		286	0		
289	286	fill	ditch	2.3		286	0	0.35	0.2
290	290	cut	ditch	2.1		290	0	0.36	0.16
291	290	fill	ditch	2.1		290	0	0.36	0.16
292	292	cut	post hole	2.4		292	0.24	0.24	0.12
293	292	fill	post hole	2.4		292	0.24	0.24	0.12
294	292	fill	post hole	2.4		292	0		
295	295	cut	ditch	2.3		295	0	0.38	0.5
296	295	fill	ditch	2.3		295	0		
297	297	cut	ditch	3		297	0	0.7	0.35
298	297	fill	ditch	3		297	0		
299	297	fill	ditch	3		297	0		
300	300	cut	pit	2.4		0	3.7	1.15	0.14
301	300	fill	pit	2.4		0	0		
302	303	fill	ditch	2.3		53	0		
303	303	cut	ditch	2.3		53	0	0.39	0.09
304	305	fill	ditch	2.3		53	0		

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd



V.1

Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
305	305	cut	ditch	2.3		53	0	0.22	0.04
306	306	cut	ditch	3		297	0	0.55	0.15
307	306	fill	ditch	3		297	0		
308	309	fill	lozenge	0		0	0		
309	309	cut	lozenge	0		0	1.5	0.58	0.09
310	312	fill	ditch	2.4		312	0		
311	312	fill	ditch	2.4		312	0		
312	312	cut	ditch	2.4		312	0	0.51	0.15
313	314	fill	ditch	2.4		312	0		
314	314	cut	ditch	2.4		312	0	0.53	0.11
315	295	fill	ditch	2.3		295	0		
316	295	fill	ditch	2.3		295	0		
317	317	cut	ditch	2.0		317	0	0.93	0.42
318	317	fill	ditch	2.1		317	0	0.55	0.12
319	319	cut	ditch	2.1		286	0	0.41	0.26
320	310	fill	ditch	2.3		200	0	0.41	0.20
320	321	cut	ditch	2.5		200	0	0.94	0.47
221	221	fill	ditch	2.1		217	0	0.54	0.47
222	222	cut	ditch	2.1		207	0	0.75	0.10
223	223	fill	ditch	2		297	0	0.75	0.19
224	323	1111	nit	3		297	0	0.5	0.10
325	325	cut £:11	pit	1		0	0.5	0.5	0.19
320	325	TIII	pit	1		0	0	0.75	0.21
327	327	cut		2.3		286	0	0.75	0.31
328	327	TIII	ditch	2.3		286	0		
329	327	TIII	ditch	2.3		286	0	0.55	0.26
330	330	CUT	ditch	2.3		295	0	0.55	0.26
331	330	till	ditch	2.3		295	0		
332	333	till	pit	2.4		0	0		
333	333	cut	pit	2.4		0	0.24	0.22	0.02
334	335	till	pit	2.4		0	0		
335	335	cut	pit	2.4		0	0.37	0.31	0.04
336	336	cut	ditch	2.4		312	0	0.95	0.13
337	336	fill	ditch	2.4		312	0		
338	338	cut	pit	2.3		0	0.54	0.67	0.1
339	338	fill	pit	2.3		0	0		
340	340	cut	post hole	2.3		0	0.49	0.53	0.44
341	340	fill	post hole	2.3		0	0		
342	340	fill	post hole	2.3		0	0		
343	343	cut	post hole	2.3		0	0.43	0.44	0.41
344	343	fill	post hole	2.3		0	0		
345	345	cut	ditch	2.4		312	0	0.65	0.17
346	345	fill	ditch	2.4		312	0		
347	340	fill	post hole	2.3		0	0		
348	340	fill	post hole	2.3		0	0		
349	349	cut	Post pipe	2.3		0	0.23	0.19	0.3
350	349	fill	post pipe	2.3		0	0		
351	351	cut	ditch	2.2		69	0	0.6	0.1
352	351	fill	ditch	2.2		69	0		
353	353	cut	ditch	2.1		59	0	0.8	0.3
354	353	fill	ditch	2.1	1	59	0		

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd



Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
355	353	fill	ditch	2.1		59	0		
356	356	cut	ditch	2.1		59	0	0.9	0.46
357	356	fill	ditch	2.1		59	0		
358	358	cut	ditch	2.2		69	0	0.94	0.4
359	358	fill	ditch	2.2		69	0		
360	360	cut	pit	2.4		0	0.58	0.55	0.16
361	360	fill	pit	2.4		0	0		
362	362	cut	ditch	2.3		286	0	0.8	0.55
363	362	fill	ditch	2.3		286	0		
364	362	fill	ditch	2.3		286	0		
365	365	cut	pit	2.1		0	0.4	0.37	0.15
366	365	fill	pit	2.1		0	0		
367	365	fill	pit	2.1		0	0		
368	368	cut	ditch	2.2		69	0	0.53	0.26
369	368	fill	ditch	2.2		69	0		
371	371	cut	hearth/oven	2.5		371	1.95	1.05	0.43
372	0	layer	natural	0		0	0		
373	371	fill	hearth/oven	2.5		371	0		
374	371	fill	hearth/oven	2.5		371	0		
375	371	fill	hearth/oven	2.5		371	0		
376	371	fill	hearth/oven	2.5		371	0		
378	371	fill	hearth/oven	2.5		371	0		
381	371	masonry	hearth/oven	2.5		371	0		
382	382	cut	ditch	2.3		382	0	0.55	0.25
383	382	fill	ditch	2.3		382	0		
384	384	cut	ditch	2.3		384	0	0.7	0.09
385	384	fill	ditch	2.3		384	0		
386	386	cut	post hole	2.3		0	0.4	0.25	0.3
387	0	fill	post hole	2.3		0	0		
388	388	cut	post hole	2.3		0	0.3	0.3	0.25
389	388	fill	post hole	2.3		0	0		
390	390	cut	pit	2.3		0	0.8	0.85	0.18
391	390	fill	pit	2.3		0	0		
392	392	cut	ditch	2.3		286	0	1.04	0.37
393	392	fill	ditch	2.3		286	0		
394	392	fill	ditch	2.3		286	0		
395	395	cut	ditch	2.3		295	0	0.52	0.4
396	395	fill	ditch	2.3		295	0		
397	397	cut	ditch	2.3		397	0	0.7	0.12
398	397	fill	ditch	2.3		397	0		
399	399	cut	ditch	2.4		399	0	0.9	0.44
400	399	fill	ditch	2.4		399	0		
401	399	HSR	skeleton	2.4		399	0		
402	399	HSR	skeleton	2.4		399	0		
403	404	fill	ditch	2.5		404	0		
404	404	cut	ditch	2.5		404	0	0.7	0.19
406	0	layer	natural	0		0	0		
407	407	cut	hearth/oven	2.4		407	1.4	0.6	0.2
408	407	fill	hearth/oven	2.4		407	0		
409	407	fill	hearth/oven	2.4		407	0		



V.1

Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
410	407	fill	hearth/oven	2.4		407	0		
411	412	fill	ditch	2.5		412	0		
412	412	cut	ditch	2.5		412	0	1.68	0.82
413	414	fill	ditch	2.2		414	0		
414	414	cut	ditch	2.2		414	0	1.1	0.72
415	416	fill	ditch	2.4		416	0		
416	416	cut	ditch	2.4		416	0	0.88	0.2
417	418	fill	ditch	2.3		418	0		
418	418	cut	ditch	2.3		418	0	0.63	0.22
419	421	fill	ditch	2.5		421	0		
420	421	fill	ditch	2.5		421	0		
421	421	cut	ditch	2.5		421	0	0.97	0.23
422	422	cut	pit	2.4		422	0.37	0.35	0.1
423	422	fill	pit	2.4		422	0		
424	422	fill	pit	2.4		422	0		
425	425	cut	ditch	2.3		425	0	0.8	0.36
426	425	fill	ditch	2.3		425	0		
427	412	fill	ditch	2.5		412	0		
428	428	cut	ditch	2.3		418	0	0.59	0.23
429	428	fill	ditch	2.3		418	0		
430	0	layer	layer	2.5		0	0		
431	0	layer	layer	2.5		0	0		
432	0	layer	layer	2.5		0	0		
433	433	cut	ditch	2.2		414	0	2.2	1
434	434	cut	ditch	2.5		412	0	1.86	0.5
435	435	cut	ditch	2.3		418	0	1.42	0.42
436	436	cut	ditch	2.3		286	0	0.84	0.48
437	434	fill	ditch	2.5		412	0		
438	434	fill	ditch	2.5		412	0		
439	434	fill	ditch	2.5		412	0		
440	433	fill	ditch	2.2		414	0		
441	433	fill	ditch	2.2		414	0		
442	433	fill	ditch	2.2		414	0		
443	435	fill	ditch	2.3		418	0		
444	435	fill	ditch	2.3		418	0		
445	436	fill	ditch	2.3		286	0		
446	446	cut	ditch	2.1		317	0	1	0.46
447	446	fill	ditch	2.1		317	0		
448	448	cut	well	2.5		0	1.05	0.86	0.68
449	448	fill	well	2.5		0	0		
450	448	fill	well	2.5		0	0		
451	448	fill	well	2.5		0	0		
452	448	fill	well	2.5		0	0		
453	448	fill	well	2.5		0	0		
454	448	fill	well	2.5		0	0		
455	455	cut	ditch	2.4		312	0	0.82	0.22
456	456	cut	well	2.5		456	1.85	1.55	1.24
457	457	cut	post hole	2.1		0	0.29	0.29	0.11
458	457	fill	post hole	2.1		0	0		
459	455	fill	ditch	2.4		312	0		

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd



Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
460	456	fill	well	2.5		456	0		
461	456	fill	well	2.5		456	0		
462	456	fill	well	2.5		456	0		
463	456	fill	well	2.5		456	0		
464	456	fill	well	2.5		456	0		
465	456	fill	well	2.5		456	0		
466	456	fill	well	2.5		456	0		
467	456	fill	well	2.5		456	0		
468	468	cut	ditch	2.3		53	0	0.68	0.32
469	468	fill	ditch	2.3		53	0		
470	468	fill	ditch	2.3		53	0		
471	471	cut	ditch	2.3		471	0	0.57	0.11
472	471	fill	ditch	2.3		471	0		
473	473	cut	ditch	2.1		317	0	0.84	0.31
474	473	fill	ditch	2.1		317	0		
475	475	cut	ditch	2.2		414	0	1.3	0.66
476	475	fill	ditch	2.2		414	0		
477	497	fill	ditch	2.5		412	0		
478	497	fill	ditch	2.5		412	0		
479	497	fill	ditch	2.5		412	0		
480	480	cut	ditch	2.3		418	0	1 82	0 37
480	480	fill	ditch	2.5		418	0	1.02	0.57
482	480	fill	ditch	2.5		418	0		
/82	/83	cut	ditch	2.5		286	0	0.3	0.35
405	/83	fill	ditch	2.5		200	0	0.5	0.55
404	405	cut	ditch	2.5		/85	0	0.45	0.3/
405	405	fill	ditch	2.5		405	0	0.45	0.54
400	485	cut	ditch	2.5		405	0	0.44	
407	487	fill	ditch	2.5		487	0	0.44	
400	407	cut	ditch	2.5		407	0	0.4	0.19
405	405	fill	ditch	2.5		405	0	0.4	0.10
490	405	cut	ditch	2.5		405	0	0.2	0.26
491	491	fill	ditch	2.5		405	0	0.5	0.50
492	491	cut	ditch	2.5		405	0	0.51	0.10
493	493	fill	ditch	2.5		407	0	0.51	0.15
494	495	cut	nost hole	2.5		407	02	0.3	0.16
495	495	fill	post hole	2.5		0	0.2	0.5	0.10
490	495	cut	ditch	2.5		412	0	0.70	0.22
497	497	cut	ditch	2.5		412	0	0.78	0.33
490	490	fill	ditch	2.4		490	0	1	0.22
499 500	490	f:11	ditch	2.4		490	0		
500	490	f:11	ditch	2.4		490	0		
501	490 502	cut	ditch	2.4		490 E02		0.24	0 1 2
502	502	fill	ditch	2.5		502	0.97	0.24	0.12
503	502	cut	ditch	2.5		502	1.02	0.20	0.1/
504	504	fill	ditch	2.3		504	1.03	0.38	0.14
505	504		ditch	2.5		504	0	0.57	0.00
500	506	fill	ditch	2.4		312	0	0.57	0.08
507	500		ditch	2.4		312	0	0.24	0.1
508	508	cut 4:11	ditab	2.4		312	U	0.34	0.1
509	508	TIII	aitch	2.4		312	U		



V.1

Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
510	510	cut	ditch	2.3		510	0	0.61	0.18
511	510	fill	ditch	2.3		510	0		
512	512	cut	oven	2.5		512	2.35	1.05	0.43
513	513	cut	ditch	2.4		498	0	0.73	0.24
514	513	fill	ditch	2.4		498	0		
515	513	fill	ditch	2.4		498	0		
516	513	fill	ditch	2.4		498	0		
518	518	cut	natural	0		518	0	0.7	0.4
519	518	fill	natural	0		518	0		
521	0	VOID	VOID	0		0	0		
522	0	layer	surface	2.4		522	0		
			(internal)						
523	513	fill	ditch	2.4		498	0		
524	524	cut	ditch	2.5		524	0	0.82	0.29
525	524	fill	ditch	2.5		524	0		
526	526	cut	ditch	2.5		526	0	1.15	0.35
527	526	fill	ditch	2.5		526	0		
528	528	cut	ditch	2.4		528	0	1.16	0.49
529	528	fill	ditch	2.4		528	0		
530	528	fill	ditch	2.4		528	0		
531	528	fill	ditch	2.4		528	0		
532	532	cut	ditch	2.3		397	0	0.38	0.21
533	532	fill	ditch	2.3		397	0		
534	534	cut	pit	2.3			3.3	2.3	1.95
535	534	fill	pit	2.3		0	0		
536	534	fill	pit	2.3		0	0		
537	534	fill	pit	2.3		0	0		
538	534	fill	pit	2.3		0	0		
542	512	fill	pit	2.5			0		
543	512	fill	oven	2.5		512	0		
544	512	fill	oven	2.5		512	0		
545	545	cut	post hole	2.3		0	0.55	0.3	0.33
546	545	fill	post hole	2.3		0	0		
547	545	fill	post hole	2.3		0	0		
548	548	cut	post hole	2.3		0	0.31	0.35	0.22
549	548	fill	post hole	2.3		0	0		
550	548	fill	post hole	2.3		0	0		
551	551	cut	pit	2.4		551	0.5	0.49	0.08
552	551	fill	pit	2.4		551	0		
553	553	cut	pit	2.4		553	0.38	0.38	0.06
554	553	fill	pit	2.4		553	0		
555	555	cut	pit	2.4		555	0.28	0.22	0.09
556	555	fill	pit	2.4		555	0		
557	557	cut	well	2.5		557	0.96	0.74	0.98
558	557	fill	well	2.5		557	0		
559	557	fill	well	2.5		557	0		
560	557	fill	well	2.5		557	0		
561	557	fill	well	2.5		557	0		
562	557	fill	well	2.5		557	0		
563	563	cut	ditch	2.4		528	0	0.2	0.06

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd



V.1

Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
564	563	fill	ditch	2.4		528	0		
565	565	cut	ditch	2.3		425	0	0.55	0.28
566	565	fill	ditch	2.3		425	0		
567	567	cut	pit	2.3		0	1.27	0.97	0.36
568	567	fill	pit	2.3		0	0		
569	567	fill	pit	2.3		0	0		
570	567	fill	pit	2.3		0	0		
571	571	cut	pit	2.3		0	1.12	0.98	0.28
572	571	fill	pit	2.3		0	0		
573	571	fill	pit	2.3		0	0		
574	510	fill	ditch	2.3		510	0		
575	575	cut	ditch	2.3		575	0	0.66	0.24
576	575	fill	ditch	2.3		575	0	0.00	
577	577	cut	ditch	2.3		510	0	0.55	0.24
578	577	fill	ditch	2.3		510	0	0.00	0.21
570	512	fill	oven	2.5		512	0		
580	512	fill	oven	2.5		512	0		
581	75/	fill	nit	2.5		75/	0		
501	512	fill	oven	2.5		512	0		
582	512	fill	oven	2.5		512	0		
503	512	fill	oven	2.5		512	0		
504	512	f:11	oven	2.5		512	0		
505	512	f:11	oven	2.5		512	0		
500	512	1111 £:11	oven	2.5		512	0		
587	512	1111 4:11	oven	2.5		512	0		
588	512	TIII aut	oven	2.5		512	0 20	0.27	0.10
589	589	cut	post hole	2.4		589	0.36	0.37	0.16
590	589	TIII CIII	post noie	2.4		589	0		
591	512	TIII	oven	2.5		512	0	0.72	0.22
592	592	CUT	ditch	3		297	0	0.72	0.22
593	592	TIII	ditch	3		297	0	0.60	
594	594	cut	ditch	2.2		594	0	0.62	0.22
595	594	till	ditch	2.2		594	0		
596	596	cut	pit	2.1			0.66	0.7	0.34
597	596	†ill ou	pit	2.1		0	0		
598	596	till	pit	2.1		0	0		
599	599	cut	pit	2.1		0	0.66	0.64	0.28
600	0	till	pit	2.1		0	0		
601	601	cut	ditch	2.3		382	1.76	0.63	0.33
602	601	fill	ditch	2.3		382	0		
603	601	fill	ditch	2.3		382	0		
604	604	cut	ditch	2.1		290	0	0.25	0.11
605	0	fill	ditch	2.1		290	0		
606	606	cut	ditch	2.4		528	0	1.08	0.52
607	606	fill	ditch	2.4		528	0		
608	606	fill	ditch	2.4		528	0		
609	609	cut	ditch	2.5		524	0	0.56	0.25
610	609	fill	ditch	2.5		524	0		
611	0	layer	alluvium	0		372	0		0.3
612	612	cut	ditch	2.5		612	0	1.45	0.57
613	612	fill	ditch	2.5		612	0		

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd



Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
614	612	fill	ditch	2.5		612	0		
615	612	fill	ditch	2.5		612	0		
616	612	fill	ditch	2.5		612	0		
617	756	fill	ditch	2.5		756	0		
618	756	fill	ditch	2.5		756	0		
619	756	fill	ditch	2.5		756	0		
620	0	Void	Void	0		0	0		
621	621	cut	ditch	2.4		528	0	1.6	0.4
622	621	fill	ditch	2.4		528	0		
623	630	fill	ditch	2.4		630	0		
624	624	cut	ditch	2.5		524	0	1.24	0.15
625	624	fill	ditch	2.5		524	0		
626	626	cut	ditch	2.2		594	0.75	0.53	0.17
627	626	fill	ditch	2.2		594	0		
628	628	cut	ditch	3		297	0	0.35	0.06
629	628	fill	ditch	3		297	0		
630	630	cut	ditch	2.4		630	0		
631	632	fill	pit	2.3		0	0		
632	632	cut	pit	2.3		0	0.66	0.7	0.27
633	633	cut	ditch	2.3		397	0	0.63	0.19
634	633	fill	ditch	2.3		397	0		
635	635	cut	ditch	2.3		382	0	0.28	0.15
636	635	fill	ditch	2.3		382	0		
637	637	cut	pit	2.3		0	0.67	0.62	0.24
638	637	fill	pit	2.3		0	0		
639	639	cut	ditch	2.1		639	0	1.47	0.62
640	639	fill	ditch	2.1		639	0		
641	639	fill	ditch	2.1		639	0		
642	642	cut	ditch	2.4		399	0	0.64	0.27
643	642	fill	ditch	2.4		399	0		
644	644	cut	ditch	2.3		510	0	0.62	0.17
645	644	fill	ditch	2.3		510	0		
646	646	cut	ditch	2.1		639	0	1.32	0.62
647	646	fill	ditch	2.1		639	0		
648	646	fill	ditch	2.1		639	0		
649	649	cut	ditch	2.3		510	0	0.57	0.24
650	649	fill	ditch	2.3		510	0		
651	649	fill	ditch	2.3		510	0		
652	652	cut	ditch	2.4		399	0	1.05	0.34
653	652	fill	ditch	2.4		399	0		
654	652	fill	ditch	2.4		399	0		
655	655	cut	ditch	2.3		575	0	0.22	0.11
656	655	fill	ditch	2.3		575	0		
657	639	fill	ditch	2.1		639	0		
658	658	cut	ditch	2.1		639	0	1.4	0.76
659	658	fill	ditch	2.1		639	0		
660	658	fill	ditch	2.1		639	0		
661	658	fill	ditch	2.1		639	0		
662	399	fill	ditch	2.4		399	0		
663	663	cut	pit	2.4		0	0.7	0.7	0.2



V.1

Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
664	663	fill	pit	2.4		0	0		
665	663	fill	pit	2.4		0	0		
666	666	cut	pit	2.4		0	0.76	0.76	0.23
667	666	fill	pit	2.4		0	0		
668	666	fill	pit	2.4		0	0		
669	669	cut	post hole	2.4		669	0.4	0.36	0.11
670	669	fill	post hole	2.4		669	0		
671	671	cut	ditch	2.5		526	0	0.4	0.26
672	672	cut	ditch	2.5		526	0	1.28	0.52
673	673	cut	ditch	2.5		673	0	1	0.28
674	674	cut	ditch	2.1		674	0	0.88	0.48
675	675	cut	ditch	2.1		674	0	1.2	0.36
676	676	cut	ditch	2.5		526	0	1.4	0.44
677	677	cut	ditch	2.5		673	0	0.8	0.24
678	678	cut	ditch	2.3		678	0	0.6	0.08
679	678	fill	ditch	2.3		678	0		
680	0	fill	deposit	2.3		0	0		
681	0	fill	deposit	2.3		0	0	1.23	0.08
682	0	fill	deposit	2.3		0	0	0.81	0.21
683	0	fill	deposit	2.3		0	0	0.61	0.05
684	684	cut	tree throw	0		684	0	1.4	0.38
685	671	fill	ditch	2.5		526	0		
686	672	fill	ditch	2.5		526	0		
687	673	fill	ditch	2.5		673	0	1	0.28
688	674	fill	ditch	2.1		674	0	0.88	0.48
689	675	fill	ditch	2.1		674	0		
690	663	fill	pit	2.3		0	0		
691	691	cut	ditch	2.5		526	0	1.03	0.41
692	691	fill	ditch	2.5		526	0		
693	693	cut	ditch	2.5		673	0	0.43	0.18
694	693	fill	ditch	2.5		673	0		
695	676	fill	ditch	2.5		526	0		
696	677	fill	ditch	2.5		673	0		
697	697	cut	ditch	2.1		290	0	0.38	0.24
698	697	fill	ditch	2.1		290	0		
699	699	cut	ditch	2.1		290	0	0.35	0.17
700	699	fill	ditch	2.1		290	0		
701	701	cut	ditch	2.1		290	0	0.36	0.14
702	701	fill	ditch	2.1		290	0		
703	703	cut	ditch	2.1		639	0	0.34	0.13
704	703	fill	ditch	2.1		639	0		
705	705	cut	pit	1		0	0.59	0.55	0.12
706	705	fill	pit	1		0	0		
707	684	fill	tree throw	0		684	0		
708	708	cut	pit	2.3		0	0.88	0.9	0.21
709	708	fill	pit	2.3		0	0		
710	710	cut	pit	2.3		0	1.37	1.3	0.25
711	710	fill	pit	2.3		0	0		
712	712	cut	ditch	2.2		594	0	0.36	0.21
713	712	fill	ditch	2.2		594	0		

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd



Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
714	714	cut	pit	1		0	0.5	0.3	0.17
715	714	fill	pit	1		0	0		
716	716	cut	ditch	2.3		716	0		
717	716	fill	ditch	2.3		716	0	0.4	0.12
718	718	cut	ditch	2.1		639	0	1.82	0.62
719	0	layer	dark earth	2.5		0	0		
720	720	cut	ditch	2.5		720	0	0.5	0.4
721	720	fill	ditch	2.5		720	0		
722	720	fill	ditch	2.5		720	0		
723	720	fill	ditch	2.5		720	0		
724	724	cut	ditch	2.5		720	0	0.8	0.5
725	724	fill	ditch	2.5		720	0		
726	724	fill	ditch	2.5		720	0		
727	724	fill	ditch	2.5		720	0		
728	728	cut	ditch	2.5		673	0	0.27	0.12
729	728	fill	ditch	2.5		673	0		
730	718	fill	ditch	2.1		639	0		
731	718	fill	ditch	2.1		639	0		
732	718	fill	ditch	2.1		639	0		
733	734	fill	ditch	1	734	734	0		
		cut	ditch	1	734	734	0	0.23	0.05
735	736	fill	ditch	1	734	734	0		
736	736	cut	ditch	1	734	734	0	0.18	0.08
737	737	cut	ditch	2.5		737	0	0.32	0.16
738	737	fill	ditch	2.5		737	0		
739	739	cut	ditch	2.5		739	0	0.48	0.21
740	739	fill	ditch	2.5		739	0		
741	741	cut	post hole	2.4		741	0.28	0.27	0.21
742	741	fill	post hole	2.4		741	0		
743	743	cut	post hole	2.4		743	0.18	0.2	0.09
744	743	fill	post hole	2.4		743	0		
745	745	cut	post hole	2.4		745	0.25	0.27	0.13
746	745	fill	post hole	2.4		745	0		
747	747	cut	ditch	2.1		747	0	0.24	0.11
748	747	fill	ditch	2.1		747	0		
749	749	cut	ditch	2.1		674	0	1.16	0.48
750	749	fill	ditch	2.1		674	0		
751	749	fill	ditch	2.1		674	0		
752	752	cut	ditch	2.1		752	0	0.96	0.19
753	752	fill	ditch	2.1		752	0		
754	754	cut	pit	2.5		754	0.71	0.57	0.43
755	0	layer	deposit	2.5		0	0		
756	756	cut	ditch	2.5		756	0	1.15	0.3
757	0	layer	floor	2.4		0	1	1	0.12
758	758	cut	post hole	2.4		758	0.26	0.25	0.08
759	758	fill	post hole	2.4		758	0		
760	760	cut	post hole	2.4		760	0.24	0.2	0.09
761	760	fill	post hole	2.4		760	0		
762	762	cut	ditch	2.2		414	1.1	0.94	0.63
763	762	fill	ditch	2.2		414	0		



Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
764	762	fill	ditch	2.2		414	0		
765	762	fill	ditch	2.2		414	0		
766	766	cut	ditch	2.4		416	0	0.64	0.12
767	766	fill	ditch	2.4		416	0		
768	768	cut	ditch	2.5		412	0	0.5	0.13
769	768	fill	ditch	2.5		412	0		
770	756	fill	ditch	2.5		756	0		
771	756	fill	ditch	2.5		756	0		
772	756	fill	ditch	2.5		756	0		
773	421	fill	ditch	2.5		421	0		0.15
774	774	cut	Post pipe	2.5		774	1.35	1.02	0.8
775	774	fill	Post pipe	2.5		774	0		0.47
776	774	fill	Post pipe	2.5		774	0		
777	774	fill	Post pipe	2.5		774	0		0.11
778	778	cut	Post pipe	2.5		778	0.73	0.72	1.12
779	778	fill	Post pipe	2.5		778	0		1.12
780	780	cut	pit/hearth	2.5		780	1.64	1.11	0.12
781	780	fill	pit/hearth	2.5		780	0		
782	0	fill	wall	2.4		815	0		
783	783	cut	ditch	2.3		678	0	0.19	0.27
784	783	fill	ditch	2.3		678	0		
785	785	cut	ditch	2.3		785	0	0.51	0.2
786	785	fill	ditch	2.3		785	0		
787	787	cut	ditch	3		787	0	0.73	0.13
788	787	fill	ditch	3		787	0		
789	789	cut	Post pipe	2.5		789	0.5	0.5	0.7
790	789	fill	Post pipe	2.5		789	0		0.27
791	883	fill	Post setting	2.4		789	0		0.7
792	789	fill	Post pipe	2.5		789	0		0.49
793	793	cut	hollow/rooting	3		0	1.02	0.87	0.1
794	793	fill	hollow/rooting	3		0	0		0.1
795	795	cut	Hollow	2.5		0	1.06	0.92	0.11
796	795	fill	Hollow	2.5		0	0		0.08
797	795	fill	Hollow	3		0	0		0.06
798	0	Void	Void	0		0	0		
799	0	Void	Void	0		0	0		
800	800	cut	ditch	2.1		752	0	1	0.33
801	800	fill	ditch	2.1		752	0		0.33
802	520	void	void	0		0	0		0.28
803	800	fill	ditch	2.1		752	0		0.35
804	0	void	void	0		0	0		
805	805	cut	ditch	1		805	0.97	0.4	0.2
806	805	fill	ditch	1		805	0		
807	0	layer	surface	2.5		0	0		
			(internal)						
808	808	cut	ditch	3		787	0	0.6	0.21
809	808	fill	ditch	3		787	0		0.21
810	808	fill	ditch	3		787	0		
811	780	fill	hearth	2.3		780	0		0.11



Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
812	0	layer	surface	2.5		0	0		0.15
			(internal)						
813	813	cut	Hollow	2.5		0	0.42	0.38	0.07
814	813	fill	Hollow	2.5		0	0		
815	0	masonry	wall	2.4		0	0		
816	0	void	void	0		0	0		
817	817	cut	ditch	2.5		756	0		0.84
818	817	fill	ditch	2.5		756	0		0.1
819	817	till	ditch	2.5		756	0		
820	817	till	ditch	2.5		756	0		
821	817	till	ditch	2.5		756	0		
822	804	6.11		2.1		0	0		
823	825	till	Hollow	2.5		0	0		
824	825	fill	Hollow	2.5		0	0		
825	825	cut	Hollow	2.5		0	0		
826	0	void	void	0		0	0		
827	827	cut	post pipe	2.5		827	0.4	0.39	1.15
828	827	fill	post pipe	2.5		827	0		
829	0	void	void	0		0	0		
830	898	fill	post setting	2.4		0	0		
831	833	fill	Hollow	3		0	0		
832	833	fill	Hollow	3		0	0		
833	833	cut	Hollow	3		0	0.34	0.33	0.03
834	0	layer	deposit/layer	2.5		0	0		
825	787	fill	in parn ditch	2		797			
836	836	cut	nost hole	1		,87	0.24	0.2	0.13
837	836	fill	post hole	1		0	0.24	0.2	0.15
838	838	cut	post hole	1		0	0 18	0.18	0.09
839	838	fill	post hole	1		0	0.10	0.10	0.05
840	840	cut	Hollow/nit	23		0	0.48	0.5	0.2
841	840	fill	Hollow/pit	2.3		0	0.40	0.5	0.2
842	666	HSR	nit	2.0		0	0		
843	843	cut	nost nine	2.5		843	0 39	0.38	0 78
844	843	fill	post pipe	2.5		843	0.05	0.00	0.70
845	845	cut	post pipe	2.5		845	0.56	0.4	0.54
846	845	fill	post pipe	2.5		845	0		0.01
847	845	fill	post pipe	2.5		845	0		
848	0	void	void	0		0	0		
849	849	cut	Hollow	2.3		0	0.96	0.7	0.12
850	849	fill	Hollow	2.3		0	0		
851	851	cut	post hole	2.4		0	0.23	0.24	0.07
852	851	fill	post hole	2.4		0	0	0.21	
854	0	Void	Void	0		0	0		
855	855	cut	ditch	3		855	0	0.8	0.12
856	855	fill	ditch	3		855	0	0.0	
857	857	cut	ditch	3		857		0.63	0.33
858	857	fill	ditch	3		857	0		
859	857	fill	ditch	3		857	0		
860	860	cut	pit?	2.1		0	0.3	0.24	0.33



Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
861	860	fill	pit?	2.1		0	0		
862	862	cut	ditch/pit	2.1		862	0	0.2	0.18
863	862	fill	ditch/pit	2.1		862	0		
864	0	void	void	0		0	0		
865	0	void	void	0		0	0		
866	0	layer	deposit/layer in barn	2.5		0	0	0.15	0.1
867	867	cut	ditch	1		867	1.2	0.7	0.34
868	867	fill	ditch	1		867	0		
869	867	fill	ditch	1		867	0		
870	870	cut	ditch	3		857	0	0.8	0.28
871	870	fill	ditch	3		857	0		
872	870	fill	ditch	3		857	0		
873	873	cut	pit	2.2		0	1.12	1.3	0.89
874	873	fill	pit	2.2		0	0		
875	873	fill	pit	2.2		0	0		
876	873	fill	pit	2.2		0	0		
877	877	cut	ditch	2.1		877	0	0.75	0.58
878	877	fill	ditch	2.1		877	0		
879	879	cut	ditch	1		879	0	0.48	0.18
880	879	fill	ditch	1		879	0		
881	877	fill	ditch	2.1		877	0		
882	877	fill	ditch	2.1		877	0		
883	883	cut	post setting	2.4		789	1.51	1.19	0.7
884	884	cut	post setting	2.4		845	1.3	1.25	0.45
885	884	fill	post setting	2.4		0	0		
886	884	fill	post setting	2.4		0	0		
887	0	void	void	0		0	0		
888	888	cut	post setting	2.4		774	1.39	1.4	0.5
889	888	fill	post setting	2.4		774	0		
890	891	fill	post setting	2.4		778	0		
891	891	cut	post setting	2.4		778	1.48	1.32	0.81
892	892	cut	ditch	2.1		752	0	0.7	0.55
893	892	fill	ditch	2.1		752	0		
894	894	cut	natural	0		0	0	0.7	0.36
895	894	fill	natural	0		0	0		
896	896	cut	post setting	2.4		843	1.25	1.2	0.68
897	896	fill	post setting	2.4		0	0		
898	898	cut	post setting	2.4		829	1.25	0.76	1.18
899	898	fill	post setting	2.4		829	0		
900	901	fill	Hollow	2.5		0	0		0.12
901	901	cut	Hollow	2.5		0	0		
902	903	fill	ditch	2.1		877	0		
903	903	cut	ditch	2.1		877	0	0.9	0.18
904	904	cut	ditch	2.5		904	0	0.37	0.11
905	904	fill	ditch	2.5		904	0		
906	906	cut	ditch	2.1		877	0	0.93	0.42
907	906	fill	ditch	2.1		877	0		
908	906	fill	ditch	2.1		877	0		
909	906	fill	ditch	2.1		877	0		



Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
910	906	fill	ditch	2.1		877	0		
911	911	cut	ditch	2.1		877	0	0.82	0.32
912	911	fill	ditch	2.1		877	0		
913	911	fill	ditch	2.1		877	0		
914	914	cut	ditch	2.1		877	0	0.6	0.3
915	914	fill	ditch	2.1		877	0		
916	914	fill	ditch	2.1		877	0		
917	917	cut	ditch	2.1		674	0	0.84	0.37
918	917	fill	ditch	2.1		674	0		
919	917	fill	ditch	2.1		674	0		
920	920	cut	ditch	2.1		674	0	0.89	0.55
921	920	fill	ditch	2.1		674	0		
922	920	fill	ditch	2.1		674	0		
923	923	cut	ditch	2.1		752	0	0.65	0.2
924	923	fill	ditch	2.1		752	0		
925	926	fill	ring gully	1	926	926	0		
926	926	cut	ring gully	1	926	926	0	0.22	0.12
927	928	fill	ring gully	1	926	926	0		
928	928	cut	ring gully	1	926	926	0	0.3	0.08
929	930	fill	ring gully	1	926	926	0		
930	930	cut	ring gully	1	926	926	0	0.22	0.14
931	931	cut	pit	1		0	0.84	0.76	0.38
932	931	fill	pit	1		0	0		
933	931	fill	pit	1		0	0		
934	934	cut	ditch	1		867	1.2	0.6	0.26
935	934	fill	ditch	1		867	0		
936	934	fill	ditch	1		867	0		
937	937	cut	ditch	2.1		674	0		0.2
938	937	fill	ditch	2.1		674	0		
939	939	cut	ditch	2.5		756	0	1.39	0.39
940	939	fill	ditch	2.5		756	0		
941	939	fill	ditch	2.5		756	0		
942	942	cut	ditch	2.1		752	0		
943	944	fill	ditch	2.1		752	0		
944	944	fill	ditch	2.1		752	0		
945	946	fill	ring gully	1	926	926	0		
946	946	cut	ring gully	1	926	926	0	0.26	0.07
947	948	fill	ring gully	1	926	926	0		
948	948	cut	ring gully	1	926	926	0	0.22	0.12
949	950	fill	ring gully	1	926	926	0		
950	950	cut	ring gully	1	926	926	0	0.27	0.07
951	952	fill	ring gully	1	926	926	0		
952	952	cut	ring gully	1	926	926	0	0.25	0.09
953	954	fill	ring gullv	1	926	926	0		
954	954	cut	ring gullv	1	926	926	0	0.3	0.08
955	956	fill	ring gullv	1	926	926	0	0.0	
956	956	cut	ring gullv	1	926	926	0		
957	957	cut	ditch	2.3		502	0		
958	957	fill	ditch	2.3		502	0		
959	959	cut	ditch	2.3		504	0		



Context	Cut	Category	Feature Type	Phase	Feature	Group	Length	Breadth	Depth
960	959	fill	ditch	2.3		504	0		



APPENDIX B FINDS REPORTS

B.1 Metalwork

By Denis Sami

Introduction

- B.1.1 The metalwork assemblage consists of 142 fragments relating to a total of 134 artefacts recovered from the subsoil and archaeological features including post-pits and deposits associated with the aisled building, ditches, pits and layers. The group comprises copper alloy (CuA), iron (Fe) and lead (Pb) artefacts and it is used here to develop further understanding of the character of the different activities that occurred on the site through its chronological phases (Table 31).
- B.1.2 The bulk of the assemblage dates to the Roman period, with a single item of Early Anglo-Saxon date (a spearhead) being found (in Phase 2.5, layer 719). Some artefacts can be attributed to the post-medieval and modern periods, while other items (including the collection of lead waste) are of indeterminate date.
- B.1.3 The metalwork includes personal possessions (knives), jewellery/decorative items (bracelets, brooches, buckles, buttons, finger rings, pins), weaponry (spear head, musket balls), practical items (horse shoes, keys, loops, nails, pot mends, weights) and items associated with personal hygiene (tweezers).
- B.1.4 The ironwork is dominated by nails (64 items). Nine items were identified as a specific artefact type, while seven items remain unidentifiable to type.

Metal	No.	% of No.
	Artefact	artefact
CuA	17	12.69%
Fe	79	58.96%
Pb	38	28.36%
Total	134	100.00%

Table 31. Quantity of artefacts by metal type

- B.1.5 The assemblage overall is in poor condition; most of the artefacts are fragmented and incomplete. The finds have heavy encrustation and are oxidised due to the adverse conditions of the soil.
- B.1.6 A total of 92 artefacts (68.66%) were recovered from archaeological features, providing information on the character of the site and its phases (Table 32).
- B.1.7 The remaining artefacts were recovered through metal-detecting.



Phase	No.	% No. artefact
	artefact	
0	42	31.34%
2.1	5	3.73%
2.2	2	1.49%
2.3	15	11.19%
2.4	12	8.96%
2.5	54	40.30%
3	4	2.99%
Total	134	100.00%

Table 32. Quantification of metalwork by site phase

Methodology

- B.1.8 The metalwork was examined in accordance with the OA East metalwork finds standard based on the guidance of the Historical Metallurgy Society (HMS, Datasheets 104 and 108), the Archaeometallurgy Guidelines for Best Practice (Historic England 2015) and the Guidelines for the Storage and Display of Archaeological Metalwork (English Heritage/Historic England 2013).
- B.1.9 The catalogue of Roman ironwork at the British Museum published by Manning (1989) is used here as the main reference in the discussion and description of iron artefacts, while the Portable Antiquities Scheme (PAS) database was consulted for finds not reported in Manning's work.
- B.1.10 Copper-alloy artefacts were compared with similar artefacts published in the catalogue of the metalwork from the excavation in Colchester (Crummy 1983). Guiraud's study of Roman finger rings in Gaul (1989) provides a straightforward typology of Roman rings and this scheme was applied to the description of rings SF14, 97 and 164.
- B.1.11 The material was classified according to Crummy's 1983 categories. The items were catalogued and the details are tabulated below.
- B.1.12 Finds both from excavation and samples were quantified using an Access database. A single Excel spreadsheet was used to enter details and measurements of each artefact; this database was interrogated to compile statistics. All metal finds were counted, weighed when relevant and classified on a context by context basis. The catalogue is organised by context number.
- B.1.13 The metalwork and archive (Excel/Access databases) are curated by OAE until formal deposition.

The Assemblage

Copper alloy

B.1.14 A total of 16 copper-alloy artefacts were recovered during the project. Despite being incomplete and oxidised it was possible to identify 13 Roman artefacts and 3 modern



items. Nothing in the Roman group dated to before the 2nd century, with most of the finds being of Late Roman date.

B.1.15 Two groups of artefacts were identified, namely objects of personal adornment (Table 33) and items for personal hygiene represented by one incomplete tweezer's arm.

Dress accessories							
Artefact type	No.						
Bracelet	3						
Brooch	1						
Finger ring	4						
Pin	3						

Table 33. Typology of copper-alloy items

- B.1.16 Objects of personal adornment (in the form of bracelets, a brooch, four finger rings of different typologies and three pins) represent the bulk of the copper-alloy group.
- B.1.17 Bracelets are represented by a simple strip of copper alloy (SF98) and two double stranded types (SF120 and148), all from Late Roman contexts. These were popular items, well represented in Middle to Late Roman sites and have a broad chronology spanning from c.AD 200 to c. 410 with possible later use (Crummy 1983, 38-39, fig. 41, no. 1628). From approximately the 3rd century, bracelets appear to have been distinctive indicators of gender identity and are associated with females (Swift 2011).
- B.1.18 Chronologically compatible with Phase 2.4 is a disc brooch (SF107) which retains blue conical glass (Fig. 25). This is a well-known item type (Hattat 1982, 167) which was widely distributed in the country between c.AD 200 to c.350 and, although Allison (2013, 71) suggests that brooches were more frequently used by women, these items were also worn by men.
- B.1.19 There are four finger rings in the assemblage. One from top-soil (SF14) presents a recessed oval bezel originally hosting a stone or intaglio and has traverse grooves decorating the hoop. This ring can be compared with Guiraud Type 4a, mainly dating to the 3rd and 4th century. A second ring (SF97) from ditch fill 383 in Phase 2.3 is made from a thin undecorated wire of metal with a D-shaped cross-section similar to Guiraud Type 8. In Gaul, these types of rings are mainly concentrated in contexts of the 1st to the 3rd centuries and a similar chronology can be suggested for the ring from Upware. A third ring (SF69), although covered with iron encrustation, appears to be cast in copper-alloy. This is a solid and plain Guiraud type 2.h dating approximately from the 2nd to the 3rd century and it is therefore compatible with the site's Early Roman Phase 2.2. Such rings are not very common in Britain, with no similar artefacts being found during the excavations in Colchester (Crummy 1983, 45-50) and this type of ring is currently absent in the Portable Antiquities Scheme database.
- B.1.20 Finally, ring (SF164) from a post-pipe in Phase 2.5 is decorated with a dark blue circular intaglio with a representation of a standing figure (Fig. 25). The intaglio is mounted into an octagonal bezel stepping into incomplete and poorly preserved shoulders. The shape of the bezel and the shoulder identify this ring as a Guiraud Type 3 or 4 dating to the late Roman period.



B.1.21 Three copper-alloy hair pins were also found. The complete pin with a conical head (SF 103; Fig. 25) is very similar to the pin found in Felsham, Suffolk and reported to the PAS (SF-7436AD). Pin SF112 (Fig. 25) is a Crummy Type 5 with a groove below a flattened spherical head: this form dates in Colchester to the 2nd century (Crummy 1983, 30, n.492). With a simple plano-convex head, pin SF177 can be identified with a Cool Type 1e. Such finds are commonly documented in Roman East Anglia (Cool 1990).

SF	Context	Phase	Feature	Artefact	Condition	Description	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Thick(mm)	Diam. (mm)
14	51	0	sub-soil	finger ring	incomplet e	incomplete finger ring with a circular recessed bezel. D cross-section loop decorated with transverse groves beside each shoulder	0	9.2	1.3	17. 7
44	51	0	sub-soil	knife handle	incomplet e	straight tang with rectangular cross- section stepping into a missing blade. A guard of possible iron steel separate the blade from the tang and a shapeless oxidised lead pommel fixed the grip to the tang.	114. 4	25. 1	13. 2	0
59	51	0	sub-soil	pipe	incomplet e	part of a modern pipe with circular cross- section	44.5	0	10. 1	0
97	383	2.3	ditch	finger ring	incomplet e	a possible finger ring made of a plain undecorated wire of metal			0.6	0
98	439	2.5	ditch	bracelet	incomplet e	a possible bracelet made with a narrow undecorated strip of metal	45.2	1.9	0.8	0
99	440	2.2	ditch	unidentifie d	incomplet e	a very thin shapeless leaf of copper-alloy. Originally an iron component was riveted to the leaf through a small circular in section rivet	23.4	17. 1	8.8	0
103	51	0	sub-soil	pin	complete	slightly conical head decorated with two lines describing a triangle. A stepped neck develops into a tapering stem with circular cross-section	91.3	2.8	7.8	3.2 3
106	526	2.5	ditch	unidentifie d	incomplet e	a very thin and shapeless sheet of metal	30.1	24. 2	0.6	
107	642	2.4	ditch	brooch	incomplet e	bossed centre plate brooch. The boss is conical in shape and made in dark blue and green glass. The base is circular and decorated with two raised concentric circular ridges. The catch-plate is covered by encrustation and the pin missing	0	0	12. 6	22. 4
112	51	0	sub-soil	pin	complete	sub-spherical discoidal head with a collar below the head above the shaft. The shaft has circular cross-section	63.6	2.6	7.2	0
116	69	2.2	ditch	finger ring	complete	corroded finger ring with rectangular plain, flattened bezel. The loop narrows from the shoulder to the opposite side of the hoop	0	5.8	2.5	22. 1
120	522	2.4	layer	bracelet	incomplet e	a fragment of double-stranded bracelet with sub-square cross-section	52.4	0	2.9	0
148	687	2.5	ditch	bracelet	incomplet e	a fragment of thick double-stranded bracelet with oval cross-section	52.2	0	6.5	0
154	9999 9	0	unstratifie d	button	complete	circular plain plate with circular loop	0	0	8.1	16. 8
164	775	2.5	post-pipe	finger ring	incomplet e	a dark blue glass circular intaglio representing a standing figure it is inserted into an octagonal bezel stepped into the remain of two large and possibly elaborated shoulders	16.2	10. 8	6.1	0
177	847	2.5	post-pipe	pin	incomplet e	a pin with globular head slightly flattened at the base. The shaft is tapering and square in cross -section	30.8	2.5	8.1	0
190	430	2.5	layer	tweezers	incomplet e	rectangular band of metal. Only one arm is preserved	27.1	4.1	0.3	0

Table 32. Catalogue of copper-alloy artefacts



Iron

B.1.22 Ironwork was recorded in each of the site phases, with an evident concentration in Phase 2.5 (Table 35). The bulk of the assemblage consists of fragments of nails (64). Other items relating to industrial or domestic activity were also identified, while seven artefacts remain unidentified as a result of their poor preservation.

Phase	No. artefact
0	14
2.1	2
2.3	10
2.4	10
2.5	41
3	2
Total	79

Table 35. Quantity of iron artefacts by site phase

B.1.23 The identified nails belong to Manning Type 2b, with a sub-circular head and tapering square cross-section. The longest nail is SF88 from wall 782 in Phase 2.4, with an approximate length of 100 mm, and the smallest is a rivet from Sample 84 with a size of 8mm. The average length of nails is, however, 44.9 mm denoting items used in substantial architectural wooden structures. Some nails are clenched a few centimetres below the head, suggesting that they are possibly nails that were used in plank-built structures such as doors, shutters or even furniture of large dimensions. Other examples (such as SF172) are clenched 80 mm below the head, suggesting a more architectural element use, perhaps for superstructures. Other nails (see for example complete nail SF141) provide, given their sinuous and deformed stems, potential evidence of removal from the wood, perhaps with the intention of re-forging or reuse as scrap metal.



Figure B.1.1. Quantity of nails by feature/deposit type



B.1.24 Of note is an early Anglo-Saxon incomplete spearhead of Swanton type H1 (Swanton 1973, 101-07) dating to *c*.AD 450-*c*.550. Despite the absence of Anglo-Saxon pottery suggesting a continuity in the activity of the settlement, the spearhead may suggest that the area was visited in the Anglo-Saxon period, perhaps for hunting.

SF	Context	Phase	Feature	Artefact	No. artefact	Condition	Description	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Thickness (mm)
22	106	2.3	ditch	nail	1	incomplete	tapering shaft with square cross- section	32.8	4.1	-
27	156	2.3	ditch	nail	3	incomplete	three fragments of tapering shafts with square cross-section	-	-	-
29	191	2.3	ditch	nail	1	incomplete	tapering shaft with sub-square cross- section	43.9	5.5	-
35	158	0	sub-soil	unidentifie d	1	incomplete	three shapeless lumps of metal	-	-	-
41	51	0	sub-soil	loop	1	incomplete	a broken ring of a chain	77.8	44.5	12. 8
42	51	0	sub-soil	buckle	1	incomplete	D shaped frame with narrowed bar	46.8	24.1	8.1
78	51	0	sub-soil	horseshoe	1	incomplete	a very encrusted part of the toe and branch	118. 3	128.9	7.8
83	51	0	sub-soil	nail	1	incomplete	small tapering shaft with square cross-section and sub circular flat head	21.5	4.3	9.4
84	51	0	sub-soil	knife	1	incomplete	incomplete tang with rectangular cross section stepping into a short, wide blade with its back slopping at an angle joining a strait and curved cutting edge	84.5	44.7	3.9
88	782	2.4	wall	nail	1	incomplete	long tapering shaft with sub-square cross section and sub-square head	144. 9	8.3	-
93	400	2.4	ditch	nail	1	incomplete	tapering shaft with sub-square cross- section. Triangular head. Possibly a horseshoe nail	40.6	5.1	-
100	445	2.3	ditch	nail	1	incomplete	tapering shaft with sub-square cross- section and square head	-	-	-
101	51	0	sub-soil	nail	1	incomplete	Shaft with sub-square cross-section and rectangular head	36.2	4.5	-
102	51	0	sub-soil	nail	1	incomplete	tapering shaft with sub-square cross- section	51.4	12.1	-
105	9999 9	0	sub-soil	nail	1	incomplete	a possible short shaft with sub- square cross-section	20.1	3.9	-
108	51	0	sub-soil	nail	1	incomplete	tapering shaft with sub-square cross- section. Triangular head. Possibly a horseshoe nail	38.8	6.1	-
111	51	0	sub-soil	nail	2	incomplete	tapering shaft with sub-rectangular cross-section and rectangular head	44.5	4.8	-
121	522	2.4	layer	nail	1	incomplete	short tapering shaft with sub-square cross-section	28.2	5.6	-
131	430	2.5	layer	unidentifie d	1	incomplete	a shapeless lump of metal	23.5	17.5	12. 4
132	617	2.5	ditch	unidentifie d	1	incomplete	part of a thick strip of metal with rectangular cross-section	30.2	17.8	-
134	617	2.5	ditch	nail	1	incomplete	bent, tapering shaft with square cross-section and sub-circular flat head	46.4	7.1	12. 1
156	9999 9	0	unstratifie d	pin	1	incomplete	a thin pin possibly from a brooch	22.3	0.3	-
160	727	2.5	ditch	nail	1	incomplete	short tapering shaft	29.2	4.5	-
163	619	2.5	ditch	nail	3	incomplete	three fragments of shaft with sub- square cross-section	-	-	-
165	779	2.5	post-pipe	nail	2	incomplete	two fragments of tapering shafts with square cross-section. One with sub-square flat head	-	-	-



SF	Context	Phase	Feature	Artefact	No. artefact	Condition	Description	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Thickness (mm)
166	779	2.5	post-pipe	unidentifie d	1	incomplete	three fragments of a metal strip	92.3	22.2	2.5
167	788	3	ditch	key?	1	incomplete	incomplete circular bow sent horizontally respect a double-sided bit	64.5	20.9	-
170	522	2.4	surface	nail	1	incomplete	four fragments of shafts	-	-	-
171	522	2.4	layer	nail	1	incomplete	two fragments of tapering shaft with square cross-section. One with sub- square flat head	-	-	-
172	819	2.5	ditch	nail	1	incomplete	long tapering shaft with sub-square cross section and sub-square head	96.5	11.4	26. 2
173	821	2.5	ditch	nail	2	incomplete	Two tapering shafts with square cross-section and sub-circular flat heads	-	-	-
176	844	2.5	post-pipe	nail	2	incomplete	two fragments of tapering shafts with square cross-section. One with sub-square flat head	-	-	-
178	815	2.4	wall	unidentifie d	1	incomplete	a short rod of metal with rectangular cross-section	25.2	6.8	3.3
182	941	2.5	ditch	nail	1	incomplete	slightly bent tapering shaft with square cross-section	34.2	4.1	-
183	844	2.5	post-pipe	nail	1	incomplete	tapering shaft with square cross- section and sub-square head	87.1	7.1	-
192	522	2.4	surface	nail	1	incomplete	tapering shaft with sub-square cross- section and square head	28.1	7.9	-
193	815	2.4	wall	nail	2	incomplete	two fragments of shaft	-	-	-
194	430	2.5	layer	unidentifie d	1	incomplete	strip of metal with one long edge slightly tapering	41.2	13.9	3.1
195	430	2.5	layer	nail	3	incomplete	three fragments of shafts	-	-	-
196	430	2.5	layer	nail	2	incomplete	fragment of a shaft with sub-square cross-section	-	-	-
197	430	2.5	layer	nail	1	incomplete	tapering shaft with square cross- section and sub-circular flat head	43.9	8.3	22. 4
197	430	2.5	layer	nail	1	incomplete	tapering shaft with square cross- section and sub-circular flat head	-	-	-
198	899	2.4	post setting	nail	1	incomplete	tapering shaft with square cross- section	44.5	5.6	-
199	828	2.5	post-pipe	nail	1	incomplete	tapering shaft with square cross- section and sub circular flat head	57.4	7.1	16. 2
200	792	2.5	post-pipe	nail	1	incomplete	tapering shaft with sub-square cross- section	35.2	5.3	-
201	792	2.5	post-pipe	unidentifie d	1	incomplete	an iron rod with possible rectangular section bent to form a loop	49.3	38.2	7.5
202	579	2.5	pit	nail	1	incomplete	a possible short shaft with sub- square cross-section	24.3	2.9	-
203	719	2.5	layer	nail	1	incomplete	small fragment of a shaft with sub- square cross section	-	-	-
204	719	2.5	layer	spear-head	1	incomplete	incomplete split circular socket (16.2 mm) tapering into a slender angular, concave blade with lageniform section	99.5	39.7	8.8
205	51	0	sub-soil	horse-shoe	1	incomplete	a very encrusted part of the toe and branch	124. 3	111.4	8.9
208	846	2.5	ditch	nail	1	incomplete	tapering shaft with sub-square cross- section	32.4	5.6	-
209	847	2.5	post-pipe	nail	1	incomplete	tapering shaft with square cross- section	39.2	6.5	-
210	828	2.5	post-pipe	nail	5	incomplete	five fragments of shafts	-	-	-
211	786	2.3	ditch	nail	4	incomplete	four fragments of tapering shafts with square cross-section	-	-	-
212	821	2.5	ditch	nail	1	incomplete	very encrusted shaft with possible	39.2	13.2	-



SF	Context	Phase	Feature	Artefact	No. artefact	Condition	Description	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Thickness (mm)
213	779	2.5	post-pipe	nail	1	incomplete	tapering shaft with square cross- section and sub-square head	55.1	5.1	-
214	685	2.5	ditch	nail	1	incomplete	tapering shaft with square cross- section and sub-circular flat head	39.2	5.5	10. 2
219	794	3	hollow	nail	1	complete	short tapering shaft with square cross-section	24.9	2.8	-
522	207	2.1	Pit	nail	1	incomplete	Tapering shaft with sub-square cross section	-	-	-

Table 36. Catalogue of iron artefacts

Lead

B.1.25 There are 38 lead items amongst the metalwork, of which only 16 came from archaeological features. Very little leadwork is documented in Phase 2.1, with the majority being recovered from contexts in Phase 2.5 (Table 37). Two plano-convex weights (SF15 and 16 both from Phase 2.3) and a pot mend (SF17) are the only Roman lead artefacts identified. A musket ball (SF117) from a ditch in Phase 2.1 is clearly intrusive. The remaining items are shapeless lumps of lead that cannot be identified.

Phase	No. artefact
2.1	3
2.3	4
2.5	7
3	2
Total	16

Table 37. Quantification of lead items from phased contexts

SF	Context	Phase	Feature	Material	Artefact	Quantity	No. artefact	Description	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Thickness (mm)	Diam. (mm)	Weight (gr)
7	80	2.3	ditch	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless lump of metal	31. 3	20. 5	2.3	0	9.02
12	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a short foil of metal folded to form a pipe	13. 2	5.5	0	0	3.2
15	99	2.3	ditch	Pb	weight	1	1	a plano-convex weight with a vertical central square hole	20. 1	0	12.1	0	28.94
16	173	2.3	ditch	Pb	weight	1	1	plano-convex weight with vertical, central circular hole	22. 3	0	12.1	0	25.81
17	173	2.3	ditch	Pb	pot mend	1	1	the pot mend comprises two sub-oval disc joined y a thick shank	25. 3	0	12.3	0	4399
46	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a rod of metal slightly tapering and with a sub- triangular cross-section	43. 2	12. 4	8.1	0	26.82
48	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless melted lump of metal	0	0	0	0	106.12

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd



SF	Context	Phase	Feature	Material	Artefact	Quantity	No. artefact	Description	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Thickness (mm)	Diam. (mm)	Weight (gr)
49	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless lump of metal	0	0	0	0	15.83
51	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless lump of metal	0	0	0	0	8.2
52	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a possible net weight made with a rolled sheet of metal	34. 4	6.5	0	0	12.3
53	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	weight	1	1	A possible net weight made of a folded up thick strip of metal	15. 1	15. 2	6.5	0	41.92
57	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless lump of metal	0	0	0	0	10.65
62	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	elongated irregular oval strip of metal tapering at one end	37. 5	9.9	5.1	0	9.72
63	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless thick sheet of metal	45. 2	29. 9	4.2	0	34.21
65	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless lump of metal	0	0	0	0	16.62
68	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless lump of metal	0	0	0	0	264.03
69	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	musket ball	1	1	a sub-spherical musket ball	0	0	0	11. 3	25.18
70	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless lump of metal	0	0	0	0	15.06
73	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	weight	1	1	a vaguely pyramidal shape weight with a transversal hole	25. 2	20. 1	15.2	0	21.9
90	807	2.5	layer	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless leaf of metal	36. 3	40. 2	1.9	0	12.69
11 0	297	3	ditch	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless lump of metal	0	0	0	0	10.47
11 4	412	2.5	ditch	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless fragment of metal	15. 4	11. 2	2.9	0	2.24
11 7	59	2.1	ditch	Pb	musket ball	1	1	a spherical ball	0	0	0	10. 5	7.97
11 8	297	3	ditch	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless lump of metal	21. 8	16. 3	2.6	0	7.52
12 6	430	2.5	layer	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless lump of melted metal	0	0	0	0	45.33
12 7	430	2.5	layer	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless lump of metal	0	0	0	0	3.94
13 5	894	0	natural	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a rolled-up leaf of metal	19. 5	6.5	0	0	3.57
13 7	617	2.5	ditch	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless lump of metal	0	0	0	0	72.05
14 3	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a weight made from a rolled-up sheet of metal. The two ends are slightly rounded	49. 6	0	0	10. 3	31.45
14 5	617	2.5	ditch	Pb	unidentifie d	2	2	two lumps of metal	0	0	0	0	0
14 6	218	2.1	pit	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless sheet of metal	0	0	0	0	1.12.0 9
14 7	218	2.1	pit	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a leaf of metal folded at one side	23. 6	26. 7	4.9	0	6.29
18 8	9999 9	0	unstratifie d	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	shapeless foil of metal	27. 2	19. 3	1.8	0	7.2
18 9	9999 9	0	unstratifie d	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	plain D shaped artefact	23. 1	23. 8	2.1		8.68
21 5	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless lump of metal	0	0	0	0	415.2

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd

4 December 2020



SF	Context	Phase	Feature	Material	Artefact	Quantity	No. artefact	Description	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Thickness (mm)	Diam. (mm)	Weight (gr)
21 6	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless thick sheet of metal with a small circular hole on one side	0	0	0	0	132.07
21 7	51	0	sub-soil	Pb	unidentifie d	1	1	a shapeless thick sheet of metal	0	0	0	0	103.07



Discussion

- B.1.26 Taken as a whole, the Roman metalwork assemblage does not fully represent the whole spectrum of material which might be associated with a typical rural settlement assemblage of the period. There is no clear evidence of tools employed in agricultural, craft/industrial or even domestic activities. The lead weights are the only potential indication of possible weaving and textile production, while knife SF 84 could perhaps point to cooking or food processing on the site. However, such items were multifunctional objects used in different activities and their occurrence within the assemblage is very low and limited to sub-soil recovery.
- B.1.27 The copper-alloy artefacts indicate a relatively high status for part of the community settled on the site, particularly during the Late Roman period. This suggestion seems to be confirmed by the group of coins which mostly date to the 2nd half of the 4th century (see the coin report, App. B.2). When the quantity of metalwork and coins found by site phases is compared, a distinct correlation emerges between the two groups of artefacts, supporting the suggestion of flourishing activity on the site in the late 4th century (Fig. B.1.2).



Fig. B.1.2. Comparison between the quantity of metalwork and coins by site phase

B.1.28 The presence of the Anglo-Saxon spearhead from the Phase 2.5 midden deposit is of note, since it may suggest that the former Roman buildings remained visible and partly accessible in the 5th/6th century. Although classified here as a weapon, it may equally have been used in hunting.



B.2 Coins

By Denis Sami

Introduction

- B.2.1 A total of 53 Roman coins was recovered from top-soil metal-detecting and excavation of archaeological features. In addition, a single modern coin was found. The assemblage has been used in this report to clarify the chronological background of the Roman settlement and to assess the economic trends of the local community through coin-use, exchange and loss.
- B.2.2 Most of the coins are extremely worn and present heavy oxidation due to adverse soil conditions, meaning that it was only possible to identify the ruler or house of rulers for 23 coins (46.9%). However, for 24 coins (48.9%) it was possible to provide a reference based on Reece's coin periods (1995). When unidentified, coins were assigned to broad chronologies on the basis of their weight and size.

Methodology

- B.2.3 Volumes 7 to 9 of the *Roman Imperial Coinage* were used in the identification of the Roman assemblage, together with the *Late Roman Bronze Coinage* by Bruck (2015). The identification of the 3rd century coins was further integrated with the recently published volume on the Cunetio and Normanby hoards (Bland 2018).
- B.2.4 Following identification, the assemblage was divided according to Richard Reece's chronological periods (1995) and subsequently formatted for statistical and comparative analysis following the *per mill value* methodology whereby the total number of coins in each of Reece's periods is divided by the total number of coins in the assemblage, and multiplied by 1000 (Walton 2010, 50).
- B.2.5 The works of Philippa Walton (2011), Richard Reece (1995 and 2002) and *The Rural Settlement of Roman Britain: an online resource* (Allen *et al* 2015) provided background information for discussion of the assemblage.
- B.2.6 Coins both from excavation and samples were quantified using an Access database. A single Excel spreadsheet was used to enter details and measurements of each single coin; this database was interrogated to compile statistics. All coins were counted, weighed and classified by context. The catalogue is organised by context number. A summary catalogue of the Excel database is included below (Table 42).

Factual data

B.2.7 Half of the assemblage was metal-detected from top- and sub-soil. The remaining coins were recovered from archaeological features, namely ditches and layers (Table 39).

Feature	Quantity	%		
ditch	20	37.74%		
layer	4	7.55%		
sub-soil	24	45.28%		



top-soil	5	9.43%		
Total	53	100.00%		

Table 39. Quantity of coins by feature/deposit type

B.2.8 The majority of coins from phased contexts were recovered from Middle to Late Roman contexts attributed to Phases 2.3-2.5 (Table 40).

Phase	Quantity	%
0	29	54.72%
2.1	1	1.89%
2.3	5	9.43%
2.4	1	1.89%
2.5	13	24.53%
3	4	7.55%
Total	53	100.00%

- B.2.9 The earliest identified coin is a silver denarius of Elagabalus dating to AD 221. However, three poorly preserved and unidentified coins can be attributed to the late 1st or 2nd century, corroborating a possible Early/Middle Roman foundation of the settlement. However, there is no numismatic evidence of a pre-Boudiccan activity on the site.
- B.2.10 The latest coin is a REPARATIO REI PVB (SF125) dating to AD 379-387. In addition, the clipped siliqua (SF66) is of a kind that may have been circulating into the early or mid-5th century.
- B.2.11 The assemblage shows an increasing loss of coins between *c*. AD 260-75, followed by a drop in the very late 3rd century. The 3rd century is followed by a phase of a slowly increasing quantity of lost coins, reaching its peak in the second half of the 4th century during the Valentinianic period (Table 41; Fig. B.2.1).

Chronology	Archaeological feature				
Reece period	ditch	layer	sub-soil	top-soil	Total
7 (138-161)	-	-	1	-	1
10 (193-222)	-	1	-	-	1
13 (260-75)	-	-	5	1	6
14 (275-96)	2	-	1	-	3
15 (296-317)	1	-	-	-	1
16 (317-330)	-	-	3	-	3
17 (330-348)	3	-	1	-	4
18 (348-364)	4	1	2	-	7
19 (364-378)	1	1	5	-	7
20 (378-388)	1	-	-	-	1
unidentified	8	1	5	4	18
Total	20	4	24	5	53

Table 31. The coin assemblage subdivided by Reece's periods and deposit/feature type




Fig. B.2.1. Per mill value (after Walton 2011) profile of the Upware assemblage

Discussion

- B.2.12 The coin from period 7 (AD 138-161) was found in the top-soil, while the coin from period 10 (AD 193-222) was recovered from a layer assigned to Phase 2.5, a late Roman deposit, suggesting that it was residual. The reliability of the numismatic evidence for the early centuries of the Roman period settlement at Upware therefore needs to be interpreted with caution and, despite the presence of these coins (which could have circulated during periods 7 and 10), a later date for their loss/deposition is possible.
- B.2.13 A successful agricultural policy may explain the increase in the coin loss during period 13 (AD 260-275), despite the political and military instability of those years - which appears to not have affected the settlement of Upware. A similar trend is documented in the coin assemblage from other sites in the region, as at West Row, Suffolk, while elsewhere, such as at Waterbeach Waste Management Park, such an increase appears only later in period 14 (AD 275-296) (Fig. B.2.2).
- B.2.14 Similarly, the peak of coin loss in period 17-19 (AD 330-378) provides possible evidence of successful agricultural production in the area during the mid-4th century AD. The Upware per mill value profile exhibits a different result from those recorded at nearby sites including Waterbeach, Arbury and West Row in Suffolk all within a 30km of radius from the site (Fig. B.2.2). At Waterbeach and West Row a peak is evident in period 17 (AD 330-348) followed by a drop in periods 18 (AD 348-364), 19 (AD 364-378) and 20 (AD 378-388). In Upware, the positive phase began in period 16 (AD 317-330) and increased to finally reach its apex in period 18, continuing in period 19. This can be explained by a longer period of agricultural wealth and monetary exchange compared to other nearby centres. The late 4th century positive phase in Upware is also reflected by the good quality and relatively high status of the copper-alloy assemblage (see Appendix B.1).
- B.2.15 On a national scale, the pattern observed in Upware between periods 17 and 19 reflects the tendency of coin loss documented in farms and villa sites across the country (Walton 2011, 186-187, fig. 63). This tendency is interpreted as a general



abandonment of urbanised contexts in favour of rural settlements in the late 4th century followed by a return to towns in period 21 (AD 388-402) (Walton 2011, 73).

- B.2.16 At Upware, the dramatic drop in coin loss in period 20 and the lack of numismatic evidence for period 21, confirm the suggestion of a shift from rural-focused monetary economy to more nucleated and urban contexts, possibly centred in *Duroliponte* (Cambridge).
- B.2.17 Finally, it is thought that clipped 4th century siliquae circulated from the second half of the 4th century, accounting for 29% of the assemblage in period 18 (AD 348-64), 42% in period 19 (AD 364-78) 56% in period 20 (AD 378-88) to reach 78% in period 21 (AD 388-402) (Walton 2011, 199-200). The lack of coins from period 21 suggests that the clipped siliqua of Constantine II dating to AD 353-60 (SF66) was probably lost between periods 19 and 21, however, its circulation could have extended into the early decades of the 5th century.



Figure B.2.2. Comparative per mill value profiles of coin assemblages from Upware, Waterbeach, Arbury and West Row.

Data for these comparative sites have been taken from the Roman Rural Settlement Project Online Resource (Allen et al 2015). Specific site references (not consulted for this report) are as follows: Waterbeach (Waste Management Park), Ranson 2008, Slater 2009, Tabor 2010; Arbury, Dickens and Collins 2011; West Row (Beeches Road), Gill 2001, Muldowney 2010, Craven 2010, Brooks 2012.

Table 42. (Below) Catalogue of the coins



SF no.	Contex t	Site phase	Feature	Denom.	Alloy	Min Date	Max Date	Reece Period	Authority	Ob. description	Obv. legend	Rev. description	Rev. legend	Wt (g)	Diam (mm	Thick (mm)
1	51	0	sub-soil	AE4	CuA	347	348	17	Constans	pearl-diademed, draped, cuirassed bust right	CONSTAN-S PF AVG	two Victories standing facing with wreaths held aloft, D in centre	VICTORIAE DD AVGGQ NN	1.34	16.3	3
2	51	0	sub-soil	radiate	CuA	275	285	14	Barabrous Radiate	illegible	illegible	illegible	illegible	1.39	16	2.3
4	51	0	sub-soil	AE3	CuA	322	325	16	Constantine I	laureate head right	CONSTAN- TINVS AVG	Victory advancing right, holding trophy on right arm, branch in left hand, spurning captive seated on ground right, head turned back	[SARMATIA- DE]VICTA	2.81	22.1	3.1
6	60	2.1	ditch	AE3	CuA			Unid.	Uncertain Ruler,	illegible	illegible	illegible	illegible	1.41	18.3	0.3
8	80	2.3	ditch	AE4	CuA	355	361	18	Constans II copy	illegible	illegible	illegible	illegible	0.91	10.1	1.1
9	51	0	sub-soil	AE3 radiate	CuA	275	300	Unid.	Uncertain Ruler,	bust facing right	illegible	illegible	illegible	1.39	17.1	0.5
10	51	0	sub-soil	AE3 nummus	CuA	364	378	19	Valentinian I	pearl-diademed, draped, cuirassed bust right	[VAL]ENTINI ANU[S	Victory advancing left, holding wreath and palm	illegible SECVRITAS REI PVBLICAE	1.24	12.9	1.1
11	51	0	sub-soil	AE4 nummus	CuA	364	378	19	ValentinianI; Valens; Gratian;	pearl-diademed, draped, cuirassed bust right	illegible	Victory advancing left, holding wreath and palm	illegible SECVRITAS REI PVBLICAE	1.31	12.1	2.6
40	51	0	sub-soil	AE4nummus	CuA	330	400	Unid.	Uncertain Ruler,	illegible	illegible	illegible	illegible	0.73	12.9	1.1
43	51	0	sub-soil	AE antoninianu s	CuA	271	274	13	Tetricus I	radiate draped bust right	[IMP C TETRIC]VS P F AVG	Spes advancing left holding flower and raising hem of skirt	[SPES P]VBLICA	2.21	17.4	1.7
45	51	0	sub-soil	AE4 nummus	CuA	364	378	19	ValentinianI; Valens; Gratian	pearl-diademed, draped, cuirassed bust right	illegible	?Victory advancing left, holding wreath and palm	illegible SECVRITAS REI PVBLICAE	2.3	15.8	1.8
47	51	0	sub-soil	AE3 radiate	CuA	271	274	13	Uncertain Ruler,	bust radiate facing right	illegible	illegible	illegible	2.58	19.8	1.3
50	51	0	sub-soil	AE3 nummus	CuA	330	400	Unid.	Uncertain Ruler,	pearl-diademed, draped, cuirassed bust right	illegible	illegible	illegible	1.11	12.5	1.6
54	51	0	sub-soil	AE3 nummus	CuA	321	324	16	Constantine I, Crispus, Constantine II	illegible	illegible	Globe on altar, inscribed VO/TIS XX or VOT/IS XX; above three stars	[BEATA TRAN- QVILLITAS]	3.22	17.8	1.2
55	51	0	sub-soil	AE4	CuA	364	378	19	Valentinianl; Valens; Gratian	illegible	illegible	?Victory advancing left, holding wreath and palm	illegible SECVRITAS REI PVBLICAE	1.43	11.1	2.1
56	51	0	sub-soil	AE 2 nummus	CuA	250	400	Unid.	Uncertain Ruler,	illegible	illegible	illegible	illegible	4.55	20.2	1.2
58	51	0	sub-soil	AE3 nummus	CuA	324	329	16	Helena	pearl-diademed, draped, cuirassed bust right	illegible	illegible SECVRITAS REI PVBLICE	illegible	2.64	18.3	1.5

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd



SF no.	Contex t	Site phase	Feature	Denom.	Alloy	Min Date	Max Date	Reece Period	Authority	Ob. description	Obv. legend	Rev. description	Rev. legend	Wt (g)	Diam (mm)	Thick (mm)
61	51	0	sub-soil	AE 1 penny	CuA	172 9	172 9	0	George II	Laureate bust left	GEORGIUS II REX	Seating Britannia	BRITANNIA 1729	8.72	28.4	1.4
64	51	0	sub-soil	AE3 nummus	CuA	355	361	18	Uncertain Ruler,	pearl-diademed, draped, cuirassed bust right	illegible	Possibly Soldier spearing horseman	illegible ?FEL TEMP REPARATIO	1.57	14.9	1.1
66	51	0	sub-soil	siliqua	Ag	353	360	18	Constantius II	pearl-diademed, draped, cuirassed bust right	DN CONSTAN- TIVS PF AVG	VOTIS XXX MVLTIS XXXX within wreath. Mintmark	1.28	14.1	0.7	
67	51	0	sub-soil	AE1 Sestertius	CuA	50	260	Unid.	Uncertain Ruler,	illegible	illegible	illegible	illegible	20.3 9	32.9	4.1
71	297	3	ditch	AE2 nummus	CuA	352	353	18	Constantius II	pearl-diademed, draped, cuirassed bust right	[DN CONSTAN]TIV S PF AVG	Chi-Rho flanked by A and w	[SALVS AVG NO]STRI	5.06	24.1	1.4
81	51	0	sub-soil	sestertius	CuA	117	192	7	Uncertain Ruler,	laureate head right	[] VS []	standing figure	illegible	4.69	20.3	1.8
82	51	0	sub-soil	AE antoninianu s	CuA	271	274	13	Tetricus I	radiate, cuirassed bust right	illegible [IMP C TETRICVS P F AVG]	Victory advancing left, holding wreath and palm	illgible VICTO-R- IA AVG	2.82	17.1	1.3
86	51	0	sub-soil	AE antoninianu s	CuA	260	269	13	Postumus	radiate, draped and cuirassed bust right	illegible	standing left figure, holding caduceus and possibly cornucopiae	[]AUG[]	1.79	16.8	1.3
87	51	0	sub-soil	AE3 radiate	CuA	286	293	13	Carasius	radiate, draped and cuirassed bust right	IMP C CARA[VSIVS PF AVG]	figure standing right	illegible	3.27	19.7	1.2
89	51	0	sub-soil	AE3 nummus	CuA	364	378	19	ValentinianI; Valens; Gratian	pearl-diademed, draped, cuirassed bust right	illegible	Victory advancing left, holding wreath and palm	illegible	1.84	12.1	1.1
91	431	2.5	layer	Denarius	Ag	221	221	10	Elagabalus	laureate head right	IMP ANTONINUS PIVS AVG	Elagabalus standing left by altar, holding club or cypress branch upright, star in left field	PM TR P IIII COS III P	2.79	19.4	1.8
96	411	2.5	ditch	AE3 nummus	CuA	300	400	unid.	Uncertain Ruler,	illegible	illegible	illegible	illegible	2.27	16.1	1.8
104	673	2.5	ditch	AE3 nummus?	CuA	250	350	unid.	Uncertain Ruler,	illegible	illegible	illegible	illegible	2.56	21.8	0.9
109	382	2.3	ditch	AE3 nummus	CuA	364	378	19	Valens, Valentinian I	bust facing right	VAL[]	illegible	illegible	1.64	17.8	1.1
113	524	2.5	ditch	AE4 nummus	CuA	275	285	14	Uncertain Ruler,	illegible	illegible	illegible	illegible	0.69	13.1	1.1
115	412	2.5	ditch	AE3 nummus	CuA	330	335	17	Constantine I, Constantine II, ConstantiusII , Constans	illegible	illegible	two soldiers and two standards	illegible GLORIA EXERCITVS	3.5	17.4	2.2
123	522	2.4	layer	AE3 nummus	CuA	300	400	unid.	Uncertain Ruler.	illegible	illegible	illegible	illegible	2	17.1	1.4

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd

4 December 2020



V.1

SF no.	Contex t	Site phase	Feature	Denom.	Alloy	Min Date	Max Date	Reece Period	Authority	Ob. description	Obv. legend	Rev. description	Rev. legend	Wt (g)	Diam (mm)	Thick (mm)
124	430	2.5	layer	AE4 nummus	CuA	355	361	18	Uncertain Ruler,	illegible	illegible	illegible FEL TEMP copy	illegible	0.75	8.6	1.6
125	430	2.5	ditch	AE3 nummus	CuA	379	387	20	Gratian, Valentinian II, Theodosius I, Magnus Maximus	pearl-diademed, draped and cuirassed bust righ	illegible	emperor standing front, offering hand to kneeling woman on left	illegible ?REPARATIO REIPVB	2.11	16.3	1.7
128	430	2.5	layer	AE3 nummus	CuA	364	378	19	Valens	pearl-diademed, draped, cuirassed bust right	DN VALEN-S PF AVG	Victory walking left holding wreath and palm branch	SECVRITAS REI PVBLICAE	1.76	17.1	0.9
129	430	2.5	ditch	AE4 nummus	CuA	355	361	18	Constans II copy	pearl-diademed, draped and cuirassed bust right	FEL TEMP REPARATIO copy	illegible	illegible	0.95	9.1	1.4
130	430	2.5	ditch	AE4 nummus	CuA	330	400	unid.	Uncertain Ruler,	illegible	illegible	illegible	illegible	1.03	11.8	2.1
133	617	2.5	ditch	AE3 sestertius	CuA	138	161	unid.	?Antoninus Pius					7.86	22.1	2.4
136	603	2.3	ditch	AE2 nummus	CuA	310	316	15	Constantine I; MaximinusII; LiciniusI	illegible	illegible	Mars standing facing left	[MARTI CONSERV]ATOR I	1.68	20.3	1.5
139	617	2.5	ditch	AE4 nummus	CuA	335	341	17	Constantine I and II, Constantiu sII, Constans, Delmatius	pearl-diademed, draped, cuirassed bust right	illegible	two soldiers and one standard	[GLORIA EX]ERC[ITVS]	0.95	14.1	1.1
144	617	2.5	ditch	AE3	CuA	347	348	17	ConstantiusII and Constans	pearl-diademed, draped and cuirassed bust right	illegible	Two Victories standing facing each other, holding wreaths ?E between victories	[VICTORIA A]VG[GG]	1.51	16.2	1.2
152	297	3	ditch	AE4?radiate	CuA	275	285	14	Uncertain Ruler,	bust, radiate? Facing right	illegible	illegible	illegible	0.67	11.9	0.9
153	286	2.3	ditch	AE3 nummus	CuA	300	400	unid.	Uncertain Ruler,	illegible	illegible	illegible	illegible	2.17	16.8	1.5
155	297	3	ditch	AE4 nummus	CuA	300	400	unid.	Uncertain Ruler,	illegible	illegible	illegible	illegible	0.89	11.3	1.2
157	297	3	ditch	AE4 nummus	CuA	330	400	unid.	Uncertain Ruler,	pearl-diademed, draped and cuirassed bust right	illegible	illegible	illegible	1.49	13.9	1.1
158	99999	0	top-soil	AE4 nummus	CuA	300	400	unid.	Uncertain Ruler,	illegible	illegible	illegible	illegible	2	13.8	2.1
184	99999	0	top-soil	AE2 nummus	CuA	300	400	unid.	Uncertain Ruler,	illegible	illegible	illegible	illegible	2.43	18.1	2.1
185	482	2.3	ditch	AE3 nummus	CuA	348	351	18	ConstantiusII and Constans	illegible	illegible	Phoenix standing right on rocky mound	FEL [TEMP REPARATIO]	1.22	16.3	0.9
186	99999	0	top-soil	AE3 nummus	CuA	300	400	unid.	Uncertain Ruler,	illegible	illegible	illegible	illegible	2.81	17.1	1.3

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd



SF no.	Contex t	Site phase	Feature	Denom.	Alloy	Min Date	Max Date	Reece Period	Authority	Ob. description	Obv. legend	Rev. description	Rev. legend	Wt (g)	Diam (mm)	Thick (mm)
187	99999	0	top-soil	AE3 ?radiate	CuA	275	300	unid.	Uncertain Ruler,	illegible	illegible	illegible	illegible	2.1	17.4	1.6
TB C	99999	0	top-soil	AE Double Sestertius	CuA	260	261	13	Postumus	laureate, draped and cuirassed bust right	IMP C POSTVMVS P F AVG	Victory advancing left, foot on bound captive, holding wreath and palm	VICT-OR-I AVG	16.2 2	28.3	3.1



B.3 Flint

By Rona Booth

Introduction

- B.3.1 A total of 109 worked flints (Table 43) and 21 pieces (0.248kg, Table 44) of unworked burnt flint were recovered during the excavation. The flint assemblage has been fully catalogued by context using a simple technological/typological classification, and summary catalogues are provided below.
- B.3.2 Of the group, 44 struck flints and ten unworked burnt flints (0.099 kg) were retrieved from either the topsoil (unstratified), the subsoil (context 51), or from archaeological and geological horizons (contexts 430, 522, 757, 807, 815 and 406) across the site. An additional 65 worked flints and 11 unworked burnt flints (0.149 kg) were recovered from a total of 55 cut features.
- B.3.3 The struck flint was generally thinly distributed, occurring in small clusters of between one and six flints. The unworked burnt flint was recovered from 11 separate cut features in total, and again was thinly distributed.

Results and characterisation

- B.3.4 The raw material mainly consists of mid to dark grey fine-grained flint. Occasional pieces of coarser material also occur. The raw material varies in quality and ranges from fresh and unpatinated to moderately edge damaged, as might be expected from material incorporated into later features. A substantial proportion of the flint exhibits either partial or full recortication. This varies from blue-white to off white in colour. Approximately half the struck flint retained cortical surfaces. These pieces consist mainly of secondary flakes.
- B.3.5 Over 80% of the total struck flint assemblage consists of secondary and tertiary flakes and other diagnostic pieces, and includes 13 retouched items, cores and core fragments. Among the retouched items are three arrowheads.
- B.3.6 The overall assemblage can be assigned to a date range from the Mesolithic to the Early/Mid Neolithic by the presence of narrow flakes, blade like flakes and flakes that exhibit narrow flake scars. A later (later Neolithic to Early Bronze Age) element is almost certainly present, in the form of three thick stubby flakes with plain platforms.
- B.3.7 A total of 13 retouched pieces were recovered from individual contexts, including the aforementioned arrowheads and a notched flake. The more informally retouched items are largely made on blade-like flakes and blades, potentially making them Late Mesolithic to Early Neolithic in date. The cores can also be assigned a broad Late Mesolithic/Early Neolithic date.
- B.3.8 Pit 153 (Phase 2.1) produced an Early Neolithic leaf-shaped arrowhead, with the retouch confined to the edges, although a fresh break means only the tip is present. Two transverse arrowheads of Middle to Late Neolithic date were recovered from ditches 513 and 739 (Phases 2.4 and 2.5 respectively). The example from ditch 739 is



a chisel arrowhead and conforms to Clark's (1934b) type C2: it has damage to its leading edge. The example from ditch **513** conforms less to the type but is almost certainly a chisel arrowhead. These forms are typical of the later Neolithic and often associated with Peterborough Ware or Grooved Ware pottery assemblages.

Discussion

- B.3.9 A moderately sized assemblage of worked and unworked burnt flint was recovered during the excavation. This was widely distributed across the site and occurred as residual material in the fills of cut features, which dated to the Roman period.
- B.3.10 However, strongly diagnostic material within the assemblage demonstrates a significant prehistoric presence at the site, the bulk of which can be attributed to the Mesolithic and earlier Neolithic. A smaller component of Middle to Late Neolithic and potentially Bronze Age material was also recovered.
- B.3.11 Despite its relatively small size, this assemblage is of some significance as it adds to the rich corpus of information pertaining to Mesolithic and Neolithic activity in Upware and its environs. Evidence for Mesolithic activity (CHER 06896), consisting of cores, flakes, blades and microliths, exists in Upware itself, at land just north of Old School Lane, although substantial assemblages of Mesolithic and Neolithic activity occur in the immediate region, especially along the fen edge (Billington 2016).



ext		ire type	ılar Waste	ary Flake	ıdary Flake	ary Flake	ary Blade Like	ndary blade/let	ary blade/let	vhead	ned flake	ned blade	rched		fragment	rejuvenation flake	worked
Conte	nt	eatu	rregu	rima	Secor	[erti	Ferti	Secor	Ferti a	Arrov	Notch	Votch	Setoi	Core	Core	Core	Fotal
	Ŭ	unstrat	6		4	2		0,	5	1	1	~		1	3	0	22
51	107	subsoil			2	1	2		1							1	6
108	107	ditch				1				1							1
175	174	ditch					1			T							1
247	245	cremation			1												1
307	306	ditch				1										1	2
308	309	pit			1			1	1								3
320	319	ditch											1				1
337	336	ditch							1					1			1
350	349	ditch					1		1								1
361	360	pit				1	-										1
383	382	ditch			1									1			2
393	392	ditch				1											1
394	392	ditch			1												1
400	399	ditch	1				2										3
406	407	natural	2		4	1							1				6
410	407	lavor				1							1	1			
430	434	ditch											1	T			1
439	434	ditch											1				1
444	435	ditch			1								1				2
460	456	well			2							1					3
463	456	well				2											2
507	506	ditch							1								1
516	513	ditch		1	1					1							1
522	539	ditch		1	1	1											2
566	565	ditch				1		1									1
603	601	ditch							1								1
617	756	ditch	1														1
645	644	ditch				1											1
657	639	ditch			1												1
659	658	ditch	2		1		1										3
661	658	ditch	1		1												2
668	666	nit	1														1
688	674	ditch	-										1		1	1	3
695	676	ditch				1											1
713	712	ditch	1										1				2
723	720	ditch				1											1
726	724	ditch			1					1							1
740	/39	floor				1				1							1
775	774	nost nine				1										1	1
807	,,,	layer			1	1			1	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	2	-	5
815		masonry			1												1
835	787	ditch											1				1
875	873	pit			1												1
876	873	pit	1		1	1											3
880 910	8/9 906	pit ditch	1		1												1
922	920	ditch				1											1
		Totals	18	1	26	17	7	2	11	3	1	1	8	4	6	4	109

Table 43. Catalogue of worked flint



Cont.	Feat.	Feat Type	Count	Weight (g)
No.	No.			
		unstrat	2	3
51		sub	5	77
100	99	ditch	1	18
105	104	ditch	1	-
156	155	ditch	1	9
326	325	pit	1	13
352	351	ditch	1	2
363	362	ditch	1	3
400	399	ditch	1	49
488	487	ditch	1	11
522	J4	layer	1	10
659	658	ditch	1	8
779	778	post pipe	1	9
807		layer	2	9
856	855	ditch	1	27
		Total	21	248

Table 44. Cata	logue o	f unworked	burnt flint
----------------	---------	------------	-------------

B.4 Shale

- By Denis Sami
- B.4.1 A single shale object was identified as a spindle-whorl (SF149, context 687, Ditch **526**, intervention **672**; Phase 2.5). The item is likely to date from the Roman period.

SF. No.	Context	Feature. Type	Artefact	Desc.	Thickness (mm)	Diameter (mm)	Weight (g)	Date
149	687	Ditch	spindle	a plano-convex spindle whorl with	11.2	36.2	17.6	RM
		526	whorl	central circular hole (6.9 mm)			8	

Table 45. Shale spindle whorl

B.5 Worked Stone and Building Stone

By Simon Timberlake

Introduction

B.5.1 A total of 83.03 kg (139 pieces) of stone were recovered from this excavation; of which 52.38kg (22 pieces) consisted of worked stone (quern, millstone, whetstone and hammerstone), another 29.91 kg (90 pieces) consisted of building stone (wall stone, rubblestone and roof slate), and just 0.74 kg (x27 pieces) consisted of burnt stone (unworked). The remainder of the walling stone remained *in situ* and was examined by Dr Simon King who described the composition as more than 99% Upware limestone with negligible amounts of local greensand containing coproliths, imported re-used Hertfordshire puddingstone and flint. The Upware limestone was probably not quarried but rather gathered as field pickings from the immediate vicinity (S. King pers. comm.). Some shaping may have occurred to provide stones of an appropriate size.



Methodology

B.5.2 All the stone was identified visually using an illuminated x10 magnifying lens and was compared where necessary with an archaeological worked stone reference collection. A petrographic description was undertaken of the lithology of the large rotary quern with a view to sourcing it, but rather than destructive thin section analysis, this was compared in hand specimen with other similar quern material. This proved to be adequate for identification purposes. A dropper bottle containing dilute hydrochloric acid was used to confirm the presence or absence of calcitic cement in the rock.

Worked Stone

Querns and Millstones

- B.5.3 The most significant find from this assemblage was the complete but small (0.54 m diameter) upper millstone made of Folkestone Greensand found in the subsoil during machine stripping in the south-west corner of the Northern Area. Alongside the slightly smaller beehive-shaped handmill quern types, these were quarried from the Folkestone Sand that outcrops upon the foreshore at Copt Point, East Wear Bay near Folkestone (Keller 1989). Here, the production of millstones probably post-dates the main period of manufacture of beehive-type querns (almost all of which took place before AD 100 (Green 2016, 2, 17) and, as such, a production date during the 2nd century AD seems likely for the Upware stones. The complete millstone from the site (SF 140) possesses seven harps of furrow dressing, all of which are quite worn, the form of these suggesting local (yet poor quality) re-dressing of the grind surface.
- B.5.4 A small fragment of an upper stone from yet another millstone pair was identified from a different context (664) from post-pad **663** (Phase 2.4). This millstone is in a worn condition, without any trace of furrows, and interestingly the thinned and broken fragment seems then to have been re-used as a small slab saddle quern in the more traditional Iron Age style. This was the only saddle quern recovered from this site, and as such it represents an anomalous find. Its position in a Roman feature identified as a post-pad suggests a tertiary use as a footing for a post in combination with the Upware limestones also found in this feature.
- B.5.5 Another fragment believed to be from the rim of a 'Hunsbury-type' beehive quern made from the same lithological bed of the Folkestone Greensand was recovered from layer 719 (=430), a layer of midden containing rubble not derived from the extant building footings. The presence of this item, alongside the puddingstone quern, suggests a long currency of Romano-British occupation and settlement at Upware, as does the re-use of quern followed by its re-cycling as building material.
- B.5.6 Three fragments of burnt and/or broken-up low-domed beehive quern made of Hertfordshire Puddingstone (a silcrete conglomerate from the uppermost Palaeocene Upnor Formation that was quarried from Late Iron age to Roman times at sites such as Collier's End in Hertfordshire; see Lovell & Tubb 2006) were recovered from contexts 474 (fill of Phase 2.1 Ditch **317**), layer 719 (=807; Phase 2.5) and the building footings (815), in which it seems to have been recycled as walling material. The diameters of these querns (300-350mm) are typically smaller than the other rotaries, yet these

V.1

querns were finely made. As a rule, these pre-date the main introduction of the flat gritstone querns, the production of the former all but ceasing by AD 150. One of the broken-up querns from layer 719 shows the negative relief of part of a U-shaped feed pipe or hopper, and as such this resembles Curwen's (1941; Fig.17) 'Hunsbury-type' puddingstone quern from St Albans (Ingle 1994). Before AD 100 within Cambridgeshire, puddingstone querns are more common than the Kentish greensand ones within the south and east areas of the county.

- B.5.7 Over 10.5kg (14 pieces) of the quernstone recovered from the Upware Romano-British settlement consisted of gritstone (Millstone Grit var. Chatsworth Grit?) imported from the Peak District of the Southern Pennines; probably from extraction sites at Wharnecliffe Crag or Rivelin near Sheffield (Challis & Harding 1975; Wright 1988). The date for the use of these discoid-type rotary quern handmills at this site is most likely from the end of the 1st century AD to the 3rd century AD, corresponding with that of the millstone or possibly preceding it.
- B.5.8 Almost all of these quernstones are worn and broken up, and in most cases burnt. The degree of wear from the liberation of grit during use resulted in their becoming very heavily concentrically scored. Many of these discarded stones seem then to have been recycled as building stone.

Whetstone

- B.5.9 At least four of the fragments of worn, broken-up and discarded Millstone Grit quernstone (up to 7kg in total) appear to have been re-used opportunistically as whetstones for the purpose of knife-sharpening. The use of these items for the sharpening of small domestic knives is very evident on account of the many narrow grooves cut along the top and bottom edges of some of these pieces of stone in the act of alternately sharpening, then roughening the blade edges. One piece (SF 19; Fig. 26) with 16 knife grooves upon it seems to have been very well used; the originally flattish top is now worn concave and smooth as a result of the honing of the knife sides.
- B.5.10 Whilst the opportunistic use of suitable broken-up quernstone for this purpose is not rare, the extent of such use in this particular instance is, implying a shortage of, or dispensing with, the more typical Roman whetstone types sourced (Allen 2014). Most manufactured Roman whetstones were made from the Devonian Old Red Sandstone, Pennant Sandstone of the Coal Measures, Wealden Sandstone, Lower Greensand and Sarsens.

Hammerstone

B.5.11 A single hammerstone from context 83 (ditch 82, Phase 2.3; SF 20), made from a small quartzite pebble (0.38 kg) and possessing flat worked pounding facets at either end, appears to have been used as a small crushing tool or pestle. This fits neatly into the palm of the hand, and as such may well have been used in conjunction with a small mortar. In all probability this tool, which is probably prehistoric in date, was then reused, or simply re-deposited in a later feature.



V.1

Cont.	S.F.	Frag.	Dimensions	Wt (kg)	Upper/	Est. Diam	Туре	Geology	Note
140.	110.	110.		(15)	stone	(mm)			
51	19	1	140x110x70	1.62	?	-	?rotary disc quern	Millstone Grit (fine gr sstn)	B . Re-used as anvil/mortar + whetstone (16 knife sharpening grooves) ⁵ *
51	-	1	120x80x32	0.47	upper?	480	rotary disc quern	Millstone Grit	Broken frag re-used as whetstone (5 knife grooves) *
51	140	1	540-530x30-70	35.3	upper	540	millstone	Folkestone Greensand	Complete. Possibly re-cut and worn furrows (7 harps) 4*
83	20	1	75x65x43	0.38	n/a	n/a	hammerstone	metaquartzite erratic cobble	B. Used at both ends. ?prehistoric*
176	37	1	60x40x65	0.166	?	-	rotary disc quern	Millstone Grit (fine gr sstn)	Re-used as whetstone (from same quern as <19>) ⁵
474	-	1	150x130x90	2.48	lower?	350?	beehive quern	Hertfordshire Puddingstone	
664	-	1	230x130x30-50	1.76	upper?	500+	millstone	Folkestone Greensand	Frag re-used as saddlequern ⁵ *
687a	-	1	100x70x25-28	0.21	lower?	480+	rotary disc quern	Millstone Grit	B . Point dressed on underside ³
687b	150	8	220x180x30-40	5.185	lower	550	rotary disc quern	Millstone Grit	B. Segmented radial furrows re-used as knife grooves (whetstone) ^{3-4*}
688	151	1	200x150x50-60	2.781	lower	520	rotary disc quern	Millstone Grit	B . Rotational wear grooves + point dressed ⁵
719a	-	1	70x40x40	0.128	upper	450	beehive quern	Folkestone Greensand	B. Rim fragment, well worn ⁴
719b	-	1	85x45x20	0.153	upper	520	rotary disc quern	Millstone Grit	B . Rotational wear grooves, worn ⁵
719c	-	1	75x120x110	1.612	upper	350	beehive quern	Hertfordshire Puddingstone	B . Has c.55mm diam feed-pipe (Curwen type Fig.17) ⁴
815	-	2	60 + 40	0.14	upper	300+	beehive quern	Hertfordshire Puddingstone	B. Re-used in wall

Table 46. Catalogue of querns, millstones and other worked stone

Grind surface:1 = little or no wear; 2 = minor wear (patchy); 3 = flattened ridges; 4 = more extensive wear (flattened with some polish); 5 = finely ground polish and rotational grooving (e.g. internal rims of pot querns); B = burnt



Building Stone

- B.5.12 This assemblage consists mostly of coarse (roughly-fashioned) building stone which shows few if any signs of tooling or the preparation of faces. However, the shaping and sizing of some of these pieces (which includes the working of right-angled faces) can be seen, particularly within some of the imported bioclastic limestones, which includes perhaps Barnack Ragstone and still other Roman quarry sources within the Lincolnshire Limestone (of Rutland/ Northamptonshire). The broad category of stone use/types identified are as follows: shaped freestone and wall-facing stone fashioned from various hard skeletal-cemented bioclastic-oosparite limestones such as from the Inferior Oolite, Lincolnshire Limestone (10.76 kg), rubblestone and packstone used for interior fills of walls and foundations and as post-packing (15.31 kg), and stone roofing slate such as from the Collyweston Slate of Northamptonshire(2.83 kg).
- B.5.13 The majority of these stones were only present as fragments, and may therefore be the result of the working of these on site during the construction of the accompanying Roman building. This is particularly the case with the assemblage of Collyweston slate. There were no complete, or even partially intact slates, and no peg holes were visible (Fig. 26). However, this completely fails to explain why most of the fragments were burnt, as were some fragments of walling stone. It is possible, therefore, that the remains of a burnt-down building became scattered in layers 430/719 in particular, with isolated stones appearing in other contexts. Burning *in situ* may help to explain why the roof slates appear more burnt and broken-up than the wall stone, the latter more typically being associated with sooting (soot stains) rather than the shattering of the rock.
- B.5.14 In view of the Roman production of Swithland Slate in Leicestershire, the distribution of Collyweston Slate during the 2nd 3rd century AD tends to be skewed southwards rather than to the north. Collyweston slate was certainly the most common, if not the only stone roofing slate used in Roman Cambridgeshire. However, given the lack of surviving slates from Upware, it is only possible to compare the thickness (*i.e.* the splitting dimensions) of these with those from other sites. In fact, the average thickness of those found here (between 10-15cm) is fairly standard for Roman stone-roofed buildings, although they are thinner than the average (15-20cm) found within the more intact assemblages (*e.g.* at North-West Cambridge Site VII, see Timberlake in Evans, C. in prep.).
- B.5.15 Local Corallian Limestone (Upware Limestone an oolitic bioclastite) was used for the rubblestone cores of the building walls and also as walling stone upon the outside/interior (both included in wall footing 815). This is suggested by the lenticular shaping of the blocks (at 230-240mm x 130mm) which seem to be size-equivalent to some of the Barnack Rag/unidentified Lincolnshire Limestone blocks (<260cm x 160cm) which have probably been crudely-cut as quoins. The Corallian Limestone outcrop at Upware (best exposed within the Dimmock's Cote quarry) consists of both shelly oolitic facies and a harder calcitic coralline limestone containing *Isastrea* sp.coral (known collectively as the West Walton Beds, see Gallois 1988, 24). Examples of both these rocks can be seen within the assemblage of building stone(s) listed below (Table



47). It is interesting, therefore, that several poorly-worked, and not necessarily good quality limestones, have also been imported for use here; suggesting a reliance on traditional sources, but not necessarily an intention to build a building to a high level or standard of finish.

B.5.16 An as yet unidentified limestone which appears similar to Barnack Rag, but which is composed of a shell debris with very little oolitic content (and most likely therefore Lincolnshire Limestone from the South Lincolnshire/ Northants. region) dominates this small assemblage of imported stone. Accompanying this is a single piece of probable Ketton (but possibly Weldon) stone (see Parsons 1990), plus some other types of coarse local stone (used as rubblestone/packstone) which includes both the local Greensand (Woburn Sands), the junction pebble bed which overlies the Upware Limestone, and fragments of large septaria or 'doggers' derived from the Kimmeridge Clay which lies close to Ely, thus just to the north of the present area.



V.1

Feat.	Cont.	S.F.	Frag.	Dimensions	Wt (kg)	Description	Geology	Use
No.	No.	No.	No.					
-	51	-	1	120x130x15	0.389	fissile lmstn. WB	Collyweston Slate	roofing slate *
-	51	-	1	115x130x40	0.72	weathered bioclastic Imstn.	Corallian	rubblestone (wall -fill) *
-	51	-	1	110x70x45	0.7	weathered septarian nodule.	U. Jurassic Kimmeridge	rough walling stone?
-	51	-	1	200x160x50	2.98	crudely-worked oolitic limestone. WB	Lincs Lmstn – Ketton?	imported walling stone
-	127	-	1	100x50x10	0.119	fissile lmstn. WB	Collyweston Slate	roofing slate
-	154	28	1	260x160x100	6.5	rough faced and squared bioclastic Imstn WB	Lower Lincs Lmstn ≠ Barnack?	imported building stone (crude quoins)
-	288	-	1	30	0.021	bioclastic limestone. B	Lower Lincs Lmstn (= <28>?)	very burnt-?lining of furnace/hearth*
-	288	-	1	85x80x40	0.339	bioclastic limestone. B	Lower Lincs Lmstn (= <28>?)	walling stone *
-	296	-	6	25-80x12-15	0.2	fissile Imstn. WB	Collyweston Slate	roofing slate (strongly burnt) *
-	381	63	1	100x70x25-28	2.42	broken lenticular slab bioclastic Imstn. W?B	corallian Imstn (Upware)	walling stone re-used as hearth lining
-	381	63	1	220x180x30-40	1.56	brkn & shaped lenticular slab bioclastic Imstn W?	Corallian Lmstn (Upware)	rough walling stone *
-	430a	-	2	140x100x10-15	0.311	fissile lmstn. WB(x1)	Collyweston Slate	roofing slate
-	430b	-	2	50	0.06	frags of skeletal bioclastic Imstn W?	Lower Lincs Lmstn (= <28>?)	from walling stone *
-	430c	-	3	120x70x15 + 70	0.44	fissile Imstn. WB	Collyweston Slate	roofing slate *
E3	430d	-	1	160x85x17	0.39	fissile Imstn. WB	Collyweston Slate	roofing slate *
E1	430e	-	1	75x45x11	0.087	fissile lmstn. WB	Collyweston Slate	roofing slate *
-	430f	-	2	50 + 60	0.089	frag bioclastic Imstn W?	Lower Lincs Lmstn+Corallian	poss frags rubblestone wall course*
-	430g	-	3	35-85	0.182	fissile lmstn. WB	Collyweston Slate	roofing slate *
-	694	-	1	100x50x40	0.386	coralline lmstn with <i>lsastrea</i> sp. W?B	Corallian Lmstn (Upware)	roughly-faced wall stone *
-	719a	-	1	55x45x15	0.076	fissile lmstn W	Collyweston Slate	roofing slate *
-	719b	-	1	70x50x30	0.088	bio-ooclastite W?	Barnack Lmstn	frag non-diag walling stone *
-	719c	-	3	30-50	0.099	calcareous sstn	basal bed of Woburn Sst?	rubblestone? *
-	719d	-	1	60	0.054	septarian nodule. B	U. Jurassic Kimmeridge	Calcined *
-	719e	-	1	60	0.071	bioclastic Imstn. B	Lower Lincs Lmstn (= <28>?)	frag walling? *
-	719f	-	1	60	0.053	peletoidal/coralline lmstn (w. Isastrea sp) B	Corallian Lmstn (Upware)	
-	725	-	1	30	0.012	bioclastic Imstn B	Lower Lincs Lmstn (= <28>?)	*
-	742	-	2	90x70x70	0.691	skeletal bioclastic Imstn WB	Lower Lincs Lmstn (= <28>?)	<pre>imported walling stone – faced? *</pre>
-	807	-	1	60	0.076	oolitic bioclastic Imstn B	Corallian Lmstn	*
-	811	-	4	150	1.22	rounded piece of sandstone chert B	LGS junction bed	poss rubblestone walling material *
-	811	-	30	20-230	3.42	irreg peletoidal/coralline lmst (w. Isastrea)	Corallian Lmstn?	poss internal rubblestone walling *
-	815	-	5		2.48	unshaped bioclastic Imstn	Corallian Lmstn	poss rubblestone walling material? *
-	815	-	1	130x110x80	1.52	bioclastic Imstn	Corallian Lmstn	walling stone, re-used as hearth? *
-	821	-	1	90x70x12	0.133	fissile lmstn WB	Collyweston Slate	roofing slate
-	890	-	4	130 + 100	1.46	bioclastic Imstn, sstn w calcite, chert, quartzite B	Corallin +base of LGS+erratic	building stone re-used as post-pack *
-	893	-	2	110x100x15:80x10	0.32	fissile lmstn WB	Collyweston Slate	roofing slate *
-	941	-	1	60x80x12	0.188	fissile Imstn WB	Collyweston Slate	roofing slate *

Table 47. Catalogue of building stone. **W**=worked **B**=burnt



B.6 Waterlogged Wood

By Laura James

Introduction

- B.6.1 Assessment of the waterlogged wood aimed to assess the potential of the assemblage in terms of woodworking technology, woodland reconstruction, decay analysis, species identification, dendrochronology, conservation and retention. This report considers a single wood item found at the base of a feature attributed to the 3rd century.
- B.6.2 The material was situated in waterlogged deposits which created the anaerobic conditions necessary for organic preservation. It was recovered from well/waterhole 534 (Phase 2.3) and was a split timber possibly lining the feature. The material was lifted by site staff during the excavation and recorded by Laura James and Leanne Robinson Zeki (OA East) off-site during December 2018.

Methodology

- B.6.3 This document has been produced in accordance with Historic England guidelines for the treatment of waterlogged wood (Brunning 2010) and recommendations made by the Society of Museum Archaeologists (1993) for the retention of waterlogged wood.
- B.6.4 The system of categorisation and interrogation developed by Taylor (2001) has been adopted within this report. The condition scale developed by the Humber Wetlands Project (Van de Noort *et al* 1995, table 15.1) has been used to assess the condition of the wood.

Results

- B.6.5 There was one wood record: a split timber of plank. No artefacts or smaller pieces of primary woodworking debris, such as woodchips, were recovered. The assemblage consists entirely of this moderately large timber recovered from a well/watering hole (534; Phase 2.3), which may have been associated with either the use life or disuse of the feature.
- B.6.6 The plank or split timber was found lying horizontally at the base of the well/watering hole **534**. It has been initially identified as oak and is in poor condition. The compression it has experienced has warped one end to a bend. The timber has been split on the tangential face. It is in a delicate condition with cracks running through one end and is in three pieces. The timber is somewhat degraded Level 2, 'Poor', according to the Humber Wetlands scale with evidence of wet rot and water wear, which is to be expected from items recovered from such a context.

Conclusions

B.6.7 The split timber, identified as oak, shows signs of wear along one side of the item that would have occurred in antiquity. Although the recorded taphonomy – including wet



rot and water wear – is related to the items use in the base of wet features. There does not appear to be any evidence such as brown rot or charring which might relate to its use outside of the well.

- B.6.8 Worn grooves in the plank are still visible and look to have been made in the same way as each other, although they are not parallel. It is possible that the grooves along the top side of this plank were made by wear or repetition of an action and the grooves may have been beneficial if the timber were somehow being used in the base of the well for grip.
- B.6.9 The material is of poor quality, straight grained with one knot visible. The timber has been initially identified as oak via a visual inspection. Oak grows in stands and mixed woodland and will also tolerate damp soils. As such, it is likely to have been growing in the vicinity of the site. Oak occurs ubiquitously throughout the prehistoric and historic period as an excellent hard-wearing structural timber that has incredibly wide-ranging uses, including in wet environments such as well linings and revetments. It is an easily worked timber that can be split readily in both planes (Wilson and White 1986; Gale and Cutler 2000).
- B.6.10 The item itself has a poor preservation level. As this was the only wooden artefact recovered from this feature, the likelihood that is was part of a revetment or lining is less likely than that it was simply a discarded piece of timber.

B.7 Prehistoric Pottery

By Matt Brudenell

Introduction

- B.7.1 An assemblage of prehistoric pottery totalling 89 sherds (814g) was recovered from the excavation, displaying a mean sherd weight (MSW) of 9.1g. The material derived from 41 contexts relating to 34 feature interventions (primarily ditches) and seven layers/deposits (Table 48). The assemblage is dominated by plain abraded handmade body sherds, with the vast majority being recovered alongside Romano-British ceramics from Roman period features. There are very few diagnostic features such as rims and bases, although the material can be broadly (and tentatively) dated on the basis of the character of the fabrics (Tables 49-50).
- B.7.2 The pottery is in a stable condition but is dominated by small sized sherds (79% measuring less than 4cm in diameter), which are commonly abraded.
- B.7.3 This report provides a quantified description of the pottery, a brief discussion, and recommendations for further analysis and retention.

Context	Cut	Group	Test pit	Feature Type	Phase	Spot date	No. sherds	Wt. (g)	With RB pot?
51	NA		B1	Subsoil		LBA-EIA	2	13	
156	155	99		Ditch	2.3	LBA-EIA	1	5	Y
393	392	286		Ditch	2.3	MIA	1	4	Y
400	399	399		Ditch	2.4	LBA-EIA	1	11	Y



Context	Cut	Group	Test pit	Feature Type	Phase	Spot date	No. sherds	Wt. (g)	With RB pot?
400	399	399		Ditch		MIA	4	31	Y
419	421	421		Ditch	2.5	LBA-EIA	1	6	Y
419	421	421		Ditch	2.5	MIA	2	58	Y
430	NA		F1	Dark earth layer	3	LBA-EIA	1	10	Y
430	NA		F4	Dark earth layer	3	MIA	1	8	Y
430	NA		H1	Dark earth layer	3	LBA-EIA	1	9	Y
430	NA		H3	Dark earth layer	3	LBA-EIA	2	22	Y
488	487	487		Ditch	2.5	MIA	2	3	Y
522	NA	522	J4	Layer (internal)	2.4	MIA	3	28	Y
522	NA	522	J4	Layer (internal)	2.4	LIA	1	6	Y
522	NA	522	J5	Layer (internal)	2.4	MIA	1	9	Y
542	512			Pit	2.5	LBA-EIA	1	2	Y
542	512			Pit	2.5	MIA	1	20	Y
552	551	551		Pit	2.4	MIA	1	7	Y
570	567			Pit	2.3	LBA-EIA	1	3	
608	606	528		Ditch	2.4	MIA	1	7	Y
622	621	528		Ditch	2.4	LBA-EIA	1	4	Y
625	624	524		Ditch	2.5	MIA	1	6	Y
641	639	639		Ditch	2.1	MIA	2	8	Y
659	658	639		Ditch	2.1	LBA-EIA	1	8	Y
660	658	639		Ditch	2.1	E-MBA	1	5	
661	658	639		Ditch	2.1	LBA-EIA	1	4	Y
680	NA			Deposit	2.3	LBA-EIA	1	5	Y
681	NA			Deposit	2.3	LBA-EIA	1	1	Y
688	674	674		Ditch	2.1	MIA	1	23	Y
711	710			Pit	2.3	MIA	11	241	
711	710			Pit	2.3	LIA	1	29	
717	716	716		Ditch	2.3	LBA-EIA	1	4	Y
717	716	716		Ditch	2.3	MIA	3	3	Y
730	718	639		Ditch	2.1	MIA	4	20	Y
731	718	639		Ditch	2.1	LBA-EIA	1	15	Y
731	718	639		Ditch	2.1	MIA	1	7	Y
750	749	674		Ditch	2.1	LBA-EIA	1	6	
753	752	752		Ditch	2.1	MIA	3	26	Y
788	787	787		Ditch	3	LBA-EIA	1	5	Y
788	787	787		Ditch	3	MIA	1	4	Y
792	789	789		Post pipe	2.5	LBA-EIA	1	6	Y
794	793			Hollow	3	MIA	1	8	Y
807	NA		J2	Layer (internal)	2.5	MIA	1	5	Y
807	NA		J8	Layer (internal)	2.5	MIA	2	13	Y
826	NA		J4			MIA	1	5	
835	787	787		Ditch	3	MIA	1	15	Y
841	840			Hollow/pit	2.3	LBA-EIA	1	3	Y
856	855	855		Ditch	3	MIA	1	6	Y
859	857	857		Ditch	3	MIA	1	3	Y
874	873			Pit	2.2	LBA-EIA	1	2	Y
919	917	674		Ditch	2.1	LBA-EIA	2	8	Y
922	920	674		Ditch	2.1	MIA	2	4	
922	920	674		Ditch	2.1	E-MBA	2	21	

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd

4 December 2020



Context	Cut	Group	Test pit	Feature Type	Phase	Spot date	No. sherds	Wt. (g)	With RB pot?
933	931			Pit	1	E-MBA	4	19	
938	937	674		Ditch	2.1	MIA	1	10	
Total							89	814	

Table 48. Quantification of prehistoric pottery by context

Period	No. sherds	Wt (g)
Early to Middle Bronze age	7	45
LBA-EIA	25	152
LIA	2	35
MIA	55	582
Total	89	814

Table 49.	Quantification	of	prehistoric	pottery	period
		_			

Methodology

- B.7.4 All the pottery has been fully recorded following the recommendations laid out by the Prehistoric Ceramic Research Group (2011). After a full inspection of the assemblage, fabric groups were devised on the basis of dominant inclusion types, their density and modal size. All sherds were counted, weighed (to the nearest whole gramme) and assigned to a fabric group. Sherd type was recorded, along with evidence of surface treatment, decoration, and the presence of soot and/or residue. Rim and base forms were described using a codified system recorded in the catalogue, and were assigned vessel numbers.
- B.7.5 All pottery has been subject to sherd size analysis. Sherds less than 4cm in diameter have been classified as 'small' (70 sherds; 79%); sherds measuring 4-8cm are classified as 'medium' (19 sherds; 21%), and sherds over 8cm in diameter 'large' (no sherds; 0%). The quantified data is presented on an Excel data sheet held with the project archive.

Fabric series

- FQ1: Sparse to common coarse burnt flint (mainly 2-4mm in size) and quartz sand
- FQ2: Sparse to common medium burnt flint (mainly 1-2mm in size) and quartz sand
- FQ3: Sparse to common fine burnt flint (mainly <1mm in size) and quartz sand
- G1: Moderate to common coarse grog (2-6mm in size). Grog contains shell.
- Q1: Moderate to common quartz sand. Sherds may contain rare flint, rare linear voids from burnt out organic matter or rare calcareous inclusions.
- S1: Common to abundant medium and coarse shell (mainly 1-3mm)
- S2: Moderate to common coarse shell (mainly 2-6mm)
- S2: Sparse to common fine shell (mainly <1mm)
- SQ1: Moderate fine shell (mainly <1mm) and quartz sand
- SQ2: Sparse coarse shell (mainly 204mm) and quartz sand



Fabric	Fabric group	No. sherds	Weight (g)	% fabric (by wt.)	MNV
FQ1	Flint and sand	12	81	10.0	-
FQ2	Flint and sand	9	48	5.9	1
FQ3	Flint and sand	4	23	2.8	1
G1	Grog	2	21	2.6	-
Q1	Sand	36	266	32.7	5
S1	Shell	5	24	2.9	-
S2	Shell	12	249	30.6	1
S3	Shell	4	8	1.0	-
SQ1	Shell and sand	2	29	3.6	-
SQ2	Shell and sand	3	65	8.0	-
	Total	89	814	100.1	8

Table 50. Quantification of prehistoric pottery by fabric. MNV calculated as the total number ofdifferent rims and bases (four rims, four bases)

Early to Middle Bronze Age pottery

B.7.6 Seven sherds (45g) of pottery are assigned to the Early to Middle Bronze Age on the basis of their fabrics. The sherds derive from context 922 in ditch 674 (two sherds, 21g), pit 931 (four sherds, 19g) and ditch 639 (one sherd, 5g). The pottery from context 922 comprises two sherds in a coarse grog-tempered fabric (fabric G1), while those from pit 931 and ditch 658 are thick-walled sherds containing abundant shell (fabrics S1). The grog-tempered sherds are probably Early or Middle Bronze Age in date (*c*. 1800-1150 BC), whist the shell tempered wares may be Middle Bronze Age (*c*. 1500-1150 BC).

Late Bronze Age and Early Iron Age pottery

B.7.7 The sherds containing a combination of flint and sand (fabrics FQ1-3) in the assemblage are likely to be Late Bronze Age or Early Iron Age in date (*c*. 1100-350 BC). These include a total of 25 plain sherds (152g) recovered from 19 contexts. The assemblage includes only two vessel rims (from layer **430** and pit **537**), both of which are plain, flat-topped and relatively undiagnostic. However, several shoulder sherds were recovered including an angular shoulder sherd from deposit 681. This is likely to be Early Iron Age in date.

Later Iron Age pottery

B.7.8 Pottery assigned to the later Iron Age comprises 67 sherds (617g), and accounts for 75% of the total prehistoric pottery assemblage by count, or 76% by weight. The material is characterised by relatively hard-fired handmade sherds with sand, shell or a combination of shell and sand fabrics (fabrics Q1, S2-3, SQ1-2). Sandy wares dominate, which is typical of the later Iron Age-type tradition in Southern Cambridgeshire. Diagnostic sherds are rare but include two flat-topped sandy ware rims (one from layer 430, and one from ditch 674), one of which is decorated with tool impressions on the rim-top. Fragments of four bases were also recovered, the most intact being from pit 710 which yielded 12 sherds weighing 270g – the single largest assemblage of prehistoric pottery. This pit also included a wheel-made base sherd (29g) dating to the Late Iron Age. A second fragment of a wheel-made Late Iron Age



base was recovered from layer **522** (6g). These are the only Late Iron Age wheel-made sherds identified in the assemblage.

B.7.9 Overall, the later Iron Age pottery can be given a broad date of *c*. 350 BC-AD 50, although bulk of the material is likely to belong to the period between *c*. 350-50 BC.

Discussion

- B.7.10 The prehistoric pottery recovered from the excavations constitutes a small assemblage of largely residual material, with the ceramics potentially dating from the Early Bronze Age through to the end of the Iron Age. While an attempt has been made to separate the material by period, there are few diagnostic sherds and the divisions are largely base on the character of the fabrics. This is in not always a relatable guide, meaning that the dating offered must be viewed as tentative.
- B.7.11 In general, the pottery was all distributed in the north of the site, with most finds recovered toward the north-east corner (the highest part of the site). There is little sense of any spatial pattern in the distribution of material by date.

B.8 Roman Pottery

By Katie Brudenell

Introduction

B.8.1 A large assemblage of Roman pottery was recovered from Upware, totalling 5948 sherds weighing 97365g and representing an estimated number of vessels (ENV) of 700 and 112.95 EVEs (estimated vessel equivalent), including 349 sherds weighing 5716g from the evaluation phase. All of the pottery was fully analysed and recorded in accordance with the Study Group for Roman Pottery guidelines (Perrin 2011). The assemblage attests to an interesting, predominately later, Roman site, seemingly taking advantage of the nearby river system.

Assemblage Chronology

- B.8.2 Although the pottery spans the Roman period, the pottery evidence suggests that activity prior to the mid-late 2nd century AD was limited and 72.2% of the pottery derives from contexts with a spot date of 3rd-4th century AD and 34% from features with a ceramic date of 4th century AD.
- B.8.3 Whilst approximately 24.4% of the assemblage (by weight) comprised sherds which could only be broadly dated as mid-later Roman (c. AD 100/150-400), due to the relatively generic nature of the fabrics and/or forms, the stratigraphy of the features has allowed this material to be phased. Given that the majority of the assemblage derived from contexts with a Late Roman component, it seems likely that much of the material dated Mid-Late Roman is in fact Late Roman in date (AD 200-400+), especially when the other datable finds (namely coins, see App. B.2) are considered.



Phase	No.	No. %	Wt(g)	Wt %	MNV	EVE
0	599	10.1	9673	9.9	70	12.23
1	6	0.1	34	0.0	1	0
2.1	411	6.9	4288	4.4	43	3.37
2.2	121	2.0	1953	2.0	10	2.16
2.3	1547	26.0	20337	20.9	186	20.31
2.4	278	4.7	4650	4.8	37	5.81
2.5	2864	48.2	54926	56.4	338	66.86
3	122	2.1	1504	1.5	15	2.21
TOTAL	5948	100.0	97365	100.0	700	112.95

Table 51. Quantification of Roman pottery by site phase

B.8.4 The pottery suggests that the site continued in use at least until the later 4th century AD, however, it is unclear from the evidence as to whether activity continued into the early 5th century AD. While no handmade Early Saxon pottery was recovered, it is possible that some of the later fabrics (Nene Valley, Oxfordshire, Hadham) may date beyond the late 4th century AD.

Assemblage Composition

- B.8.5 The condition of the assemblage is varied, with an overall mean weight of 16.4g, which is fairly average for a Roman rural site. That said, there are numerous examples of vessels that can be refitted to form often large, partial and semi-complete vessel profiles. This occurred primarily within contexts, with just one example of a cross-context refit, between contexts in intervention 671, Ditch 526 (Phase 2.5). Furthermore, the assemblage was generally in good condition, largely due to the fact that the site was not previously developed and was not effected by deep ploughing. This resulted in a number of vessels, which although broken in antiquity, could be refitted to form partial and near complete vessels.
- A wide range of vessel fabrics was identified (Table 52) with the assemblage being B.8.6 dominated by coarseware fabrics, which represent 82.7% by sherd count and 86.4% by weight. This group is dominated by sandy greywares and reduced wares, most of which are likely to have been produced locally, although most are unsourced (in particular fabrics CSGW, CSMGW, CSRDU, CSMRDU). The most common sourced coarsewares are Horningsea products which account for 29.4% of the coarseware assemblage (by sherd count) and 24.3% of the total assemblage (1444 sherds, 44105g, 148 ENV, 24.51 EVEs). This includes grey, oxidised, black-slipped, black-burnished and white-slipped varieties. Jars dominate this ware, representing a minimum of 87 vessels, including a minimum of 20 large storage jars (510 sherds, 23093g) with everted, beaded or bifid rims. 83% of the storage jars had combing, occurring on both the exterior and interior of the vessels and 106 sherds had finger wipe marks. The large quantity of Horningsea products is not unsurprising given that the production centre was located just c.6km south-west of the site. Furthermore, the site's location next to the River Cam would have made any waterborne trade of pottery very convenient.



- B.8.7 Shell-tempered wares are also well-represented, totalling 11.2% of the overall assemblage by count (670 sherds, 11265g, MNV 92). This category is dominated by jars (MNV 70), with rim diameters ranging between 10cm to 28cm, with the average of 16.5cm. Other shell-tempered vessel forms include eight flanged bowls and one straight-sided dish. A minimum of six vessels had black-slipped, burnished surfaces, with other decorative techniques comprising combing, tooling and rilling. The source of the shell-tempered wares is likely to be relatively local, with the material seemingly deriving from the same source(s) as those identified at Colne Fen, Earith (Vince in Evans *et al* 2013; 325-328). As with the Horningsea wares, it is possible that riverborne transport played a role in the distribution of this ware.
- B.8.8 Other sourced coarsewares occurred in very small quantities, including two sherds from Mancetter Hartshill mortaria, three Swanspool white-slipped mortaria vessels and one jar, two BB2 sherds and three possible Alice Holt/Farnham sherds. These sherds are indicative of just a few vessels, thus suggesting that this material was not readily available locally, but rather may have arrived at site via different mechanisms. These vessels do, however, highlight the fact that there was access to goods from outside of the local area, even if this was sporadic.
- Romano-British finewares account for 16% of the assemblage by count (11.5% by B.8.9 weight) and within this group, Nene Valley colour-coated wares are the most common group, representing 41.6% of the finewares and 6.6% of the total assemblage (both by sherd count). Nene Valley colour-coated wares occur in a range of forms, with bowls the most common (MNV 19), comprising late Roman beaded, flanged bowls (MNV 9), as well as three imitation samian vessels. Ten jars (MNV) were identified, one of which has a small post-firing perforation in the neck, possibly for suspension, from layer 430. A further example of a post-firing perforation was noted on a Nene Valley imitation Dragendorff (Dr) 36 dish from ditch fill 439, Ditch 412 (Phase 2.5). This vessel also had sooting on the rim top and a worn interior, indicative of being used for grinding. Other Nene Valley vessel forms include a minimum of 12 beakers, eight dishes, three flagons, two lids and one jug. Decorated sherds (rouletting and tooled) from a single, flagon/jug were recovered from contexts 685 and 687 (fills of Ditch **526**, intervention 671, Phase 2.5), thus representing the only examples of cross feature refits within the assemblage. In total 29.5% of the Nene Valley sherds are decorated, with rouletting, barbotine and painted decoration being most common. Six late Nene Valley parchment wares with painted decoration were noted within the assemblage and a further 20 unsourced colour-coated finewares (207g) were recorded, at least some of which may represent Nene Valley products, but with slightly different fabrics to those vessels commonly associated with this industry.
- B.8.10 Vessels from the Hadham kilns are also well represented, totalling 271 sherds weighing 2877g, thus representing 28.5% of the Romano-British finewares. This includes oxidised (110 sherds, 1271g), reduced (129 sherds, 1229g) and black-burnished ware varieties (23 sherds, 377g). The vessel forms are dominated by beakers, bowls and dishes, and of particular interest are two face pots recovered from Ditch 412 (SF122) and layer 430 (Fig. 24, Nos 13 & 15). Both of these occur in the oxidised fabric and it is of interest that both examples comprise just the decorated face of the vessels



(beakers/flagons), suggesting these pieces were deliberately removed and/or retained.

- B.8.11 Oxfordshire wares although not common, form an element of the Late Roman assemblage, totalling 56 sherds weighing 457g (MNV120). All of the identifiable forms comprise bowls and dishes, including three imitation samian Dr31 vessels, and one imitation Dr38 bow, which had indented and rouletted decoration. There were also examples of late bowl forms C78 and C79 (Young 1977), which date AD340-400+.
- B.8.12 The remaining 1.4% of the assemblage (2.3% by weight) comprises imported wares (82 sherds, 2163g MNV18). Within this category samian represents the largest group, totalling 59 sherds weighing 712g, with all three production areas represented. It is of note that a number of these sherds appear to be residual, occurring in much later dating contexts and while this could simply be due to truncation of earlier features, it is also possible that some of this material had been curated, or had a long use life. The samian primarily consists of body sherds, those sherds which are diagnostic derive from plain vessels forms such as Dr31 dishes (five sherds, 113g, MNV 2) and Dr33 cups (eight sherds, 97g, MNV 6). The exceptions to this are one sherd from a Dr37 bowl with ovolo decoration from the basal fill of well 448 (fill 449), and a body sherds from an East Gaulish vessel with a partial figure visible from the same context. It is possible that these sherds derive from a single vessel, although they were not refitting. A Dr33 cup with a complete stamp was recovered from well 456 (fill 461), which reads 'NICCTONIM'. This has been identified by S. Wadeson as being produced by the potter MICCIO III. Finally of note is a grafitto letter scratched on the underside of an East Gaulish Dr31r dish from Ditch 171, (fill 191; SF38).
- B.8.13 Ten Baetican amphora sherds (1422g) were identified, deriving from Dressel 20 vessels, commonly used in the transport of olive oil. Most of this material was fragmented, with no diagnostic sherds present. However, two large body sherds (629g), SF174, were recovered from one of the internal surfaces within the building, from layer (807). The remaining imported wares comprised only very small numbers of sherds, probably reflecting a small number of vessels. This includes five Moselkeramik black-slipped ware sherds (all from beakers), two Argonne colour-coated wares, four Central Gaulish black-slipped wares from indented beakers and one Central Gaulish colour-coated sherd from a further indented beaker.
- B.8.14 Although the assemblage character in terms of fabrics is a typical pattern within rural, Cambridgeshire sites, the percentage of finewares is slightly higher than at other, contemporary sites, *e.g.* Cottenham where finewares represented 8.3% of the assemblage (Anderson 2020), suggesting that the status of this site may be somewhat higher than the average farmstead. The limited quantity and range of imported wares are typical of this region and given that this site peaks in the later Roman period when the quantity of imported wares had declined, this is not unexpected.

Code	Fabric	No.	Wt(g)	MNV	EVE
AHFA	Alice Holt/Farnham	3	20	2	0.27
ARGO	Argo colour-coated ware	2	5	1	0.1
BAET	Baetican amphora	3	107	0	0

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd



V.1

Code	Fabric	No.	Wt(g)	MNV	EVE
BAETL	Baetican amphora (late)	7	1315	0	0
BB2	Black-burnished ware 2	2	26	0	0
BLKSL	Black-slipped ware (unsourced)	227	2486	45	4.24
BLKSLM	Black-slipped ware - micaceous (unsourced)	1	7	0	0
BUFF	Buff sandy ware (unsourced)	5	50	0	0
СС	Colour-coat (unsourced)	20	217	1	1.4
CGBLK	Central Gaulish black-slipped ware	5	15	0	0
CGCC	Central Gaulish Colour coated ware	1	2	0	0
CSBLK	Coarse sandy black-slipped ware (unsourced)	3	20	1	0
CSBUFF	Coarse sandy buff ware (unsourced)	4	31	2	0.1
CSGW	Coarse sandy greyware (unsourced)	614	6121	80	11.08
CSMBLK	Coarse sandy micaceous black slipped ware (unsourced)	18	363	4	0.61
CSMGW	Coarse sandy micaceous greyware (unsourced)	1412	12065	71	8.34
CSMOX	Coarse sandy micaceous oxidised ware (unsourced)	51	587	5	2.13
CSMRDU	Coarse sandy micaceous reduced ware (unsourced)	96	914	6	1.11
CSOX	Coarse sandy oxidised ware (unsourced)	161	1602	13	2.12
CSRDU	Coarse sandy reduced ware (unsourced)	32	505	9	0.7
FSBLK	Fine sandy black-slipped (unsourced)	7	32	1	0
FSBUFF	Fine sandy buff ware (unsourced)	4	17	1	0
FSGW	Fine sandy greyware (unsourced)	34	220	12	0.4
FSMBLK	Fine sandy micaceous black-slipped ware (unsourced)	37	549	5	3.64
FSMGW	Fine sandy micaceous greyware (unsourced)	58	614	14	2.3
FSMOX	Fine sandy micaceous oxidised ware (unsourced)	24	337	1	0.8
FSMRDU	Fine sandy micaceous reduced ware (unsourced)	8	131	3	0.09
FSOX	Fine sandy oxidised ware (unsourced)	14	91	2	0.18
FSRDU	Fine sandy reduced ware (unsourced)	5	44	0	0
GROG	Grog-tempered ware	1	4	1	0
HADBB	Hadham black-burnished ware	23	377	8	0.53
HADOX	Hadham oxidised ware	102	1133	18	2.78
HADRDU	Hadham reduced ware	129	1229	23	1.91
HADRS	Hadham red-slipped ware	17	138	1	0.12
HORNBB	Horningsea black-burnished ware	70	1629	30	3.87
HORNBS	Horningsea black-slipped (not burnished)	15	227	6	0.2
HORNGW	Horningsea greyware	1269	38959	105	19.98
HORNOX	Horningsea oxidised ware	40	1019	2	0.08
HORNWS	Horningsea white-slipped ware	50	2271	5	0.38
HWC	Highgate Wood C ware	3	6	0	0
IMITBB	Imitation black-burnished ware (unsourced)	41	746	14	1.04
IMITSAM	Imitation Samian	1	21	0	0.11
MAHWH	Mancetter Hartshill whiteware	2	72	0	0
MOSL	Moselkeramik black-slipped ware	5	7	0	0
MSCIM	Moderately sandy greyware, very common to frequent	20	200	л	0.00
	Silver mild	20	209	4	0.08
	Nono Valley Crowners	390	58/0	04	17.04
	None Valley Brichment wars	12	107	4	0.3
INVPA	ivene valley Parchment ware	Ь	31	U	U

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd



Code	Fabric	No.	Wt(g)	MNV	EVE
NVSC	Nene Valley self-coloured ware	3	41	1	0
NVWW	Nene Valley whiteware	19	903	4	0.84
OXFPA	Oxford parchment ware	3	12	0	0
OXFRS	Oxfordshire red-slipped ware	56	457	12	0.74
OXFWS	Oxfordshire white-slipped ware	7	241	1	0.46
OXFWW	Oxfordshire whiteware	1	103	0	0.2
SAM	Samian (unsourced)	10	14	0	0
SAMCG	Samian Central Gaulish	21	247	6	0.46
SAMEG	Samian East Gaulish	22	386	6	2.06
SAMLZ	Samian - Lezoux	3	56	2	1.1
SAMMV	Samian - Les Martres-de-Veyre	1	3	1	0
SAMSG	Samian South Gaulish	2	6	2	0
SHELL	Shell-tempered ware	670	11265	92	17.26
SWNWS	Swanspool white-slipped ware	14	623	2	0.65
VRW	Verulamium whiteware	1	30	0	0
WATT	Wattisfield greyware	7	51	3	0.3
WSOX	White-slipped coarse sandy oxidised	15	136	2	0.85
WW	Whiteware (unsourced)	24	163	2	0
WW-COL?	Colchester whiteware	1	20	0	0

Table 52: Quantification of Roman pottery by fabric

- B.8.15 In terms of vessel forms, the assemblage is typical of a rural domestic settlement (Table 53), dominated by jars which represent an estimated 252 different vessels (1185 sherds, 42369g), varying in size from small vessels (rim diameters 8cm) to very large storage jars (up to 40cm in rim diameter). The latter group is dominated by Horningsea storage jars, with fewer shell-tempered and unsourced sandy greyware examples. Approximately 62% of the jar assemblage is decorated, with the most common techniques being combing, burnishing and rilling and 17.4% of the jars had evidence for sooting, occurring primarily around the exterior rim of medium-sized jars with rim diameters ranging from 10cm-22cm.
- B.8.16 Dishes and bowls represent similar numbers of vessels (93 and 95 ENV respectively), occurring in coarseware, fineware and imported fabrics. As with jars, bowls occurred in a variety of sizes ranging between 12cm and 26cm in diameter. Common bowl forms within the assemblage include beaded bowls and late Roman beaded, flanged bowls. Two partially complete bowls were recovered, one refitting (13 sherds, 549g) large shell-tempered flanged rim bowl (rim diameter 24cm) from Phase 2.4 Ditch **498** (intervention **513**, fill 514) and one sandy greyware beaded bowl from Ditch 82, SF33 (24 sherds, 485g). The latter was almost complete when refitted and may represent a deliberate or 'special' deposit, although it was recovered alongside a further 57 sherds, meaning that it may simply represent general domestic waste. Decoration of bowls was limited, with rouletting the most commonly applied technique, while just six vessels (MNV, nine sherds in total) had use wear evidence comprising external sooting, indicative of being used over a fire. Within the dish category, straight-sided vessels are the most commonly occurring (45% by MNV), with a further 24% comprising beaded rim dishes.



- B.8.17 A minimum of 55 different beakers were identified (12 sherd, 736g), with rim diameters ranging from 8cm to 16cm and common forms being beaded rim, cornice rim, everted rim varieties. Body sherds from poppy head and indented beakers were also identified, although no rims were present, meaning that there is no minimum number of vessels for these forms. Approximately 21% of beakers are decorated, with common forms being roughcast, rouletting and barbotine decoration, including three examples of poppyhead decoration.
- B.8.18 Uncommon vessel forms include cups (MNV 7), all of which comprise samian Dr33 cups, lids (MNV 12) and mortaria (MNV 8), the latter deriving primarily from the Nene Valley, but with Swanspool and Mancetter Hartshill vessels also represented. Of interest are two wasters/seconds with large air bubbles which came from two Phase 2.5 contexts (pit 612, fill 616 and Ditch 526, intervention 676, fill 695), both of which occur in similar coarse sandy greyware fabrics. A further possible waster (13 sherds 231g) was recovered from Phase 2.5 Ditch 673 (fill 687), comprising a black-slipped vessel which appears to have a very warped rim. It is possible that this represents a copy of a Nene Valley colour-coated trifold rim flagon/jug, in which case the warping of the rim is deliberate.

Form	No.	Wt(g)	MNV	EVE
Amphora	8	1417	0	0
Beaker	122	736	55	6.58
Beaker/jar	1	12	0	0
Bowl	155	4735	95	9.23
Bowl/lid	1	47	1	0.08
Closed	428	5896	58	14.74
Сир	7	85	5	1.32
Dish	147	3556	93	8.55
Flagon	61	713	5	2.75
Jar	1185	42369	252	39.27
Jug	18	295	1	1.2
Jug/flagon	31	437	0	0
Lid	12	424	9	1.06
Mortaria	40	2003	8	2.27
Open	36	887	3	1.97
Unknown	3696	33753	115	23.93
TOTAL	5948	97365	700	112.95

Table 53. Quantification of Roman pottery by vessel form

B.8.19 A total of 17.8% of the pottery (by sherd count) is decorated, with combing being the most commonly used technique (562 sherds). Other decoration techniques include burnishing, rouletting, barbotine, painted, tooled and grooved lines. Approximately 8% of the pottery was noted as having use wear evidence (excluding abrasion), with exterior sooting the most prolific, occurring on 286 sherds. Ten sherds were noted as having post-firing perforations, indicative of modifications, occurring either on the base or on the neck. Likewise, five base sherds have evidence of being trimmed, ranging in diameter from 3cm to 12cm, although their secondary function(s) are uncertain. Two coarse sandy greyware vessels were noted as having large air bubbles in the vessel walls, indicative of being seconds rather than wasters.



Contextual and Spatial Analysis

- B.8.20 Roman pottery derived from a total of 313 contexts (see catalogue; Table 54) representing 215 interventions. The majority of contexts produced small assemblage of pottery between 1-30 sherds (227 contexts in total). Twenty-four contexts contained medium-sized assemblages (31-99 sherds), 11 large assemblages, in excess of 100 sherds and one very large context (500+ sherds). Overall, a little over half of the pottery was recovered from ditches (57.6% by count and 54.5% by weight), with a further 21.6% by count (increasing to 25.7% by weight) deriving from dark earth deposits.
- B.8.21 Distribution plots of the pottery (by weight) highlight the spatial changes in the way that different areas of the site we used during different phases (Fig. 18), although it should be remembered that this data primarily reflects where material was discarded and not necessarily where it was in use. During Phases 2.1 and 2.2 (Fig 18, plot B) there was a low level of activity, although this was spread across site. There was a slight cluster of material in the southern area, around pit 153 and ditches 99 and 194 and in the northern area around ditches 353 and 290. As well as highlighting the increase in material during Phase 2.3, the plot (Fig. 18, plot C) also shows a cluster of material discarded in the southern area of site the focus appears to be around the enclosures on the eastern edge of site comprising ditches 286, 418 and 435.
- B.8.22 Phase 2.4 saw continued use the southern area of the site, although the quantities of pottery recovered indicate that activity was much more peripheral during this period (Fig 18, plot C). Instead, the focus was in the northern area of site, around the enclosures on the western edge of site including ditch 513 as well as ditch 282 on the eastern edge. Phase 2.4 material was also recovered from six of the very large postholes associated with the aisled building, possibly representing packing material. By Phase 2.5, the southern area was seemingly ignored in favour of the northern area, with the focus very much around the structure including the very large quantity of pottery recovered from the associated dark earth deposit (Test Pit Area 2; discussed below, Fig. 18, plot C). The nearby enclosure formed by ditches 756 and 720 immediately to the west also contained relatively large quantities of pottery, as did ditch 412 to the south.
- B.8.23 The largest single assemblage derived from the dark earth (430), which recovered 896 sherds weighing 13603g and representing 123 ENV and 19.35 EVEs. Two test pits within layer 430 produced noticeable large assemblages of material; Test Pits F2 (98 sherds 1199g) and G4 (66 sherds, 2257g). The pottery from this feature dates AD300-400 and, although some earlier material was also recovered, it appears to represent one of the final acts that occurred in the Roman period. It is of interest that the mean weight from this layer is close to the site average, at 15.2g, suggesting it had suffered no more fragmentation than the bulk of the assemblage, supported by the minimal evidence of abrasion on these sherds. By contrast, context 719, part of the same dark



earth, produced an assemblage of 202 sherds weighing 6748g, thus giving a mean weight of 33.4g, which is significantly higher than the site average. The same is true of the material from Test Pit G4 (mean weight 34.2g), which therefore suggests that the pottery from Test Pit G4 and context 719 were less fragmented that the rest of the pottery from this layer, seemingly demonstrating different breakage histories.

- B.8.24 However, on closer examination it is apparent that, rather than the pottery reflecting a high incidence of refitting sherds indicative of freshly broken material, the high mean weight is largely due to the presence of Horningsea storage jar sherds. In context 719 for example, 64% of the pottery (by weight) derived from these vessels, characterised by thick-walls and large rims, while 89% of the material from test pit G4 (by weight) comprised Horningsea storage jar sherds. Indeed, closer examination of the distribution of storage jar shows reveals that 50% of this material was recovered from features/deposits associated with the building (304 sherds, 13427g from a total of 610 sherds, 26851g; consisting of material from the post settings and internal layers within the structure as well as external layer 430). Questions therefore arise concerning whether this high incidence of these types of vessels in this area of site reflects the function(s) of the building, indicating associated activities involved a disproportionate use of large/very large storage jars. Alternatively, the ratio of storage jars may reflect secondary use as some from of building material and/or metalling/levelling component.
- B.8.25 These layers aside, a further 265 sherds (3898g) were recovered from other features associated with the structure, including 100 sherds (1391g) recovered from the postpipes, possibly reflecting packing material, though it may also reflect post-demolition infilling/backfilling. In order to investigate whether the pottery recovered from features relating to the building may shed light on the function, it is necessary to compare the ratios of different vessel forms recovered from all of the features associated with the building versus the rest of the assemblage. As Fig. B8.1 demonstrates, there are only minor differences between the two groups, perhaps the most significant being the difference in the ratio of storage jars and to a lesser extent the higher percentage of bowls and lower percentage of dishes within the building contexts. However, in the case of the latter two it is difficult to associate this data with the of interpretation of function.





Figure B8.1: Comparison of vessel forms from contexts associated with the building versus the rest of the assemblage

Discussion

- B.8.26 This assemblage represents a relatively large and important, predominantly Late Roman site. The pottery suggests very limited activity prior to the mid/later 2nd century AD, with only a very small element of the assemblage dating to the Early Roman period, most of which is residual. Based on those components of the assemblage which could be more closely dated, it is suggested that activity peaked in the 3rd-4th century AD and is likely to have continued into the late 4th, if not early 5th, century AD.
- B.8.27 Superficially, the assemblage appears to broadly follow common patterns for Roman rural sites in Cambridgeshire; dominated by coarseware jars and with local production centres (namely Horningsea and Nene Valley) well represented, but with regular access to goods from outside of the local area such as Hadham wares, Oxfordshire wares and samian. However, there are some subtle but important differences between the composition of this assemblage compared to other contemporary farmsteads, which need to be highlighted. Firstly, although the assemblage is coarseware dominated, the percentage of Romano-British finewares is higher (16%) than at other local sites such as Oakington Road, Cottenham (8.3%, Anderson 2020a), March Lane, Wimblington (12.2%, Anderson forthcoming) and Paston Reserve 6.4% (Anderson 2020b). It is closer (although still higher) than sites such as Love's Farm, St Neots (13% finewares, Lyons, 2018) and Jobs Lane, March (13.2%, Hudak, 2019), the latter interpreted as a late Roman villa estate (Jones 2019). However, the finewares represent a much smaller percentage of the assemblage compared to the inland port at Colne Fen (Anderson in Evans 2013), although this figure is influenced by the probable function of this site as a redistribution centre for Nene Valley wares (*ibid*). That said, the range of fabrics (including coarsewares) was more diverse than a typical rural settlement, although in many cases this appears to have represented only a small



number of vessels. However, it does indicate that the site had good trade links including access to wares from outside of the immediate locale.

- B.8.28 Whether this is more a reflection of wealth/status of the site or simply due to access to trade networks is uncertain. However, the site would almost certainly have benefited from and exploited its position next to the River Cam, to access waterborne trade, particularly in the case of the Horningsea products and probably for Nene Valley as well. Furthermore, it is also likely that riverborne trade was also utilised in the procurement of much of the shell-tempered wares, which appear to have a particular currency at Late Roman sites located near to the River Great Ouse.
- B.8.29 Whilst the general character of the assemblage is one of a domestic rural settlement, there are some subtle, but important differences which highlight that this was not a small, isolated farmstead. Rather the site at Upware reflects a growing number of Late Roman sites in Cambridgeshire, which appear to be more 'complex' in nature. Whilst the pottery alone cannot determine the exact function(s) of the stone-footed building, it does contribute to the understanding of its role, indicating that this was not some sort of 'ritual' or 'special' place, where we would expect to see greater percentages of finewares and vessels associated with drinking. Rather, the relatively high number of sherds from large/very large storage jars supports a view that the building was used for storage purposes. However, that this material was used as building material or for levelling cannot be discounted and may therefore be misleading.
- B.8.30 That there is no evidence for Early Saxon pottery at the site indicates an abrupt end to occupation sometime in the later 4th or early 5th century AD, although the ceramic evidence indicates that until this point, the site was flourishing.

Illustration catalogue (Figs 22-24)

- 1. (687) [673] Nene Valley colour-coated jug, similar to Perrin Fig.196 (Perrin 1999, 98-99, Fig. 196). Abraded and spalled (AD300-400)
- 2. (526) SF.181 Nene Valley colour-coated disk neck flagon, Parallel with Fig. 66 (Howe *et al*, 1981, 23, Fig 67), with two handles and rouletted band decoration, poorly applied (AD300-400)
- 3. (687) [673] Black-slipped jug with burnishing on the exterior and single handle, possibly a trifold rim, but may also be a waster/second (AD300-400)
- 4. (687) [673] Nene Valley colour-coated jug or flagon body with rouletted and tooled decoration in three bands around the body (AD300-400)
- 5. (427) [412] Horningsea greyware jar with constricted neck and everted rim. The top half has been slipped with a poorly applied black-slip and thin tooled line on the rim and shoulder (AD200-400)
- 6. (427) [428] Shell-tempered 'S' profile jar with everted rim and rilled decoration (AD200-400)
- 7. (427) [428] Shell-tempered jar with flat, angular beaded rim and tooled lines, with a black-slipped surface (AD200-400)
- 8. (83) [82] SF.33 Coarse sandy greyware beaded bowl, almost complete (AD120-300)
- 9. 439) [434] Nene Valley colour-coated imitation Dragendorff 36 dish, with sooting on the rim top, and attempted perforation near the base and signs of internal wear (AD270-400)
- 10. (687) [673] Hadham reduced ware beaker or bowl with embossed decoration and tooled lines on the neck and shoulder (AD300-400).
- 11. (807) SF.179 Hadham reduced ware possible lid with hollow handle/spout and post-firing perforation (AD200-400)
- 12. (430) Oxfordshire red-slipped ware C79 bowl (Young 1977, 166) with indented and rouletted decoration (AD340-400)
- 13. (430) Hadham oxidised ware flagon with face (AD300-400)



- 14. (685) [671] Nene Valley colour-coated jug or flagon body with rouletted and tooled decoration in three bands around the body (AD300-400)
- 15. (477) [497] SF.122 Hadham red-slipped ware face pot (AD300-400)

Table 54 (below). Quantification of Roman pottery by context

Context	Cut	Group	Туре	Phase	No.	Wt(g)	ENV	EVE	Spot date
51	0	0	Subsoil	0	268	3378	29	6.01	AD240-400
60	59	59	ditch	2.1	65	494	6	0.18	AD250-400
63	61	0	pit	2.3	3	11	0	0	AD100-300
65	59	59	ditch	2.1	8	14	1	0	AD100-400
66	59	59	ditch	2.1	4	35	0	0	AD100-400
68	67	67	ditch	3	9	81	0	0	AD100-400
70	69	69	ditch	2.2	7	26	0	0	AD100-400
72	71	67	ditch	3	7	38	0	0	AD100-400
76	75	53	ditch	2.3	4	22	2	0	AD120-300
80	79	79	ditch	2.3	120	1460	13	1.71	AD150-300
83	82	82	ditch	2.3	78	1318	4	2.02	AD150-400
88	87	53	ditch	2.3	2	69	0	0.18	AD100-400
98	97	0	pit	2.1	1	9	0	0	AD100-400
100	99	99	ditch	2.3	132	1611	14	1.44	AD200-300
102	101	82	ditch	2.3	18	155	2	0.09	AD150-400
105	104	79	ditch	2.3	22	147	1	0.19	AD150-400
106	104	79	ditch	2.3	73	641	10	0.23	AD200-300
108	107	67	ditch	3	6	77	1	0	AD150-400
122	121	121	ditch	2.4	10	85	3	0.41	AD100-400
125	124	79	ditch	2.3	5	44	0	0	AD100-400
127	126	99	ditch	2.3	36	568	7	0.72	AD120-300
129	128	99	ditch	2.3	37	265	5	0.57	AD150-400
135	0	0	natural	0	1	93	0	1	AD150-400
140	139	121	ditch	2.4	2	37	1	0.12	AD150-400
142	141	141	ditch	2.4	8	181	0	0.15	AD150-400
148	147	82	ditch	2.3	20	172	3	0.07	AD200-300
149	147	82	ditch	2.3	35	420	5	0.22	AD160-300
151	150	79	ditch	2.3	8	43	1	0	AD150-400
154	153	0	pit	2.1	155	1465	15	1.4	AD150-300
156	155	99	ditch	2.3	210	1935	15	1.13	AD200-300
158	0	0	VOID		77	879	5	0.73	AD150-300
166	165	0	Natural	0	2	5	0	0	AD150-400
168	167	0	pit	2.4	1	13	0	0	AD100-400
170	169	0	pit	2.1	7	116	1	0.1	AD150-400
172	171	171	ditch	2.3	18	124	1	0.1	AD120-300
173	171	171	ditch	2.3	23	546	4	0.33	AD150-400
175	174	99	ditch	2.3	19	319	3	0.3	AD150-300
176	174	99	ditch	2.3	25	718	4	0.2	AD150-300
178	177	130	ditch	3	6	40	1	0	AD100-400
180	179	179	Ditch	2.4	2	8	0	0	AD100-400
184	183	0	lozenge	2.4	2	12	0	0	AD50-400
190	189	171	ditch	2.3	17	326	3	0.95	AD150-400
191	189	171	ditch	2.3	73	1130	31	0.8	AD180-300
193	192	0	pit	2.3	2	22	0	0	AD100-400



V.1

Context	Cut	Group	Туре	Phase	No.	Wt(g)	ENV	EVE	Spot date
195	194	194	ditch	2.3	3	79	0	0	AD100-400
196	194	194	ditch	2.3	8	62	1	0	AD100-300
197	194	194	ditch	2.3	26	578	2	0	AD150-300
201	200	0	post hole	2.4	1	3	0	0	AD50-400
219	216	0	pit	2.1	4	65	1	0	AD150-300
221	220	0	gully	2.4	2	54	0	0	AD50-400
225	224	99	ditch	2.3	20	267	3	0.65	AD170-300
227	226	222	lozenge	2.1	1	4	1	0	AD100-300
231	230	0	pit	2.1	11	116	2	0	AD120-300
233	232	194	ditch	2.3	43	522	3	0.25	AD200-400
235	234	99	ditch	2.3	38	505	8	0.79	AD200-400
244	243	0	pit	2.1	4	41	1	0.1	AD120-300
255	254	99	ditch	2.3	24	440	0	0	AD150-300
261	260	0	post hole	2.4	3	27	0	0	AD50-150
262	264	79	ditch	2.3	1	4	0	0	AD100-400
275	274	79	ditch	2.3	15	353	4	0.76	AD150-300
276	274	79	ditch	2.3	8	144	3	0.59	AD150-300
283	282	282	ditch	2.4	4	134	0	0	AD120-300
286	286	286	ditch	2.3	3	226	0	0.4	AD200-300
288	286	286	ditch	2.3	31	689	8	0.62	AD300-400
289	286	286	ditch	2.3	2	19	1	0	AD150-400
296	295	295	ditch	2.3	23	330	2	0.9	AD200-300
298	297	297	ditch	3	19	240	2	0.63	AD200-400
299	297	297	ditch	3	1	13	0	0	AD100-400
301	300	0	pit	2.4	2	24	0	0	AD100-400
307	306	297	ditch	3	2	95	0	1	AD100-400
310	312	312	ditch	2.4	1	2	0	0	AD100-400
313	314	312	ditch	2.1	1	1	0	0	AD100-400
318	317	317	ditch	21	1	21	0	0	AD100-400
320	319	286	ditch	23	8	58	2	01	AD200-300
324	323	297	ditch	3	3	68	1	0.1	AD100-400
329	327	286	ditch	23	27	516	- 8	0.76	AD250-400
331	330	295	ditch	2.3	16	255	3	03	AD200-400
337	336	312	ditch	2.0	3	9	0	0.0	AD50-400
341	340	0	nost hole	23	1	13	0	0	AD100-400
346	345	312	ditch	2.0	3	9	1	0	AD100-400
350	349	0	nost nine	23	1	7	0	0	AD100-400
352	351	69	ditch	2.0	1	, 3	0	0	AD100-400
355	353	59	ditch	2.2	7	38	0	0	AD150-400
357	356	59	ditch	2.1	10	67	0	0	AD100-400
359	358	69	ditch	2.2	6	35	0	0	AD100-300
361	360	0	nit	2.2	1	2	1	0	AD100-300
363	362	286	ditch	2.4	4	94	0	0	AD200-300
364	362	286	ditch	23	7	132	1	0	AD150-300
377	371	200	oven	2.5	, 1	5	0	0	AD100-400
380	371		oven	2.5	2	25	0	0 15	AD200-400
282	382	282	ditch	2.3	20	116	0	0.15	AD100-400
303	302	286	ditch	2.3	15	59	1	0	AD100-400
394	392	200	ditch	2.3	7	171	1	0.08	AD100-400
202	397	200	ditch	2.3	, ,	7	0	0.00	AD50-400
550	557	557	aiteri	2.5	1			0	

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd



V.1

Context	Cut	Group	Туре	Phase	No.	Wt(g)	ENV	EVE	Spot date
400	399	399	ditch	2.4	20	201	1	0.14	AD200-400
403	404	404	ditch	2.5	2	6	0	0	AD100-400
406	0	0	natural	0	35	598	3	0.8	AD100-400
410	407	407	hearth/oven	2.4	4	434	0	0.11	AD100-400
411	412	412	ditch	2.5	37	1231	6	2.02	AD200-400
413	414	414	ditch	2.2	11	201	1	0	AD150-400
415	416	416	ditch	2.4	19	341	3	0.28	AD200-400
417	418	418	ditch	2.3	1	7	0	0	AD50-400
418	418	418	ditch	2.3	1	11	0	0	AD100-200
419	421	421	ditch	2.5	30	690	4	1.79	AD250-400
420	421	421	ditch	2.5	8	82	2	0.15	AD100-400
424	422	422	pit	2.4	2	2	0	0	AD200-400
426	425	425	ditch	2.3	2	25	0	0	AD100-400
427	412	412	ditch	2.5	114	3667	6	4.71	AD200-400
429	428	418	ditch	2.3	16	171	3	0.12	AD300-400
430	0	0	laver	2.5	886	13142	132	19.33	AD300-400
431	0	0	laver	2.5	97	3334	2	0.32	AD200-400
432	0	0	laver	2.5	14	180	2	0.3	AD150-400
438	434	412	ditch	2.5	81	2037	13	4 56	AD200-400
439	434	412	ditch	2.5	95	1715	12	2 16	AD270-400
440	433	414	ditch	2.3	7	64		0	AD100-400
440	433	414	ditch	2.2	17	517	1	01	AD200-400
442	433	414	ditch	2.2	4	95	1	0.19	AD160-250
1/12	/35	/18	ditch	2.2		76	0	0.15	AD100-200
445	/25	410	ditch	2.5	12	227	1	0.46	AD250-400
444	435	286	ditch	2.3	5	227	4	0.40	AD250-400
1/0	430	200	well	2.5	22	1021	2	0 16	AD130-400
449	440	0	well	2.5	 	07	2 1	0.10	AD200-400
455	440	0	well	2.5	10	202	2	0.1	AD200-400
454	440	212	ditch	2.5	10	290	2	0.2	AD130-300
439	455	720	woll	2.4	20	2/	5	0.62	AD200-400
400	450	450	well	2.5	20	245	2	1.05	AD100-200
401	450	450	well	2.5	14	211	2	1.00	AD150-200
402	450	430	ditch	2.5	10	12		0.13	AD100-300
470	408	217	ditch	2.5		12	0	0	AD30-400
474	475	412	ditch	2.1	5	12	1	0 12	AD100-400
477	497	412	ditch	2.5	/ 20	217	2	0.12	AD250-400
470	497	412	ditch	2.5	20	217	2	0.5	AD200-300
479	497	412	ditch	2.5	29	304	2	0.45	AD200-300
482	480	418	ditch	2.3	64	957	9	1.19	AD240-400
488	487	487	ditch	2.5	82	11/2	/	0.83	AD300-400
494	493	487	ditch	2.5	12	108	0	0	AD200-400
499	498	498	ditch	2.4	18	422	4	1.64	AD300-400
507	506	312	aitch	2.4	1	1	0	0	AD100-400
514	513	498	aitch	2.4	13	549	1	0.83	AD200-400
516	513	498	aitch	2.4	1/	434	6	1.44	AD250-400
519	518	518	natural	0	20	659	1	0.1	AD240-400
522	0	522	surface (internal)	2.4	15	282	2	0.2	AD100-400
525	524	524	ditch	2.5	13	96	0	0	AD200-400
526	526	526	ditch	2.5	52	566	1	2	AD300-400

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd



Context	Cut	Group	Туре	Phase	No.	Wt(g)	ENV	EVE	Spot date
529	528	528	ditch	2.4	3	205	1	0	AD100-400
530	528	528	ditch	2.4	3	86	0	0	AD100-400
531	528	528	ditch	2.4	4	63	0	0	AD100-400
537	534	0	pit	2.3	18	302	3	0.31	AD200-400
538	534	0	pit	2.3	22	185	3	0.29	AD150-400
540			unstrat		1	21	0	0	AD100-400
542	512	0	pit	2.5	15	383	6	0.84	AD250-400
543	512	512	oven	2.5	8	220	0	0	AD150-400
544	512	512	oven	2.5	2	9	0	0	AD100-400
547	545	0	post hole	2.3	1	1	0	0	AD100-400
552	551	551	pit	2.4	1	3	1	0	AD50-400
559	557	557	well	2.5	1	8	0	0	AD100-400
560	557	557	well	2.5	1	4		0	AD100-400
562	557	557	well	2.5	6	136	2	0.3	AD250-400
576	575	575	ditch	2.3	1	9	0	0	AD50-400
578	577	510	ditch	2.3	12	190	0	0	AD50-400
579	512	512	kiln?	2.5	1	8	0	0	AD50-400
581	754	754	pit	2.5	6	111	1	0.07	AD150-300
584	512	512	kiln?	2.5	1	4	0	0.07	AD100-400
586	512	512	kiln?	2.5	1	29	0	0	AD50-400
587	512	512	kiln?	2.5	1	8	0	0	AD100-400
593	592	297	ditch	2.5	19	175	4	01	AD200-400
595	594	594	ditch	22	6	93	1	0.1	AD100-400
507	506	0	nit	2.2	5	72	1	0.2	AD150-400
508	596	0	pit	2.1	<u> </u>	05		0.1	AD150-300
600	050	0	pit	2.1	4	5	0	0	AD100-400
602	601	202	ditch	2.1	7	10	1	0	AD100-400
602	601	282	ditch	2.5	/	21	1	0	AD200-400
607	606	528	ditch	2.5	4	21	1	01	AD100-400
609	606	520	ditch	2.4	0	20		0.1	AD130-400
610	600	520	ditch	2.4	8	154	0	0	AD200-400
616	612	612	ditch	2.5	, 	110	0	0.45	AD100-400
617	756	756	ditch	2.5	5	119	1	0.45	AD150-400
617	750	750	ditch	2.5	9	152	1	0.4	AD250-400
619	730	730	ditch	2.5	4	49 F0	1	0.2	AD200-400
622	621	528	ditch	2.4	01	58	0	0	AD150-400
023	630	530	ditch	2.4	2	225	1	0.07	AD300-400
624	624	524	ditch	2.5	9	225	1	0	AD150-400
627	626	594	ditch	2.2	1	2	0	0	AD100-400
629	628	297	ait	3	1	15	1	0.07	AD200-400
631	632	0		2.3	5	28	1	0.1	AD150-400
634	633	397	ditch	2.3	3	20	1	0.1	AD120-300
640	639	639	ditch	2.1	2	14	0	0	AD100-400
641	639	639	ditch	2.1	19	113	2	0./1	AD120-300
643	642	399	ditch	2.4	4	28	0	0	AD50-400
645	644	510	ditch	2.3	1	11	0	0	AD100-400
648	646	639	ditch	2.1	17	181	2	0.35	AD50-200
651	649	510	ditch	2.3	4	20	0	0	AD100-400
653	652	399	ditch	2.4	11	98	1	0.1	AD100-400
654	652	399	ditch	2.4	2	21	0	0	AD100-400
657	639	639	ditch	2.1	2	4	0	0	AD100-400


V.1

Context	Cut	Group	Туре	Phase	No.	Wt(g)	ENV	EVE	Spot date
659	658	639	ditch	2.1	7	433	2	0.15	AD200-400
661	658	639	ditch	2.1	4	12	1	0	AD100-400
665	663	0	pit	2.4	2	40	1	0.11	AD200-400
668	666	0	pit	2.4	1	15	0	0	AD100-400
670	669	669	post hole	2.4	2	10	0	0	AD100-400
680	0	0	deposit	2.3	1	4	0	0	AD100-400
681	0	0	deposit	2.3	3	48	0	0	AD100-400
685	671	526	ditch	2.5	27	393	1	0	AD300-400
686	672	526	ditch	2.5	13	449	1	0.2	AD150-400
687	673	673	ditch	2.5	110	2750	9	2.48	AD300-400
688	674	674	ditch	2.1	2	14	1	0	AD150-400
690	663	0	pit	2.3	2	12	0	0	AD100-400
692	691	526	ditch	2.5	23	472	0	0.2	AD150-400
694	693	673	ditch	2.5	5	174	1	0.48	AD300-400
695	676	526	ditch	2.5	22	487	5	0.77	AD300-400
706	705	0_0	nit	1	2	10	0	0	AD50-400
713	712	594	ditch	22	9	227	2	0.45	AD100-400
715	714	0	nit	1	2	4	0	0.45	AD50-400
717	716	716	ditch	23	2	6	1	0	AD50-400
710	,10	0	dark earth	2.5	202	67/8	30	6 5 3	AD250-400
713	720	720	ditch	2.5	7	07-10	20	0.55	AD150-400
722	720	720	ditch	2.5	7	65	2	0	AD100-400
725	720	720	ditch	2.5	, ,	28	0	0	AD100-400
725	724	720	ditch	2.5	2	10	0	0	AD130-400
720	724	720	ditch	2.5	2	101	1	0.49	AD100-400
727	724	672	ditch	2.5	8	101	1 2	0.46	AD150-400
723	720	620	ditch	2.5	9	100	2	0.5	AD300-400
730	718	639	ditch	2.1	01	195	2	0.11	AD70-200
731	710	639	ditch	2.1	2	40	1	0 07	AD100-400
752	710	724	ditch	2.1	0	99	1	0.07	AD100-400
733	734	734	ditch	1	1	226	1	0	AD40-100
740	739	739	allen	2.5	20	330	1	0.4	AD150-400
742	741	741	post hole	2.4	3	15	1	0	AD100-400
744	743	/43	post noie	2.4	1	4	0	0	AD50-400
751	749	6/4	ditch	2.1	1	10	0	0	AD50-400
/53	/52	/52	ditch	2.1	1	1120	0	0	AD100-400
/55	0	0	deposit	2.5	/3	1128	11	1.07	AD300-400
757	762	0	TIOOr	2.4	8	66	1	0.11	AD100-400
/63	762	414	ditch	2.2	5	107	0	0.1	AD150-400
/64	762	414	ditch	2.2	24	343	3	0.87	AD150-400
/65	762	414	ditch	2.2	11	122	1	0.25	AD100-400
769	768	412	ditch	2.5	13	261	2	0.7	AD270-400
770	756	756	ditch	2.5	5	192	1	0.13	AD150-400
773	421	421	ditch	2.5	2	67	0	0	AD150-400
775	774	774	Post pipe	2.5	6	58	1	0.12	AD150-400
776	774	774	Post pipe	2.5	2	26	1	0	AD150-400
777	774	774	Post pipe	2.5	2	36	1	0.1	AD100-400
779	778	778	Post pipe	2.5	20	296	2	0.35	AD200-300
781	780	780	pit/hearth	2.5	6	19	0	0	AD200-400
782	0	815	wall	2.4	5	63	1	0	AD200-400
786	785	785	ditch	2.3	15	100	1	0.19	AD200-400



V.1

Context	Cut	Group	Туре	Phase	No.	Wt(g)	ENV	EVE	Spot date
788	787	787	ditch	3	5	20	1	0.09	AD150-400
790	789	789	Post pipe	2.5	2	62	1	0	AD150-400
791	883	789	Post setting	2.4	19	269	0	0	AD180-250
792	789	789	Post pipe	2.5	6	102	1	0.16	AD150-400
794	793	0	hollow/rooting	3	6	77	0	0	AD200-400
797	795	0	Hollow	3	14	173	0	0.22	AD200-400
801	800	752	ditch	2.1	5	33	0	0	AD200-400
807	0	0	surface	2.5	107	1741	8	1.17	AD200-400
			(internal)						
809	808	787	ditch	3	5	27	0	0	AD150-400
810	808	787	ditch	3	4	128	1	0.14	AD200-400
812	0	0	surface	2.5	8	40	1	0	AD150-400
			(internal)						
815	0	0	wall	2.4	9	44	1	0	AD200-400
819	817	756	ditch	2.5	12	164	2	0.26	AD200-400
821	817	756	ditch	2.5	113	2447	21	2.4	AD340-400
822	804	0		2.1	8	152	0	0	AD150-400
828	827	827	post pipe	2.5	10	184	16	0	AD240-400
832	833	0	Hollow	3	2	51	0	0	AD100-400
834	0	0	deposit/layer	2.5	1	9	0	0	AD100-400
			in barn						
835	787	787	ditch	3	12	142	3	0.18	AD325-400
840	840	0	Hollow/pit	2.3	1	8	1	0	AD200-400
841	840	0	Hollow/pit	2.3	5	54	1	0.1	AD100-400
844	843	843	post pipe	2.5	10	162	4	0.33	AD150-300
846	845	845	post pipe	2.5	4	22	0	0	AD200-400
847	845	845	post pipe	2.5	1	16	1	0.1	AD100-400
848	0	0	void	0	4	10	0	0	AD180-250
850	849	0	Hollow	2.3	3	36	0	0	AD100-400
856	855	855	ditch	3	3	18	0	0	AD100-400
859	857	857	ditch	3	6	53	0	0	AD150-400
872	870	857	ditch	3	4	36	0	0	AD200-400
874	873	0	pit	2.2	1	26	0	0	AD100-400
875	873	0	pit	2.2	2	15	0	0	AD100-400
876	873	0	pit	2.2	9	77	0	0	AD100-400
885	884	0	post setting	2.4	1	4	1	0	AD50-100
887	0	0	void	0	7	67	1	0	AD100-200
889	888	774	post setting	2.4	1	3	0	0	AD50-400
890	891	778	post setting	2.4	1	13	1	0	AD200-400
893	892	752	ditch	2.1	25	278	2	0.1	AD150-400
895	894	0	natural	0	1	4	0	0	AD50-400
897	896	0	post setting	2.4	11	118	0	0	AD100-400
899	898	829	nost setting	2.1	3	13	0	0	
902	903	877	ditch	2.4	1	9	0	0	AD150-400
905	904	904	ditch	2.1	2	<u>л</u> а	0	0.4	AD200-400
910	906	877	ditch	2.5	1	1	1	0.4	AD200 400
012	Q11	877	ditch	2.1	1	2	- -	0	AD100-400
016	Q1/	877	ditch	2.1	1	7	0	0	AD50-400
010	017	67/	ditch	2.1	1	1	0	0	AD50-400
919	91/	674	ditch	2.1		L L	0	0	AD50-400
921	920	074	ring gully	∠.⊥ 1	<u>Z</u>	16	0	0	
925	920	920	THIS SUILY	1		10	U	U	AD30-400

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd

4 December 2020



Context	Cut	Group	Туре	Phase	No.	Wt(g)	ENV	EVE	Spot date
941	939	756	ditch	2.5	33	460	4	0.27	AD300-400
unstratifi	ed				19	197	3	0.28	n/a

B.9 Ceramic Building Material

By Ted Livermore

Introduction

B.9.1 The excavations recovered 166 fragments (23694g) of ceramic building material (CBM) from across both the Northern and Southern Areas. This assemblage was dominated by Roman material (125 fragments, 22575g), with a smaller assemblage of medieval to post-medieval brick and tile (5,872g) and a small portion of undiagnostic or undated fragments (41, 590g). The CBM was moderately to severely abraded (average weight 143g) and collected from disuse fills of ditches, middens and within construction layers or the subsoil from the site. The assemblage was mostly concentrated in features dated to Roman phases (Phases 2.1 to 2.5), with a small fraction recovered from post-Roman features (Phase 3). This report provides a quantified assessment of the material and its significance. A summary quantification of the assemblage by site phase is provided in Table 55, and a full catalogue is appended to this report as Table 56.

Phase	Count	Weight (g)
2.1	6	874
2.3	29	2983
2.4	6	741
2.5	87	12207
3	20	5224
Unstrat.	18	1665
Totals	166	23694

Table 55. Summary of CBM by phase and area

Methodology

B.9.2 The assemblage was quantified by context, fabric and form and counted and weighed to the nearest whole gramme. Width, length and thickness were recorded where possible. Woodforde (1976) and McComish (2015) formed the basis of reference material for identification and dating. Warry (2006) was consulted for tegulae forms and descriptions. The quantified data and fabric descriptions are presented on an Excel spreadsheet held with the site archive.

Fabrics

B.9.3 Fifteen fabrics were recorded within this assemblage, with an additional seven subfabric variants. The fabrics recorded were all typical CBM recipes, with preferences towards gritty fabrics with a variety of coarse inclusions. A selection of fabrics were notable but none were attributable – at present – to known production sites. The

V.1



fabrics appear to show some distribution patterning when examined by feature phase. A small portion of fabrics were found in Phase 2.1 (C, E1, F, G and M) and the full suite are introduced from Phase 2.3. Fabric descriptions can be found with the site archive.

Assemblage

- B.9.4 The CBM assemblage was recovered from contexts in both the Northern and Southern Areas, with the majority derived from the northern part of the site. The assemblage is characterised by its spread and abrasion indicating high levels of post-demolition activity. Largely, the material was collected from waste dumps and infills of pits and ditches. A portion was recovered from the subsoil: since this provides little archaeological information, this material will not be discussed in detail.
- B.9.5 The CBM assemblage comprised a variety of fabrics, forms and production techniques which points to many sources for the material and several phases of construction. While it is clear the Roman material derives from demolition and post-discard processes, it may have been originally used for the buildings in the immediate vicinity.
- B.9.6 In the main, the CBM dates agree with the broad phasing for the features, although the phasing subdivisions are not useful for discussing this material. As such, the following will outline the assemblage by site area, object date and form in order to characterise the assemblage.

Northern Area

Roman

B.9.7 From the Northern Area, an assemblage of 109 fragments of CBM (20587g) was assigned Roman or probable Roman dates. These fragments presented diagnostic features of Roman brick and tile, including fragments of *tegula*, *imbrex*, flue tiles, *pedalis* or *besalis* and a possible *lydion*. This material was collected largely from ditch and midden contexts and a small portion was collected from floor and wall contexts (namely 432, 492, 812 and 815).

Roofing Material

- B.9.8 The most diagnostic fraction of the assemblage was the roofing material, including a number of *tegulae* (42 fragments, 9449g) and *imbrices* (4, 945g). The assemblage contained 24 diagnostic tegula (6611g) with remnant flanges and/or cutaways. The majority of the *tegulae* had neatly formed upper faces (some with finger signatures) and poorly finished and sanded bases. Of note is a fragment from ditch **79**, SF80 (292g), which has two overlapping domestic feline paw prints lightly pressed into the upper face.
- B.9.9 Fourteen fragments possessed diagnostic flanges or cutaways, half of which had both. The flange fragments can be divided broadly in half: A-types and D-types. A-type flanges have a square or inclined profile and possess sharp arrises, D-types have more rounded arrises and are less squared. In this assemblage, the D-type tegula were generally thinner (12-26mm) than the A-types (20-35mm) but shared the same flange height range of 40 to 55mm. There was one outlier tile (299g) – from ditch **917** –that stands out because it was naively hand formed. The tile appears to have been formed

through squeezing instead of moulding and cutting. Indeed, the body measured 18mm thick, but it thickened to 25mm in places and the flange was characterised by digit impressions, suggesting perhaps that it was made on site for a later repair. The surviving cutaways were harder to group, falling into a broad category of large and deliberate removal of the flange at the corner, sometimes leaving the tile body.

- B.9.10 There was a degree of variation in the production techniques and final forms of these tiles which suggests multiple sources for the material (either geographically or temporally). The *tegulae* were made in a variety of fabrics. The heterogeneity of the clays used and the difficulty in identifying unifying fabrics prevents clear classification.
- B.9.11 Four fragments of *imbrex* tiles (945g) were recorded from the Northern Area. This form of roofing tile is a measure of the proximity of the original roof because they are fragile and usually difficult to detect. They formed two groups, 15mm and 25mm tiles. They each presented unfinished and sanded inner faces and smoothed or wire-cut outer faces. Two *imbrex* tiles (220g) were collected in the subsoil (51), these were 15mm thick and made in a silty orange fabric.

Internal Building Material

- B.9.12 The internal building material assemblage contained material related to a hypocaust system, namely flue tiles (2, 287g). These tiles were characterised by their keyed/combed faces. One fragment, 170g, collected from ditch **330**, had its keying obscured by a coarsely tempered lime mortar, it was 22mm thick. The other (117g), from wall **815** of the aisled building had clear keying and was thinner at 15mm. It also had sooting on its broken edges.
- B.9.13 This assemblage was unusual in that it contained several brick-like objects (17, 6929g). The thicker tiles (or bricks) present in the assemblage were harder to classify; they are suggested to be suggested to be *besalis, pedalis* or *lydions*. Identifying Roman bricks or thick tiles is problematic without full lengths or widths; however, this material was probably related to hypocaust and wall construction. These fragments were around 35 to 40mm thick. One example, from layer 432, may have been at least 210mm x 160mm x 30mm. Most fragments, however, did not suggest their original size.
- B.9.14 Some of the material was undiagnostic and could only be assigned to a Roman date by its fabric, indicating the high degree of post-demolition activity here.

Medieval and post-medieval

B.9.15 Eleven severely abraded fragments (726g) of flat tile were given medieval to postmedieval dates. They were made in fabrics that did not appear amongst the remainder of the Roman assemblage. They are probably intrusive to the features they were collected from.

Southern Area

B.9.16 A total of eight fragments were recovered from the Southern Area. Ditch **99** produced a large fragment of *imbrex*. The fragment possessed a basal edge face (15mm thick) and the majority of a side, suggesting a 105mm height and a train tunnel profile. Four



severely abraded fragments (93g) were also collected in this area, they are not significant.

B.9.17 Contexts in ditch **99** also produced a tegula fragment with an A-type flange (112g) and a brick/tile fragment (29g), alongside a probably flat tile (69g) dating to the medieval or post-medieval period.

Discussion

B.9.18 The Roman material was fragmentary, abraded and scattered within disuse fills. It was concentrated within the Northern Area with only minor numbers seen elsewhere. The presence of roofing and hypocaust material implies investment in the building(s) from which they derive- which was/were likely of reasonably high-status. While difficult to ascertain, the Roman material does appear to indicate more than one phase of construction with differing sources, as well as maintenance as shown by the handmade repair tile. The later (post-Roman) material is likely to have been brought to the site – or moved around the site – by agricultural processes. It represents little more than background noise in the archaeological landscape.

V.1



V.1

Test Pit	Context	Cut	Feature	Phase	Group	SF number	Form	Descr	Date	Frag No.	Weight (g)	Abrasion	Upper/Lower Cutaway	Cutaway Length (mm)	Flange Type	Cutaway Type	Comment
	60	59	Ditch	2.1	59		Tile	Peg	Med-Pmed	1	14	mod					Frag of med-pmed peg tile, small and abraded.
	60	59	Ditch	2.1	59		Brick		?Roman	1	48	v sev	-				Tile like fragment. Severely abraded, weather/water worn.
	76	75	Ditch	2.3	53		Brick		Roman	1	29	severe					Severely abraded frag of probable Roman tile
	80	79	Ditch	2.3	79		Undiag	Undiag	Undiag	1	10	severe					
	80	79	Ditch	2.3	79	80	Tile	?Teg	Roman	1	292	Mod					Fragment of Roman tile, probably tegula body. Upper faces is smoothed/wirecut and has two interleaving domestic cat paw prints (2.5cm long and 2cm wide). Lower face is irregular and finely sanded. Colouration suggests post-deposition/post-demolition burning
	135	-	Mixed Subsoil	-	-		Tile	flat	Pmed	1	8	severe					
	148	147	Ditch	2.3	82		Undiag	Undiag	Undiag	1	9						
	149	147	Ditch	2.3	82		Tile	Tegula	Roman	1	46	mod			A3		Fragment of tegula flange; notably tall and thin flange. Well-formed and smoothed, Outers are pockmarked, irregular and sanded.
	149	147	Ditch	2.3	82		Tile	Tegula	Roman	1	23	mod			D		Fragment of a flange, notably thin and tall. Probably refits other frag.
	149	147	Ditch	2.3	82		Tile	Imbrex	Roman	1	56	mod					Fragment of terminal end and corner of an imbrex tile. Outer faces are smoothed; inner and edges are irregular, creased and densely sanded.
	233	232	Ditch	2.3	194		Tile	?Teg	Roman	1	301	Mod					Fragment of Roman tile, body fragment with no diagnostic features. Similar in fabric to tegula from context, but different dimensions and colouration. Poss teg. Upper face is smoothed/wirecut and lower face is finely sanded with rare coarse quartz.
	233	232	Ditch	2.3	194		Tile	Tegula	Roman	1	139	Mod			D2		Fragment of tegula flange. Deep finger groove drawn along inside corner, creating a squared flange with slight rounded inner face (D2). Outer faces are finely sanded.
	283	282	Ditch	2.4	282		Tile	flat	Med-Pmed	1	58	Slight					Fragment of 1/2 inch flat tile; med-pmed. Upper face is smoothed/wirecut, lower is finely sanded.
	283	282	Ditch	2.4	282		Brick		?Roman	1	192	severe					Fragment of brick, possibly Roman. Fabric suggest Roman, relative to this assemblage. Surviving face is oragne and finely sanded, core is mid to light grey.
	287	286	Ditch	2.3	286		Brick		Roman	1	109	mod					Fragment of tile, probably Roman.
	296	295	Ditch	2.3	295		Tile	?Teg	Roman	1	133	mod					Fragment of thin tile, poss teg. Lower face is sanded but generally flat, upper is smoothed with two remnant shallow grooves - signature or combing is unclear. Edge face is very smooth, poss from wear or from sawing. Unclear. Some post-firing sooting.
	296	295	Ditch	2.3	295		Tile	?Bes/Ped	Roman	1	125	severe					Fragment of thick tile or brick. Base face is abraded and mostly missing. Tile was neatly made. subsequently abraded.
	329	327	Ditch	2.3	286		Tile	?Teg	Roman	1	28	Slight					Small fragment of tile, probably a teg body frag, Some burning on sanded face. Very micaceous fabric.
	331	330	Ditch	2.3	295		Tile	Flue	Roman	1	170	mod					Fragment of keyed/combed tile with very coarsely tempered lime mortar accretions. Keyed face has coarse mortar, obverse is smoothed with thin patina - poss mortar or secondary calcite? Combing obscured.
	363	362	Ditch	2.3	286		Tile	?Teg	Roman	1	117	mod					Fragment of tile. In reduced version of Fabric B. Smooth surfaces and regular thickness.
	363	362	Ditch	2.3	286		Tile	?Teg	Roman	2	334	mod					Refitting fragments of a poss tegula, made in the same fabric as SF79. Upper faces are smoothed, lower is grey with rare fine sanding and fairly regular (unlike SF79).



V.1

Test Pit	Context	Cut	Feature	Phase	Group	SF number	Form	Descr	Date	Frag No.	Weight (g)	Abrasion	Upper/Lower Cutaway	Cutaway Length (mm)	Flange Type	Cutaway Type	Comment
	411	412	Ditch	2.5	412		Undiag	Undiag		1	14	severe					
	411	412	Ditch	2.5	412	L	Brick		Roman	1	45	severe					Chunk of Roman brick/tile with minimal surviving surfaces.
	411	412	Ditch	2.5	412		Tile	Tegula	Roman	3	463	severe	UR	25	A3	A2	Fragments of the upper right-hand cutaway of a tegula. Dull brown colour smoothed and exacted faces; arrises fairly neat. Lower and terminal edge are finely sanded.
	419	421	Ditch	2.5	421		Brick		Roman	1	56	mod					sanded face of a roman brick or tile
	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	Tegula	Roman	1	199	mod	LR	65	0	A3/ B2	Fragment of tegula cutaway. Fragment has broken at the flange leaving the cutaway section. Cutaway took part of the width of the flange and chamfered the last 30mm of the flange. Full flange height not present. Upper face is wirecut, lower is sanded. All faces are regular, and the tile is neatly formed.
F5/E5	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	Tegula	Roman	1	1047	mod	LR	60	A3	A3/ C1	Large fragment of tegula. Lower right-hand cutaway of the tile, cutaway is large and composite of part removal of the flange width and an angled undercut. Deep thumb groove running along flange-body join. Remnant finger signature (double finger in semi-circle). Upper face is smoothed and slightly undulating, poss mortar accretions. Outers are fairly regular and sanded. Kiln shadowing present.
G4	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	Tegula	Roman	1	111	severe			A3/ F		Fragment of tegula flange. Abraded; top of flange missing, and patches of faces lost. Upper and inner faces were smoothed, are now cracked and flaked. Outer and lower faces irregular and finely sanded. All faces exacted, arrises are sharp or neatly rounded. Likely to be the same tile as fragments seen in E3 and F2.
	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	Tegula	Roman	1	352	mod	?LR		A4	A?	Fragment of tegula, probably lower right cutaway. Cutaway is atypical, what survives suggests the full height of the flange has been removed, but there is no corresponding body to tell how much was removed. All faces are fairly smooth, outers are finely sanded. Two subtle finger grooves along inside of the flange, one at the base turn and one along the flange face. Fairly squared flange.
F2	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	Tegula	Roman	1	228	Mod	UR	24	A4	A2	Upper right-hand cutaway and flange from a tegula, with part of terminal edge. Relatively thin form. Upper and inner faces are smoothed, subtle finger groove at base of flange. Outer and lower faces are very irregular and finely sanded. All faces are an even dull brown grey.
E3	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	Tegula	Roman	1	29	severe					Fragment of tile, probably part of the tegula seen in G4. Cracked surfaces and same dull pink-orange colour
F2	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	Tegula	Roman	1	98	severe					Fragment of tile, probably part of the tegula seen in G4. Cracked surfaces and same dull pink-orange colour
	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	Tegula	Roman	1	156	Slight	LL	50	D	?C 1	remnants of a tegula lower left cutaway. Tile is fairly neatly made; upper faces are smoothed. Lower is regular and sanded. Fragment has broken away at the end of the cutaway, complete form unclear, but appears to be a chamfer type. Terminal edge is poorly finished, also sanded.
G4	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-	<u> </u>	Undiag	Undiag	?Roman	1	6	severe	<u> </u>	L			Undiagnostic nugget made in a porous and speckled fabric.
	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Undiag	Undiag	Undiag	2	14	severe			-		
	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		l lle	i eguia	Koman		26	severe			U		Fragment of tegula flange, incomplete.
C1	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Undiag	Undiag	Domon	3	24	severe					
64	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-	1	llie	1	Koman	1	28	severe	1	1	1		



V.1

													/ay	(î			
Test Pit	Context	Cut	Feature	Phase	Group	SF number	Form	Descr	Date	Frag No.	Weight (g)	Abrasion	Upper/Lower Cutaw	Cutaway Length (mi	Flange Type	Cutaway Type	Comment
F2	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Undiag	Undiag	Undiag	1	26	severe					
	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	?Teg	Roman	1	621	slight					Fragment of a large Roman brick/tile, probably a tegula. Part of terminal edge remains. Regular and neatly made. Upper faces is smoothed, lower faces is sanded and calcy. Edge is knife cut.
F2	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile		?Roman	1	37	severe					
G4	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Undiag	Undiag	Roman	1	39	severe					
G2	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Undiag	Undiag	?Roman	2	40	severe					
	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	?Bes/Ped	Roman	1	69	mod					Fragment of thick Roman tile. Similar to tile in G3. Edge of a thick Roman tile. One smoothed and very finely sanded face and an
G3	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	?Bes/Ped	Roman	1	70	Slight					irregular part-wirecut obverse. Same tile as in H3.
E3	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile		?Roman or ?Pmed	1	48	Slight					Fragment of tile, made in a mid to dark reddish fabric, dense sanded base. Does not appear to be similar to the Roman material. Pmed? Or just an atypical Roman fabric? As it comes from a roman context and feature, it's odd.
E4	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile		Roman	1	87	mod					Fragment of thick Roman tile. One smoothed with finger sig, remnant obverse is sanded.
G4	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	Tegula	Roman	2	705	mod					Terminal edge fragment of a tegula and body frag; upper face is smoothed with finger signature. Lower face is sanded and irregular. Edge is slightly better formed. Fairly neat arrises. Yellow-Greenish upper face.
	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Undiag	Undiag	?Roman	1	101	severe					
	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile		?Roman	1	123	slight					Fragment of thin Roman tile with remnant edge face. Tile has slight bow, could be an imbrex but this is uncertain. Form is exacted and neat, arrises are neatly rounded. Upper face wire cut; rest of faces finely sanded.
	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile		?Roman	2	130	severe					Abraded tile fragments, remnant edge faces.
H3	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	?Bes/Ped	Roman	1	162	Slight					Fragment of thick Roman tile. One smoothed and very finely sanded face and an irregular part-wirecut obverse. Same tile as in G3.
F2	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	?Bes/Ped	Roman	1	204	Slight					Fragment of a thick Roman brick/tile. Probably from a bes or ped. Upper faces is patchy sooted. Rest is oxidised colours. Base is regular and finely sanded.
	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	?Bes/Ped	Roman	1	224	slight					Fragment of a thick Roman brick/tile. Upper faces is smoothed. Base is fairly regular and sanded. Probably bes or ped. Similar to those seen in G2
	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	Imbrex	Roman	1	367	slight					Corner of an imbrex tile. Outer face is smoothed. Base and edges are sanded. Base edge is chamfered, giving a steep angle to the tile. Broken edge suggests a subtle curve to the tile.
F5/E5	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	?Bes/Ped	Roman	1	453	mod					Fragment of a thick Roman brick/tile. Probably from a bes or ped. Upper faces is wirecut. Base is fairly regular and sanded. Fragment has seen post-breakage burning
G2	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	?Bes/Ped	Roman	2	502	mod					Fragment of a thick Roman brick/tile. Probably from a bes or ped. Upper faces is patchy sooted. Similar to fragment from F2. However, base here is irregular and pockmarked.
	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	?Bes/Ped	Roman	1	531	slight					edge fragment of a thick Roman brick/tile. Probably from a bes or ped. Upper faces is smoothed with wiping marks. Base is regular and sanded. Edge shows signs of having been cut to shape. Sharp arrises present. Thickness suggests it's a ped or bes



V.1

						1											
Test Pit	Context	Cut	Feature	Phase	Group	SF number	Form	Descr	Date	Frag No.	Weight (g)	Abrasion	Upper/Lower Cutaway	Cutaway Length (mm)	Flange Type	Cutaway Type	Comment
	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	?Bes/Ped	Roman	1	592	mod					Fragment of a thick Roman brick/tile. Upper faces is wiped and has a finger wipe signature. Base is fairly regular and sanded. Poss remnant edge. Probably from a bes or ped.
F5	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile	?Bes/Ped	Roman	1	650	mod					Corner fragment of a large Roman brick/tile. Upper face is smooth with remnants of finger signature (two overlapping arcs). Base and edges are irregular and densely sanded. Arrises are fairly sharp and the tile is neatly formed. Dark oranges.
F3	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	-		Tile		Med-Pmed	3	241	mod					
	432	-	Floor	3	-		Tile		?Roman	1	161	mod					A fragment of tile or brick. Remnant edge is well formed with sharp arrises. Remnants of one bed face remain, cracked but smooth. Obverse bed face is missing. Perhaps a later tile and not Roman, hard to say.
	432	-	Floor	3	-	92	Tile	?Bes/Ped	Roman	1	1739	mod					Large fragment of Roman tile. Corner portion of a large flat Roman brick/tile; probably ped/bes. No full length or width. Upper bed is irregular but smoothed, has an array of long irregular scracthes/grooves; dragging? Base and edge faces are lightly sanded and irregular. Base has digit impressions. Arrises are rounded and corner is rounded. Fairly neat construction but irregular finish.
	434	434	Ditch	2.5	412		Tile	Tegula	Roman	1	165	mod			D2		Tegula flange fragment. Smoothed uppers, sanded outers. Irregular base. Probably same tile as 438+439
	438	434	Ditch	2.5	412		Tile	?Teg	Roman	2	169	severe					Body fragments of a Roman tile, probably part of the tegula from 434 SURFACE FIND
	439	434	Ditch	2.5	412		Tile		Roman	2	16	severe					frags of Roman tile
	439	434	Ditch	2.5	412		Tile	?Teg	Roman	3	19	severe					fragments of tile. Probably same tile as 438
	460	456	Well	2.5	456		Undiag	Undiag	Undiag	1	7	severe					
	462	456	Well	2.5	456		Tile	?Bes/Ped	Roman	1	412	mod					Fragment of a thick brick/tile. Similar in size and style as the possible besalis from 463. Upper face wirecut with partial finger groove signature. Lower face is irregular and patchy sanded.
	463	456	Well	2.5	456		Tile	Bes?	Roman	1	808	mod					A fragment of a thick brick/tile. It has a remnant edge face. On the base are three parallel finger impressions probably from turning, direction of these suggests the full width of the object was small, perhaps only 150mm. Suggests it may be a besalis (i.e. 1/2 Roman foot square). Upper is wirecut, edge is too, lower is irregular and finely sanded.
	478	497	Ditch	2.5	412		Brick		Roman	1	46	severe					Fragment of undiag Roman brick/tile
	482	480	Ditch	2.3	418		Tile		Roman	1	183	mod					Corner fragment of a flat tile, probably Roman. Perhaps a thin besalis? Or an opus type tile. Fairly neat and regular except for base face which is irregular and parts of one terminal end. Suggests poor moulding.
	482	480	Ditch	2.3	418		Brick		Roman	2	331	severe					Abraded fragments of thick Roman brick/tile
	488	487	Ditch	2.5	487		Undiag	Undiag	Undiag	1	5	severe					
	488	487	Ditch	2.5	487		Tile		Roman	1	73	mod					Fragment of a thick roman tile. Upper is rough by regular, body is mid grey, lower is orange and sanded.
	488	487	Ditch	2.5	487		Tile		Roman	1	119	mod					Corner fragment of a tile. Rounded arrises and rounded corner. Upper face is flattened, lowed and edges are less neat and are sanded.
	488	487	Ditch	2.5	487		Brick		Roman	3	159	severe					Fragments of two or three different undiag Roman bricks/tiles.



V.1

Test Pit	Context	Cut	Feature	Phase	Group	SF number	Form	Descr	Date	Frag No.	Weight (g)	Abrasion	Upper/Lower Cutaway	Cutaway Length (mm)	Flange Type	Cutaway Type	Comment
	488	487	Ditch	2.5	487		Tile	?Lydion/Pe d	Roman	1	230	mod					Corner fragment of a thick Roman brick/tile. Lydion or Ped/Bes? Arrises are regular and fairly sharp, corner is rounded. Faces are exacted and smoothed. Patches of fine sanding on base.
	516	513	Ditch	2.4	498		Tile	?Teg	Roman	1	219	mod					Edge of a thick Roman tile. One smoothed and very finely sanded base.
	530	528	Ditch	2.4	528		Tile	Tegula	Roman	1	115	mod					Body fragment of neatly made Roman tile, probably tegula. Upper face is smoothed, lower is flat and sanded.
	542	512	Pit	2.5	512		Undiag	Undiag	?Pmed	1	4	severe					
	593	592	Ditch	3	297		Undiag	Undiag	?Roman	2	20	severe					
	610	609	Ditch	2.5	524		Tile	?Teg	Roman	1	87	mod					Fragment of Roman tile. Wirecut upper face and coarsely sanded base. Neat. Orange with grey core.
J3	617	756	Ditch	2.5	756		Undiag	Undiag	?Roman	3	37	severe					
J3	617	756	Ditch	2.5	756		Tile	Imbrex	Roman	1	283	mod					Corner fragment of a thin Roman tile, edges and lower face finely sanded, obverse is abraded but appears smoothed. Possibly an imbrex tile as there is a slight bow to the body away from the edge. Similar to imbrex seen elsewhere. Neat arrises and fairly exacted form.
	679	678	Ditch	2.3	678		Tile	Flat	Pmed	1	42	severe					Fragment of thin tile. Fabric is very pot-like. Upper face is smoothed, slightly undulating and looks like a self-slip. Base is coarsely sanded
	719	-	Midden	3	-		Brick		?Med-Pmed	1	254	severe					Abraded fragment of a large brick/tile. No diagnostic features, remnant surfaces are smoothed and sanded.
	719	-	Midden	3	-		Undiag	Undiag	?Roman	1	14	severe					
	719	-	Midden	3	-		Tile		Roman	1	96	slight					Fragment of Roman tile with coarsely sanded base
	719	-	Midden	3	-		Tile		Roman	2	193	mod					Body fragments of neatly made thin roman tiles.
	723	730	Ditch	2.5	720		Tile	?Bes/Ped	Roman	1	158	slight					Fragment of thick Roman tile. Edge face remnant. Smoothed upper, fine sanded base and edge. Exacted and fairly sharp arrises.
	727	724	Ditch	2.5	720		Brick	Undiag	?Roman	1	58	severe					
E5	755	-	Cess Deposit	3	-		Tile	Tegula	Roman	1	58	severe					base of a tegula flange. Smoothed upper face, finely sanded base. No flange present.
E3	755	-	Cess Deposit	3	-		Tile	?Teg	Roman	1	184	mod					Fragment of tile, probably part of the tegula seen in 430. Cracked surfaces and same dull pink-orange colour
E5	755	-	Cess Deposit	3	-		Tile	?Teg	Roman	1	25	severe					dark smooth surface and dull orange core
F4	755	-	Cess Deposit	3	-		Tile		Roman	1	89	slight					Fragment of Roman tile with coarsely sanded base
	788	787	Ditch	3	787		Undiag	Undiag	Undiag	4	39	severe					
	807	-	Internal Floor	2.5	-		Undiag	Undiag	Undiag	4	12	severe					
T2	812	-	Internal Surface (Redep Nat)	3	-	18 0	Tile	Tegula	Roman	3	2352	Slight	LR	60	A	A/C 1	Refitting fragments of the lower right-hand portion of a tegula. Squared flange with angled cutaway. At surviving terminal end there is a two finger signature, forming part of a semi-circle. Upper and inner faces are smoothed and show wire cutting. Outer and lower faces are unfinished/irregular and densely sanded (med to coarse). Good example, illustrate?
	815	-	Wall	2.4	-		Tile	Flue	Roman	1	117	slight					Fragment of box flue tile with remnant diagonal wide grooves/combing. Rounded arrises and neatly smoothed outer faces. Sooted, post break.
	821	817	Ditch	2.5	756		Undiag	Undiag	Undiag	1	18	severe					



V.1

Test Pit	Context	Cut	Feature	Phase	Group	SF number	Form	Descr	Date	Frag No.	Weight (g)	Abrasion	Upper/Lower Cutaway	Cutaway Length (mm)	Flange Type	Cutaway Type	Comment
	821	817	Ditch	2.5	756		Tile		?Roman	1	60	severe					
	844	843	Post Pipe	2.5	843		Tile	Flat	Med-Pmed	2	105	mod					Fragments of late flat tile. Med-Pmed.
	905	904	Ditch	2.5	904		Tile	Imbrex	Roman	1	239	mod					Terminal end of an imbrex tile. Body of the tile bows, tile is thinner at base than at body break. Inner and edges are densely fine sanded, outer is wiped smooth and has mortar accretions (sign of reuse?). Tile has rectangular shape, poss sawn edge - reworked and then cemented into something else?
	914	914	Ditch	2.1	877		Brick		?Roman	2	204	severe					Fragments of thick tile or brick, poss Roman or Med
	914	914	Ditch	2.1	877		Tile	?Teg	Roman	1	309	slight					Fragment of Roman tile with remnant edge face, probably from the body of a tegula. Neat and exacted form with fairly sharp arrises. Smooth upper and fine sanded base.
	919	917	Ditch	2.1	674		Tile	Tegula	Roman	1	299	mod			D2		Large tegula flange fragment. Flange is atypical as it has been hand formed; large digit impressions around the outside of the flange, flange is irregular and bulky and shows none of the exactness of standard tegula forming. Illustrate?
	100	99	Ditch	2.3	99		Tile	Imbrex	Roman	2	232	mod					Fragment of imbrex tile; remnant base edge and probably full height of the tile (105mm). Train tunnel section. Outer face is smoothed and wirecut, inner irregular and densely sanded.
	156	155	Ditch	2.3	99		Undiag	Undiag	Undiag	1	6	severe					
	191	189	Ditch	2.3	171		Undiag	Undiag	Roman	2	82	severe					
	235	234	Ditch	2.3	99		Undiag	Undiag	Undiag	1	5	severe					
	51	-	Subsoil	-	-		Tile		?Med-Pmed	1	29	severe					Severely abraded frag of ?med-pmed tile; around 1/2 inch thick
	127	126	Ditch	2.3	99		Tile		?Med-Pmed	1	69	slight					Fragment of tile. All faces are smoothed. Arrises are sharp. 1/2 inch thickness might indicate med-pmed date. Weighty for its size.
	129	128	Ditch	2.3	99	1	Tile	Tegula	Roman	1	112	severe			Α		Fragment of tegula flange; square section. Mortar accretions.
	140	139	Ditch	2.4	121		Brick		Roman	1	40	severe					
B1	51	-	Subsoil	-	-		Undiag	Undiag	Undiag	1	5	severe					
B2	51	-	Subsoil	-	-		Undiag	Undiag	Undiag	3	20	severe					
D2	51	-	Subsoil	-	-		Undiag	Undiag	Undiag	1	33	severe					Sanded face of brick or tile. Undiag, no date.
	51	-	Subsoil	-	-		Tile	?Flue	Roman	1	38	severe					Fragment of thin tile with remnant scoring on one face. All faces are smoothed, and the fragment is abraded.
	51	-	Subsoil	-	-		Tile	Imbrex	Roman	1	100	mod					Square fragment of basal edge portion of an imbrex. Base edge is chamfered and gives body tilt. Out face is smoothed and inner and edge are coarsely sanded.
	51	-	Subsoil	-	-		Tile	Imbrex	Roman	1	120	mod					Fragment of Roman imbrex. Outer is smoothed and undulate, has a thin scored line halfway up the body, running parallel to the remnant base edge; a dragging mark? Inner face is regular and densely sanded. Base edge is similar.
	51	-	Subsoil	-	-		Brick		?Roman	1	169	severe					Corner fragment of a thick tile or slim brick. Regular arrises and rounded corners. Upper face is smoothed, rest are finely sanded and regular. Internal body is twisted suggesting mould produced. Probably roman, does not seem like later types.
	51	-	Subsoil	-	-	79	Tile	Tegula	Roman	1	473	Slight			В		Fragment of tegula flange. Notably chunky tegula with a faceted shape. Flange is twice the thickness of the tile body. Upper faces are smoothed/wirecut. Outer flange face is smooth. Lower face is regular and have ?organic impressions. Atypical example.



V.1

Test Pit	Context	Cut	Feature	Phase	Group	SF number	Form	Descr	Date	Frag No.	Weight (g)	Abrasion	Upper/Lower Cutaway	Cutaway Length (mm)	Flange Type	Cutaway Type	Comment
	51	-	Subsoil	-	-		Brick		Roman	6	670	severe					Fragments of the corner of a large Roman tile/brick. One obvious edge face and a less remnant perpendicular edge. Upper face is smoothed/wirecut, surviving edge is sharply cut leaving sharp arris. Lower face is irregular and very poorly formed, is also finely sanded. Some secondary calcite accretions on old breaks.

Table 56. Catalogue of ceramic building material



B.10 Fired Clay

By Ted Levermore

Introduction

- B.10.1 The excavations produced a small assemblage of fired clay (247 fragments, 2673g) from the Northern and Southern Areas (see Table 57). The material was collected from Roman and post-Roman features, with a concentration in the northern part of the site. The majority of the material comprised 'structural' fragments, *i.e.* pieces with recognisable attributes (208 fragments, 2458g). Of the structural fraction, a portion comprised diagnostic objects (10, 2008g), namely kiln related objects including 33 fragments of kiln plate (743g). A small fraction of the assemblage consisted of amorphous fragments with no discernible features (39, 215g). The kiln material derived from within and below the Roman building contexts, in the Northern Area, which points to a later Iron Age/Early Roman craft/light industrial use of the immediate vicinity.
- B.10.2 The quantified data and fabric descriptions are presented on an Excel spreadsheet held in the site archive. A catalogue of the assemblage is provided below in Table 59.

Phase	Fragment type	Object Form	Sum of	Sum of
			Count	Weight
2.1	Amorphous		2	(6/
	Structural	Plate	1	18
	Structural	Unknown	4	51
2.1 total			7	76
2.3	Amorphous		10	47
	Structural	Plate	2	7
	Structural	Unknown	3	37
2.3 total			15	91
2.4	Amorphous		4	14
	Structural	?Lining	35	76
	Structural	?Pedestal	1	49
	Structural	Plate	6	195
2.4 total			46	334
2.5	Amorphous		10	68
	Structural	?Pedestal	12	692
	Structural	?Pedestal or	4	76
		Plate		
	Structural	Plate	19	343
	Structural	Unknown	45	396
2.5 total			90	1575
3	Amorphous		9	42
	Structural	?Lining	45	212
	Structural	Plate	4	145
	Structural	Unknown	13	109
3 total			71	508
Unstrat.	Amorphous		4	37



Phase	Fragment type	Object Form	Sum of Count	Sum of Weight (g)
	Structural	?Lining	13	17
	Structural	Plate	1	35
Totals			247	2673

Methodology

B.10.3 The assemblage was quantified by context, fabric and form and counted and weighed to the nearest whole gramme. Fabrics were examined using a x20 hand lens and were described by main inclusions present. Swan (1984) was consulted for Iron Age and Roman kiln furniture forms and kiln typology. A summary of the catalogue can be found in Table 58.

Fabrics

B.10.4 Eight fabric groups (and three subsets) were recorded amongst the assemblage. All the fabrics contained quartz, flint and gritty material. The main differences were seen between the fabrics that contained calcareous material, those with coarse flint inclusions and those with organic temper. The clays were probably sourced locally to the site, with any variation seen being related to geological variation or differences in paste preparation. The material related to the kiln was made of a narrow set of fabrics.

Assemblage

B.10.5 By weight, the bulk of this material was concentrated in the Northern Area (205 fragments, 2233g). A portion of the assemblage was recovered from subsoil contexts in Test Pit Area 1 (37 fragments, 403g). This assemblage contained similar material to other contexts in the Northern Area, namely kiln plates, and has therefore been added to the relevant descriptions. The Southern Area contained a smaller and less diagnostic assemblage (5 fragments, 37g). The material appears to be residual to all contexts, rendering discussion by phase unnecessary: the following text examines the material by fragment type.

Kiln/Oven Material

B.10.6 The Northern Area produced all the diagnostic material in the assemblage, which comprised kiln furniture and fragments probably related to oven-type features (Table 58). The most characteristic portion of the assemblage consists of fragments of kiln plates. The use of such plates is best documented for Late Iron Age and Early Roman pottery kilns (Swan 1984), including the important series of pre-Flavian Roman kilns from sites in Cambridgeshire (*e.g.* Gibson and Lucas 2002; Evans *et al* 2008), but are also known locally in large numbers from the later Horningsea Ware production centres in the lower Cam Valley (J. Evans *et al* 2013, 41-51; Newton and Peachey 2012) These objects were made in a reduced grey fine sandy clay with occasional coarse subrounded flint and red clay pellets. No complete examples survived; however, the



remnants were quite uniform in their size, shape and surface treatment. They were between 12 and 14mm thick (4 fragments, 128g, were 20mm thick), reasonably flat and with grass and grain on both faces. This is typical of kiln plates which are thought to be hand formed between chaff/grass material and then laid out to dry. The thirtytwo confidently identified kiln plate fragments (724g in total) were found scattered in low quantities across the northern area, from contexts belonging to Phases 2.1-3 and much of this is likely to be residual. Something of a concentration of this material was found however, in the deposits sampled by Test Pit Area 1, where 18 fragments (268g) were found. The remainder of the diagnostic material was assigned to the broad category of 'oven related', as they were severely fragmented and abraded, consisting mostly of probable pedestals (4 fragments, 470g) and pieces of lining (93, 305g). A pedestal fragment (49g) was collected from the internal levelling surface **522** and some lining from post setting **883**. The rest of the material was collected from hollows and midden contexts and was very fragmented.

Object Form	Count	Weight (g)
Plate	33	743
Pedestal or Plate	4	76
?Pedestal	4	470
?Lining	93	305
?Furniture	9	271
Total	143	1865

Table 58: Summary of kiln structure and furniture forms.

Non-diagnostic material

B.10.7 The remainder of the assemblage is less informative. The presence of fragments with flattened surfaces, wattle impressions and signs of hand-forming are signs of a greater number of objects and/or features related to domestic and light industrial activity at the site. However, conclusions are limited beyond their bulk and distribution at the site. The majority of the material was found in the Northern Area within ditch, hollow and midden contexts; suggesting the ubiquity of the use of fired clay in kilns/ovens before and during the Roman use of the site. It is possible that the variation seen in fabrics indicates different phases of production, but this cannot be discerned from this assemblage. Instead, the material should be considered to be the detrital remains of Iron Age and Roman domestic and industrial/craft activity.

Discussion

B.10.8 The diagnostic elements of the assemblage are dominated by kiln material, namely kiln plates, and attest to pottery production at or close to the site at some point during its occupation, although much of this material may be residual in the contexts from which it derives.



V.1

Test Pit	Context	Cut	Feature	Phase	Group	SF Number	Fragment type	Structural type	Object Class	Object Form	Date/Period	Abrasion	Notes	Count	Weight (g)
G4	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	50		s	fs/hf	?Oven Related	?Pedestal		Sev	Fragments of a blocky clay object. Very highly fired, compact and almost stone-like appearance. Hand formed blocky shape. Yellow and grey colouration.	2	344
	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	50		s	fs	?Oven Related	?Pedestal		Sev	Fragment of yellow-grey clay like block from G4	1	77
	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	50		S	fs	?Oven Related	?Pedestal or Plate		Mod	Fragments of a porous clay with one remnant flattened surface. Probably from a platey or blocky object	4	76
G3	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	50		s	fs			Sev Fragments of object(s) with smoothed surfaces, body is cracked. Fabric is common brough a guartz.		Fragments of object(s) with smoothed surfaces, body is cracked. Fabric is common brown quartz.	7	55
F3	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	50		S	fs		Sev Fragment of object with smoothed surfaces, body is cracked. Fabric is common bro quartz.		Fragment of object with smoothed surfaces, body is cracked. Fabric is common brown quartz.	1	6	
E4	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	50		s	fs				Sev	Fragments of object(s) with smoothed surfaces, body is cracked. Fabric is common brown quartz.	2	20
G3	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	50		s	fs				Sev		5	8
H1	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	50		а					Sev		2	4
G3	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	50		а					Sev		1	5
F1	430	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	50		s	w				Sev	the internal portion of a perforation through a clay object D~20mm	2	24
	357	357	Ditch	2.1	59		s	object	Kiln furniture	Plate	LIA/ERB	Mod	grass and grain, fairly reduced margins. Rounded edge. Brown oxidised	1	18
	105	104	Ditch	2.3	79		s	fs				sev		2	26
	122	121	Ditch	2.4	121		а					Sev		1	4
	172	171	Ditch	2.3	171		а					Sev		1	3



V.1

Test Pit	Context	Cut	Feature	Phase	Group	SF Number	Fragment type	Structural type	Object Class	Object Form	Date/Period	Abrasion	Notes	Count	Weight (g)
	288	286	Ditch	2.3	286		s	fs				mod		1	11
	288	286	Ditch	2.3	286		а					Sev		1	5
	593	592	Ditch	3	297		s	object	Kiln furniture	Plate	LIA/ERB	Mod	grass and grain, fairly reduced margins. Rounded edge.	1	50
	593	592	Ditch	3	297		а							1	7
	593	592	Ditch	3	297		а					Mod		1	16
	406	-	Alluvium	-	372		s	fs	?Oven Related	?Lining		Sev	Thin face fragments	13	17
	406	-	Alluvium	-	372		а					Sev		4	37
	403	404	Ditch	2.5	404		S	fs				mod		1	4
	438	434	Ditch	2.5	412		S	fs/hf				Sev	curved face	2	33
	719	-	Dark Earth/Midden	2.5	430		s	fs				Mod		1	10
	645	644	Ditch	2.3	510		а					Sev		2	14
J4	522	-	Levelling Surface (internal)	2.4	522		S	object	?Kiln Furniture	?Pedestal		mod	Fragment of a handformed corner of a high fired clay object. Possibly a corner from a flanged pedestal base.	1	49
	685	671	Ditch	2.5	526		а					Sev		1	7
	692	691	Ditch	2.5	526		S	fs				mod		3	26
	893	892	Ditch	2.1	752		s	fs				Sev		4	51
	819	817	Ditch	2.5	756		а					Sev			25
	791	883	Post Setting	2.4	789		s	fs	?Oven Related	?Lining		mod	Small thin face fragments, poss lining of something		76
	832	833	Hollow	3	797		а					Sev		6	16



V.1

Test Pit	Context	Cut	Feature	Phase	Group	SF Number	Fragment type	Structural type	Object Class	Object Form	Date/Period	Abrasion	Notes	Count	Weight (g)
	832	833	Hollow	3	797		s	fs/hf				Sev		6	36
	782	-	Wall Fill	2.4	815		s	object	Kiln furniture	Plate	LIA/ERB	Mod	Fragments of kiln plate with grass and grain impressions. Rounded edges.	2	57
	828	827	Post Pipe	2.5	827		s	object	?Oven Related	?Pedestal		Sev	Fragments of plate or pedestal like objects; friable and abraded	9	271
	828	827	Post Pipe	2.5	827		s	fs/hf				Mod		5	95
	856	855	Ditch	3	855		а					Sev		1	3
	410	407	Hearth/Oven	2.4	407		а					Sev		3	10
	339	388	Pit	2.3	-		S	object	Kiln furniture	Plate	LIA/ERB	Mod	Fragments of kiln plate with grass and grain impressions. Rounded edges.	2	7
	367	365	Pit	2.1	-		а					Sev		1	3
	391	390	Pit	2.3	-		а					Sev		2	15
	547	545	Post Hole	2.3	-		а					Sev		2	3
	668	666	Pit	2.4	-		S	object	Kiln furniture	Plate	LIA/ERB	Mod	Fragments of kiln plate with grass and grain impressions. Rounded edges.	4	138
	681	-	Burnt Deposit	2.3	-		а					Sev		2	7
	794	793	Hollow	3	-		s	fs	?Oven Related	?Lining		Mod	Small thin face fragments, poss lining of something	2	11
	794	793	Hollow	3	-		s	fs/w				Mod	Fragments of flattened clay with wattle and grass impressions	7	73
	797	797	Hollow	3	-		s	fs	?Oven Related	?Lining		Mod	Small thin face fragments, poss lining of something	43	201
	797	797	Hollow	3	-		s	object	Kiln furniture	Plate		Mod		1	19
	807	-	Floor	2.5	-		s	object	Kiln furniture	Plate	LIA/ERB	Mod	grass and grain, fairly reduced margins. Rounded edge.	1	75
	807	-	Floor	2.5	-		S	fs/hf				Sev		3	42
	244	243	Pit	2.1	-		а					Sev		1	4
B2	51	-	Subsoil	-	-		s	fs				Sev		1	16



Test Pit	Context	Cut	Feature	Phase	Group	SF Number	ragment type	structural type	Object Class	Object Form	Date/Period	Abrasion	Notes		Weight (g)
	54							07							
B4	51	-	Layer	-	-		S	fs				Sev		2	8
B4	51	-	Layer	-	-	-	S	ts				mod		8	31
B3	51	-	Layer	-	-		S	fs				Sev		2	18
B2	51	-	Layer	-	-		а					Sev		5	27
B2	51	-	Layer	-	-		s	object	Kiln furniture	Plate	LIA/ERB	Mod	Fragments of kiln plate with grass and grain impressions. Rounded edges.	3	74
B3	51	-	Layer	-	-		s	object	Kiln furniture	Plate	LIA/ERB	Mod	Fragments of kiln plate with grass and grain impressions. Rounded edges.	3	59
B1	51	-	Layer	-	-		s	object	Kiln furniture	Plate	LIA/ERB	Mod	Fragments of kiln plate with grass and grain impressions. Rounded edges.	10	111
B1	51	-	Layer	-	-		s	object	Kiln furniture	Plate	LIA/ERB	Mod	Fragments of kiln plate with grass and grain impressions. Rounded edges.	2	24
	807 (431)	-	Layer	3	S/A 807		S	object	Kiln furniture	Plate	LIA/ERB	Mod	Fragments of kiln plate with grass and grain impressions. Rounded edges.	2	76
	430		Layer				S	object	Kiln furniture	Plate	LIA/ERB	Mod	grass and grain, fairly reduced margins. Rounded edge. Brown oxidised	1	35

Table 59. Fired clay catalogue

V.1



B.11 Plaster and Mortar

By Ted Levermore

Introduction

B.11.1 The investigations recovered a small assemblage of plaster and mortar (62 fragments, 637g), comprising painted wall plaster (42 pieces, 326g), undecorated plaster (13 fragments, 55g) and unrefined plaster or mortar (8 fragments, 256g). The assemblage was collected from the Northern Area, mostly from posthole contexts. A variety of colours were evident on the painted plaster, but no patterns were discernible. The material was small and fragmented, without the largest mortar fragment (181g) the average weight was 7g.

Methodology

B.11.2 The assemblage was quantified by context, fabric and form and counted and weighed to the nearest whole gramme. Fabrics were examined using a x20 hand lens and were described by main inclusions present. The quantified data and fabric descriptions are presented on an Excel spreadsheet held with the site archive. Table 61 summarises the assemblage.

Fabrics

B.11.3 A small group of fabrics were represented in this assemblage; five for the plaster and four for the mortar fraction. The plaster fabrics all had a calcareous base and varied by inclusion and colour. The plaster fabrics were well mixed and refined. The mortar fabrics were similar but were generally coarser and were less well mixed. The fabrics are described below (Table 60).

Code	Matrix/Colour	Fine inclusions	Coarse inclusions
P1	Fine grey	common rounded grit (whites and reds) and calc pellets	occ rounded grit (whites and reds)
P2	Fine yellow-grey	very common quartz and grit (white and yellow and dark), common calc flecks and pores	occ rounded quartz and grit, some very coarse
Р3	Powdery White/Cream	Pores and some grit	none
P4	Gritty light pink- brown	common rounded grit (whites and reds)	occ grit (whites and reds) and calc flecks
P5	Powdery orange/cream	common pores, calc flecks and reddish flecks	common pores, calc flecks and reddish flecks
M1	Fine orange-brown	common quartz and grit, common pores	occ rounded quartz and grit
M2	fine pink-cream	common angular grit	occ rounded pellets - poss calc
M3	gritty dull pink-brown	common calc flecks and quartz and pores	common calc flecks, occ flint and quartz and pores
M4	Fine yellow-grey	common quartz and rounded grit (dark to mid browns), calc flecks and few pores	common rounded stones/grit, rare calc pellets

Table 60. Plaster and mortar fabrics



Assemblage

Wall plaster

- B.11.4 This assemblage was characterised by fragments with surviving coloured pigments applied to the plaster face. The colours included pink, red, brown and blue-grey. Many of the fragments appeared to have a whitewash below the pigment. This material was found within post-pipes **774**, **789** and **843** and another piece (SF205) was collected from ditch **817**. The majority was concentrated within **774** and **789**. pXRF analysis of selected samples of the painted wall plaster is reported in App. B.12, below.
- B.11.5 A smaller portion of this assemblage was undecorated or did not have surviving pigment. It was found in associated with the painted examples (post-pipes 774, 789, 827).

Mortar

- B.11.6 Fragments recorded as mortar were made in coarser fabrics, which survived as larger rounded fragments or refitted the plaster fragments. The mortar material probably formed the backing to the refined plaster fragments or as cement for building material. It came from postholes **789**, **827** and **845** and hollow **793**.
- B.11.7 Further mortar resembling fabric M4 were recorded on the lower courses of the stone footing of the aisled building.



Context	Cut	Feature Type	Phase	SF Number	Fabric	Fragment type	Paint colour	Notes	Thickness (mm)	Count	Weight (g)
775	774	Post pipe	2.5		P2	PWP	Light Pink Orange	colour on a whitewash	25	2	56
775	774	Post pipe	2.5		Р3	PWP	Light Pink		25	1	12
775	774	Post pipe	2.5		P1	Р				4	10
776	774	Post pipe	2.5		P2	PWP	Dull Orange/Brown	colour on a whitewash	14	4	18
776	774	Post pipe	2.5		P2	PWP	Dull Purple/Red	colour on a whitewash	22	2	37
776	774	Post pipe	2.5		P2	PWP	Light Pink		8	3	16
776	774	Post pipe	2.5		P1	PWP	Light Pink		10	2	13
776	774	Post pipe	2.5		P1	PWP	Red/Brown		15	6	28
776	774	Post pipe	2.5		P1	PWP	Dark blueish grey		15	3	15
792	789	Post pipe	2.5		P4	PWP	Dark reddish grey	on a whitewash, brush stroke of reddish brown	15	2	23
792	789	Post pipe	2.5		M3	М				3	23
792	789	Post pipe	2.5		P5	PWP	Red/Brown	colour on a whitewash	14	4	18
792	789	Post pipe	2.5		P5	PWP	Dark blueish grey		11	1	4
792	789	Post pipe	2.5		P1	PWP	Dull purple grey		16	3	16
792	789	Post pipe	2.5		P1	PWP	Dull blue grey	colour on a whitewash	14	3	35
792	789	Post pipe	2.5		P2	PWP	Red/Brown	colour on a whitewash	6	1	2
792	789	Post pipe	2.5		P4	PWP	Pink with Green/Grey		6	1	5
792	789	Post pipe	2.5		P4/P5	Р				8	34
792	789	Post pipe	2.5		M4	М		Large frag of very coarse mortar, one poss face poss backing to plaster		1	181
794	793	Hollow	3		M3	М				2	14
819	817	Ditch	2.5	205	P1	PWP	White, Red/Brown	Brush marks visible	11	1	8
828	827	Post pipe	2.5		P3	Р		refits to the mortar		1	11
828	827	Post pipe	2.5		M1	М		refits the plaster	6	1	25
844	843	Post pipe	2.5		P1	PWP	Dark blueish grey		10	2	20
847	845	Post pipe	2.5		M2	М				1	13

B.12 Portable X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis of Painted Wall Plaster

By Simon Timberlake

Introduction

B.12.1 Seven fragments of Roman painted lime wall plaster from the excavations were analysed compositionally with respect to the paint pigments which might have been used.

V.1



B.12.2 The work was carried out by Dr Norman Moles and Simon Timberlake within the Applied Earth Science Labs. at the University of Brighton, Sussex using one of the two Portable X-Ray Fluorescence (pXRF) analyzers. The results of these analyses are presented here and have been interpreted at a basic level.

Methodology

- B.12.3 The analyzer used was an Olympus Innov-X Delta Professional, operated in Geochem mode, with 60 second count times on beam 1 for trace elements and 30 seconds on beam 2 for lighter/major elements. The PXRF provided useful data for 20 elements. The output had initially been calibrated to factory settings; with the output values subsequently adjusted to standards appropriate to the compositions i.e. silica-rich sediments. This adjustment affects the elements Al, Si, P, Ca, Fe, Ni and Cu.
- B.12.4 The second model used was an Oxford Instruments X-MET 5100, operated in Geochem mode, with a 90 second count time, and set up to record soil-LE-FP.
- B.12.5 Certified Reference Materials (CRM) were first used to check on the calibration of the Olympus InnovX, although there appeared to be some suggestion of analytical drift in the measurements carried out using this machine (Table 62). Some of the samples (but not the wall plasters) were then re-analysed using a different machine (the X-MET 5100). The results of this re-testing with respect to the values recorded for the three different CRMs suggests that the results of the analyses of the plasters using the Olympus InnovX were probably within acceptable limits, but only just, although it was noticed that both silicon and aluminium were reading high, as was iron, but that the relative differences in the elemental values between these samples did remain consistent.
- B.12.6 The data recorded by this instrument at the end of each sampling time (shown here) are the values given at x2 standard deviations with respect to the error range of each measurement made. The main rock-forming elements (*e.g.* silica, alkaline aluminosilicates, ferromagnesium minerals, opaques and carbonates *etc.*) have been recorded in percentages (%) as the oxides of silicon, aluminium, potassium, calcium, iron, manganese, titanium and phosphorous (phosphate), whilst the suite of other minor elements (sulphur, vanadium, copper, zinc, arsenic, lead, nickel, chromium, strontium, rubidium, zirconium, yttrium and niobium) have all been recorded in parts per million (ppm).
- B.12.7 In order to be able to determine whether the results from the painted wall plaster really do reflect significant enhanced anomalies with respect to the pigments added, a further table which shows elemental values for the average crustal composition of granitic rocks (bearing in mind that clays have the latter rocks as their distant sources) together with two compositional analyses of un-painted Roman daub plaster (the latter having no metal contamination associated with them) has been provided (Table 63). The crustal average analysis comes from Taylor (1964, 1280-1281;Table 3).
- B.12.8 More importantly, the readings taken of the painted surfaces were compared in each case with those taken of the unpainted reverse faces thereby providing a sample by sample control for comparing each with the pigment traces.



B.12.9 When sampling non-destructively using a pXRF it is important to sample the flattest surfaces wherever possible, as air gaps (*i.e.* distance) between the analyser window and the sample will introduce errors (*i.e.* these will produce lower values). The material being sampled by XRF (*i.e.* the elemental spectra resulting from the X-Ray bombardment of the surface) is effectively the surface itself plus a short depth (a few mm) into the rock. The results for the sub-surface layers are invariably biased towards the heavier elements with higher energies. Therefore one might expect the measurements for heavy metal contamination (*i.e.* copper and lead *etc.*) to be recorded if they are present in the sample.

CRM values	K	Са	lt	Mn	Fe	Cu	Zn	As	Rb	Sr	Zr	Ва	Pb
provided													
NIST low 2709	20300	18900	3420	538	35000	35	106	18	96	231	160	968	19
NIST med 2711	24500	28800	3060	638	28900	114	350	105	110	245	230	726	1162
NIST high 2710	21100	12500	2830	10100	33800	2950	6952	626	120	330	?	707	5532
Olympus InovX	К	Са	Ti	Mn	Fe	Cu	Zn	As	Rb	Sr	Zr	Ва	Pb
25 Feb 2020													
NIST low 2709	18624	21630	2785	539	48740	29	115	19	92	217	118		18
NIST med 2711	24155	34529	2816	655	40157	126	406	138	112	238	260		1338
NIST high 2710	23330	12848	3073	13420	54992	4491	8868	1254	117	301	93		6172

Table 62. A comparison of true (provided) and sampled Certified Reference Material (CRM) values recording metals in parts per million (ppm) using the Olympus InnovX 6500 pXRF.

Ref analysis	Continental Crust (granite average)*	Roman daub 1
SiO ₂ %		74.8
Al ₂ O ₃ %		2.9
Fe ₂ O ₃ %		1
MnO %		0.02
CaO %		24.1
K ₂ 0 %		0
TiO ₂ %		0.15
P ₂ O ₅ %		0.51
SUM		103.5
Cu ppm	10	0
Zn ppm	40	23
As ppm	2	0
Pb ppm	20	0
Rb ppm	150	11
Sr ppm	285	117
Ү рр	40	9
Zr ppm	180	33

Table 63. Chemical reference analyses for the purposes of comparison

(a) elemental values for average continental granitic crust (after Taylor 1964); (b) pXRF analyses (recorded using the Olympus InnovX) for Roman daub (clay) plaster Sample 1. The latter provide the range of elemental values for what one might typically expect within a fired clay or ceramic made from clay sourced in the SE/ East of England.

V.1



Sample selection

B.12.10 A total of 18 sample points upon a representative collection of seven different pieces of plaster were measured using the pXRf (Table 64). Photographs of each plaster fragment and the location of sample points are provided below. The samples included the measurement of a white painted plaster (792b: sample points #20 + #21), a light grey - pink coloured plaster (792a: sample points #22 + #23), a light grey painted plaster (844: sample points #24 + #25), a pink painted plaster (776b: sample points #26 + #27), an 'orange' painted plaster (776a: sample points #28 to #30), a red mottled painted wall plaster (775: sample points #31 to #34) and a white painted plaster with a red linear border (819: sample points #35 to #37).



Fragment 792b: a white painted plaster, pXRF sample points 20 and 21



Fragment 792a: a white-grey-pink painted wall plaster – pXRF sample points 22 and 23



Fragment 844: a light grey painted wall plaster – pXRF sample points 24 and 25





Fragment 776b: a pink painted wall plaster – pXRF sample points 26 and 27



Fragment 776a: an orange painted wall plaster with white undercoat – pXRF sample points 28-30



Fragment 775: a red mottled 'marbled' painted wall plaster – pXRF sample points 31-34



Fragment 819: a banded red linear border on white painted wall plaster – pXRF sample points 35-7

Results and Discussion

B.12.11 The results are the analyses are presented in Table 3. Probably the main aspect to note in the analytical dataset provided here is the overall similarity in elemental signature amongst all the plaster pieces sampled. Basically this consists of a



perceptible elevation (of up to 1.5x) in the % of CaO (lime) present upon the painted plaster surface compared to the mortar underneath. Likewise, there is a proportionate increase in the silica present within the mortar of around 12.5x to 10x (which confirms the presence of sand added to the mortar mix), although the partition of alumina (aluminosilicates = a proxy for clay minerals) between the paint layer and the mortar is not so straightforward. This varies between being x1.5 larger in the paint and/or mortar layers, suggesting that clay has been included (quite possibly unintentionally) within the limewash – perhaps because the lime was burnt from a clay-rich chalk or limestone? The presence of a moderate % of alumina within the mortar may however have been intentional, as this would have helped the plaster (and/or cement) to set.

- B.12.12 The bulk percentage of MnO (manganese oxide) is very low at between 0.03 and 0.07%, and is consistent throughout, although possibly marginally higher within the paint layer than the mortar. However, there was no evidence here that manganese was intentionally added to the paint pigment within any of the samples tested if this was the case then the differences between the paint and mortar layers would have been much more obvious. Indeed, the percentage of MnO within the grey paint layer would have been higher.
- B.12.13 Manganese oxides are a commonly used black/grey pigment in paint, but their absence within the grey painted plasters in this particular instance only serves to confirm that the source of the hue is much more likely to have been carbon (crushed charcoal or carbon black). Elizabeth Pye (2000, 25) confirms that the latter was the more standard black or grey pigment used within Roman painted wall plaster, and that this was manufactured through charring animal bone or plant matter such as vine twigs. She also comments upon the fact that the chalk or limestone used to make a white wall paint or whitewash base for a painted wall surface is sometimes selected for its ability to produce an opaque white (Béarat *et al* 1996).
- B.12.14 As expected, the percentage of potash and titanium oxide present within the paint and mortar layers is low, and shows no particular enhancements. These are unlikely to have a significant role in pigment production and are most likely instead to reflect a background mineral presence within the sand mix. Much more important, however, would be evidence for the presence of iron oxide as hematite pigment within the paint. This can be seen within fragments 776b (sample #26), 776a (sample #28) and 775 (sample #31 and #32), but particularly within fragment 776a, as it was possible in that case to sample the topmost paint layer (#28; which gave the highest reading (6.6% Fe_2O_{31}) for all of the samples examined) and to compare this with the underlying white paint base layer, which gave a contrasting reading of just 1.2% Fe₂O₃. Red ochre (hematite) is a widely available mineral pigment which in the context of Roman wall paintings is known under the name of Pompeian red (Pye ibid.25). Somewhat surprisingly, the best-preserved fragment of painted wall plaster (819), with the preserved traces of a double-lined red painted border, did not provide an elevated iron signal. Sample point #36 was chosen to measure this, but the value recorded was low (just 0.9% Fe₂O₃), and it is conceivable therefore that the sample window of the machine may have missed this, given the proximity of the main white-painted surface.



Fragment ref	792	h	793	2	8/	Λ	776	sh		776a			775				818	
Constant	752		752	2	04		770	<u> </u>		7700			775				010	
Context	/92	2	/9	2	84	4	//	6		//6			//5				818	
Cut	789	Э	78	9	84	3	77	4		774			774				817	
Context Type	Postpip	oe fill	Postpi	oe fill	Postpi	pe fill	Postpi	pe fill	P	ostpipe fill			Postpipe	fill			Ditch fill	
Sampling point	#20 white paint	#21 REV	#22 grey- pink	#23 REV	#24 grey paint	#25 REV	#26 pink paint	#27 REV	#28 orange	#29 white paint	#30 REV	#31red mottled	#32 pitted area	#33 REV	#34 REV	#35 red border	#36 white paint	#37 REV
SiO ₂ %	6.1	40.5	16.9	74.8	14.2	37.7	27.6	40.8	16.3	12.9	40.8	9.2	10	48	29.5	8	16.5	60.9
Al ₂ O ₃ %	2.2	6.6	5.9	2.9	6.9	5.4	4	5.3	6.5	4.5	5.3	3.7	4.7	4.5	5.3	3.4	7.8	5.7
Fe ₂ O ₃ %	1	1.6	1	1	1.3	9.3	<mark>3.4</mark>	1.7	<mark>6.6</mark>	1.2	1.7	<mark>4.2</mark>	<mark>4.9</mark>	6.2	9.2	0.9	3	2.7
MnO %	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.05	0.02	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.03
CaO %	<mark>55.7</mark>	33.1	<mark>54.8</mark>	24.1	<mark>54.4</mark>	32.2	33.2	31.3	<mark>49.5</mark>	49.5	31.3	<mark>49.6</mark>	<mark>46.7</mark>	27.7	36.2	<mark>53.4</mark>	<mark>48.8</mark>	29.1
K ₂ 0 %	0	0.25	0.06	0	0.03	0.31	0.12	0.26	0.04	0	0.26	0.05	0.09	0.47	0.39	0	0.09	0.46
TiO ₂ %	0	0.16	0	0.15	0	0.49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.67	0	0	0
P ₂ O ₅ %	0.95	1.11	0.83	0.51	0.53	1.45	1.11	0.53	<mark>1.8</mark>	0.65	0.53	0.53	0.31	0.82	0.79	0.81	0.72	0.71
SUM	65	83.3	79.5	83.3	77.4	87.1	69.5	80	80.8	68.8	80	67.3	66.7	87.8	82	66.6	77.1	99.7
V ppm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<mark>344</mark>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Zn ppm	33	35	<mark>81</mark>	23	39	76	35	20	33	0	20	0	0	0	55	52	44	29
S ppm	<mark>1675</mark>	0	<mark>1516</mark>	0	<mark>778</mark>	0	0	0	<mark>246</mark>	0	0	0	0	0	0	<mark>1308</mark>	<mark>1246</mark>	0
Rb ppm	11	12	0	11	9	34	11	15	9	11	15	7	11	28	24	0	20	29
Sr ppm	<mark>221</mark>	137	<mark>206</mark>	117	<mark>325</mark>	113	137	140	<mark>248</mark>	190	140	<mark>276</mark>	<mark>214</mark>	118	157	177	<mark>226</mark>	149
Y ppm	9	0	20	9	13	10	9	10	17	10	10	18	10	10	9	11	12	9
Zr ppm	<mark>150</mark>	52	36	33	26	658	100	80	96	59	80	28	65	101	183	88	42	46
Pb ppm	0	0	<mark>98</mark>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 64. pXRF semi-quantitative elemental analysis taken of samples of plaster using the Olympus InnovX. The bulk rock-forming elements (as oxides) are recorded here as percentages, whilst the minor elements are all in parts per million (ppm). Possibly significant element (elevations) within the composition of the paint are highlighted in yellow. REV=Reverse

V.1



- B.12.15 Moderately high strontium values are also characteristic of the paint layer (in some samples up to twice the value recorded from the mortar (to a maximum of 325 ppm Sr)). It seems most likely in this case that the strontium concentration is linked to the calcium in the limewash, given that both red, orange and white paints share similar elevated readings. Likewise, no good correlation between colour pigment and the level of zinc, yttrium, rubidium ad zirconium was detectable. Two odd and very high anomalies of vanadium and lead (within fragments 792a and 776 respectively) show no clear correlation with paint colour and could therefore simply be aberrant readings.
- B.12.16 What is probably significant, although not altogether explicable, are the relatively high levels of sulphur (up to 1675 ppm) associated with five out of the seven pieces of wall plaster, and with the paint rather than the mortar. Once again this appears to be an association with the limewash painted surface rather than the pigment; the two pieces with no recorded sulphur at all being the marbled red (fragment 775) and the pink painted (776b) plaster fragments. A possible clue to this may lie with the technique of painting. Often, pigments were mixed with water and then brushed onto the final plaster layer whilst it was still fresh and before it had hardened (the so-called fresco technique). However, once the plaster had set, pigments could be applied successfully only if they were mixed with a lime solution (lime water) or with an adhesive material such as egg (normally a yolk or a whole egg) that would hold them in place, a technique known as egg tempera (Pye ibid. 24). Whilst it seems unlikely the latter technique would be favoured where fresco painting would suffice, this is at least possible. That might explain the high sulphur levels associated with some of the paint, as it might the moderately high phosphate (P_2O_5) levels within the paint and plaster. An alternative explanation which might be considered is that the sulphur in the form of gypsum (calcium sulphate) growing within the limewash layer is post-depositional origin. However, if it was contemporary with the occupation of the building(s), then this could relate to air pollution associated with the smoke of fires within the houses.

Conclusions

B.12.17 The discussion above provides a provisional interpretation of these analyses of a number of selected fragments of weathered and moderately abraded painted wall plaster. This examined the composition of the plaster layers, the initial white limewash application, and the brushed-on coloured washes. A high calcium and strontium signal define the whole of the painted layer regardless of colour, confirming the use of a lime whitewash onto which was then painted thin pigment colours mixed (most probably) in lime water and applied to the plaster whilst it was still drying, in the style of a simple fresco. The simple (and probably unsophisticated) edge-bordered painted wall panels appear to have been painted using the colours white, red, pink, pink-orange and grey (or black). The red, pink and orange pigments do correlate with slightly enhanced levels of hematite (Fe₂O₃) in the paint, but there is no correlation between greys and manganese, suggesting most probably that the grey-black pigment used in the paint was carbon (carbon as a light element cannot be detected using the pXRF). High sulphur levels associated with the paint almost certainly reflect the formation of



gypsum within the painted limewash, perhaps on account of the use of egg tempera, but more likely as a result of post-depositional changes, or possibly contemporary air pollution associated with smoke and the use of the buildings during the Roman period.

B.13 Glass

By Carole Fletcher

Introduction

B.13.1 A small assemblage of glass was recovered from various features including dark earth/ midden layer 430. The glass was scanned and recorded by form, colour, count and weight, dated where possible, and recorded in Table 59. *Romano-British Glass Vessels: A Handbook* (Price and Cottam 1998) was used as a general guide for this report.

Results

B.13.2 Eight fragments of glass were recovered. All are relatively small fragments that have undergone reworking. Only midden **430** produced more than a single sherd, with the glass being recovered from Phases 2.4, 2.5 and Phase 3.

Phase	Context	Cut	Form Description		No.	Wt	Colour	Date
						(g)		
2.4	815		Indeterminate	Single fragment of curved clear glass, it	1	2	Yellowish-	?Roman
				may be a corner fragment from a			green cast	
				prismatic bottle or a cylindrical neck				
				from an unguent bottle				
2.5	122	121	Vessel	Base fragment from an ?unguent bottle	1	2	Blue/green	Roman
	427	412	Vessel	Rim fragment from a cylindrical vessel	1	1	Blue/green	Roman
	807		Vessel	Rim shard from a prismatic vessel	1	18	Blue/green	Roman
	847	845	Indeterminate	Fragment of glass	1	<1		Roman
	430		Indeterminate	Fragment of glass	1	1		Roman
			Indeterminate	Fragment of glass	1	1		Roman
			Indeterminate	Small irregular fragment glass of	1	1	Blue-green	Roman
				indeterminate form				
				Totals:	8	26		

Table 65. Glass catalogue

Discussion

B.13.3 This is too small an assemblage to draw any but the broadest conclusions, in that glass vessels were present on the site. Unguent bottles are often found in settlements and burials.

B.14 Worked Bone

By Ian Riddler

Introduction

B.14.1 A small assemblage of four bone objects includes two implements of late prehistoric type, a bone hinge and part of a bone pin. Whilst the hinge belongs to the Early Roman



period, the pin is a Late Roman type. The bone implements follow late prehistoric traditions but could possibly have been made in the Roman period, and particularly in the earlier part of that period.

Bone spatulae

B.14.2 Two bone implements (SF18 and 218) have been cut from sheep or goat metacarpals and probably represent the same object type. One is fragmentary, whilst the other is complete. The complete object (SF 18) retains its unfused distal articulation and has been sliced obliquely to form a broad, rounded point. The distal end has not been hollowed and as a result the object is not a pointed bone implement, (which would have been hafted through the hollow channel), although the sliced, rounded point and the overall proportions of the implement closely resemble that object type. The main clue to its use lies with the terminal, which is not sharp and pointed, but has been rounded and has suffered some damage at its tip, as if it had been used as a percussion implement, pressed on to flint or similar materials. With this in mind, the object can be regarded as a form of spatula, providing a flat, rounded surface for working. A variety of bone types were adapted for this purpose, and they have been gathered under the broad term of spatulae (Riddler 2013, 57-8).

SF No.	Feat.	Feat.	Context	Description
	No.	Туре		
18	79	ditch	80	Complete bone implement, cut from a sheep/goat metacarpus, the distal end unfused and unmodified and the midshaft sliced obliquely to provide a rounded point. Highly polished across the midshaft on both sides, with slight damage to the tip of the implement. Some manufacturing marks still visible.
218	827	Post- pipe	828	Fragment of the basal end of a bone implement, cut from a sheep/goat metacarpus, trimmed to a flat surface on the posterior face with some modification of the one surviving distal condyle on the anterior face. Polished across the anterior face.

Table 66. Bone spatulae

Bone hinge

B.14.3 A complete bone hinge (SF 162) has been lathe-turned and retains concentric marks from that process at both ends (Fig. 25). In its natural, unmodified state the cattle metatarsus midshaft is roughly square in shape and it has been transformed here to an almost cylindrical form, with a single lateral perforation located roughly half way along one side. Two main types of bone hinge can be identified, and this example belongs to the smaller form, which usually includes a single lateral perforation (Pelletier 1971, 202-3; Deschler-Erb 1998, 182; Gostenčnik 2005, 154). The longer form, 50mm or more in length, often has two or more perforations. Pelletier separated the small bone hinge components into those with a single lateral perforation (type B) and those with two opposed perforations (type C), the latter forming a rare type; this hinge belongs to the common type B (Pelletier 1971, fig 1). All of these different types of bone hinge would originally have been held together in a column with a wooden rod set through their centres and with further rods of wood, iron or bone protruding from each of the lateral perforations. These would have acted like dowels and secured the hinge mechanism to two different sections of wood, allowing those wooden boards to rotate freely (Fremersdorf 1940, abbn 10-12; Deschler-Erb 1998, abb 256).



Long sets of bone hinges were integrated into wooden furniture, either for cabinets or chests, and even for the hinged lids of wooden sarcophagi, known largely from Egypt (Fremersdorf 1940, 330-2).

B.14.4 The large collection of bone hinges from Augst were largely deposited between the middle of the 1st century and the middle of the 2nd century and there were no examples from 4th-century contexts (Deschler-Erb 1998, 182 and abb 257). Similar dating can be applied to Roman Britain, where bone hinges occur only in small numbers, notably at Alcester, London and Verulamium (Frere 1972, 149-50 and fig 54; Lloyd-Morgan 1994, 212).

SF No.	Feat.	Context	Description
	Туре		
162	Dark Earth	430 TP G5	Complete bone hinge, produced from the midshaft of a cattle metatarsus, lathe- turned to produce a near-circular section, with a large lateral perforation towards the centre on the anterior face. Polished throughout, slight damage on one edge on the posterior face.

Table 67. Bone hinge

Bone pin

B.14.5 A fragment of a bone pin (SF 60) consists merely of part of the shaft, circular in section and swollen at the centre. It has fractured at both ends and its original form is unclear. The swelling of the shaft is a characteristic seen with pins of Late Roman date, mostly from the 3rd century onwards (Greep 1995, 1113).

SF No.	Feat. Type	Context	Description
60	Subsoil	51	Fragment of part of the shaft of a bone pin, circular in section, swollen at
			the centre and tapering to either side. Fractured at both ends; slight polish
			throughout.

Table 68. Bone pin

B.15 Slag

By Lawrence Billington with Simon Timberlake

B.15.1 A small assemblage of slag, consisting of 11 pieces weighing 440g, was recovered – all from ditch fills belonging to Period 2.3-2.5. This material consisted largely of undiagnostic iron working slag, but one small piece (29g), from the fill of ditch **497**, has been identified as a fragment of the vitrified hearth lining from a small smithing hearth base.



Context	Cut	Count	Feature type	Wt (g)	Phase
105	104	1	Ditch	3	2.3
106	104	2	Ditch	38	2.3
310	312	1	Ditch	21	2.4
427	412	6	Ditch	349	2.5
479	497	1	Ditch	29	2.5
Tot	al	11		440	

Table 69. Summary quantification of the slag assemblage

B.16 Coal and clinker

By Leanne Robinson-Zeki

Introduction

B.16.1 Three small fragments of coal (1, <1g) and clinker (2, 2g) were recovered. These were found in Roman period features and are likely to be intrusive.

Context	Cut	Count	Wt (g)	Phase	Туре
60	59	1	1	2.1	Clinker
65	59	1	<1	2.1	Coal
83	82	1	1	2.3	Clinker

Table 70: Coal and clinker catalogue



APPENDIX C ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTS

C.1 Human bone

By Natasha Dodwell

Introduction

C.1.1 Human remains associated with the Romano-British occupation of the site (Phase 2) included a double, stacked burial containing two adult inhumations (skeletons 401 and 402), which was identified in the upper fills of enclosure ditch **399** (Phase 2.4) with additional disarticulated elements, undoubtedly deriving from these individuals, found in subsequent recuts of the enclosure, fills 419 and 740. Additionally, associated with various structures, four partial perinatal/neonate burials were identified (skeletons 334 and 668, Phase 2.4; 814 and 844, Phase 2.5) and disarticulated perinatal/neonate bone was recovered from several contexts. An isolated, unurned cremation burial found in the southern part of the site (**245**) has been dated to the Middle/Late Bronze Age (Phase 1).

Provenance of the Material

- C.1.2 The two adult inhumations (skeletons 401 and 402) were buried in the upper fills of enclosure ditch **399**, directly on top of each other, one with its head to the east and the other with its head to the west. Both skeletons lay on their sides, in a slightly flexed position with the upper body of skeleton 401 overlying the upper body of skeleton 402 (Fig. 12). No grave cut was visible and the ditch itself had been recut several times; the disarticulated human bone found in ditch fills 419 and 740 to the immediate west of the two skeletons is likely to derive from one or both of the individuals. There were also disarticulated vertebrae and hand bones from fill 400 which surrounding the two skeletons and these too also derive from them (quite how or when they were displaced is unclear).
- C.1.3 The four perinatal/neonate burials were found in association with buildings or structures. Neonate 332 was buried in a shallow (0.04m) posthole/small pit **333** and neonate 334 was buried in a shallow (0.04m) posthole/small pit **335** less than 0.5m from **333**. Approximately 1m to the north, neonate 668 was recovered from pit **666**. All of these neonate remains are located close to post-pad **663** and therefore may have been associated with the aisled building. Neonate 814 was found in a shallow, hollow cut into layer 807 near the entrance of the building and, despite an aberrant radiocarbon date on this burial (cal AD 5-130 at 92.2% confidence), it was probably interred after its disuse (see main Discussion, Section 4.3).
- C.1.4 In addition to the partial burials identified in discrete features, neonate bone was recovered from several test pits which were excavated through the lower subsoil over the area of post pads **663** (test pits B2 and D3) and through the dark earth outside the building's entrance (context 430, test pit F3).



Preservation of the material

- C.1.5 Skeleton 401 is *c*. 75% complete; both feet and much of the lower right leg are missing, truncated by various recuts of the enclosure ditch (many of these missing elements were recovered close by from the fills of later recuts). The breaks on these bones are post-mortem and ancient. Skeleton 402 is missing his left radius but is otherwise complete. Many of the long bones are complete and most of the articular/joint surfaces are present. The surface of the bone/cortical bone is largely intact (mostly grade 1, McKinley 2004;16). Similarly, the cortical bone of the neonate elements is well preserved.
- C.1.6 The cremation burial (**245**) pit was 0.30m deep, and was untruncated, making it likely to have contained all of the bone that was originally deposited.

Methodology

- C.1.7 An inventory of bones present was made for both of the adult inhumations, the disarticulated bone and the neonate remains. Standard methods for ageing and sexing were used. Sex was determined by the diagnostic traits on the skull and pelvis (Buikstra and Ubelaker 1994, 16-20) and age by the degree of epiphyseal union, dental eruption and wear and the appearance of the auricular surface and pubic symphysis (Brothwell 1981, 72 fig.3.9, Buikstra and Uberlaker 1994, 21-44).
- C.1.8 The cremation burial was 100% sampled on site and wet sieved and then sorted to remove any extraneous material. The cremated bone was then rapidly scanned.
- C.1.9 The following broad age categories were used:

Neonate	birth ±2 months
Young adult	18-24 years
Middle adult	25-44 years

Results

C.1.10 Both of the skeletons in the double burial are adult males; skeleton 401 is a middle adult, who died before the age of 40 years and skeleton 402 is younger, dying between the age of 20-25 years old. Both exhibit dental pathologies and the younger man had well developed muscle attachments on his upper arms and a very pronounced nuchal crest on the back of his skull, perhaps indicative of exertion and weight bearing in the upper body and neck. The osteoarthritic changes recorded in in his lower spine may be related to this loadbearing activity. Details are presented in Table 71 below.


Sk. no.	Body position and orientation	Age/sex	pathology
401	Left side, head in east, legs slightly flexed	middle adult male	slight calculus (including subgingival), cribra orbitalia
402	Right side, head to the west, legs slightly flexed	young adult male	OA in 5 th lumbar vertebra (left inferior facet has gross altered morphology, osteophytes and eburnation), AMTL, external draining abscess, flecks of calculus

Tahle	71	Skeletons	401	and	402.	nsten	loaical	and	contextual	detai	1
iuble .	/1.	Skeletons	401	unu	40Z.	USLEU	iogicui	unu	contextuui	uetui	15

- C.1.11 Five disarticulated elements (left capitate, hamate and 1st metacarpal and, a 1st and 3rd cervical vertebra) were recovered in the soil surrounding the inhumations. The small bones of the left hand derive from skeleton 402 and the vertebrae from skeleton 401.
- C.1.12 Disarticulated elements of human bone recovered from slots excavated through sequences of re-cuts of the enclosure ditch derive from both skeletons (Table 72).

Context	Element	Comment
419	Distal half of I. radius	Heavily concreted with iron panning. From skeleton 402?
714	L. talus, ?r. distal 5th metatarsal? r. calcaneous, prox. & middle phalange	Osteophytes around head of metatarsal. From skeletons 401 and 402
Sample 118	Fragment of u/s illium	Sample 118

Table 72. Disarticulated elements of adult human remains

C.1.13 A minimum of four discrete perinate/neonate 'burials were identified across the site (skeletons 332, 334,668 and 814). The elements recovered from each of these contexts together with the neonate bones found in the test pits dug through the lower subsoil are summarised in the Table 73.

V.1



Context	Element	Comment
332	16 vertebra bodies (thoracic and lumbar), x 24 arches and ribs.	In area of test pits & (335). 20mm deep. Possibly articulated although not recorded on site records
334	skull fragments, I. scapula, I. proximal radius, hand phalanges and metacarpal, x6 vertebra bodies (cervical and thoracic), vertebral arches and ribs.	In a shallow (4mm) scoop
668	Skull including partial dentition, x 11 bodies, x 21 arches, ribs, I. shoulder girdle, arm, proximal femur, tibia and fibula. R, femur and tibia and elements of both I and r. hands and feet	In a shallow pit (0.16m). Long bone measurements possible
814	x 3 bodies, arches, rib frags, fragments of a femur and humerus shaft, phalanges and metacarpal.	In shallow (0.07m) spread
844	r. prox/ mid shaft ulna	More gracile than left ulna from 51B2 so possibly a different individual?
51 B2	r. mandible, l. prox ulna, x4 vert. arches, x4 thoracic bodies, sternum body	Subsoil test pit above post pad building
51 D3	r. femur	Subsoil test pit above post pad building
430 F3	X 1 vertebral arch	subsoil test pit to south of main building

Table 73. Articulated, partially articulated and disarticulated perinatal/neonate bones

C.1.14 The cremation burial (245) contained 1925g of bone and at least one adult is represented in the deposit. The majority of fragments were >10mm, with the largest measuring 52.56mm. A large minority of the fragments are a blue, black, grey in colour, indicative of poor firing and low pyre temperatures.

C.2 Faunal remains

By Hayley Foster

Introduction and methodology

C.2.1 The faunal material has been divided into seven phases, which date to the prehistoric through to the post-Roman period, with the vast majority of material retrieved from the Roman phases. The assemblage was of a medium size, with 73.79kg of bone from hand-collection and from environmental samples. The number of recordable fragments that could be assigned to a phase totalled 684, with 78 of those fragments retrieved from environmental samples. The species represented include cattle (*Bos taurus*), sheep/goat (*Ovis/Capra*), pig (*Sus scrofa*), horse (*Equus caballus*), dog (*Canis familiaris*), field vole (*Microtus agrestis*), red deer (*Cervus elaphus*), mouse (*Mus musculus*), rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*), shrew (*Sorex sp.*), cat (*Felis catus*) and also amphibian, fish, birds, small rodent and probable fox/dog. Remains derived primarily from ditches and pits.



- C.2.2 The method used to quantify this assemblage was based on that used for Knowth by McCormick and Murray (2007) which was modified from Albarella and Davis (1996). NISP (number of identifiable specimens) and MNI (minimum number of individuals) were calculated for all species present. MNI estimates the smallest number of animals that could be represented by the elements recovered. For the main domestic mammals, only the atlas and axis were counted for vertebrae.
- C.2.3 Identification of the faunal remains was carried out at Oxford Archaeology East. References to Hillson (1992), Schmid (1972) and von den Driesch (1976) were used where needed for identification purposes.
- C.2.4 Two methods of ageing were implemented when analysing the mammalian bone remains. These methods include observing dental eruption and wear and epiphyseal fusion. When analysing tooth wear of sheep/goat, tooth wear stages by Payne (1973) were implemented. Tooth wear stages by Grant (1982) were implemented when assessing wear for cattle and pig. Higham (1967) mandibular wear stages (MWS) were assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles with the innermost tooth still present. The Higham wear stages are used to estimate a minimum age of an individual animal. The state of epiphyseal fusion is determined by examining the metaphysis and diaphysis of a bone. Fusion was recorded according to Silver (1970) and Schmid (1972) for cattle, sheep and pig.
- C.2.5 For all identified bones, butchery marks were recorded. Butchery marks were described as chop, cut or saw marks. Burning and gnawing were noted where present.
- C.2.6 Measurements were taken according to von den Driesch (1976), using digital callipers and large bones were measured using an osteometric board. Withers' heights of horse were calculated using Kiesewalter (1888), cattle using Fock (1966) and dog using Harcourt (1974).

Results of analysis

- C.2.7 The faunal assemblage is generally in a fair condition with moderate-high levels of fragmentation. Most of the faunal remains came from Phase 2.5 (Late Roman). Cattle overwhelmingly dominated the assemblage followed by sheep/goat, however, a wide variety of species were represented.
- C.2.8 Measurements were carried out where possible (Table 77), however as fragmentation was relatively high, very few elements were suitable for measurement. Six estimated wither's heights could be calculated for cattle, 2 for horse and 1 for dog.
- C.2.9 The composition of the faunal material was dominated by cranial elements (including mandibles, maxillae, loose teeth and horn cores) and extremities (including phalanges, metapodia, carpals and tarsals), making up 67% of the overall NISP. This evidence could suggest the disposal of primary butchery waste by removing the head and feet and some meaty joints transported elsewhere. However, this is likely to be the result of a preservation and recovery bias as all main elements were recovered to some degree. Denser bones such as metapodia, mandibles and teeth are more durable and less susceptible to taphonomic destruction. The pattern of representation exhibits a trend that larger taxa are over-represented in hand-collected recovery whereas those



fragments from environmental samples show a bias toward smaller species. There appears to be no significant disposal patterns established, as faunal remains were retrieved from a variety of features from across the settlement.

Species	NISP	NISP%	MNI	MNI%	
Cattle	337	49.3	9	20.5	
Sheep/Goat	135	19.7	7	15.9	
Bird	51	7.5	5	11.4	
Horse	48	7.0	3	6.8	
Pig	32	4.7	3	6.8	
Dog	22	3.2	3	6.8	
Amphibian	16	2.3	5	11.4	
Fish	11	1.6	1	2.3	
Field Vole	9	1.3	2	4.5	
Red Deer	6	0.9	1	2.3	
Small Rodent (?)	5	0.7	1	2.3	
Mouse	5	0.7	1	2.3	
Rabbit	2	0.3	1	2.3	
Cat	2	0.3	1	2.3	
Shrew	2	0.7	1	2.3	
Fox/Dog	1	0.1	1	2.3	
Total	684	100	45	100	

Table 74. Total number of identifiable fragments (NISP) and minimum number of individuals (MNI)

	Ph	ase 1	Pha	se 2.1	Pha	se 2.2	Pha	se 2.3	Pha	se 2.4	Pha	se 2.5	Ph	ase 3	Total
Species	NISP	NISP%	NISP	NISP%	NISP	NISP%	NISP	NISP%	NISP	NISP%	NISP	NISP%	NISP	NISP%	
Cattle	9	42.9	23	45.1	6	46.2	55	44.7	61	70.1	178	48.4	5	25	337
Sheep/Goat	3	14.3	14	27.5	5	38.5	31	25.2	10	11.5	64	17.4	8	40	135
Bird			2	3.9			13	10.6	5	5.7	30	8.2	1	5	51
Horse			4	7.8	2	15.4	6	4.9	1	1.1	30	8.2	4	20	47
Pig	7	33.3	1	2			6	4.9			17	4.6	1	5	33
Dog			5	9.8			1	0.8	2	2.3	14	3.8			22
Amphibian							3	2.4	3	3.4	9	2.4	1	5	16
Fish			1	2			1	0.8	3	3.4	6	1.6			11
Field Vole							4	3.3			5	1.4			9
Red Deer	2	9.5					1	0.8			3	0.8			6
Small Rodent (?)							1	0.8	2	2.3	2	0.5			5
Mouse											5	1.4			5
Rabbit			1	2							1	0.3			2
Cat											2	0.5			2
Shrew											2	0.5			2
Fox/Dog							1	0.8							1
Total	21	100	51	100	13	100	123	100	87	100	368	100	20	100	684

Table 75. Number of identifiable fragments (NISP) from the assemblage by phase

C.2.10 Cattle remains comprise the highest frequency of species in the assemblage, making up 49.3% of the overall NISP. Cattle have the highest proportion of fragments in every phase of occupation except Phase 3. When observing the assemblage overall, the MNI percentage of cattle versus sheep/goat appears to be much more even compared with the assemblage NISP percentages. Ageing data suggests there was a fairly even



distribution of ages of cattle. Phase 2.5 contexts produced cattle remains as young as 8 months of age up to adulthood. Epiphyseal fusion data (Table 76) highlights the presence of young cattle in Phase 2.4, with three unfused early fusing elements. Data from Phase 2.5 indicated that most cattle elements were fused, however an even distribution of both fused and unfused elements are represented in the late fusion stage, indicating that some cattle would have been slaughtered before reaching 3 years of age. While the sample size is small, fusion data suggests less animals were being slaughtered before 3 years of age by Phase 2.5, as there are less unfused early and mid-fusing elements.

- C.2.11 Six wither's heights could be calculated for cattle, ranging from 100.1cm to 121.0cm. There is no obvious difference in height between phases. Butchery marks were observed on cattle remains. The chops and cuts on the carpals (astragalus and calcaneus) are indications of the removal of the feet with the cutting of the ligaments and dismemberment from the rest of the limb (Table 81). Cattle were the main food species during the Roman period in domestic faunal assemblages. Hamshaw-Thomas (2000) has argued that the shift towards cattle from sheep during the Iron Age is associated with an agricultural intensification, caused by social changes. At Romano-British sites cattle were used for dairying, traction and they were commonly slaughtered for meat around four to eight years of age (Maltby 2016). The small presence of young cattle indicates that they were bred on the settlement or in close proximity. A partially articulated calf skeleton was recovered from pit **663** (Phase 2.4). A specific age could not be assigned to the animal, however with the presence of a deciduous fourth premolar and unfused proximal phalanges ages the animal to less than 2 years, probably much younger based on size.
- C.2.12 Sheep/goat remains were present in all phases. Much as for cattle, there is a variety of ages present for sheep/goat. Tooth wear data suggests animals are primarily 2 years and older, with most animals either mature or adults. The fusion data corroborates the tooth wear data with mostly fused elements, though a presence of young animals, less than 10 months, in Phases 2.3 and 2.5. This suggests that sheep/goat provided a mixed economic purpose for both meat and primarily for secondary products. During the Roman period, sheep were often slaughtered for meat, at the end of their immaturity, around 18-36 months, and those sheep that were adults were exploited for wool production (Maltby, 2016). Those animals that were slaughtered at the 18-36 months of age range were likely being slaughtered for meat, and those that were adults were likely kept as breeding stock or for wool.
- C.2.13 Horse remains in the Roman period are usually quite well represented often making up 10% of an assemblage in rural settlements and suburbs of towns (Maltby 2016). Horses comprised 7% of the overall NISP from this site and were best represented in Phase 2.5. The limited fusion data for horse reveals most animals would have been adults, with at least one animal from Phase 2.5 being under 3-3.5 years of age at death. Two horse wither's height could be calculated and were 129.5cm and 134.8cm. Horses would have been used for traction and transportation purposes.
- C.2.14 Pigs played a minor role and comprised less than 5% of the overall assemblage. Pigs would have been slaughtered before reaching adulthood, instead being killed when reaching an optimum weight around 2-3 years of age. Two pig canines were recovered

V.1

from the assemblage, both of which were identified as male. Pigs are invariably found in smaller amount on rural roman sites versus urban sites (Maltby 2016).

- C.2.15 Dog remains were present in small numbers, comprising only 3.2% of the assemblage. One estimated wither's height was calculated from a complete humerus. The height was calculated as 28.3cm. This would be indicative of a small breed of dog. The presence of dog is also noted from the various fragments exhibiting evidence of dog gnawing. There were two fragments of dog bone exhibiting signs of pathological change. A tibia from ditch **939** had a woven texture on the anterior lower shaft, probably a sign of non-specific infection and a tibia from ditch **673** that had exostosis on the distal articulation, a probable sign of age and/or arthritic condition.
- C.2.16 Fragments of red deer antler were recovered from Phase 1, 2.3 and 2.5 contexts. Antler fragments from Bronze Age pit **931** consisted of shed pieces of antler and a large beam fragment with attached and tines. No butchery marks were noted on the early fragments, however the fragment from deposit **755**, had a tine that had been sawn off and a heavy chop through the beam. As no other faunal remains, besides antler, were recovered for red deer the data would strongly suggest the collection of antlers for craft-working purposes.
- C.2.17 Amphibian bones were recovered in small numbers from environmental samples from Phases 2.3, 2.4, 2.5 and 3 contexts. Those amphibian remains that could be identified to species were frogs (*Anura Rana*).
- C.2.18 Fish remains were also recovered from environmental samples in small numbers. Remains primarily consist of vertebrae, as they are denser and more robust than other fish bones. Those fish remains that could be identified to species were classified as gadids and eel.
- C.2.19 Small mammals including rabbit, shrew and mouse were identified from environmental samples. While most of these species are considered burrowing animals, they are probably archaeological material.
- C.2.20 Birds were represented by several species. Of the 51 fragments identified as 'bird' 41 were identified as belonging to domestic fowl. Domestic fowl became more important during the Roman period in Britain and would have been exploited for meat and eggs. Chicken are the breed of bird that were substantially bred and exploited for food in Roman Britain (Maltby 2015). Other species included one fragment belonging to a crane from ditch **412**, three fragments of mallard bone (ditch **787**, post pipe **774**, post pipe **845**), one corvid (ditch **101**), one pheasant (midden layer **430**) and four fragments belonging to a bird of prey, resembling a red kite (kiln **512**).
- C.2.21 Preservation of the remains was overall fair with over half of the identifiable bone retrieved from ditch fills. Taphonomic processes including burning, gnawing and butchery were all noted in the assemblage. There was evidence of burning in three contexts, fill deposit **683**, oven **407** and pit **325**. Deposit **683** contained mostly calcined bird and sheep/goat remains from environmental samples. Carnivore gnawing was identified on only six fragments of animal bone. Butchery evidence was minimal and the marks were mainly on cattle and sheep/goat remains in the form of heavy chops



and fine cut marks. A red deer antler from Phase 3 (deposit **755**), had a tine that had been removed by sawing and the beam had been chopped through.

Discussion

- C.2.22 Skeletal element distribution shows that all three main domesticates were probably butchered and consumed on site. As the majority of the faunal material dates to the Roman period there is a limitation on interpreting changes in husbandry practices over time. Cattle continued to be well represented in all phases of occupation. They were less represented in Phase 3, although this was probably due to the small sample size for that phase. Cattle would have provided the greatest amount of meat of any of the domesticates and slaughtered primarily for meat as the ageing data suggests. The slight shift of less cattle slaughtered before 3 years of age in Phase 2.5 suggests that cattle may have become more valuable additionally for traction and dairying. Sheep/goat appeared to have become less important in Phases 2.4 and 2.5 as their NISP percentages declined this may relate to the rise in dominance of cattle. The ageing data for sheep/goat implies their exploitation for secondary products was their primary purpose.
- C.2.23 The small amount of butchered red deer antler are probable off cuts, providing evidence of small scale craft activity.
- C.2.24 In a regional context, the assemblage from Old School Lane, Upware is fairly typical of a Roman settlement assemblage in this region of East Cambridgeshire. Assemblages tend to contain a wide variety of species with cattle being the dominant food source. The Roman phases of the zooarchaeological assemblage from Wicken Fen (Foster 2019) contained cattle comprising 54.3% of the NISP, followed by sheep/goat and horse. There was also a single piece of red deer antler retrieved. The representation of the main domesticates in comparable percentages highlight that the settlements have a similar economy in regards to husbandry practices.
- C.2.25 At Old School Lane, domestic mammals were the mainstay of the food economy, with cattle remains being the most well represented species. The dominance of cattle in the assemblage is typical for Roman settlement sites. Beef would have made up the most important part of the residents' diet. Sheep/goat would have been a secondary species for food, however from the ageing data it can be concluded they were probably exploited primarily for secondary products in addition to a source of meat.

		Phas	e 2.3	Phas	se 2.4	Phase 2.5		
		Unfused	Fusing or Fused	Unfused	Fusing or Fused	Unfused	Fusing or Fused	
Cattle	Early fusion	0	8	3	7	0	42	
	Mid fusion	3	5	8	6	2	19	
	Late fusion	3	2	1	8	8	8	
Sheep/goat	Early fusion	2	3	0	3	1	6	
	Mid fusion	2	3	0	0	0	0	
	Late fusion	0	1	0	0	1	4	

Table 76. Summary of epiphyseal fusion for ageing (those phases and species with more than eightelements to assess)



V.1

Context	Phase	Species	Element	GL	GLI	GL	Bd	SD	Вр	SLC	GLP	нтс	B T	EWH (cm)
60	2.1	Cattle	Phalanx 2	44. 1	0	0	23.7	0	28.2	0	0	0	0	
80	2.3	Cattle	Metatarsal 1	0	0	0	51.1	24.9	0	0	0	0	0	
80	2.3	Cattle	Phalanx 1	58. 1	0	0	28.5	0	28.2	0	0	0	0	
156	2.3	Sheep/Goat	Phalanx 1	39. 1	0	0	11.7	0	12.1	0	0	0	0	
287	2.3	Cattle	Phalanx 1	69. 8	0	0	37.2	0	38.2	0	0	0	0	
288	2.3	Sheep/Goat	Metatarsal 1	0	0	0	0	0	18.5	0	0	0	0	
298	3	Horse	Metatarsal 1	253	0	0	48.1	27.7	49	0	0	0	0	134.8
298	3	Cattle	Scapula	0	0	0	0	0	0	49.6	63.7	0	0	100.1
329	2.3	Cattle	Metacarpal 1	196	0	0	54.5	0	51.4	0	0	0	0	120.1
331	2.3	Cattle	Phalanx 1	59. 6	0	0	25.7	0	25.7	0	0	0	0	100.1
346	2.4	Cattle	Scapula	0	0	0	0	0	0	47.3	0	0	0	
398	2.3	Sheep/Goat	Tibia	0	0	0	23.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	
411	2.5	Bird (Crane)	Tibio-tarsus	0	0	0	22.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	
427	2.5	Cattle	Scapula	0	0	0	0	0	0	58.6	0	0	0	
430	2.5	Horse	Phalanx 1	92	0	0	48.3	0	54.4	0	0	0	0	
430 430	2.5 2.5	Cattle Cattle	Phalanx 1 Astragalus	0	0 58	0 52	29.2 38.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	
						.2			ļ					
430	2.5	Cattle	Metatarsal 1	217	0	0	58.9	0	51.2	0	0	0	0	118.3
430	2.5	Sneep/Goat	Pelvis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
430	2.5	Horse	Phalanx 1	87.	0	0	48	0	55.2	0	0	0	0	
				3										
430	2.5	Horse	Metacarpal 1	202	0	0	46.1	32.8	45.9	0	0	0	0	129.5
430	2.5	Sheep/Goat	Tibia	0	0	0	23.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
430	2.5	Cattle	Metacarpal 1	0	0	0	0	0	49.8	0	0	0	0	
430	2.5			62.	0	0	27.9	0	27.6	0	0	0	0	
430	2.5	Sheep/Goat	l ibia Astragalus	0	0	0	24.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
432	2.5		Astragalus	0	8	.6	30.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
438	2.5	Cattle	Metatarsal 1	0	0	0	0	0	52.4	0	0	0	0	
438	2.5	Cattle	Metacarnal 1	0	0	0	57.1	35.0	0	0	0	0	0	
441	2.2	Horse	Phalanx 1	82	0	0	46.4	0	54.7	0	0	0	0	
441	2.2	Cattle	Scapula	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	0	0	0	
442	2.2	Cattle	Horn Core	124 .7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
477	2.5	Cattle	Phalanx 2	40. 5	0	0	24.8	0	27.5	0	0	0	0	
477	2.5	Cattle	Metacarpal 1	0	0	0	0	0	61.7	0	0	0	0	
477	2.5	Horse	Calcaneus	99. 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
488	2.5	Cattle	Phalanx 1	0	0	0	28.7	0	29.8	0	0	0	0	
488	2.5	Cattle	libia Matatamel 1	0	0	0	65.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	
516	2.4	Sheep/Goat	Phalanx 1	0 44. 4	0	0	10.7	0	44.3	0	0	0	0	
525	2.5	Sheep/Goat	Astragalus	0	28. 8	27 .4	19.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
531	2.4	Cattle	Metatarsal 1	222	0	0	56.7	29.6	52.2	0	0	0	0	121.0
531	2.4	Cattle	Metatarsal 1	0	0	0	56.6	0	49.5	0	0	0	0	
542	2.5	Cattle	Metatarsal 1	211	0	0	54.2	25.3	46.1	0	0	0	0	114.9
579	2.5	Bird (Red Kite?)	Tibio-tarsus	111 .8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
579	2.5	Bird (Red Kite?)	Femur	77. 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
579	2.5	Bird (Red Kite?)	Femur	77. 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
624	2.5	Cattle	Astragalus	0	68. 5	62	40.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
685	2.5	Cattle	Metatarsal 1	0	0	0	0	0	45.5	0	0	0	0	
685	2.5	Cattle	Metacarpal 1	0	0	0	63.7	0	61.9	0	0	0	0	
685	2.5	Cattle	Humerus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40.3	75 .4	
685	2.5	Cattle	Phalanx 1	63. 4	0	0	34.5	0	33.5	0	0	0	0	



V.1

Context	Phase	Species	Element	GL	GLI	G	Bd	SD	Вр	SLC	GLP	HTC	В	EWH
						L m							Т	(cm)
686	2.5	Cattle	Metacarpal 1	0	0	0	0	0	51.5	0	0	0	0	
687	2.5	Cattle	Metatarsal 1	222	0	0	58.2	30	52.2	0	0	0	0	121.0
687	2.5	Cattle	Tibia	0	0	0	60.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
687	2.5	Horse	Tibia	0	0	0	72.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	
687	2.5	Dog	Humerus	90. 3	0	0	21.8	0	21.6	0	0	0	0	28.3
687	2.5	Cattle	Scapula	0	0	0	0	0	0	55.7	67.5	0	0	
687	2.5	Horse	Tibia	0	0	0	74.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
687	2.5	Cattle	Scapula	0	0	0	0	0	0	56.4	63.4	0	0	
719	2.5	Cattle	Phalanx 1	0	0	0	29.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	
719	2.5	Cattle	Phalanx 1	64. 7	0	0	26.1	0	26.5	0	0	0	0	
719	2.5	Cattle	Phalanx 1	58	0	0	27	0	28.1	0	0	0	0	
719	2.5	Cattle	Phalanx 2	41. 5	0	0	23.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	
719	2.5	Cattle	Scapula	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	59.6	0	0	
719	2.5	Cat	Radius	92. 3	0	0	12.4	0	6.8	0	0	0	0	
719	2.5	Cattle	Phalanx 2	47. 3	0	0	31.4	0	35.8	0	0	0	0	
731	2.1	Cattle	Metatarsal 1	0	0	0	0	23.5	0	0	0	0	0	
740	2.5	Dog	Humerus	0	0	0	36.8	13.4	0	0	0	0	24 .6	
770	2.5	Horse	Radius	0	0	0	68.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
788	3	Bird (Domestic Fowl)	Humerus	0	0	0	0	0	20.9	0	0	0	0	
791	2.4	Sheep/Goat	Phalanx 1	37. 8	0	0	13	0	12.9	0	0	0	0	
791	2.4	Sheep/Goat	Phalanx 2	22. 4	0	0	8.8	0	11.7	0	0	0	0	
792	2.5	Bird (Domestic Fowl)	Femur	0	0	0	0	0	16.3	0	0	0	0	
792	2.5	Sheep/Goat	Phalanx 1	40	0	0	10.5	0	11.9	0	0	0	0	
792	2.5	Sheep/Goat	Phalanx 1	36. 8	0	0	11.1	0	12.4	0	0	0	0	
821	2.5	Sheep/Goat	Phalanx 1	38. 1	0	0	12.1	0	13.5	0	0	0	0	
821	2.5	Sheep/Goat	Astragalus	0	29. 6	28 .6	18.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	
823	2.5	Cattle	Metatarsal 1	0	0	0	55.8	26.2	0	0	0	0	0	
823	2.5	Cattle	Phalanx 2	37. 5	0	0	0	0	26.3	0	0	0	0	
828	2.5	Pig	Phalanx 2	24. 9	0	0	15.4	0	17.9	0	0	0	0	
846	2.5	Bird (Mallard)	Carpo- Metacarpus	60. 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
847	2.5	Sheep/Goat	Astragalus	0	30. 3	28 .9	19.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	
893	2.1	Sheep/Goat	Radius	0	0	0	0	0	28.6	0	0	0	0	
932	1	Cattle	Metatarsal 1	0	0	0	0	24.1	0	0	0	0	0	
941	2.5	Cattle	Radius	0	0	0	0	0	84.5	0	0	0	0	

Tahle 77	Tahle	of measurements	(mm)
TUDIE //.	Tuble	oj meusurements	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

Abbreviation	Description
GL	Greatest length
GLI	Greatest lateral length
Bd	Greatest breadth of distal end
BT	Greatest breadth of trochlea
НТС	Height of trochlea
Вр	Greatest breadth of proximal end
GLm	Greatest length of medial half (in astragalus)
SD	Smallest breadth of diaphysis
SLC	Smallest breadth of collum



GLP	Greatest length of glenoid process
EWH	Estimated Wither's Height (in cm)

Table 78. Abbreviations for table of measurements

Context	Phase	Species	Element	Burning
326	1	Pig	Mandible	Blackened
326	1	Pig	Mandible	Blackened
326	1	Pig	Loose Mandibular Tooth	Blackened
410	2.4	Cattle	Metacarpal 1	Calcined
683	2.3	Small Rodent	Phalanx 1	Calcined
683	2.3	Small Rodent	Phalanx 1	Calcined
683	2.3	Bird (domestic fowl)	Metacarpal 1	Calcined
683	2.3	Bird (domestic fowl)	Ulna	Calcined
683	2.3	Bird (domestic fowl)	Metatarsal 1	Calcined
683	2.3	Bird (domestic fowl)	Phalanx 1	Calcined
683	2.3	Bird (domestic fowl)	Vertebra	Calcined
683	2.3	Sheep/Goat	Humerus	Calcined
683	2.3	Sheep/Goat	Astragalus	Calcined
683	2.3	Sheep/Goat	Phalanx 1	Calcined
683	2.3	Sheep/Goat	Phalanx 2	Calcined
683	2.3	Sheep/Goat	Tibia	Calcined
683	2.3	Sheep/Goat	Phalanx 3	Calcined
683	2.3	Sheep/Goat	Metapodial 1	Calcined

Table 79. Identifiable fragments with burning

Context	Phase	Species	Element	Gnawing
685	2.5	Cattle	Phalanx 1	Carnivore
685	2.5	Cattle	Metacarpal 1	Carnivore
331	2.3	Cattle	Phalanx 1	Carnivore
850	2.3	Cattle	Phalanx 1	Carnivore
779	2.5	Sheep/Goat	Radius	Carnivore
893	2.1	Cattle	Calcaneus	Carnivore
438	2.5	Cattle	Calcaneus	Carnivore

Table 80. Identifiable fragments with gnawing

Context	Phase	Species	Element	Butchery
430	2.5	Cattle	Calcaneus	Chop
516	2.4	Cattle	Calcaneus	Cut
430	2.5	Cattle	Astragalus	Cut



Context	Phase	Species	Element	Butchery
80	2.3	Cattle	Phalanx 1	Cut
156	2.3	Sheep/Goat	Pelvis	Chop
430	2.5	Cattle	Phalanx 1	Chop
755	2.5	Red Deer	Antler	Sawn/Cut
430	2.5	Cattle	Phalanx 1	Chop
430	2.5	Cattle	Metacarpal 1	Cut
847	2.5	Sheep/Goat	Astragalus	Cut
430	2.5	Cattle	Radius	Chop
624	2.5	Cattle	Astragalus	Cut
441	2.2	Cattle	Scapula	Cut
346	2.4	Cattle	Scapula	Chop

Table 81. Identifiable fragments with butchery marks

Cattle	8-18 mnts	24-40 mnts	40-50 mnts	50 mnts +	Total	
	3	3	4	3	13	

Table 82. Mandible wear per stage for cattle

Sheep/Goat	5-7 mnts	21-28 mnts	Mature/Adult	Total
	1	6	7	14

Table 83. Mandible wear per stage for sheep/goat

Pig	9-10 mnts	25-27 mnts	Total
	1	2	3

Table 84. Mandible wear per stage for pig

C.3 Environmental samples

By Rachel Fosberry

Introduction

- C.3.1 The results of assessment of approximately 54 samples taken during the excavations revealed a background scatter of charred plant remains from earlier phases of Roman activity and a marked increase in density during the later Roman period (Fosberry 2018). The most intense activity was centered around Roman Building **815** and surrounding features in the northern area of the site, which was extensively sampled.
- C.3.2 Extremely large quantities of fully-processed cereal grain, predominantly spelt wheat, were recovered from each of the post-pipes within the structural post holes of the building and there appeared to be very little chaff or weed seeds. There also appeared to be spatial variation between the assemblages from the post pipes which could



possibly imply distribution of stored products within the building that is assumed to have been destroyed by fire.

- C.3.3 A broadly contemporary clay-lined pit **512** located in the east of the site was also investigated to characterise its disuse fills.
- C.3.4 Subsequent analysis of these samples was recommended with the aim of characterising the individual deposits and investigating spatial distribution in addition to addressing the research aims relating to the agricultural economy of a Late Roman fen-edge settlement. The revised research aims targets the aisled building. The aims of the detailed analysis of the charred assemblages from each of the post-pipes is to determine the function of the building, clues to its use and destruction and its implications in terms of the status and character of the wider settlement. A 3rd/4th century aisled barn at Great Holts Farm, Essex, destroyed by fire, was used as a comparative site (Germany 2003). Detailed analysis by Peter Murphy on the charred assemblages from the post-pipes of this structure produced evidence for the storage of agricultural products, including spelt wheat, barley and peas, that appear to have been stored in separate areas within the barn.

Methodology

- C.3.5 The samples were processed by tank flotation using modified Sīraf-type equipment for the recovery of preserved plant remains, dating evidence and any other artefactual evidence that might be present. The floating component (flot) of the samples was collected in a 0.3mm nylon mesh and the residue was washed through 10mm, 5mm, 2mm and a 0.5mm sieve. A secondary flotation was carries out on the residues from samples that proved rich in charred plant remains to ensure maximum recovery. Addition of the dried residue to clean water resulted in the charred material immediately floating to the top of the container producing second flots which were then combined with the original flot for quantification.
- C.3.6 The dried flots were subsequently sorted using a binocular microscope at magnifications up to x 60. Identification of plant remains is with reference to the *Digital Seed Atlas of the Netherlands* (Cappers *et al* 2006) and the authors' own reference collection. Nomenclature is according to Zohary and Hopf (2000) for cereals and Stace (2010) for other plants. Carbonised seeds and grains, by the process of burning and burial, become blackened and often distort and fragment leading to difficulty in identification. Plant remains have been identified to species where possible. The identification of cereals has been based on the characteristic morphology of the grains and chaff as described by Jacomet (2006).

Quantification

C.3.7 The samples have been quantified with individual cereal grains, chaff elements and seeds counted and recorded on Tables 85-86. Quantification of extremely large assemblages is difficult as counting of individual items in small sub-samples with subsequent multiplication leads to inaccurate representation of some items and omission of others. An attempt to produce a more meaningful interpretation has been achieved by counting the number of grains and chaff elements in a sub-sample,

multiplying by fraction sorted (denoted by *). This method allows for a comparison of samples from each post-pipe with regard to spatial variation and the overall volume of the deposit within the feature. Wherever possible, the whole flot has been sorted for less frequent items such as weed seeds. Quantification of cereal grains can also be problematic due to the tendency of the material to break into small pieces which is particularly evident in these assemblages. Fragmented cereal grains have been counted if over half of the grain has survived or if the embryo ends of smaller fragments are present.

C.3.8 Items that cannot be easily quantified such as small bones have been scored for abundance according to the following criteria:

```
+ = rare, ++ = moderate, +++ = frequent, ++++ = abundant
```

C.3.9 Key to table *=estimated (through counting of sub-sample and multiplication) S= silicified

Results

Preservation

- C.3.10 Preservation of charred cereal grains is variable both between and within individual assemblages. Most of the near-complete grains are identifiable as spelt (Triticum spelta) or emmer (T. dicoccum) wheat (or most commonly spelt/emmer) or barley (Hordeum vulgare) from their morphology. No attempt has been made to distinguish between spelt and emmer grains based on their morphology due to the overlap of distinguishing characteristics (Reed et al 2019, 632). Most of the grains are more 'speltlike' in their appearance (elongated and with straight sides) but there is a significant proportion of the assemblages where the grains are more 'droplet-shaped' which can be characteristic of emmer wheat. Where spikelets have been preserved, they have been identified as spelt through the more-diagnostic chaff elements present and spikelet forks and glume bases are, in most cases, identifiable to species although poorly-preserved, degraded fragments are simply identified as hulled wheat. There is no evidence of the more-rounded grains that are characteristic of free-threshing bread wheat (T. aestivum/turgidum sp.). Barley is generally a minor component in the assemblages. Preservation of twisted ventral grooves is a good indication that six-row barley is present, despite the lack of chaff.
- C.3.11 Insect damage was recognised through the examination of modern reference material supplied by David Smith (University of Birmingham) during a training workshop for Archaeobotanical Working Group on the Identification of common insect grain pests in April 2018. In the absence of preserved insect remains, evidence of infestation is reliant on the recognition of the physical evidence caused by insects. Experimental charring of modern weevil affected grain revealed that the characteristic weevil hole damage is only likely to be recognised where preservation is exceptionally good (Pelling *et al* 2020). The morphological changes include visible egg holes (often several per grain), visible exit holes (usually through the embryo end of the grain), 'empty' grains where the interior structure is missing. A large proportion of the grains also



exhibit surface alteration, often as longitudinal scars where the grain surface appears to have been eaten, or this may be evidence of bacterial or fungal attack.

C.3.12 Weed seeds are rare within the assemblages and preservation was variable.

Phase 2.5: Late Roman

The most productive samples for charred plant remains from this phase are from aisled building (**815**) and associated features located in the north-east corner of the northern area and pit **512**. Hearth/oven **371** did not contain any significant preserved remains, including charcoal and well **465**, located towards the south of the site, contained only occasional waterlogged seeds of rushes (*Juncus* sp.) and stinging nettles.

Clay-lined feature 512

- C.3.13 Samples were taken from the two major basal deposits (580 and 587) overlying the clay lining in feature **512** and from one of the upper fills in the eastern part of the feature (585). They each produced charred grain and weed seeds, with the most abundant assemblages recovered from fill 587. Spelt and barley grains are frequent and there is evidence of germination of a few of the spelt grains through the presence of a developed embryo, shrunken sides and a glossy appearance. Samples from fill 587 contain the greatest density of charred grain (79 grains per litre of soil) of which sixrow barley predominates. The grains are reasonably well-preserved and there is no evidence of any of the barley grains having commenced germination. Weed species include stinking mayweed (Anthemis cotula), corncockle (Agrostemma githago), goosefoots (Chenopodium sp.), parsley-piert (Aphanes arvensis), dead-nettle (Lamium sp.), vetch/tare (Vicia/Lathyrus sp.) and docks (Rumex spp.) which are all likely to have been growing amongst the cereal crops. Grasses (Poaceae), ribwort plantain (Plantago lanceolata) and annual knawel (Sherardia arvensis) may indicate grassland and the use of hay as fuel/kindling, although their habitats are varied, and they could also have been harvested with the crops. Rushes (Juncus sp.), sedges (Carex spp.), great fen sedge (Cladium mariscus) and marsh marigold (Caltha palustris) are indicative of wet/damp ground.
- C.3.14 The feature was keyhole shaped which is the traditional shape for corn driers of this date. The assemblage of charred grain within this feature would be consistent with a corn drier although the usual Roman fuel choice of hulled wheat chaff is notably absent. It is possible that sedges and wood was used as fuel instead. These features are considered to be multifunctional (van der Veen 1989, 303) with possible uses including drying and parching grain and for heating malted grain to halt germination. It is unusual that there was no evidence of *in-situ* burning and the entire feature was lined with clay, which suggests a possible use as a steeping tank for grain malting. Charophyte oospores (the reproductive bodies of stonewort plants) are found in freshwater and their presence within these deposits suggests that water was utilised in some way.



V.1

Sample No.		74	80	81
Context No.		580	587	585
Cut		512	512	512
Volume processed (L)		6	6	4
Flot Volume (ml)		10	30	10
CHARRED CEREAL GRAIN				
Avena sp. caryopsis	Oats (wild or cultivated)		4	4
Hordeum vulgare L. caryopsis	domesticated 6-row Barley	12	292	23
Triticum spelta/dicoccum L. caryopsis	Spelt/Emmer wheat grain	73	45	93
Triticum cf. spelta L. germinated caryopsis	Sprouted spelt wheat grain	3		4
Cereal indet. Caryopsis	Indeterminate cereal grain	9	131	35
TOTAL GRAIN		97	472	159
Grain per litre soil		16	79	40
CHARRED CEREAL CHAFF				
Hordeum vulgare L. rachis internode	domesticated Barley chaff			1
Triticum spelta L. spikelet fork	Spelt Wheat chaff			2
Triticum spelta L. glume base	Spelt Wheat chaff	3		
LEGUMES				
medium Vicia/Lathyrus sp. (2-4mm) seed	medium-seeded Vetches/Peas/Garden Peas			3
CHARRED WILD SEEDS AND FRUITS				
Agrostemma githago L. seed	Corncockle	1		
Anthemis cotula L. achene	Stinking Chamomile	5	2	4
Aphanes arvensis L. seed	Parsley-piert		2	
Caltha palustris L. seed	marsh marigold	1		
Carduus/Cirsium sp. achene	Thistles		3	
Chenopodium sp. seed	Goosefoots	3	2	
Chenopodium album L. seed	Fat-hen	3	2	1
Galium aparine L. nutlet	Cleavers	1		
Lamium sp. Nutlet	Dead-nettles		1	
<i>Malva</i> sp. nutlet	Mallows	3		
Odontites sp. seed	Red Bartsia			1
Plantago lanceolata L. seed	Ribwort Plantain			3
small Poaceae indet. (< 2mm) caryopsis	small-seeded Grass Family			2
Prunella vulgaris L. nutlet	Selfheal			1
Rumex sp. achene	small-seeded Docks	7		5
Rumex cf.cripus L. achene	Curled Dock		4	8
Sherardia arvensis L. seed	Field madder			1
Urtica dioica L.seed	Common Nettle			2
Vicia/Lathyrus sp. Seed	small-seeded Vetches/Tares	8	2	
WETLAND PLANT SPECIES				
elongate lenticular Carex sp. nut	elongate & flat-seeded Sedges	1		1
small trigonous Carex sp. (<2mm) nut	small triangular-seeded Sedges			1s
large trigonous Carex sp. (>3mm) nut	large triangular-seeded Sedges			
Cladium mariscus (L.) Pohl nut	Great Fen-sedge	8	47	11



Sample No.		74	80	81
Juncus sp. seed	Rushes	4	3	
Ostracods			+	
Chara oogonia		+	+++	
Est Charcoal vol (ml)		<1	<1	30

Table 85.	Quantification	of samples	from	feature	512
-----------	----------------	------------	------	---------	-----

Building 815 and associated deposits

- C.3.15 Abundant charred cereal remains are again present in the northern corner of the site, particularly in the area of Building **815** and in two excavated slots of ditch **756** (**756**, **817**) located to the west of the building. Samples taken from the postholes from the use-phase (Phase 2.4) of Building **815** contain charred cereal remains, but given that the Phase 2.5 postpipes contained such an abundance of charred remains, this is likely to attest to post-depositional mixing and most of this material is probably intrusive. Samples from each of the six post-pipes withing the structural postholes of Building **815** were analysed; in some cases, there were two fills with samples from each, where only one fill was noticed, samples were taken from two areas within the fill.
- C.3.16 In total, approximately 18L of soil was examined for each post-pipe, enabling comparison of density and composition of charred plant remains (Fig. 11b). Each of the assemblages is comprised predominantly of hulled wheat grains with smaller components of barley and chaff elements. Weed seeds are very rare, signifying that the grain has been meticulously cleaned of contaminants. Preservation of the grains is mostly good enough for them to be identified as wheat or barley but there is a high degree of surface pitting and abrasion that is consistent with insect damage and a charred pupa or larva was recovered from postpipe 845. A significant proportion of the wheat grains were fractured. This could also be the result of insect damage or it may have been caused during the processing stage in which hulled wheat is pounded to remove the outer husk. All of the barley and the vast majority of the hulled wheat has been dehusked. The singular exception was noted in postpipe 844 in which a small proportion (approximately 5%) of the spelt grains survive as spikelets. It is possible that some of the other grains were burnt as spikelets and subsequently disintegrated (either within the burial environment or during flotation) although the amount of chaff present is much lower than would have been expected if this were the case, even taking into consideration the bias towards the preservation and survival of grain being higher than that of chaff (Boardman and Jones 1980). Where chaff is present, it is notable that it is present in greatest density in the postpipes (774, 827, 843) from the eastern side of the building. Spelt wheat chaff is more common than emmer wheat, the latter only really identified with certainty in postpipe **774**.
- C.3.17 There is significant variation in the density of grain in the postpipes with the largest quantity of grain in postpipe **774** showing a distribution that is greatest in the southeastern area of the structure and the least in the north west. Spatial variation within the building is also seen in the distribution of small bone that was recovered from each of the three postpipes (**778**, **845**, **789**) from the western side. Samples taken from



surface layers within the building footprint all contain spelt/emmer and barley grains but preservation is poor, possibly due to trampling.

- C.3.18 The charred assemblage from ditch **756** is similar in content to those from the eastern postpipes of Building **815** with regard to the amount of grain and chaff present, whereas the samples from ditch slot **817** most resemble the western postpipe assemblages. The ditch samples also contain a few more weed seeds. The assemblage from intervention **939** produced a generally similar assemblage of spelt/emmer wheat and barley with a small amount of hulled wheat chaff. This sample also contains mineralised insect eggs and a calcitic nodule which are both indicative of cess.
- C.3.19 Samples taken from hollows **813** and **901** both contain charred cereal grains, indicating the abundance of grain in this area that has naturally accumulated in these hollows.



V.1

Sample No.		120	121	122	126	105	111	106	125	123	124	109	110	102	158	115	167
Context No.		828	828	844	844	775	775	779	843	846	847	790	792	770	820	819	941
Feature No.		827	827	843	843	774	774	778	778	845	845	789	789	756	817	817	939
Feature type		Postpipe	Ditch	Ditch	Ditch	Ditch											
Volume processed (L)		9	10	9	9	8	8	8	8	9	9	8	10	8	14	16	9
Flot Volume (ml)		120	180	350	500	950	1000	80	20	70	90	20	150	200	80	150	80
Percentage sorted		25	33.3	20	10	5	5	100	100	100	100	100	33	20	25	33.3	25
CHARRED CEREAL GRAIN																	
Avena sp. caryopsis	Oats (wild or cultivated)						20*						2				
Hordeum vulgare L. caryopsis	domesticated 6-row Barley	88*	117*	35*	100*	1020*	1806*	3		13	27	20	171*	45*	84*	42*	116*
Triticum spelta/dicoccum L. caryopsis	Spelt/Emmer wheat grain	1200*	1092*	1130*	5200*	10560*	18360*	398	28	107	556	168	2052*	2095*	676*	852*	516*
Triticum spelta/dicoccum L. fragmented caryopsis	fragmented Spelt/Emmer wheat grain	240*	120*	305*	520*	1760*	5220*	725*		49	124	79	408*	625*	384*	639*	148*
Triticum spelta L.spikelet	Spelt spikelet (2-grains)			16	32												
Cereal indet. Caryopsis	Indeterminate cereal grain	236*					640*		39			4	66*				
TOTAL GRAIN		1764	1329	1502	5884	14360	26046	1126	67	169	707	271	2697	2765	1144	1533	780
Grain per litre soil		196	133	167	654	1795	3256	141	8	19	79	34	270	346	82	96	87
CHARRED CEREAL CHAFF																	
Triticum spelta L. spikelet fork	Spelt wheat chaff		4	15	340*	400*	40*				2		12	65*	8*	1	16*
<i>Triticum spelta/dicoccum</i> L. spikelet fork	Spelt/emmer chaff	48	14	9	610*	860*	180*			2	1		6	75*			
Triticum spelta L. glume base	Spelt wheat chaff	3		15	730*	720*	220*	3		3	2		17	70*	48*		24*
Triticum dicoccum Schübl glume base	Emmer wheat chaff						20*										
Triticum dicoccum Schübl spikelet fork	Emmer wheat chaff						160*										
Triticum dicoccum Schübl /spelta L. glume base	Emmer/spelt chaff	11	240	855*		580*	100*				2			25*	8*		
Triticum dicoccum Schübl /spelta L. spikelet fork	Emmer/spelt chaff	4															
Triticum spelta lower rachis internode	Spelt wheat chaff				20*												
cereal culm node	straw fragment				1												
TOTAL CHAFF (SF = 2 x gb)		118	276	893	2630	2560	1080	3	0	7	10	0	53	375	72	2	56
chaff per litre soil		13	28	99	263	320	135	0.4	0	1	1	0	5	47	5	0	6

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd



V.1

Sample No.		120	121	122	126	105	111	106	125	123	124	109	110	102	158	115	167
CHARRED WILD SEEDS AND FRUITS													5				
Agrostemma githago L. seed	Corncockle			1		20*			1							2	1
Bromus sp. caryopsis	Bromes								1				1			1	
Centaurea sp. Seed	Cornflower-type												1			1	
Chenopodium sp. seed	Goosefoots												1				
Juncus sp. seed	Rushes														1		
Malva sp. nutlet	Mallows														1		
Pisum sativum L. seed	Garden Pea															1	1
Ranunculus cf .acris L./repens L./bulbosus L. achene	cf. Meadow/Creeping/Bulb ous Buttercup														1		
small Trifolium sp. (<1mm) seed	small-seeded Clover											1					
medium <i>Vicia/Lathyrus</i> sp. (2-4mm) seed	medium-seeded Vetches/Peas/Garden Peas															1	
OTHER REMAINS																	
small bone									+++	+++	+	++	++				
calcitic nodule																	1
charred maggot											1						
mineralised insect eggs																	24

Table 86. Quantification of samples from Building 815 and associated ditche



Discussion

- C.3.20 The abundance of charred grain recovered from the site is an indication of the scale of production and processing of cereals in this area during the Late Roman period. It should be noted that the grain recovered will only be a tiny fraction of what was originally burnt due to the specific requirements required for preservation (Boardman and Jones 1990), which suggests the amount of original grain was extensive.
- C.3.21 The agricultural regime of the Late Roman period in this region saw a dramatic increase in the cultivation of spelt wheat (Lodwick in Allen et al 2017, 149), with emmer wheat persisting as a minor crop. Spelt is a hulled wheat in which the grain is tightly enclosed in spikelets that each contain (normally) two grains and snap off easily from the rest of the ear. When the grains are held within the spikelets they are more resistant to insect attack and to accidental germination through exposure to moisture. Hulled wheats, both spelt and emmer, require a number of processing stages in order to release the grain (caryopsis) from the tough outer chaff of the spikelet. This is best described by Hillman (1981) and Wilkinson and Stevens (2003, 195), and involves stages including harvesting, fine sieving, parching and pounding, threshing, winnowing and finally course-sieving to produce clean grain suitable for grinding/milling into flour. Each of these stages produces characteristic plant product and waste assemblages with different ratios of grain:chaff:weed seeds. The composition of the Old School Lane assemblages suggests that the wheat grain had passed through all of the processing stages and was the intended final product. The small proportion of chaff that probably remained adhering to the grain may have been considered an acceptable and unavoidable contaminant.
- C.3.22 Barley is a minor component in all of the assemblages from the building area but predominates in the clay-lined pit (512). The barley was probably cultivated as a separate crop to the wheat but it is possible that they were grown as a maslin or the barley could be a contaminant of the wheat crop. The only other explanation is that the two cereals were mixed during processing. Barley is often considered to be a fodder crop for animal consumption but analysis of cereal bran fragments in archaeological faecal remains from military and civilian sites near Hadrian's Wall in Northern England showed that barley was also for human consumption, most likely as 'whole, cracked or pearled barley in soups or broths' (Britton & Huntley 2011, 50). Furthermore, recent isotopic studies results indicate that wheat and barley show similarities in the methods of cultivation (particularly manuring) suggesting that barley had a higher status than a fodder crop (Lodwick et al 2020, 10). The isotopic studies also confirm that cereal cultivation increased in productivity during the Roman period and became extensive (through larger agricultural units and less manuring), in contrast to intensive (with high labour input and manuring). Cattle bones dominated the Old School Lane faunal assemblage (Foster, App. C.2) which is pertinent as the extensification of spelt cultivation is thought to be linked to an increase in the use of cattle as traction (Albarella et al 2008, cited in Lodwick et al 2020).
- C.3.23 A Late Roman store-house or granary at Great Holts Farm, near Chelmsford, Essex was constructed with eight large postholes within a footprint measuring 5m x 12m. The structure was destroyed by a fire and each post subsequently removed and the

resulting void filled with the burnt cereal remains (Murphy *et al* 2000, 36). The charred assemblages from the Great Holts Farm post-pits also comprised prime grain with little or no chaff and very few weed seeds. The cereals included wheat, predominantly spelt with traces of emmer, and six-row hulled barley which is almost identical to the Old School Lanes assemblages. Late Roman granaries at Godmanchester also produced charred assemblages of spelt wheat (76%), bread or club wheat (2%), emmer (2%) and six row barley (20%) which were interpreted as possibly indicating winter sown crops (Green 2017, 117).

- C.3.24 The scarcity of chaff at Old School Lane suggests that the earlier stages of cereal processing in which the grain is dehusked was taking place elsewhere. It is most likely that processing, particularly the threshing stage, took place in the open air. It is worth noting that there is no evidence of corn-dryers on this site, although the aisled building was located on the edge of the excavated area, meaning that it is possible that there were corn dryers further north. It is relatively common to find corn dryers installed within (often earlier) buildings in the Late Roman period (Lodwick in Allen *et al* 2017, 59).
- C.3.25 There is evidence that milling was taking place on site through the recovery of a small upper millstone (Timberlake, this report), although this may be earlier than the major crop assemblages. A corn dryer would have been necessary to dry the cereals after harvest and were also used to harden the grain prior to milling as well as for parching spikelet prior to dehusking (van der Veen 1989, 303). It was originally thought that the clay-lined pit may have been used as a corn dryer, although there is no evidence of heating and it appears instead to have functioned for a process involving water. Interpretation as a steeping tank is possible, but there was very little evidence for the presence of malted grain on site. The proportion of germinated grain within the disuse fills is too low and none of the grain in the granary has been malted. It is possible that the carbonised remains had an alternative origin and were deposited in the feature after it fell out of use. At Culver Street, Colchester, the presence of germinated grain within a burnt granary was interpreted as the storage of malt (Murphy 1992, 282). The assemblages from feature **512** do not appear to be associated with those from the Building 815 due to the predominance of barley over wheat.
- C.3.26 The degree of insect infestation in the Upware granary assemblages is extensive, since virtually all of the spelt grains (and to a lesser extent the barley) have evidence of damage through the characteristic entrance and exit holes and also surface damage. Whilst it may have been considered unpalatable for human consumption, the level of contamination that would render it unsuitable for animal consumption is not known. Insect affected grain is first seen in Britain in the Roman period and is considered to be the result of imported infested seed from the continent (Buckland 1978, cited in Smith & Kenward 2011, 244). Evidence of the actual insects is usually recovered from waterlogged deposits rather than charred assemblages due to the fragility of the insect resulting in disintegration and destruction on burning. The most common granary pest is the granary weevil, *Sitophilus granarius*, the adults and larvae feeding on whole grains (Smith & Kenward ibid, 245). A single larva from postpipe **845** has been carbonised but not identified. Smith and Kenward (2011, 254) also consider the effect of the changing climate on the prevalence of grain pests as the cooler, wetter weather



conditions in the later Roman period would have resulted in greater moisture content of grains on harvest. Obvious insect damage was recognised in charred grain from a Roman fort in Ambleside Cumbria (Carruthers 1993) and larvae were present in a Late Roman corn dryer at Grateley, Hampshire (Campbell 1998). There was no visible evidence for insect attack or fungal spoilage in the Great Holts Farm assemblages.

- C.3.27 There is spatial variation between the postpipe assemblages that could potentially be the result of the storage of different grain products in different areas of the building (Fig. 11b). As noted at Great Holts Farm, some degree of mixing will have occurred when the building burnt down and was dismantled. At Great Holts Farm there is a clearer distinction of spatial storage with wheat predominant in the southern part of the building and barley in the north-western part. The variation within the Old School Lane building is seen mainly through the density of grain, suggesting that more was stored in the south-east of the building, although this may be a reflection of the size of the postpipes as 774, which contained the most grain, was also the largest of the postpipes. Additional post holes within the building may represent dividing walls or raised storage areas. If grain had been stored in a raised area such as a loft it must have been strong enough to support the weight of the grain, particularly if the grain was stored in ceramic storage jars rather than sacks. The pottery assemblage from Old School Lane produced a large amount of very large Horningsea storage jars, concentrated in the area of the building (App. B.8) and it is possible that these vessels were used to store the grain.
- C.3.28 The recovery of large quantities of grain at Old School Lane implies large-scale storage for a specific purpose. The locality of the site in relation to the River Cam and the Old Tillage Roman canal (formerly Car Dyke) is likely to be significant with regards transport of grain by water. Analysis of sediments from the Old Tillage canal by Mark Robinson revealed the presence of *Oryzaephilus surinamensis*, a grain pest that affects grain that has already been damaged by other insects or fungal growth (Robinson 2000 cited in J. Evans *et al* 2017, 25). Insect-affected grain is thought to have been associated with the movement of large volumes of grain through the production and trade of surplus, often over long distances (Smith & Kenward, *ibid.*, 254) and there are accounts of grain being exported to the Rhineland in the mid 4th-century AD (Taylor 1999; Mattingly 2006, 505). The local agricultural production site at Langdale Hale produced abundant hulled wheat chaff that is considered to be indicative of large-scale processing of grain for export (Evans *et al* 2013).
- C.3.29 In conclusion, the detailed sampling of Building **815** and contemporary deposits has identified large-scale storage of fully processed, insect-infested grain that is assumed to be intended for export, most likely along the navigable watercourses of the southern fens, which the site was very well placed to access.



C.4 Marine Mollusca

By Carole Fletcher

Introduction

Introduction

C.4.1 A total of 1.565kg of shell or shell fragments were collected by hand from ditches, pits, post pipes and a midden deposit during the archaeological works. The shells recovered are almost all edible examples of oyster *Ostrea edulis*, from estuarine and shallow coastal waters. The shell is moderately well-preserved and does not appear to have been deliberately broken or crushed, however, some have suffered post-depositional damage.

Methodology

- C.4.2 The shells were weighed and recorded by species, with right and left valves noted, when identification could be made, using Winder (2011) as a guide. The minimum number of individuals (MNI) was not established, due to the small size of the assemblage from most features.
- C.4.3 Several oyster shells show evidence of damage, in the form of a small 'V' or 'U'-shaped hole on the outer edge of the left or right valve. This damage is likely to have been caused by a knife during the opening, or 'shucking', of the oyster, prior to its consumption. This damage has been recorded in the catalogue.

Results

- C.4.4 Shell was recovered from three ditches (658, 752 and 892) and two pits in Phase 2.1, a total of 13 shells (0.102kg). Phase 2.2 produced a similarly small assemblage of shell from ditches 442, 713 and 765 (6 shells, weighing 0.126kg). From Phase 2.3 oyster shells weighing 0.343kg (16 shells) were recovered, all from ditches (79, 101, 155, 174, 189, 194, 232, 295, and 382). Phase 2.4 also produced low numbers of shell from three ditches (121, 416, 513) and a post-setting (884), totalling six shells, weighing 0.095kg.
- C.4.5 The bulk of the assemblage was recovered from nine ditches and two post pipes dating to Phase 2.5. The 24 shells, weighing 0.467 kg, were mostly oyster, but also including a single mussel and a whelk, both from post pipe 845. Again, each feature produced only one or two shells, the exception being ditch 817, which produced three oyster shells, one left valve and two right valves.
- C.4.6 Shell in Phase 3 was mostly recovered from a dark earth midden layer, which produced 21 oyster shells, weighing 0.210kg, including three shucked shells. A further two oyster shells recovered from ditches 107 and 787.

Discussion

C.4.7 Given its small size, only broad conclusions can be drawn, in that shellfish were reaching the site from the coastal regions, indicating trade with the wider area. Although not closely datable in themselves, the shells may be dated by their



association with pottery or other material also recovered from the features. The shells representing general discarded food waste. The mollusca recovered are few in number and represent a small number of meals, indicating transportation of a marine food source to the site and forming a small part of the Romano-British and Roman diet.

C.5 Pollen

By Mairead Rutherford

Introduction

C.5.1 Three sub-samples from a 30cm long monolith sample collected from Test Pit J2, taken through surface layers inside the aisled building, were processed for pollen.

Methodology

C.5.2 The samples were prepared using a standard chemical procedure (method B of Berglund and Ralska-Jasiewiczowa 1986), using HCl, NaOH, sieving, HF, and Erdtman's acetolysis, to remove carbonates, humic acids, particles > 170 microns, silicates, and cellulose, respectively. The sample was then stained with safranin, dehydrated in tertiary butyl alcohol, and the residues mounted in 2000cs silicone oil. Slides were examined at a magnification of 400x by ten equally-spaced traverses across two slides to reduce the possible effects of differential dispersal on the slides (Brooks and Thomas 1967) or until at least 100 total land pollen grains were counted. Pollen identification was made following the keys of Moore *et al* (1991), Faegri and Iversen (1989), and a small modern reference collection. Plant nomenclature follows Stace (2010) and fungal spores nomenclature follows van Geel and Aptroot (2006). The preservation of the pollen was noted, and an assessment was made of the potential for further analysis.

Results

- C.5.3 The results of the pollen assessment are tabulated below (Table 87). Unfortunately, none of the sub-samples contained sufficient pollen for confident interpretation. Rare palynomorphs were recorded from deposits 522 and 807 and included occurrences of 'robust' pollen types such as dandelion-type (Taraxacum-type) and grasses (Poaceae), including large grasses/cereal types and ribwort plantain (Plantago lanceolata). Rare tree pollen of alder (Alnus) and pine (Pinus) was present in fill 807. This deposit also contained non-pollen palynomorphs, of which several types of fungal spore were identified. These included Glomus (HdV-207), Chaetomium (HdV-7A), Sporomiella (HdV-113), Podospora (HdV-368) and Sordaria (HdV-55A/B).
- C.5.4 The pollen grains, together with the fungal spores may be interpreted (with caution) to suggest an environment associated with possible grassy areas and disturbed soils. Cereal-type pollen may be indicative of arable agriculture in the vicinity of the aisled building or local cereal processing, or cereal-type pollen grains may have entered the building deposits along with straw or animal dung. The dimensions for cereal-type pollen overlap with those for wild grasses but can be distinguished with careful



identification and within the context of the overall pollen assemblage (Andersen 1979). Sordariaceous fungal spores and Podospora (HdV-368) are generally associated with animals in the environment, as is the obligate coprophilous fungal spore Sporomiella (HdV-113). Chaetomium species are cellulose-decomposing fungi, and can occur on plant remains, fibres, paper and dung. Apart from occurring in natural habitats, the spores have been recorded from archaeological settlement sites, where substances such as dung, damp straw, cloths, leather, would have provided suitable substrates (van Geel and Aptroot 2006).

Preservation		-	-	-
Potential		NO	NO	NO
Sample No.		152	152	152
Context No.		522	807	812
Trees/Shrubs				
Alnus	Alder		1	
Pinus	Pine		1	
Crops				
Cerealia	Cereal-type	1	1	
Herbs				
Amaranthaceae/Chenopodiaceae	Goosefoot family		1	
Plantago lanceolata	Ribwort plantain	1		
Poaceae	Grasses	7	8	
Taraxacum-type	Dandelion type	1	3	
Total land pollen		10	15	0
Number of traverses		10	10	10
Microscopic charcoal		+	+	
NPP				
Chaetomium HdV-7A			2	
Glomus HdV-207		2	10	2
Podospora HdV-368			1	
Sordaria HdV-55A/B			1	
Sporomiella HdV 113			1	
Fungal spores indeterminate			4	
Broken grains		1		
Crumpled grains			4	

Table 87. Raw pollen counts from samples taken from Test Pit J2 monolith

V.1



APPENDIX D RADIOCARBON DATING

Lab Code	Age	δ13C	Calibrated date range,	Sample type	Context
		‰	95.4% probability		
BRAMS-4090	1704±25	-23.4	cal AD 255-285 (19.9%)	Charred grain:	Deposit 775: fill of postpipe
			cal AD 325-416 (75.5%)	Triticum	774 ; Building 815
				dicoccum/spelta	
BRAMS-4091	3013±25	-22.6	1384-1341 cal BC (15.5%)	Cremated human	Deposit 247, fill of pit 245
			1311-1194 cal BC (75.3%)	bone	
			1175-1161 cal BC (2.2%)		
			1144-1130 cal BC (2.4%)		
BRAMS-4092	1950±25	-20.6	35-14 cal BC (2.4%)	Human bone,	814, partial remains of
			cal AD 5-130 (92.2%)	neonate femur	neonatal burial in pit 813
			cal AD 144-154 (0.9%)		

Table 88. Radiocarbon dates

The calibrated date ranges have been calculated using the program OxCal v4.3 (Bronk Ramsey 1995; 2001; 2009) and the IntCal20 data set (Reimer et al 2020).



Fig. D.1. Probability distributions of the calibrated radiocarbon dates (Table 88).









Project: ENF149031 Sample material: Cremated bone Pretreatment Code: AHO

F14C	0.6921± 0.0021
Result	2956 ± 25 BP
Indicative $\delta^{13}C$	-19.6 ‰

The result is given in uncalibrated radiocarbon years Before Present (BP). Data given are corrected for isotopic fractionation using the ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ ratio measured on the AMS. The $\delta^{13}C$ value was measured on the AMS and may have been subject to additional isotopic fractionation. The error associated with this value is typically ±1‰.

Calibration Plot

Calibration was performed using OxCal software v4.4 and the IntCal20 atmospheric calibration curve



TEL

Dr. Timothy Knowles BRAMS Manager 43 Woodland Road,

BRISTOL, BS8 1UU,

UK





Dr. Timothy Knowles BRAMS Manager V.1



APPENDIX E BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Albarella, U. and Davis, S.J. 1996. Mammals and birds from Launceston Castle, Cornwall: decline in status and the rise of agriculture, Circaea 12 (1), 1-156.
- Albarella, U., C. Johnstone, and K. Vickers. 2008. "The Development of Animal Husbandry from the Late Iron Age to the End of the Roman Period: A Case Study from South-East Britain." Journal of Archaeological Science 35 (7): 1828–1848. doi:10.1016/j.jas.2007.11.016.
- Allen, M., Blick, N., Brindle, T., Evans, T., Fulford, M., Holbrook, N. and Richards, J.D., Alex, 2015, The Rural Settlement of Roman Britain: an online resource, <u>https://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archives/view/romangl/map.html?fbclid=IwAR2-</u> GKm6SPoAz-3U0BelCXCeNKFE2HgvqGrVFV5HBTcHLvAy4UaEhxjeb_U
- Allen, M., Lodwick, L., Brindle, T., Fulford, M., and Smith, A. 2017 (Allen et al 2017). New Visions of the Countryside of Roman Britain (Vol. 2): The Rural Economy of Roman Britain. London: Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies, Britannia Monograph Series 30.
- Allen, M. 2017. Pastoral Farming. In Allen, M., Lodwick, L., Brindle, T., Fulford, M., and Smith, A. 2017. New Visions of the Countryside of Roman Britain (Vol. 2): The Rural Economy of Roman Britain. London: Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies, Britannia Monograph Series 30, 85-141.
- Allen, M. and Lodwick, L. 2017. Agricultural strategies in Roman Britain. In Allen, M., Lodwick, L., Brindle, T., Fulford, M., and Smith, A. 2017 (Allen *et al* 2017). *New Visions of the Countryside of Roman Britain* (Vol. 2): *The Rural Economy of Roman Britain*. London: Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies, Britannia Monograph Series 30, 142-177.
- Anderson, 2020a. Roman Pottery, in Jones, M. Land at Paston Reserve, Peterborough, Cambridgeshire: Archaeological Excavation Archive Report. Pre-Construct Archaeology Ltd, Report No. 13270. Unpublished
- Anderson, Forthcoming. Roman Pottery, in Jones, M. Land East of 38 March Road, Wimblington, Cambridgeshire. Archaeological Excavation Archive Report. Pre-Construct Archaeology Ltd. Unpublished.
- Anderson, K. 2013. Roman Pottery, in Evans, C, Lucy, S and Regan, R. Process and History Romano-British Communities at Colne Fen, Earith. An Inland port and Supply Farm. Cambridge Archaeological Unit Landscape Archives: The Archaeology of the Lower Ouse Valley, Volume II, 299-324
- Anderson, K. 2020b. The Late Iron Age and Roman Pottery in Revell, T. Paston Reserve, Peterborough. Pre-Construct Archaeology Report (Unpublished)
- Atkinson, T.D. 1893. A Roman House at Swaffham Prior. *Proceedings of the Cambridge Antiquarian* Society 35, 16
- Bearar, H., Fuchs, M., Maggetti, M. & Paunier (eds.) 1996 *Roman wall painting: materials, techniques, analysis and conservation*, Fribourg: Institute of Mineralogy and Petrography
- Berglund, B.E. & Ralska-Jasiewiczowa, M, 1986 'Pollen analysis and pollen diagrams', in Berglund,
 B.E. (ed) Handbook of Holocene Palaeoecology and Palaeohydrology, 455-484 (Chichester: Wiley)
- Billington, L., 2016, Lithic Scatters and Landscape Occupation in the Late Upper Palaeolithic and Mesolithic: A Case Study from Eastern England. Unpublished PhD thesis. The University of Manchester
- Blackbourn, K. & Brudenell, M., 2017 Land South of 1-7 Old School Lane, Upware, Cambridgeshire: Written Scheme of Investigation
- Blackbourn, K., 2017 Late Roman Settlement at Old School Lane, Upware, Cambridgeshire: Archaeological Evaluation Report, Oxford Archaeology East Report No. 2146
- Bland, R., Besly, E. and Burnett, A. 2018, The Cunetio and Normanby Hoards, (Spink, London)

V.1



- Boardman, S., Jones, G., 1990. Experiments on the effects of charring on cereal plant components. Journal of Archaeological Science 17. p 1-11
- Britton, K & Huntley, J. 2011. New evidence for the consumption of barley at Romano-British military and civilian sites, from the analysis of cereal bran fragments in faecal material. Vegetation History and Archaeobotany. 20. 41-52
- Bronk Ramsey, C. 1995. Radiocarbon calibration and analysis of stratigraphy: the OxCal program. *Radiocarbon* 37 (2), 425-430.
- Bronk Ramsey, C. 2001. Development of the radiocarbon calibration program. *Radiocarbon*, 43 (2A), 355-363.
- Bronk Ramsey, C, 2009. Bayesian analysis of radiocarbon dates. Radiocarbon 51, 337-60
- Brooks D. & Thomas K. W., 1967, 'The distribution of pollen grains on microscope slides. The nonrandomness of the distribution', *Pollen et Spores* 9, 621-629
- Brooks, R. 2012. West Row Primary School new classroom and MUGA pitch, Beeches Road, Mildenhall, MNL 637, Archaeological Excavation Report. Bury St Edmunds: Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service. SCCAS Report No. 2011/083
- Brothwell, D.R., 1981, *Digging up bones: the excavation, treatment and study of human skeletal remains* (London: British Museum (Natural History))
- Bruk, G. 2015, *Late Roman Bronze Coinage: An Attribution Guide for Poorly Preserved Coins*, (CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform)
- Brunning, R., 2010, Waterlogged Wood: Guidelines on the recording, sampling, conservation and curation of waterlogged wood. (London: English Heritage)
- Buckland, P.C. 1978: 'Cereal production, storage and population: a caveat', in Limbrey and Evans 19
- Buikstra, J. E. and Ubelaker, D. H. (eds.), 1994, *Standards for the collection from human skeletal remains Arkansas Archaeological Survey*, Research Series No. 44 (Fayetteville: Arkansas Archaeological Survey)
- Campbell G. 1998. The charred plant remains, pp. 36–9, in Boyle, A. and Early, R. (eds.), Excavations at Springhead Roman Town, Southfleet, Kent (Oxford Archaeological Unit Occasional Paper 1). Oxford: Oxford Archaeological Unit.
- Cappers, R.T.J, Bekker R.M, and Jans, J.E.A. 2006 Digital Seed Atlas of the Netherlands Groningen Archaeological Studies 4, Barkhuis Publishing, Eelde, The Netherlands. www.seedatlas.nl
- Carruthers, W. 1993: A Charred Grain Deposit from Ambleside Roman Fort, Cumbria, Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report 1 1/93, London
- Challis, A. & Harding, D.W., 1975, Later Prehistory from the Trent to the Tyne, BAR 20
- CIfA, 2014a Standard and guidance for archaeological excavation
- CIFA, 2014b Standard and guidance for the creation, compilation, transfer and deposition of archaeological archives
- Cool, H.E.M., 1990, 'Roman Metal Hairpins from Southern Britain', Archaeological Journal, 147, 148-82
- Craven, J. A. 2010. West Row Primary School, Beeches Road, Mildenhall, MNL 637. Bury St Edmunds: Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service. SCCAS Report No. 2010/157
- Curwen, E.C., 1941, 'More About Querns', Antiquity 15, 15-32
- Davis, S.J. 1992. A rapid method for recording information about mammal bones from archaeological site (AML report 19/92), London: English Heritage.
- Deschler-Erb, S., 1998, *Römische Beinartefakte aus Augusta Raurica. Rohmaterial, Technologie, Typologie und Chronologie* (Augst: Römermuseum)
- Dickens, A. and Collins, M. 2011. Down the Line: Archaeological investigations on the route of the Cambridgeshire Guided Busway The Proceedings of the Cambridge Antiquarian Society 100, 99-136
- Dodwell, N. 2013. The populace? Human remains, Evans, C., Appleby, G., Lucy, S., and Regan, R. 2013 Process and History: Romano-British Communities at Colne Fen, Earith (The



Archaeology of the Lower Ouse Valley, Vol. II.) Cambridge: Cambridge Archaeological Unit, 79-85.

- Evans, C., and Lucas, G. 2020. *Hinterlands: the Archaeology of West Cambridge. Cambridge (CAU Landscape Archives: New Archaeologies of the Cambridge Region 2.)* Cambridge: McDonald Institute Monograph.
- Evans, C., Appleby, G., Lucy, S., and Regan, R. 2013 *Process and History: Romano-British Communities at Colne Fen, Earith* (The Archaeology of the Lower Ouse Valley, Vol. II.) Cambridge: Cambridge Archaeological Unit.
- Evans, C., Mackay, D., and Webley, L., 2008 *Borderlands The Archaeology of the Addenbrooke's Environs, South Cambridge,* CAU Landscape Archives: New Archaeologies of the Cambridge Region (1)
- Evans, J, Macaulay, S., and Mills, P., 2017 *The Horningsea Roman Pottery Industry in Context. Volume 1:Production, Distribution and the Old Tillage*, East Anglian Archaeology 162
- Faegri, K. & Iversen, J., 1989, *Textbook of Pollen Analysis*, (4th edition), 328 (Chichester: Wiley)
- Fock J .1966. Metrische Untersuchungen an Metapodien einiger europäischer Rinderassen. Diss LMU, Münchnen.
- Foster, H. 2019. Faunal remains. In Lord, Adele (2019) Land to the rear of 9 to 17 Hawes Lane, Wicken, Cambridgeshire. [Client Report] (Unpublished).
- Fowler, G., 1932 'Fenland waterways, past and present. South Level District, Part 1', Proc. Cambridge Antiq. Soc. 33, 108–28
- Fox, C., 1923 The Archaeology of the Cambridge Region (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press)
- Fremersdorf, F., 1940, Römische Scharnierbänder aus Bein, in Serta Hoffilleriana. Abteilung des Wallraf–Richartz Museums der Hansestadt Köln, 321–337 (Zagreb)
- Frere, S. S., 1972, *Verulamium Excavations Volume I*, Reports of the Research Committee of the Society of Antiquaries of London 28, (London: Society of Antiquaries)
- Gale, R. & Cutler, D., 2000, Plants in Archaeology (Otley: Westbury Publishing)
- Gallois, R.W., 1988, Geology of the country around Ely *Memoir of the British Geological Survey,* Sheet 173 (London: HMSO)
- Germany, M. 2003. *Excavations at Great Holt Farm, Boreham, Essex, 1992-4.* East Anglian Archaeology 105
- Gibson, D. and Lucas, G., 2002. Pre-Flavian kilns at Greenhouse Farm and the social context of early Roman pottery production in Cambridgeshire. *Britannia*, *33*, pp.95-127.
- Gill, D. 2001. Archaeological Evaluation Report. Land Adjacent to the Old Police House, Beeches Road, West Row, Mildenhall. Bury St Edmund's: Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service. SCCAS Report No.2001/70
- Glazebrook, J., 1997, Research and Archaeology: A Framework for the Eastern Counties: 1. Resource Assessment. EAA Occasional Papers 3
- Gostenčnik, K., 2005, Die Beinfunde von Magdalensberg, Archäologische Forschungen zu den Grabungen auf dem Magdalensberg 15, *Kärnten Museumsschriften 78* (Klagenfurt)
- Grant, A. 1982. The use of tooth wear as a guide to the age of domestic ungulates, in B. Wilson, C.Grigson and S. Payne (eds.), Ageing and sexing animal bones from archaeological sites, 91-108. British Archaeological Reports British Series 109. Oxford: BAR.
- Green, H. 2017. Durovigutum: Roman Godmanchester (Malim T., Ed.). Oxford: Archaeopress
- Greep, S., 1995, Objects of Bone, Antler and Ivory from C. A. T. Sites, in Blockley, K., Blockley, M., Blockley, P., Frere, S. S. and. Stow, S., *Excavations in the Marlowe Car Park and Surrounding Areas*, The Archaeology of Canterbury 5, 1112-1152, (Canterbury: Canterbury Archaeological Trust)
- Greig, J, 1991, The British Isles, pp 299-332, in: Van Zeist, Wasylikowa and Behre (eds), Progress in Old World Palaeoethnobotany, Rotterdam
- Guiraud, H., 1989, "Bagues et anneaux à l'époque romaine n Gaule", Gallia 46 (1989), pp, 173-211



- Hall, D. 1996. *The Fenland Project No. 10: Cambridgeshire Survey, Isle of Ely and Wisbech*. East Anglian Archaeology 79. Cambridge: Fenland Research Committee.
- Hamshaw-Thomas, J., 2000, When in Britain do as the Britons: dietary identity in early Roman Britain, in P. Rowley-Conwy (ed.), Animal Bones, Human Societies, 166-69. Oxford: Oxbow.
- Harcourt, R.A., 1974. The dog in prehistoric and early historic Britain. Journal of archaeological science, 1(2), pp.151-175.
- Harrison, K. 2012. Application of Fire Investigation Techniques. In Tipper, J. Experimental Archaeology and ire: the investigation of a burnt reconstruction at West Stow Anglo-Saxon Village. *East Anglian Archaeology* 146, 121-37
- Hattat, R., 1982, Ancient and Romano British Brooches
- Higbee, L. 2013. Animal bones. In Evans, C., Appleby, G., Lucy, S., and Regan, R. 2013 Process and History: Romano-British Communities at Colne Fen, Earith (The Archaeology of the Lower Ouse Valley, Vol. II.) Cambridge: Cambridge Archaeological Unit, 116-33, 369-85.
- Higham, C.F.W. 1967. Stockrearing as a cultural factor in prehistoric Europe, proceedings of the prehistoric society 33, 84-106.
- Hillman, G. C. (1984). Interpretation of archaeological plant remains: the application of ethnographic models from Turkey, pp. 1-41 in W. wan Zeist and W. A. Casparie (eds.) Plants and ancient man. Rotterdam: Balkema
- Hillman, G.C. 1981 Reconstructing crop processing from charred remains of crops, Farming practice in British prehistory, ed. R. Mercer, 123-62. Edinburgh: University Press, 1981.
- Hillson, S. 1992. Mammal bones and teeth: An introductory guide to methods and identification. London Institute of Archaeology: University College London.
- Hingley, R., 1989. Rural settlement in Roman Britain. London, Seaby.
- Hinman, M and Zant, J. 2018. Conquering the Claylands: Excavations at Love's Farm, St Neots, *Cambridgeshire*. East Anglian Archaeology Report No. 165.
- Historic England, 2006 Management of research projects in the historic environment. The MoRPHE project manager's guide
- Historic England, 2008 Management of research projects in the historic environment. PPN3: Archaeological excavation
- Howe, M. D. Perrin, J.R, and Mackreth, D.F. 1981. Roman Pottery from the Nene Valley: A Guide. Peterborough City Museum Occasional Paper No.2.
- Hudak, E. 2019. The Roman Pottery in Jones, M. Land at Jobs Lane, March, Cambridgeshire: Archaeological Excavation Archive Report. Pre-Construct Archaeology Ltd. Report No. 13271. Unpublished.
- Ingle, C., 1994, 'The quernstones from Hunsbury Hillfort, Northamptonshire', Northamptonshire Archaeology, 25, 21-23
- Jackson, R.P.J. and Potter, T.W. 1996. *Excavations at Stonea, Cambridgeshire 1980–85*. London: British Museum Press
- Jacomet, S. 2006 Identification of cereal remains from archaeological sites. (2nd edition, 2006) IPNA, Universität Basel / Published by the IPAS, Basel University.
- Keller, P.T., 1989, 'Quern Production at Folkestone, South-East Kent. An Interim Note', *Britannia* 20, 193-200
- Ling, R. 1991 *Roman painting*, Cambridge University Press
- Lloyd-Morgan, G., 1994, Worked Bone, in Cracknell, S. and Mahany C., *Roman Alcester: Southern Extramural Area. 1964-1966 Excavations. Part 2: Finds and Discussion*, CBA Research Report 97 (York: Council for British Archaeology), 211-214
- Lodwick, L., Campbell G., Crosby, V. & Gundula Müldner, G. 2020 Isotopic Evidence for Changes in Cereal Production Strategies in Iron Age and Roman Britain, Environmental Archaeology, DOI: 10.1080/14614103.2020.1718852. Accessed 11/10/20
- Lovell,B. & Tubb,J., 2006, 'Ancient quarrying of rare in situ. Palaeogene Hertfordshire Puddingstone', *Mercian Geologist* 16, 185-189



Lyons, A, 2018. Romano-British Pottery, in Hinman and Zant.

- Lyons, A., 2019. *Rectory Farm, Godmanchester, Cambridgeshire: Excavations 1988–1995 Neolithic Monument to Roman Villa Farm*. East Anglian Archaeology 170.
- Macaulay, S.P., 1997a Car Dyke Roman Canal, Waterbeach 1997, Summary Report, CCC AFU Rep. (unpubl.)
- Macaulay, S.P.,2002b Romano-British Settlement at Camel Road, Littleport, CCC AFU Rep. 205 (unpubl.)
- Mackreth, D.F. 1996. Orton Hall Farm: A Roman and Early Anglo-Saxon Farmstead, East Anglian Archaeology Report 76
- Malim, T. 2006. A Romano-British temple complex and Anglo-Saxon burials at Gallows Hill, Swaffham Prior. *Proceedings of the Cambridge Antiquarian Society* 95, 91-114.
- Maltby, M. 2016. The exploitation of animals in Roman Britain, in M. Millett, L. Revell and A. Moore (eds.), The Oxford Handbook of Roman Britain, 791-806. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Maltby, M., 2015. Commercial archaeology, zooarchaeology and the study of Romano-British towns.
- Manning, W. H., 1989, *Catalogue of the Romano-British Iron Tools, Fittings and Weapons in the British Museum*, (London, British Museum Publication)
- Mattingly, D., 2006, An Imperial Possession. Britain in the Roman Empire. London: Penguin.
- McComish, J.M., 2015, A Guide to Ceramic Building Materials, York Archaeological Trust Report Number 2015/36. Web Based Report <u>https://www.yorkarchaeology.co.uk/wp-</u> content/uploads/2015/05/A-guide-to-ceramic-building-material-reduced.pdf
- McCormick, F. and Murray E. 2007. Knowth and the zooarchaeology of early Christian Ireland. Dublin: Royal Irish Academy.
- McKenney Hughes, T. 1913. The Cambridgeshire Dykes, *Journal of the British Archaeological* Association, 19 (3), 135-160,
- Medlycott, M., 2011, Research and Archaeology Revisited: A Revised Framework for the East of England EAA Occasional Papers 24
- Moore P.D., Webb J.A., and Collinson M.E., 1991, *Pollen analysis*, (2nd edition), (Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications)
- Morris, J. 2008. Associated bone groups; one archaeologist's rubbish is another's ritual deposition. In. O. Davis, N. Sharples and K. Waddington (eds.) Changing Perspectives on the First Millenium BC, 83–98. Oxford, Oxbow Books.
- Moore, A. 2009. Hearth and Home: The Burial of Infants within Romano-British Domestic Contexts, *Childhood in the Past* 2 (1), 33-54
- Muldowney, M. 2010. MNL 612 West Row Primary School, West Row, Mildenhall. B: Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service. 2009/120
- Murphy, P 1992 Environmental studies: Culver Street, pp. 273-89 in Crummy, P., Excavations at Culver Street, the Gilberd School, and Other Sites in Colchester 1971-85 Colchester Archaeological Report 6. Colchester Archaeological Trust
- Murphy, P. 2003. 'Plant macrofossils' in, Germany, M. 2003. *Excavations at Great Holt Farm, Boreham, Essex, 1992-4.* East Anglian Archaeology 105, 204-211
- Murphy, P., Albarella, A., Germany, M., & Locker, A. 2000. Production, Imports and Status: Biological Remains from a Late Roman Farm at Great Holts Farm, Boreham, Essex, UK, Environmental Archaeology, 5:1, 35-48
- Mustchin, A.R.R., Cussans, J.E.M., Summers, J.R. and Peachey, A. 2016. A large Romano-British farmstead at North Stud, Woodditton, Cambridgeshire. *Proceedings of the Cambridge Antiquarian Society* 105, 7–34.
- Newton, A.A. and Peachey, A., 2012. Romano-British Horningsea Ware kilns at 12 Pieces Lane, Waterbeach, Cambridgeshire. *Proceedings of the Cambridge Antiquarian Society* 101, 143-60



- Oosthuizen, S. 2000. The Cambridgeshire Lodes. In Kirby, T. and Oosthuizen, S. (eds) *An Atlas of Cambridgeshire and Huntingdonshire History.* Centre for Regional Studies, Anglia Polytechnic University.
- Oosthuizen, S., 2017. The Anglo-Saxon Fenland. Windgather Press.
- Parks, K 2013 Iron Age and Roman Arable Practice in the East of England. Available https://lra.le.ac.uk/handle/2381/27951 Accessed: 12.9.13
- Parsons, D. (ed.), 1990, *Stone Quarrying and Building in England AD43-1525*, (Phillimore in assoc. with The Royal Archaeological Institute)
- Payne, S. 1973. Kill off patterns in sheep and goats: the mandible from Asvan Kale, Anatolian Studies 23, 281-303.
- Pelletier, R., 1971, Essai sur l'assemblage des elements de charnière en os gallo-romaine, *Revue* Archéologique du Centre de la France 10, 202-207
- Pelling, Smith and Campbell 2020. Can we recognise *Sitophilis granarius* damage in charred cereals? An experimental approach. Historic England/University of Birmingham (Conference/Workshop Poster)
- Perrin, J. R. 1999. Roman Pottery from Excavations at and near to the Roman Small Town of Durobrivae, Water Newton, Cambridgeshire, 1956-58. *Journal of Roman Pottery Studies* 8.
- Perrin, R. 2011. *Guidelines for the Archiving of Roman Pottery*. Study Group for Roman Pottery.
- Phillips, C.W. (ed.), 1970 The Fenland in Roman Times, Royal Geographical Soc. Res. Ser. 5, (London)
- Prehistoric Ceramic Research Group, 2011, *The Study of Prehistoric Pottery: General Policies and Guidelines for Analysis and Publication*, PCRG Occasional Paper 1 & 2
- Price, J., and Cottam, S., 1998, *Romano-British Glass Vessels: A Handbook*, (London: CBA Practical Handbook in Archaeology 14)
- Pye, E. 2000 Wall painting in the Roman empire: colour, design and technology, Archaeology International 4, 24-27
- Ranson, C. 2008. The Waste Management Park, Ely Road, Waterbeach, Cambridge: An Archaeological Excavation. Cambridge: Cambridge Archaeological Unit. Report No 835
- Reece, R. 1991. Roman Coins from 140 Sites in Britain. Cirencester: Cotswold Studies IV
- Reece, R., 1995, 'Site-finds in Roman Britain', Britannia 26, pp. 179-206
- Reece, R., 2002, The Coinage of Roman Britain, (Stroud, History Press)
- Reed, K., Sabljić, S., Šoštarić, R. *et al.* 2019 Grains from ear to ear: the morphology of spelt and free-threshing wheat from Roman Mursa (Osijek), Croatia. Veget Hist Archaeobot 28, 623–634
- Reimer, P., Austin, W.E., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Blackwell, P.G., Bronk Ramsey, C., Butzin, M., Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., Friedrich, M. and Grootes, P.M., *et al.* 2020. The IntCal20 Northern Hemisphere radiocarbon age calibration curve (0-55 kcal BP). *Radiocarbon* 62 (4)
- Riddler, I. D., 2013, Bone and Antler Objects, in Best, J., Woodward, A, & Tyler, K., *Late Bronze Age Pottery Production: Evidence from a 12th to 11th Century BC Settlement at Tinney's Lane, Sherborne, Dorset,* Dorset Natural History and Archaeological Society Monograph 21, 56-61 (Dorchester)
- Robinson, M., 2000 Molluscs and Insects from Car Dyke, Waterbeach, Cambridgeshire (WATCD 97), report for OA East (unpubl.)
- Robinson-Zeki, L. 2020. Land South of Nos 1 to 7 Old School Lane, Upware. Post-Excavation Assessment and Updated Project Design. OAE Report 2322
- Roman Imperial Coinage, volume 7, 1996, Constantine I–Licinius (313–337), by P. M. Bruun, (Spink, London)
- Roman Imperial Coinage, volume 8, 1981, The Family of Constantine I (337–364), by J. P. C. Kent, (Spink, London)
- Roman Imperial Coinage, volume 9, 1951, Valentinian I–Theodosius I (364–395), by J W E Pearce; R A G Carson; C H V Sutherland, (Spink, London)



- Silver, I.A. 1970. The ageing of domestic animals. In D.R. Brothwell and E.S Higgs (eds), Science in archaeology: A survey of progress and research, pp.283-302. New York: Prager publishing.
- Slater, A. 2009. Further Archaeological Investigations at Waste Management Park, Waterbeach, Cambridge. Cambridge: Cambridge Archaeological Unit. Cau report number 872

Smith D N, Kenward H K. 2011. Roman grain pests in Britain: implications for grain supply and agricultural production. Britannia 42, 243–62

Smith, A, Allen, M, Brindle, T, and Fulford, M, 2016. New Visions of the Countryside of Roman Britain (Vol. 1): The Rural Settlement of Roman Britain. London: The Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies. Britannia Monograph Series 29.

- Society of Museum Archaeologists, 1993, Selection, Retention and Dispersal of Archaeological Collections: guidelines for use in England, Wales and Northern Ireland (1st edition)
- Stace, C., 2010 New Flora of the British Isles. Third edition. Cambridge University Press
- Stace, C., 2010, New Flora of the British Isles, (3rd edition), (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- Tabor, J. 2010. The Waste Management Park, Waterbeach, Cambridge. The Hammerhead: An Archaeological Excavation. Cambridge: Cambridge Archaeological Unit, Report No. 931
- Taylor, A., 1999, Discussion and Conclusions. Proceedings of the Cambridge Antiquarian Society LXXXVIII (Roman Cambridge: Excavations on Castle Hill, 1956-1988), 75-83.
- Taylor, J. 2001. Rural Society in Roman Britain. In S. James and M. Millett (eds) Britons and Romans: Advancing the Archaeological Agenda: 46-59. York, Council for British Archaeology
- Taylor, J., 2013. Encountering Romanitas: characterising the role of agricultural communities in Roman Britain. *Britannia* 44,171-190.
- Taylor, S.R. 1964 Abundance of chemical elements in the continental crust: a new table, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* Vol.28, 1273-1285
- Van de Noort, R., Ellis, S., Taylor, M. & Weir, D., 1995, 'Preservation of Archaeological sites' in Van de Noort, R. & Ellis, S. (eds.) *Wetland Heritage of Holderness - an archaeological survey* (University of Hull: Humber Wetlands Project)
- Van der Veen, M. 1989. Charred grain assemblages from Roman-period corn driers in Britain. Archaeological Journal 146, 302–19.
- van Geel, B. & Aptroot, A., 2006, 'Fossil ascomycetes in Quaternary deposits', *Nova Hedwigia*, 82, 3-4, 313-329
- Vince, A. 2013. Characterisation of shell-tempered pottery, in Evans, C with Appleby, G, Lucy, S and Regan, R. *Process and History, Romano-British Communities at Colne Fen, Earith.* Cambridge Archaeological Unit Landscape Archives: The Archaeology of the Lower Ouse Valley, Volume II. 325-328.
- von den Driesch, A. 1976. A guide to the measurement of animal bones from archaeological sites. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology, Harvard University.
- Waller, M., 1994. *The Fenland project, number 9: Flandrian environmental change in Fenland* East Anglian Archaeology 70.
- Walton, P., 2011, Rethinking Roman Britain: An Applied Numismatic Analysis of the Roman Coin Data Recorded by the Portable Antiquities Scheme, Thesis submitted to UCL for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
- Warry, P., 2006, *Tegulae; Manufacture, typology and use in Roman Britain* (London: BAR British Series 417)
- Wild, J.P., 1974. Roman settlement in the lower Nene valley. *Archaeological Journal*, 131 (1), 140-170.
- Wilkinson, K.N. and Stevens, C.J. (2003) Environmental archaeology: approaches, techniques and applications. Tempus
- Wilson, K. & White, D. J. B., 1986, *The Anatomy of Wood* (London: Stobart)

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd


Roman Settlement Remains South of Old School Lane, Upware, Cambridgeshire

- Winder J.M., 2011, *Oyster Shells from Archaeological Sites A brief illustrated guide to basic processing*. https://oystersetcetera.wordpress.com/2011/03/29/oyster-shells-from-archaeological-sites-a-brief-illustrated-guide-to-basic-processing/ consulted 26/05/2018
- Woodforde, J., 1976, Bricks: To Build a House, (London: Routledge and Kegan Paul).
- Wright, E. 1988, 'Beehive quern manufacture in the East Pennines', Scottish Archaeological Review 5 (1-2), 65-77
- Young, C. 1977. The Roman Pottery Industry of the Oxfordshire Region. British Archaeological Report, British Series, No. 43.
- Zohary, D., Hopf, M. 2000 Domestication of Plants in the Old World The origin and spread of cultivated plants in West Asia, Europe, and the. Nile Valley. 3rd edition. Oxford University Press



Roman Settlement Remains South Of Old School Lane, Upware, Cambridgeshire

APPENDIX F

OASIS REPORT FORM

Project Details							
OASIS Number	oxfo	oxfordar3-408279					
Project Name	Rom	Roman Settlement Remains South of Old School Lane, Upware, Cambridgeshire				Upware, Cambridgeshire	
				1			· · ·
Start of Fieldwork	11/1	11/12/2017		End of Fieldwork			11/07/2018
Previous Work	Yes	Yes		Future Work			No
Project Reference Code	S						
Site Code		WICOSL18		Planning App. Number		ber	15/00482/OUT and
							16/01307/OUT
HER Number	ECBS	5205		Related Num	nbers		
	_						
Prompt	1	Vationa	al Planning Polic	cy Framework	(NPPF)		
Development Type	F	Rural Re	esidential				
Techniques used (tick al	ll that ann	V)					
Aerial Photograp	hv –		Onen-area ev	cavation		Salva	age Becord
interpretation	i i y		Open-area ex			Jaive	
Aerial Photograp	hy - new		Part Excavatio	n		Syste	ematic Field Walking
☐ Field Observation	1		Part Survey			Syste	ematic Metal Detector
						Surve	ey
Full Excavation			Recorded Obs	ervation		Test-	-pit Survey
Full Survey			Remote Operated Vehicle		🗌 Wato		ching Brief
			Survey				
Geophysical Surv	ey		Salvage Excav	ation			

Monument	Period	Object
Pit	Middle Bronze Age (-	Pottery
	1600 to - 1000)	
Ring gully	Late Prehistoric (-	Pottery
	4000 to 43)	
Pit	Iron Age (- 800 to 43)	Pottery
Boundary ditch	Roman (43 to 410)	Pottery
Enclosure ditch	Roman (43 to 410)	Pottery
Pit	Roman (43 to 410)	Coin
Posthole	Roman (43 to 410)	Bracele
Well	Roman (43 to 410)	Brooch
Adult inhumation	Roman (43 to 410)	Finger I
burial		
Cremation burial	Roman (43 to 410)	Pin
Neonate inhumation	Roman (43 to 410)	Tweeze
burial		
Oven	Roman (43 to 410)	Knife
Aisled building	Roman (43 to 410)	Nail
Midden	Roman (43 to 410)	Key
Ditch	Post Medieval (1540	Spearh
	to 1901)	
Pit	Post Medieval (1540	Flint ar
	to 1901)	

Object	Period
Pottery	Early Bronze Age (- 2500 to
	- 1500)
Pottery	Late Bronze Age (- 1000 to -
	700)
Pottery	Middle Iron Age (- 400 to -
	100)
Pottery	Late Iron Age (- 100 to 43)
Pottery	Roman (43 to 410)
Coin	Roman (43 to 410)
Bracelet	Roman (43 to 410)
Brooch	Roman (43 to 410)
Finger ring	Roman (43 to 410)
Pin	Roman (43 to 410)
Tweezer	Roman (43 to 410)
Knife	Roman (43 to 410)
Nail	Roman (43 to 410)
Кеу	Roman (43 to 410)
Spearhead	Early Medieval (410 to
	1066)
Flint arrowhead	Neolithic (- 4000 to - 2200)



Roman Settlement Remains South of Old School Lane, Upware, Cambridgeshire

Worked flint	Late Prehistoric (- 4000 to
	43)
Millstone	Roman (43 to 410)
Quernstone	Roman (43 to 410)
Tile	Roman (43 to 410)
Kiln furniture	Roman (43 to 410)
Slag	Roman (43 to 410)
Worked bone	Roman (43 to 410)
Glass	Roman (43 to 410)
Faunal remains	Late Prehistoric (- 4000 to
	43)
Faunal remains	Roman (43 to 410)
Human remains	Roman (43 to 410)
Human remains	Middle Bronze Age (- 1600
	to - 1000)

Insert more lines as appropriate.

Project Location

County	Cambridgeshire
District	East Cambridgeshire
Parish	Wicken
HER office	Cambridgeshire
Size of Study Area	0.4ha
National Grid Ref	TL 5375 7005

Address (including Postcode)

Land South of Old School Lane, Upware, Cambridgeshire, CB7 5ZR

Project Originators

Organisation Project Brief Originator Project Design Originator Project Manager Project Supervisor

Oxford Archaeology East (OA East)
Gemma Stewart (CCC HET)
Matthew Brudenell (OA East)
Matthew Brudenell (OA East)
Leanne Robinson Zeki (OA East)



Roman Settlement Remains South Of Old School Lane, Upware, Cambridgeshire

Project Archives

	Location	ID
Physical Archive (Finds)	CCC Stores	ECB5205
Digital Archive	OA East	WICOSL18
Paper Archive	CCC Stores	ECB5205

Physical Contents	Present?		Digital files associated with Finds	Paperwork as with Finds	sociated
Animal Bones	\boxtimes		\boxtimes		
Ceramics	\boxtimes		\boxtimes		
Environmental	\boxtimes		\boxtimes		
Glass	\boxtimes		\boxtimes		
Human Remains	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
Industrial					
Leather					
Metal	\boxtimes		\boxtimes		
Stratigraphic					
Survey					
Textiles					
Wood					
Worked Bone	\boxtimes		\boxtimes		
Worked Stone/Lithic	\boxtimes		\boxtimes		
None					
Other					
Digital Media			Paper Media		
Database		\boxtimes	Aerial Photos		
GIS		\boxtimes	Context Sheets		\boxtimes
Geophysics			Correspondence		\boxtimes
Images (Digital photos)		\boxtimes	Diary		
Illustrations (Figures/Plate	s)	\boxtimes	Drawing		
Moving Image			Manuscript		
Spreadsheets		\boxtimes	Мар		
Survey		\boxtimes	Matrices		
Text		\boxtimes	Microfiche		
Virtual Reality			Miscellaneous		\boxtimes
			Research/Notes		
			Photos (negatives/prints/s	lides)	
			Plans		\boxtimes
			Report		\boxtimes
			Sections		\boxtimes

Further Comments

V.1

Survey



Figure 1: Site location showing archaeological excavation in development area



Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2019. All rights reserved.

Figure 2: Excavation area and HER data.



Figure 3: Phased plan of all archaeological features with key features labelled



Figure 4: Phase plan of Northern Area

© Oxford Archaeology East



Figure 5: Phase plan of Southern Area

© Oxford Archaeology East





Figure 6: Phase 1: Prehistoric

© Oxford Archaeology East



Figure 7: Phase 2.1: Middle Roman 1 features

© Oxford Archaeology East



Figure 8: Phase 2.2: Middle Roman 2 features

© Oxford Archaeology East



Figure 9: Phase 2.3: Middle/Late Roman features 1

© Oxford Archaeology East



Figure 10: Phase 2.4: Middle/Late Roman features 2

© Oxford Archaeology East





Figure 11: Aisled Building 815

© Oxford Archaeology East







[©] Oxford Archaeology East



Figure 13: Post pad and neonatal burials (Test Pit Area 1)



Figure 14: Phase 2.5: Late Roman features

© Oxford Archaeology East



Figure 15: Pit/oven 512

© Oxford Archaeology East



Figure 16: Phase 3: Post-Roman features

© Oxford Archaeology East





Section 164





Section 198



Section 202









Figure 18: Roman pottery distributions

© Oxford Archaeology East









Figure 20: Aisled Building comparisons (after Lyons 2019, fig. 6.6)





Figure 21: Site location in relation to major Roman communication/transport routes and the contemporary fen edge. (Extent of fenland deposits based on the 2.5m contour, following Waller 1994, 75)





Figure 22: Roman pottery





Figure 23: Roman pottery





Figure 24: Roman pottery









Figure 26: Photographs of worked stone objects





Plate 1: Aerial view of excavation of the Northern Area



Plate 2: Aerial view of excavation of the Southern Area





Plate 3: Well/watering hole 534 (Phase 2.3), mid excavation, looking northwest



Plate 4: Aisled building 815 with post-settings part-excavated, looking south





Plate 5: Working shot of excavation within Aisled Building 815, looking south



Plate 6: Exposed stone footing in Test Pit J4 at the southeast corner of Buidling 815, looking west





Plate 7: Detail of footing construction of aisled building 815



Plate 8: Post-setting 884 with post-pipe 845 removed, looking east





Plate 9: East facing section of Posthole 891 (Phase 2.4) following removal of fills of postpipe



Plate 10: Well 557 (Phase 2.5), cutting ditch 563 (Phase 2.4)




Plate 11: Clay-lined pit 512 (Phase 2.5), half sectioned with clay lining exposed, looking east



Plate 12: South facing section of clay-lined pit 512, with clay lining exposed





Head Office/Registered Office/ OA South

Janus House Osney Mead Oxford OX20ES

t:+44(0)1865263800 f:+44(0)1865793496 e:info@oxfordarchaeology.com w:http://oxfordarchaeology.com

OANorth

Mill 3 MoorLane LancasterLA11QD

t:+44(0)1524541000 f:+44(0)1524848606 e:oanorth@oxfordarchaeology.com w:http://oxfordarchaeology.com

OAEast

15Trafalgar Way Bar Hill Cambridgeshire CB238SQ

t:+44(0)1223 850500 e:oaeast@oxfordarchaeology.com w:http://oxfordarchaeology.com



Director: Gill Hey, BA PhD FSA MCIfA Oxford Archaeology Ltd is a Private Limited Company, N⁰: 1618597 and a Registered Charity, N⁰: 285627