

# High House Farm

Bawdsey, Suffolk

Archaeological Evaluation Report

Client Name: Andrew Hawes  
Document Title: High House Farm, Bawdsey, Suffolk  
Document Type: Archaeological Evaluation Report  
Report No.: 2689  
Grid Reference: TM 33793 39654  
Planning Reference: DC/23/2802/AGO2  
Site Code: BAW 290  
Invoice Code: XSFBAW23  
HER No.: BAW 290

OA Document File Location: [https://files.oxfordarchaeology.com/nextcloud/index.php/apps/files/?dir=/Projects%20Working%20Folder/Cambridge/XSFBAW23\\_High\\_House\\_farm\\_Bawdsey\\_suffolk&fileid=24671032](https://files.oxfordarchaeology.com/nextcloud/index.php/apps/files/?dir=/Projects%20Working%20Folder/Cambridge/XSFBAW23_High_House_farm_Bawdsey_suffolk&fileid=24671032)

OA Graphics File Location: [https://files.oxfordarchaeology.com/nextcloud/index.php/apps/files/?dir=/Projects%20Working%20Folder/Cambridge/XSFBAW23\\_High\\_House\\_farm\\_Bawdsey\\_suffolk&fileid=24671032](https://files.oxfordarchaeology.com/nextcloud/index.php/apps/files/?dir=/Projects%20Working%20Folder/Cambridge/XSFBAW23_High_House_farm_Bawdsey_suffolk&fileid=24671032)

Issue No: 1  
Date: 25 October 2023  
Prepared by: Kelly Sinclair (Project Officer)  
Checked by: Nick Gilmour (Senior Project Manager)  
Edited by: Lawrence Billington (Post-Excavation Project Officer)  
Approved for Issue by: Elizabeth Popescu (Head of Post-Excavation and Publications)  
Signature:

**Disclaimer:**

*This document has been prepared for the titled project or named part thereof and should not be relied upon or used for any other project without an independent check being carried out as to its suitability and prior written authority of Oxford Archaeology being obtained. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for the consequences of this document being used for a purpose other than the purposes for which it was commissioned. Any person/party using or relying on the document for such other purposes agrees and will by such use or reliance be taken to confirm their agreement to indemnify Oxford Archaeology for all loss or damage resulting therefrom. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for this document to any party other than the person/party by whom it was commissioned.*

**Oxford office**

Janus House  
Osney Mead  
Oxford  
OX2 0ES

t. +44 (0)1865 236 800

**Cambridge office**

15 Trafalgar Way  
Bar Hill  
Cambridge  
CB23 8SQ

t. +44 (0)1223 850 500

**Lancaster office**

Mill 3  
Moor Lane Mills  
Moor Lane  
Lancaster  
LA1 1QD

t. +44 (0)1524 880 250

E: [info@oxfordarchaeology.com](mailto:info@oxfordarchaeology.com)  
W: [oxfordarchaeology.com](http://oxfordarchaeology.com)  
Oxford Archaeology is a registered Charity: No. 285627

# High House Farm, Bawdsey, Suffolk

*Archaeological Evaluation Report*

*Written by Kelly Sinclair MA PCIfA*

*illustrations by David Brown BA*

## Contents

LIST OF FIGURES.....	4
LIST OF PLATES.....	4
<b>SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>1 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>7</b>
1.1 SCOPE OF WORK.....	7
1.2 LOCATION, TOPOGRAPHY AND GEOLOGY.....	7
1.3 ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND.....	7
<b>2 AIMS AND METHODOLOGY.....</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1 AIMS.....	8
2.2 SPECIFIC AIMS AND METHODS.....	8
2.3 METHODOLOGY.....	8
<b>3 RESULTS.....</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1 INTRODUCTION AND PRESENTATION OF RESULTS.....	9
3.2 GENERAL SOILS AND GROUND CONDITIONS.....	9
3.3 GENERAL DISTRIBUTION OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL DEPOSITS.....	9
3.4 FINDS SUMMARY.....	9
<b>4 DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1 RELIABILITY OF FIELD INVESTIGATION.....	9
4.2 EVALUATION OBJECTIVES AND RESULTS.....	9
4.3 INTERPRETATION AND SIGNIFICANCE.....	9
<b>APPENDIX A TRENCH DESCRIPTIONS AND CONTEXT INVENTORY.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>APPENDIX B BIBLIOGRAPHY.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>APPENDIX C OASIS REPORT FORM.....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>APPENDIX D WRITTEN SCHEME OF INVESTIGATION.....</b>	<b>17</b>

### List of Figures

Figure 1	Site location
Figure 2	HER data
Figure 3	Trench Plan

### List of Plates

Plate 1	Trench 1, from the south
Plate 2	Trench 3, from the south
Plate 3	Trench 7, from the west
Plate 4	Trench 12, from the west

## **SUMMARY**

Between 25th and 29th September 2023, Oxford Archaeology undertook trial trenching at High House Farm, Bawdsey, Suffolk (TM 3379 3965), within an area of 3.2ha proposed for the development of an agricultural reservoir. Modern features including an irrigation pipe, field drains and a backfilled modern ditch cut were observed in four of the trenches but no archaeological remains were revealed.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Oxford Archaeology would like to thank Andrew Hawes for commissioning this project. Thanks are also extended to Hannah Cutler who monitored the work on behalf of Suffolk County Council Archaeological Services.

The project was managed for Oxford Archaeology by Nick Gilmour. The fieldwork was directed by Toby Knight who was supported by James Bell and Sam Hogarth. Survey and digitising was carried out by Tom Houghton. Thanks are also extended to the teams of OA staff that prepared the archive under the supervision of Katherine Hamilton.

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 Scope of work**

1.1.1 Oxford Archaeology (OA) was commissioned by Andrew Hawes to undertake a trial trench evaluation at High House Farm, Bawdsey, Suffolk (Fig. 1; TM 3379 3965).

1.1.2 The work was undertaken as a condition of Planning Permission (planning ref. DC/22/2928/AGO). A brief was set by Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service (Cutler 2022) and a written scheme of investigation was produced by OA detailing the Local Authority's requirements for work (App. D). This document outlines how OA implemented the specified requirements.

### **1.2 Location, topography and geology**

1.2.1 The site lies on agricultural land, to the west of the village of Bawdsey, adjacent to a tributary of Queens Fleet, a canalised watercourse which flows into the River Deben to the east of the site. It is situated only approximately 1km from the coastline.

1.2.2 The site of the proposed development consisted of a rectangular plot covering an area approximately 3.2ha in size.

1.2.3 The geology of the area is mapped as clay, silt and sand of the Thames Group (BGS online viewer; August 2023)

### **1.3 Archaeological and historical background**

1.3.1 The archaeological and historical background of the site provided here is based on a 1km search of the Suffolk historic Environment Record (SHER; dated 01/09/2023). Selected HER data is presented on Fig. 2.

#### **Prehistoric**

1.3.2 The majority of prehistoric evidence within the search area relates to finds discovered during firewalking. Palaeolithic flint flakes (BAW 018) and two flint scatters (BAW 042, BAW 021) were discovered 700m to 900m northeast of the site, and a palaeolithic flint implement (BAW 215) was found 1km to the southwest. A possible ring ditch of prehistoric origin was identified 900m north of the site. Excavations carried out approx. 800m the east of the site as part of the East Anglia One pipeline scheme (EA1 Area 50) identified Neolithic pits and a Bronze Age ditched field system (BAW 212).

#### **Later prehistoric/Roman**

1.3.3 Multiple cropmarks have been identified within the study area relating to late prehistoric or Roman activity. Cropmarks of multiple enclosures, ring ditch and field boundaries are located to the north (BAW 012, BAW 195) and east (BAW 190). The EA1 Area 50 excavations revealed Iron Age activity including ditched enclosures and postholes indicative of settlement (BAW 212). A small quantity of Roman pottery has also been found during fieldwalking in two locations to the north of the site (BAW 021; BAW 236).



### Anglo-Saxon

- 1.3.4 There was little evidence for Anglo-Saxon activity within the vicinity of the site, the only significant remains being several pits of this date recorded during the EA1 Area 50 excavations (BAW 212).

### Medieval/ Post-medieval

- 1.3.5 Several medieval and post-medieval earthworks have been identified in the form of flood banks (ADT 057, ADT 091, BAW 189) and enclosures with associated building platforms (ADT 092, BAW 049, BAW 030). During fieldwalking in the areas several pottery scatters have been found, with material spanning the 12th-15th centuries (BAW 005, BAW 022, BAW 024, BAW028).

## 2 AIMS AND METHODOLOGY

### 2.1 Aims

- 2.1.1 The project aims and objectives were as follows:
- i. To determine the general nature of any remains present.
  - ii. To determine the approximate date or date range of any remains, by means of artefactual or other evidence.

### 2.2 Specific aims and methods

- 2.2.1 The specific aims and objectives of the trench were to:
- iii. Evaluate the impact of past land uses.
  - iv. Evaluate for the presence of alluvial or colluvial masking deposits.
  - v. Establish the potential for the survival of environmental remains.
  - vi. Establish the suitability of the area for development

### 2.3 Methodology

- 2.3.1 In accordance with the WSI (ref), a total of 12 trenches were excavated measuring 30m long and 1.8m wide, constituting a 5% sample of the proposed development area.
- 2.3.2 The trenches were excavated using a 14-tonne tracked 360° type excavator with a 1.8m wide toothless ditching bucket. Trenches were excavated to a depth where natural geology or archaeological deposits were encountered.
- 2.3.3 The site survey was carried out using a Leica GPS SG08 with SmartNET.
- 2.3.4 Spoil, exposed surfaces and features were scanned with a metal detector.
- 2.3.5 Trench deposits and any features were recorded using OA's pro-forma sheets. Trench locations were recorded and high-resolution digital photographs were taken of all relevant features and deposits.
- 2.3.6 No samples were taken during the excavation.

### **3 RESULTS**

#### **3.1 Introduction and presentation of results**

3.1.1 The results of the evaluation are presented below. The full details of all trenches with dimensions and depths of all deposits can be found in App. A. Fig. 3 provides a plan of the excavated trenches. Photographs of selected trenches are provided in Plates 1-4.

#### **3.2 General soils and ground conditions**

3.2.1 The soil sequence in the trenches was fairly uniform. The natural geology of clay was overlain by a mid brown silty clay subsoil, which in turn was overlain by topsoil (Plates 1-4).

3.2.2 Ground conditions throughout the evaluation were generally good, and the site remained dry throughout.

#### **3.3 General distribution of archaeological deposits**

3.3.1 Archaeological features were not observed in any trenches. A modern irrigation pipe and post-medieval field drains were exposed in Trenches 3 and 6. A backfilled modern ditch was revealed in the southern end of Trench 10 and in Trench 12, aligned east to west. This was not excavated or recorded due to its modern infill, which including frogged brick and barbed wire, indicating it was a recently backfilled field boundary.

#### **3.4 Finds summary**

3.4.1 No finds were retrieved during the evaluation.

### **4 DISCUSSION**

#### **4.1 Reliability of field investigation**

4.1.1 The horizon between the topsoil or subsoil was clearly visible within all trenches and contrasted strongly against the natural clay geology. Ground conditions were good, and overall the results of the evaluation are considered to have a good level of reliability.

#### **4.2 Evaluation objectives and results**

4.2.1 The objectives laid out in Sections 2.1 and 2.2 of this report have been achieved by the evaluation. The evaluation revealed no archaeological remains with only modern features (an irrigation pipe, field drains and modern backfilled boundary ditch) exposed in four of the trenches.

#### **4.3 Interpretation and significance**

4.3.1 The evaluation works revealed no significant archaeological remains, with evidence only for relatively recent agricultural land use within the area in the form of modern features associated with drainage and irrigation. .

## APPENDIX A TRENCH DESCRIPTIONS AND CONTEXT INVENTORY

Trench 1						
General description					Orientation	N-S
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil and subsoil overlying natural geology of clay					Length (m)	30
					Width (m)	1.8
					Avg. depth (m)	0.7
Context No.	Type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	Description	Finds	Date
100	Layer	-	0.3	Topsoil	-	-
101	Layer	-	0.4	Subsoil	-	-
102	Layer	-	-	Natural	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Trench 2						
General description					Orientation	E-W
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil and subsoil overlying natural geology of clay					Length (m)	30
					Width (m)	1.8
					Avg. depth (m)	0.75
Context No.	Type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	Description	Finds	Date
200	Layer	-	0.30	Topsoil	-	-
201	Layer	-	0.45	Subsoil	-	-
302	Layer	-	-	Natural	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Trench 3						
General description					Orientation	N-S
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil and subsoil overlying natural geology of clay. Modern irrigation pipe and field drains exposed.					Length (m)	30
					Width (m)	1.8
					Avg. depth (m)	0.76
Context No.	Type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	Description	Finds	Date
300	Layer	-	0.30	Topsoil	-	-
301	Layer	-	0.46	Subsoil	-	-
302	Layer	-	-	Natural	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Trench 4						
General description					Orientation	E-W
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil and subsoil overlying natural geology of clay					Length (m)	30
					Width (m)	1.8
					Avg. depth (m)	0.61

Context No.	Type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	Description	Finds	Date
400	Layer	-	0.26	Topsoil	-	-
401	Layer	-	0.35	Subsoil	-	-
402	Layer	-	-	Natural	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Trench 5						
General description					Orientation	N-S
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil and subsoil overlying natural geology of clay					Length (m)	30
					Width (m)	1.8
					Avg. depth (m)	0.66
Context No.	Type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	Description	Finds	Date
500	Layer	-	0.30	Topsoil	-	-
501	Layer	-	0.36	Subsoil	-	-
502	Layer	-	-	Natural	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Trench 6						
General description					Orientation	E-W
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil and subsoil overlying natural geology of clay. Modern irrigation pipe and field drains exposed.					Length (m)	30
					Width (m)	1.8
					Avg. depth (m)	0.63
Context No.	Type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	Description	Finds	Date
600	Layer	-	0.30	Topsoil	-	-
601	Layer	-	0.33	Subsoil	-	-
602	Layer	-	-	Natural	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Trench 7						
General description					Orientation	N-S
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil and subsoil overlying natural geology of clay					Length (m)	30
					Width (m)	1.8
					Avg. depth (m)	0.76
Context No.	Type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	Description	Finds	Date
700	Layer	-	0.30	Topsoil	-	-
701	Layer	-	0.46	Subsoil	-	-
702	Layer	-	-	Natural	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Trench 8						
General description					Orientation	E-W
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil and subsoil overlying natural geology of clay					Length (m)	30
					Width (m)	1.8
					Avg. depth (m)	0.63
Context No.	Type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	Description	Finds	Date
800	Layer	-	0.30	Topsoil	-	-
801	Layer	-	0.33	Subsoil	-	-
802	Layer	-	-	Natural	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Trench 9						
General description					Orientation	N-S
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil and subsoil overlying natural geology of clay					Length (m)	30
					Width (m)	1.8
					Avg. depth (m)	0.56
Context No.	Type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	Description	Finds	Date
900	Layer	-	0.30	Topsoil	-	-
901	Layer	-	0.26	Subsoil	-	-
902	Layer	-	-	Natural	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Trench 10						
General description					Orientation	N-S
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil and subsoil overlying natural geology of clay. East to west aligned, modern, ditch exposed (not excavated).					Length (m)	30
					Width (m)	1.8
					Avg. depth (m)	0.7
Context No.	Type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	Description	Finds	Date
100	Layer	-	0.30	Topsoil	-	-
1001	Layer	-	0.40	Subsoil	-	-
1002	Layer	-	-	Natural	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Trench 11						
General description					Orientation	E-W
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil and subsoil overlying natural geology of clay					Length (m)	30
					Width (m)	1.8
					Avg. depth (m)	0.65
Context No.	Type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	Description	Finds	Date
1100	Layer	-	0.30	Topsoil	-	-
1101	Layer	-	0.35	Subsoil	-	-

1102	Layer	-	-	Natural	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Trench 12						
General description					Orientation	E-W
Trench devoid of archaeology. Consists of topsoil and subsoil overlying natural geology of clay. East to west aligned, modern, ditch exposed (not excavated).					Length (m)	30
					Width (m)	1.8
					Avg. depth (m)	0.58
Context No.	Type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	Description	Finds	Date
300	Layer	-	0.30	Topsoil	-	-
301	Layer	-	0.28	Subsoil	-	-
302	Layer	-	-	Natural	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-

## **APPENDIX B      BIBLIOGRAPHY**

Cutler, H. 2022. Brief for an Archaeological Evaluation at High House Farm, Ferry Road, Bawdsey, Suffolk, IP12 3AW. Suffolk County Council

## APPENDIX C OASIS REPORT FORM

OASIS Number	oxfordar3-518669
Project Name	High House Farm, Bawdsey, Suffolk

Start of Fieldwork	25/09/2023	End of Fieldwork	29/09/2023
Previous Work	No	Future Work	Unknown

### Project Reference Codes

Site Code	BAW 290	Planning App. No.	DC/23/2802/AGO2
HER Number	BAW 290	Related Numbers	XSFBAW23

Prompt	National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)
Development Type	Farm Infrastructure
Place in Planning Process	After full determination (eg. As a condition)

### Techniques used (tick all that apply)

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aerial Photography – interpretation | <input type="checkbox"/> Grab-sampling                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Remote Operated Vehicle Survey         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aerial Photography - new            | <input type="checkbox"/> Gravity-core                   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sample Trenches             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Annotated Sketch                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Laser Scanning                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Survey/Recording of Fabric/Structure   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Augering                            | <input type="checkbox"/> Measured Survey                | <input type="checkbox"/> Targeted Trenches                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Dendrochronological Survey          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Metal Detectors     | <input type="checkbox"/> Test Pits                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Documentary Search                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Phosphate Survey               | <input type="checkbox"/> Topographic Survey                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental Sampling              | <input type="checkbox"/> Photogrammetric Survey         | <input type="checkbox"/> Vibro-core                             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fieldwalking                        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photographic Survey | <input type="checkbox"/> Visual Inspection (Initial Site Visit) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geophysical Survey                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Rectified Photography          |   |

Monument	Period	Object	Period
Ditch	Modern (1901 to present)		Choose an item.
	Choose an item.		Choose an item.
	Choose an item.		Choose an item.

### Project Location

County	Suffolk	Address (including Postcode) The Street, Bawdsey, Suffolk, IP12 3AU
District	East Suffolk	
Parish	Bawdsey	
HER office	Suffolk County Council	
Size of Study Area	3.26ha	
National Grid Ref	TM 33793 39654	



## Project Originators

Organisation	Oxford Archaeology
Project Brief Originator	Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service
Project Design Originator	Nick Gilmour
Project Manager	Nick Gilmour
Project Supervisor	Toby Knight

## Project Archives

	Location	ID
Physical Archive (Finds)	n/a	n/a
Digital Archive	ADS	BAW 290
Paper Archive	Suffolk CC Store	BAW 290

Physical Contents	Present?	Digital files associated with Finds	Paperwork associated with Finds
Animal Bones	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ceramics	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Environmental	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Glass	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Human Remains	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Industrial	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Leather	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Metal	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Stratigraphic		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Survey		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Textiles	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Wood	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Worked Bone	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Worked Stone/Lithic	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Digital Media</b>		<b>Paper Media</b>	
Database	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aerial Photos	<input type="checkbox"/>
GIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Context Sheets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Geophysics	<input type="checkbox"/>	Correspondence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Images (Digital photos)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Diary	<input type="checkbox"/>
Illustrations (Figures/Plates)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Drawing	<input type="checkbox"/>
Moving Image	<input type="checkbox"/>	Manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/>
Spreadsheets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Map	<input type="checkbox"/>
Survey	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Matrices	<input type="checkbox"/>
Text	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Microfiche	<input type="checkbox"/>
Virtual Reality	<input type="checkbox"/>	Miscellaneous	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Research/Notes	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Photos (negatives/prints/slides)	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Plans	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Report	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		Sections	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Survey	<input type="checkbox"/>

**APPENDIX D      WRITTEN SCHEME OF INVESTIGATION**

# High House Farm

Bawdsey, Suffolk

**Written Scheme of Investigation**

August 2023

**Client: Andrew Hawes**

Issue No: 1  
OA Reference No: 27258  
NGR: TM 339398


Intentionally Blank

Client Name: Andrew Hawes  
Client Ref No.: N/A  
Document Title: High House Farm, Bawdsey, Written Scheme of Investigation  
Document Type: Written Scheme of Investigation for trench evaluation  
Report No.: N/A  
Grid Reference: TM339398  
Planning Reference: DC/23/2802/AGO2  
Site Code: BAW 290  
Invoice Code: XSFBAW23  
HER No.: BAW 290

OA Document File Location: <https://files.oxfordarchaeology.com/nextcloud/index.php/f/24671032>

OA Graphics File Location: <https://files.oxfordarchaeology.com/nextcloud/index.php/f/24671032>

Issue No: 1  
Date: August 2023  
Prepared by: Nick Gilmour (Senior Project Manager)  
Checked by: Nick Gilmour (Senior Project Manager)  
Edited by: Nick Gilmour (Senior Project Manager)  
Approved for Issue by: Nick Gilmour (Senior Project Manager)  
Signature:



.....

**Disclaimer:**

*This document has been prepared for the titled project or named part thereof and should not be relied upon or used for any other project without an independent check being carried out as to its suitability and prior written authority of Oxford Archaeology being obtained. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for the consequences of this document being used for a purpose other than the purposes for which it was commissioned. Any person/party using or relying on the document for such other purposes agrees and will by such use or reliance be taken to confirm their agreement to indemnify Oxford Archaeology for all loss or damage resulting therefrom. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for this document to any party other than the person/party by whom it was commissioned.*

**Oxford office**

Janus House  
Osney Mead  
Oxford  
OX2 0ES

t. +44 (0)1865 236 800

**Cambridge office**

15 Trafalgar Way  
Bar Hill  
Cambridge  
CB23 8SQ

t. +44 (0)1223 850 500

**Lancaster office**

Mill 3  
Moor Lane Mills  
Moor Lane  
Lancaster  
LA1 1QD

t. +44 (0)1524 880 250

E: [info@oxfordarchaeology.com](mailto:info@oxfordarchaeology.com)  
W: [oxfordarchaeology.com](http://oxfordarchaeology.com)  
Oxford Archaeology is a registered Charity: No. 285627

# High House Farm, Bawdsey

## *Written Scheme of Investigation for an Archaeological Evaluation*

*Centred on TM339398*

### Contents

LIST OF FIGURES .....	4
<b>1 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1 PROJECT DETAILS .....	5
1.2 LOCATION, TOPOGRAPHY AND GEOLOGY .....	5
<b>2 ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND AND POTENTIAL.....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND.....	5
<b>3 PROJECT AIMS .....</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1 GENERAL.....	6
3.2 SPECIFIC AIMS AND OBJECTIVES .....	6
3.3 RESEARCH FRAMEWORKS.....	6
<b>4 PROJECT SPECIFIC EXCAVATION AND RECORDING METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>6</b>
4.1 SCOPE OF WORKS .....	6
4.2 PROGRAMME .....	6
4.3 SITE SPECIFIC METHODOLOGY .....	7
<b>5 PROJECT SPECIFIC REPORTING AND ARCHIVE METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>7</b>
5.1 PROGRAMME .....	7
5.2 CONTENT .....	7
5.3 SPECIALIST INPUT .....	7
5.4 ARCHIVE.....	7
<b>6 HEALTH AND SAFETY .....</b>	<b>7</b>
6.1 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES .....	7
6.2 METHOD STATEMENT AND RISK ASSESSMENT .....	7
6.3 MONITORING OF WORKS.....	8
<b>OA STANDARD FIELDWORK METHODOLOGY APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>APPENDIX A GENERAL EXCAVATION AND RECORDING METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>APPENDIX B GEOMATICS AND SURVEY.....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>APPENDIX C ENVIRONMENTAL EVIDENCE .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>APPENDIX D ARTEFACTUAL EVIDENCE .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>APPENDIX E HUMAN REMAINS.....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>APPENDIX F REPORTING .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>APPENDIX G LIST OF SPECIALISTS REGULARLY USED BY OA .....</b>	<b>22</b>

<b>APPENDIX H</b>	<b>DOCUMENTARY ARCHIVING.....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>APPENDIX I</b>	<b>HEALTH AND SAFETY .....</b>	<b>26</b>

**List of Figures**

Figure 1      Site location map



## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Project details

- 1.1.1 Oxford Archaeology (OA) has been commissioned by Andrew Hawes to undertake a trench evaluation of the site of a proposed reservoir.
- 1.1.2 The work is being undertaken as a condition of Planning Permission (planning ref: DC/22/2928/AGO ). A brief has been set by Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service detailing the Local Authority's requirements for work necessary to discharge the planning condition; this document outlines how OA will implement those requirements.
- 1.1.3 All work will be undertaken in accordance with the Chartered Institute for Archaeologists *Code of Conduct* and relevant *Standards and Guidance*, and local and national planning policies (refs as appropriate).

### 1.2 Location, topography and geology

- 1.2.1 The site lies on agricultural land, to the west of the village of Bawdsey, adjacent to a tributary of Queens Fleet, which flows into River Deben to the east of the site. It is situated only approximately one kilometre from the current coastline.
- 1.2.2 The area of proposed development consists of an agricultural reservoir (Fig. 1).
- 1.2.3 The bedrock geology of the area is mapped as clay, silt and sand of the Thames Group (BGS online viewer; August 2023).

## 2 ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND AND POTENTIAL

### 2.1 Archaeological and historical background

- 2.1.1 The site lies in an area known to be archaeologically significant, with (primarily) Roman and medieval features known from the surrounding area. A full archaeological background will be presented in the report on the evaluation. A brief list of the key known sites is given below.

A scatter of medieval pottery (MSF12275 and MSF2771) was located c.450m to the east, associated with earthworks of a potential ditched enclosure (MSF12266)

Sites 42 (ESF25488) and 50 (ESF25489) on the East Anglia One project were located c.900m to the south-west of the current site. These revealed extensive Roman and medieval remains.

Cropmarks of potentially Bronze Age enclosures, Iron Age roundhouses, along with Roman and medieval features, have been identified c.500m to the north-east (MSF2778).

### **3 PROJECT AIMS**

#### **3.1 General**

- 3.1.1 The general aims of archaeological trench evaluation are:
- i. To determine or confirm the general nature of any remains present.
  - ii. To determine or confirm the approximate date or date range of any remains, by means of artefactual or other evidence.

#### **3.2 Specific aims and objectives**

- 3.2.1 The specific aims and objectives of the trench are:
- iii. Evaluate the impact of past land uses.
  - iv. Evaluate for the presence of alluvial or colluvial masking deposits.
  - v. Establish the potential for the survival of environmental remains.
  - vi. Establish the suitability of the area for development

#### **3.3 Research Frameworks**

- 3.3.1 This evaluation takes place within Suffolk, and will contribute to the goals of Regional Research Frameworks relevant to this area:

Glazebrook J. (1997). Research and Archaeology: A Framework for the Eastern counties: 1. Resource Assessment. East Anglian Archaeology Occasional Papers 3.

Brown, N. & Glazebrook, J. (2000). Research and Archaeology: A Framework for the Eastern counties: 2. Research Agenda and Strategy. East Anglian Archaeology Occasional Papers 8.

Medlycott, M. (2011). Research and Archaeology Revisited: A Revised Framework for the East of England. East Anglian Archaeology Occasional Papers 24.

Online research framework from the Eastern Counties 2021 (<https://researchframeworks.org/eoe/>)

### **4 PROJECT SPECIFIC EXCAVATION AND RECORDING METHODOLOGY**

#### **4.1 Scope of works**

- 4.1.1 Oxford Archaeology will excavate 15 trenches, each measuring 30m long and 1.8m wide. These will be laid out as shown in figure 1 (in a grid array). This constitutes a 5% sample of the proposed development area.

#### **4.2 Programme**

- 4.2.1 It is anticipated that the fieldwork will take one weeks to complete, by a team consisting of a Project Officer, directing up to two Project Archaeologists, under the management of Nick Gilmour, Senior Project Manager.
- 4.2.2 All fieldwork undertaken by Oxford Archaeology is overseen by the, Head of Fieldwork (Cambridge), Pat Moan MCIfA.

### **4.3 Site specific methodology**

- 4.3.1 A summary of OA's general approach to excavation and recording can be found in Appendix A. Standard methodologies for Geomatics and Survey, Environmental evidence, Artefactual evidence and Burials can also be found below (Appendices B, C, D and E respectively).

## **5 PROJECT SPECIFIC REPORTING AND ARCHIVE METHODOLOGY**

### **5.1 Programme**

- 5.1.1 The report will be completed within six of the completion of the fieldwork.

### **5.2 Content**

- 5.2.1 The content of this report will be as defined in Appendix F.

### **5.3 Specialist input**

- 5.3.1 OA has a large pool of internal specialists, as well as a network of external specialists with whom OA have well established working relationships. A general list of these specialists is presented in Appendix G; in the event that additional input should be required, an updated list of specialists can be supplied.

### **5.4 Archive**

- 5.4.1 The site archive will be deposited with Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service Stone following completion of the project.
- 5.4.2 A summary of OA's general approach to documentary archiving can be found in Appendix H.

## **6 HEALTH AND SAFETY**

### **6.1 Roles and responsibilities**

- 6.1.1 The Senior Project Manager, Nick Gilmour, has responsibility for ensuring that safe systems of work are adhered to on site. He/she delegates elements of this responsibility to the Project Officer, who implements these on a day to day basis.
- 6.1.2 The Director with responsibility for Health and Safety at OA is Dan Poore Tech IOSH (Chief Business Officer).

### **6.2 Method statement and risk assessment**

- 6.2.1 A summary of OA's general approach to health and safety can be found in Appendix I. A risk assessment has also been undertaken and approved and will be kept on site, along with OA's standard Health and Safety file, which will contain all relevant health and safety documentation.
- 6.2.2 The Health and Safety file will be available to view at any time.

**6.3 Monitoring of works**

- 6.3.1 At least 14 days' notice of the commencement of the archaeological works will be given to Hanah Cutler, Planning Archaeologist for Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service (SCCAS).
- 6.3.2 Hanah Cutler will have free access to the site (subject to Health and Safety considerations) and all records to ensure the works are being carried out in accordance with this WSI and all other relevant standards.

---

## OA STANDARD FIELDWORK METHODOLOGY APPENDICES

---

The following methods and terms will apply, where appropriate, to all OA fieldwork unless varied by the accompanying detailed Written Scheme of Investigation.

Copies of all OA internal standards and guidelines referred to below are available on request.

---

### APPENDIX A GENERAL EXCAVATION AND RECORDING METHODOLOGY

#### A.1 Standard methodology – summary

##### *Mechanical excavation*

- A.1.1 An appropriate mechanical excavator will be used for machine excavation. This will normally be a 360° tracked excavator with a 1.8 m wide toothless ditching bucket. For work with restricted access or working room a mini excavator may be used.
- A.1.2 All mechanical excavation will be undertaken under direct archaeological supervision.
- A.1.3 All undifferentiated topsoil or overburden of recent origin will be removed down to the first significant archaeological horizon, in successive, level spits.
- A.1.4 Following mechanical excavation, all areas that require examination or recording will be cleaned using appropriate hand tools.
- A.1.5 Spoil heaps will be monitored in order to recover artefacts to assist in the analysis of the spatial distribution of artefacts. Modern artefacts will be noted but not retained.
- A.1.6 After recording, evaluation trenches and test pits will usually be backfilled with excavated material in reverse order of excavation, and compacted as far as is practicable with the mechanical excavator. Area excavations will not normally be backfilled.

##### *Hand excavation*

- A.1.7 All investigation of archaeological levels will usually be by hand, with cleaning, examination and recording both in plan and section.
- A.1.8 Within significant archaeological levels the minimum number and proportion of features required to meet the aims of the excavation will be hand excavated. Pits and postholes will usually be subject to a 50% sample by volume. Linear features will be sectioned as appropriate. More complex features such as those associated with funerary activity will usually be subject to 100% hand excavation.
- A.1.9 Metal detector searches will take place regularly, of both the topsoil, subsoil and archaeological features. These searches will be carried out by Jim Harris.
- A.1.10 Excavation will be down to the interface layer between topsoil and subsoil or to the upper interface of other visible archaeological surfaces (as per SCCAS guidelines). In the case of evaluations, it is not necessarily the intention that all

trial trenches will be fully excavated to natural stratigraphy, but the depth of archaeological deposits across the site will be assessed. The stratigraphy of a representative sample of the evaluation trenches will be recorded even where no archaeological deposits have been identified. Any excavation, both by machine and by hand, will be undertaken with a view to avoiding damage to any archaeological features or deposits, which appear to be worthy of preservation in situ.

### **Recording**

- A.1.11 Written descriptions will be recorded on proforma sheets comprising factual data and interpretative elements.
- A.1.12 Where stratified deposits are encountered a Harris matrix will be compiled during the course of the excavation.
- A.1.13 Plans will normally be drawn at 1:100, but on urban or deeply stratified sites a scale of 1:50 or 1:20 will be used. Detailed plans will be at an appropriate scale. Burials will be drawn at scale 1:10 or recorded using geo-referenced digital photography.
- A.1.14 The site grid will be accurately tied into the National Grid and located on the 1:2500 or 1:1250 map of the area.
- A.1.15 A register of plans will be kept.
- A.1.16 Long sections of showing layers will be drawn at 1:50. Sections of features or short lengths of trenches will be drawn at 1:20.
- A.1.17 A register of sections will be kept.
- A.1.18 All sections will be tied to Ordnance Datum.
- A.1.19 A full photographic record, illustrating in both detail and general context the principal features and finds discovered will be maintained. The photographic record will also include working shots to illustrate more generally the nature of the archaeological work.
- A.1.20 Photographs will be recorded on OA Photographic Record Sheets.

### **A.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines**

- A.2.1 The Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (CIfA) Standard and Guidance notes relevant to fieldwork are:
  - Standard and guidance for archaeological field evaluation, 2014 (updated 2020)
  - Standard and guidance for archaeological excavation, 2014 (updated 2020)
  - Standard and guidance for an archaeological watching brief, 2014 (update 2020)
- A.2.2 These will be adhered to at all times.

**A.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation**

- A.3.1 All fieldwork will be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the OA Field Manual (ed. D Wilkinson 1992), and the revised OA fieldwork manual (publication forthcoming).
- A.3.2 Further guidance is provided to all excavators in the form of the OA 'Fieldwork Crib Sheets - a companion guide to the Fieldwork Manual'. These have been issued ahead of formal publication of the revised Fieldwork Manual.

**APPENDIX B GEOMATICS AND SURVEY****B.1 Standard methodology - summary**

- B.1.1 The aim of OA methodology is to provide comprehensive survey cover of all investigation areas. Additionally, it is designed to provide coverage for any areas, beyond the original scope of the project, which arise as a result of further work. It provides digital plans of all required elements of the project and locates them within an overall grid.
- B.1.2 It also maintains all necessary survey data and ensures that the relevant information is copied into the primary record, in order to ensure the integrity of the project archive. Furthermore, it ensures that all core data is securely stored and backed up. It establishes accurate project reference systems utilising a series of control stations and permanent base lines.
- B.1.3 The survey will be conducted using a combination of GPS/GNSS (Global Positioning System/Global Navigation Satellite System), hand-measured elements, Total Station Theodolite (TST) survey utilising Reflectorless Electronic Distance Measurement (REDM), or photogrammetry where appropriate.
- B.1.4 Before the main work commences, a network of control stations will be laid out encompassing the area as necessary. Control stations will be tied in to known points or existing features using rigorous metric observation. The control network will be set in using a TST to complete a traverse or using techniques as appropriate to ensure sufficient accuracy. A GNSS, or other appropriate method, will be used to orientate the control network to National Grid or other recognised coordinate system.
- B.1.5 Control stations will be checked by closed traverse and/or GNSS, as appropriate. The accuracy of these control stations will be accessed on a regular basis and re-established accordingly. Control stations will be recorded on Survey Control Station sheets.
- B.1.6 Each control station will be marked with a PGM (Permanent Ground Marker). Witness diagrams will include the full 3-D co-ordinates generated, a sketch diagram and measurements to at least three fixed details, written description of the mark and a photograph of the control point in its environs.
- B.1.7 Prior to entry into the field all equipment will be checked, and all pre-survey information will be uploaded onto survey equipment as appropriate. Prior to conducting the survey, the site will be reconnoitred for locations for a viable control network and check the line of sight and any possible hindrance to

- survey. Daily record sheets will be kept recording daily tasks and conditions as appropriate.
- B.1.8 All spatial data will be periodically downloaded uploaded and backed up to our central servers via ftp. It will be cleaned, validated and inspected.
- B.1.9 All survey data will be documented on daily survey record sheets as necessary. Information entered on these sheets includes key set up information (Instrument height etc.) as well as daily variables and errors/comments. All survey data will be digitally recorded in a raw format and translated during the download process this shall allow for any errors to be cross referenced with the daily survey record and corrected accordingly.
- B.1.10 A summary of survey work will be produced as needed to access development and highlight problems. Technical support for the survey equipment and download software shall be available at all times. In those instances, where sites are remotely operated, all digital data will be backed up regularly via ftp to Oxford on a regular basis.
- B.1.11 A site plan will initially be created by a rapid survey of relevant archaeological features by mapping their extent using a combination of TST and GNSS. This will form the basis for deciding excavation strategy and will be updated as the excavation clarifies the extent of, and relationships between, archaeological features.
- B.1.12 Areas of complex stratigraphy will be hand drawn or recorded by photogrammetry as appropriate. Where hand drawn, at least two Drawing Points (DPs) will be set in as a baseline and measurements taken off this by tape and offset. The hand drawn plans will be referenced to the digitally captured pre-site plan by measuring in the DPs with a TST or GNSS. These hand drawn elements will then be scanned in, geo-referenced using the DPs as reference points and digitised following OA's digitising protocols. For further details on hand planning procedure please refer to the fieldwork guidelines.
- B.1.13 Photogrammetry may also be used to record standing structures or burials. This will be carried out in line with Standard OA procedures for photogrammetry.
- B.1.14 Survey data recorded in the field will be downloaded using appropriate downloading software, and saved as an AutoCAD Map DWG file, or an ESRI Shapefile. These files will be regularly updated and backed up with originals being stored on an OA server in Oxford.
- B.1.15 All drawings will be composed of closed polygons, polylines or points in accordance with the requirements of GIS construction and OA Geomatics protocols. Once created, additional GIS/CAD work will normally be carried out at the local OA central office or at on-site remote locations when appropriate. Support for all GIS/CAD work will be available from OA's Oxford Office during normal office hours. The aim of the GIS/CAD work is to produce workable draft plans, which can be produced as stand-alone products, or can be readily converted to GIS format. Any hand-drawn plans will be scanned and digitised on site in the first instance. Subsequent plans will be added to the main drawing as it develops.
-



- B.1.16 All plan scans will be numbered according to their plan site number. Digital plans will be given a standard new plan number taken out from the site plan index.
- B.1.17 Information (metadata) on all other digital files will be created and stored as appropriate. At the end of the survey all data recorded will be made available for archiving purposes.

## **B.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines**

- B.2.1 Historic England, 2017 Understanding the Archaeology of Landscapes A Guide to Good Recording Practice
- B.2.2 Historic England, 2015 Metric Survey Specifications for Cultural Heritage (3rd edn)
- B.2.3 Historic England, 2016 Understanding Historic Buildings: A Guide to Good Recording Practice
- B.2.4 Historic England, 2017 Photogrammetric Applications for Cultural Heritage: Guidance for Good Practice

## **B.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation**

- B.3.1 OA South Metric Survey, Data Capture and Download Procedures
- B.3.2 OA South Digitising Protocols
- B.3.3 OA South GIS Protocols
- B.3.4 These will be superseded by the OA South Geomatics Manual (in progress).

## **APPENDIX C ENVIRONMENTAL EVIDENCE**

### **C.1 Standard methodology – summary**

- C.1.1 Different environmental and geoarchaeological sampling strategies may be employed according to established research targets and the perceived importance of the strata under investigation. Where possible an environmental specialist(s) will visit the site to advise on sampling strategies. Sampling methods will follow guidelines produced by Historic England and Oxford Archaeology. A register of samples will be kept. Specialists will be consulted where non-standard sampling is required (e.g. TL, OSL or archaeomagnetic dating) and if appropriate will be invited to visit the site and take the samples.
- C.1.2 Geoarchaeological sampling methods are site specific, and methodologies will be designed in consultation with the geoarchaeological manager on a site by site basis.
- C.1.3 Bulk soil samples, where possible of 40 litres or 100% of a deposit if less is available, will be taken from potentially datable features and layers for flotation for charred plant remains and for the recovery of small bones and artefacts. Larger soil samples (up to 100L) may be taken for the complete recovery of animal bones, marine shell and small artefacts from appropriate contexts. Smaller bulk samples (general biological samples) of 10-20 litres will be taken from any waterlogged deposits present for the recovery of

macroscopic plant remains and insects. Series of incremental 2L samples may be taken through buried soils and deep feature fills for the recovery of snails and/or waterlogged plant remains, depending on the nature of the stratigraphy and of the soils and sediments. Columns will be taken from buried soils, peats and waterlogged feature fills for pollen and/or phytoliths, diatoms, ostracods and foraminifera if appropriate. Soil samples will be taken for soil investigations (particle size, organic matter, bulk chemistry, soil micromorphology etc.) and possibly for metallurgical analysis in consultation with the appropriate specialists.

- C.1.4 Bulk samples from dry deposits will be processed by standard water flotation using a modified Siraf-style machine and meshes of 0.25mm (flot) and 0.5 or 1mm depending on sediment type and like modes of preservation (residue). Heavy residues will be wet sieved, air dried and sorted. Samples taken exclusively for the recovery of bones, marine shell or artefacts will be wet sieved to 2mm. Waterlogged samples (1L sub-sample) and snail samples (2L) will be processed by hand flotation with flots and residues collected to 0.25mm (waterlogged plants) and 0.5mm (snails) respectively; these flots and residues will be sorted by the specialist. Samples specifically taken for insects, pollen, other microflora and microfauna, metallurgy and soil analysis will be submitted as whole earth to the appropriate specialists or processed following their instructions.

## **C.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines**

- C.2.1 Historic England, 2010 Waterlogged Wood: Guidelines on the recording, sampling, conservation and curation of waterlogged wood.
- C.2.2 Historic England, 2018 Waterlogged Organic Artefacts: Guidelines on their Recovery, Analysis and Conservation.
- C.2.3 Historic England, 2011 Environmental Archaeology. A guide to the theory and practice of methods, from sampling and recovery to post excavation, (2nd ed)
- C.2.4 Historic England, 1998 Dendrochronology: Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates (revision due 2021).
- C.2.5 University of Bradford, 2019 Archaeomagnetism: Magnetic Moments in the Past <https://www.brad.ac.uk/archaeomagnetism/>
- C.2.6 Historic England, 2008 Luminescence Dating. Guidelines on Using Luminescence Dating in Archaeology (revision due 2020).
- C.2.7 Historic England, 2008 Guidelines for the Curation of Waterlogged Macroscopic Plant and Invertebrate Remains (currently being revised).
- C.2.8 Historic England, 2015 Archaeometallurgy. Guidelines for Best Practice.
- C.2.9 Historic England, 2015 Geoarchaeology. Using Earth Sciences to Understand the Archaeological Record.
- C.2.10 Historic England, 2017 Organic Residue Analysis and Archaeology.
- C.2.11 Baker, P and Worley, F, 2019 Animal Bones and Archaeology: Recovery to archive. Historic England, London

C.2.12 Bayliss, A and Marshall, P, 2022 Radiocarbon Dating and Chronological Modelling: Guidelines and Best Practices, Historic England, London

### **C.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation**

C.3.1 Oxford Archaeology 2017. Environmental Sampling Guidelines, 4th ed.

## **APPENDIX D ARTEFACTUAL EVIDENCE**

### **D.1 Standard methodology - summary**

- D.1.1 Before a site begins arrangements concerning the finds will be discussed with the Finds Team Leader. Information will be provided by the project manager about the nature of the site, the expected size and make-up of the finds assemblage and any site specific finds retrieval strategies. On-site requirements will be discussed and a conservator appointed who can be called on to make site visits if required. Special requirements regarding particular categories of material will be raised at this early stage for instance the likelihood of recovering assemblages of waterlogged material, large timbers, quantities of structural stone or ceramic building material. Specialists may be required to visit sites to discuss retrieval strategies.
- D.1.2 The project manager will supply the Finds Team Leader with contact details of the landowner of the site so that consent to deposit any finds resulting from the investigation can be sought.
- D.1.3 The on-site retrieval, lifting and short term packaging of bulk and small finds will follow the detailed guidelines set out in the OA Finds Manual (sections 2 and 3), First Aid for Finds and the UKIC conservation guidelines No.2.
- D.1.4 All finds recovered from site will be transported to an OA regional office for processing; local sites will return finds at the end of each day, away based sites at the end of each week. Special arrangements can be discussed for certain sites with the Team Leader before the start of a project. Larger long running sites may in some instances set up on-site processing units to deal with the material from a particular site.
- D.1.5 All finds qualifying as Treasure will be removed to a safe place and reported to the local Coroner according to the procedures relating to the Treasure Act (1996), and the Treasure (Designation) Order 2002. Where removal cannot be effected on the same working day as the discovery, suitable security measures will be taken to protect the finds from theft.
- D.1.6 Each box of finds will be accompanied by a finds context checklist itemising the finds within each box. The number of bags of finds from each context and individual small find from each context will be recorded. A member of the processing team will check the list when it arrives in the department. There are separate forms for finds recovered from fieldwalking.
- D.1.7 The processing programme is reviewed on a weekly basis and priorities are worked out after discussions with the Fieldwork Team Leader and the Post-excavation Team Leader. Project managers will keep the Finds Team Leader informed of any pressing deadlines that they are aware of. All finds from evaluations are dealt with as a matter of priority.

- D.1.8 All bulk finds are washed (where appropriate), marked, bagged and boxed by the processing team according to the guidelines set out in section 4 and 5 of the OA Finds Manual, First-aid for finds and the UKIC guidelines No.2. They must also take into account the requirements of the receiving museum. Primary data recording count and weight of fragments by material from each context is recorded on the site database.
- D.1.9 Unstable and sensitive objects are recorded onto the database and then packaged and stored in controlled environments according to their individual requirements. The advice of a conservator will be sought for sensitive objects in need of urgent conservation. All metalwork will be x-rayed prior to assessment (and to meet the requirements of most receiving museums).
- D.1.10 Finds recovered from the environmental sample processing will be incorporated into the main assemblage and added to the database.
- D.1.11 On completion of the processing and data entry a finds file for each archaeological investigation will be produced, a summary of which is available for the project manager. The assemblage is allocated an OA number for storage purposes. Bulk finds are stored on a roller racking system, metals in a secure controlled storage and organic finds are refrigerated where possible.
- D.1.12 The movement of finds in and out of the storage areas is strictly monitored and recorded. Carbon copy transit forms exist to record this information. Finds will not be removed from storage without the prior knowledge of the Finds Team Leader.
- D.1.13 Finds information summarised in the finds compendium is used to assess the finds requirements for the post excavation stages of the project. The Team Leader holds a list of all specialists used by OA (see below) both internal and external.
- D.1.14 On completion of the post excavation stage of the project the team prepares the finds assemblage for deposition with the receiving museum. Discussions will be held with the museum, the excavator and the Finds Team Leader to finalise any selection, retention or discard policy. Most museums issue strict guidelines for the preparation of archives for deposition with their individual labelling, packaging and recording requirements.
- D.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines**
- D.2.1 ClfA, 2014 (updated 2020) Standard and guidance for the collection, documentation, conservation and research of archaeological materials
- D.2.2 Society of Museum Archaeologists, 1993 Selection, retention and dispersal of Archaeological Collections. Download available via <http://www.socmusarch.org.uk/publica.htm>)
- D.2.3 UKIC, 1983 Packaging and Storage of Freshly-Excavated Artefacts from Archaeological Sites. Conservation Guidelines No.2. Archaeology Section, United Kingdom Institute for Conservation.
- D.2.4 UKIC, 1988 Excavated Artefacts and Conservation: UK sites Revised Edition. Conservation Guidelines No.1. Archaeology Section, United Kingdom Institute for Conservation.
-

D.2.5 Watkinson, D E & Neal, V, 1998 First Aid for Finds (3rd edition). RESCUE & UKIC

### **D.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation**

D.3.1 Allen, L, and Cropper, C (internal publication only) Oxford Archaeology Finds Manual.

## **APPENDIX E HUMAN REMAINS**

### **E.1 Standard methodology - summary**

- E.1.1 Human remains will not be excavated without a relevant licence/faculty and, where applicable (for example, a post medieval cemetery), a risk assessment from the local environmental officer. The final decision on whether to lift human remains rests with Suffolk County Council Archaeology Services.
- E.1.2 All human remains will be treated with due care and regard to the sensitivities involved, and will be screened from the public throughout the course of the works.
- E.1.3 Excavation will be undertaken in accordance with ClfA (Roberts and McKinley 1993), Historic England (2018), the Advisory Panel on the Archaeology of Burials in England (APABE, 2015, 2017) and British Association of Biological Anthropology and Osteoarchaeology Code of Practice (2019) and Code of Ethics (2019). For crypts and post-medieval burials, the recommendations set out by the ClfA (Cox 2001) and by the Association of Diocesan and Cathedral Archaeologists and APABE (2010) are also relevant.
- E.1.4 In accordance with recommendations set out in the Historic England and Church of England (2005) and updated by the Advisory Panel on the Archaeology of Burials in England (2017), skeletons will not be excavated beyond the limits of the trench, unless they are deemed osteologically or archaeologically important.
- E.1.5 Where any soft tissue survives and/or materials (for example, inner coffins, mattresses and other paddings) soaked in body liquor, no excavation or handling of the remains will take place until an appropriate risk assessment has been undertaken. Relevant protocols (i.e. Cox 2001) for their excavation, recording and removal will be adhered to.
- E.1.6 OA does not excavate or remove modern burials (those less than 100 years old) and does not remove or open sealed lead coffins. Appropriate PPE (e.g. chemical suit, latex gloves) will be worn by all staff when working with lead coffins.
- E.1.7 Graves and their contents will be hand excavated in plan. Each component (for example, skeleton, grave cut, coffin (or remains of), grave fill) will be assigned a unique context number from a running sequence. A group number will also be assigned to all of these, and small finds numbers to features such as coffin nails, hobnails and other grave goods (as appropriate).
- E.1.8 Soil samples will be normally taken during the excavation of inhumations, usually from the region of the skull, chest, right hand, left hand, abdomen and pelvis, right foot and left foot. Infants (circa. less than 5 years) will normally be

- recovered as bulk samples. Soil samples will also be taken from graves that appear to contain no human bone.
- E.1.9 Burials (including the skeleton, cremation, coffin fittings, coffin, urn, grave goods / other) will be recorded by photographic and written record using specialised pro forma context sheets, although these records may only include schematic representations of the location and position of the skeletons, depending on the nature and circumstances of the burial.
- E.1.10 Where digital imaging is used it will be done in accordance with the British Association of Biological Anthropology and Osteoarchaeology Recommendations on the Ethical Issues Surrounding 2D and 3D Digital Images of Human Remains (2019).
- E.1.11 Where necessary, hand drawn plans (usually at 1:10, sometimes 1:5) will be made, especially of contexts where required details cannot be adequately seen using photography (for example, urned cremations; undisturbed hob nails).
- E.1.12 Levels will be taken. For inhumations this will be on the skull, pelvis and feet as a minimum.
- E.1.13 Human remains that are exhumed will be bagged and labelled according to skeletal region and carefully packed into suitable containers (for example, acid free cardboard boxes) and transported to a suitable storage location. Any associated coffins and coffin fittings will be contained with the human remains wherever possible.
- E.1.14 Unurned cremations will not usually be half sectioned, but excavated in spits and/or quadrants (i.e. large deposits or spreads), or recovered as a bulk sample.
- E.1.15 Wherever possible, urned cremations will be carefully bandaged, recovered whole and will be excavated in spits in the laboratory, as per the recommendations of McKinley (2004, 2017).
- E.1.16 Unless deemed osteologically or archaeologically important disarticulated bone / charnel will be collected and reserved for re-burial if immediate re-internment as close to its original position is not practicable. In some instances, a rapid scan of this material may be undertaken by a qualified osteologist, if deemed relevant.
- E.1.17 If undisturbed, pyre sites will normally be excavated in quadrants, at the very least in 0.5 m blocks of 0.5 m spits.
- E.1.18 Pyre debris dumps will be half sectioned or quadrant and will be subject to 100% sampling.
- E.1.19 Wooden and lead coffins and any associated fittings, including fixing nails will be recorded on a pro forma coffin recording sheet. All surviving coffin fittings will be recorded by reference to Reeve and Adams (1993) and the unpublished master catalogue that is being compiled by OA. Where individual types cannot be paralleled, they will be drawn and/ or photographed and assigned a style number. Biographical details obtained from legible departum plate inscriptions will be recorded and further documentary research will be made.
-

- E.1.20 Funerary structures, such as brick shaft graves and/or vaults will be recorded by photogrammetry or hand-drawn at a scale of 1:10 or 1:20, as appropriate. Location, dimensions and method of construction will be noted, and the structure added to the overall trench plan.
- E.1.21 Memorials, including headstones, revealed within the areas of development will be recorded irrespective of whether they are believed to be in situ.
- E.1.22 Where required, memorials will be accorded an individual context number and will also be included as part of the grave group, if the association with a burial is clear.
- E.1.23 Memorials will be recorded on pro-forma context sheets, based on and following the guidelines set out by Mytum (2002), and will include details of:
- Shape
  - Dimensions
  - Type of stone used
  - Condition, completeness and fragmentation of stones, no longer in original positions
  - Iconography (an illustration may best describe these features)
  - Inscription (verbatim record of inscription; font of the lettering)
  - Stylistic type

## **E.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines**

- E.2.1 Advisory Panel on the Archaeology of Burials in England, 2013 Science and the Dead. A guideline for the destructive sampling of archaeological human remains for scientific analysis. English Heritage Publishing.
- E.2.2 Advisory Panel on the Archaeology of Burials in England, 2017 Guidance for Best Practice for the Treatment of Human Remains Excavated from Christian Burial Grounds in England
- E.2.3 Advisory Panel on the Archaeology of Burials in England, 2015 Large Burial Grounds. Guidance on sampling in archaeological fieldwork projects
- E.2.4 Association of Diocesan and Cathedral Archaeologists and APABE, 2010 Archaeology and Burial Vaults. A guidance note for churches. Guidance Note 2
- E.2.5 British Association of Biological Anthropology and Osteoarchaeology. 2019a Code of Practice (<http://www.babao.org.uk/index/ethics-and-standards>)
- E.2.6 British Association of Biological Anthropology and Osteoarchaeology. 2019b Code of Ethics (<http://www.babao.org.uk/index/ethics-and-standards>)
- E.2.7 British Association of Biological Anthropology and Osteoarchaeology, 2019c Recommendations on the Ethical Issues Surrounding 2D and 3D Digital Images of Human Remains (<http://www.babao.org.uk/index/ethics-and-standards>)
- E.2.8 Cox, M, 2001 Crypt archaeology. An approach. ClfA Paper No. 3

- E.2.9 English Heritage, 2002 Human Bones from Archaeological Sites. Guidelines for producing assessment documents and analytical reports
- E.2.10 Historic England, 2018 The Role of the Human Osteologist in an Archaeological Fieldwork Project. Swindon, Historic England
- E.2.11 McKinley, J, and Roberts, C, 1993 Excavation and post-excavation treatment of cremated and inhumed human remains, ClfA Technical Paper No. 13
- E.2.12 McKinley, J, 2004 Compiling a skeletal inventory: cremated human bone. In Brickley, M, and McKinley, J (eds) Guidelines to the Standards for Recording Human Remains, ClfA Technical Paper No. 7. 9-13
- E.2.13 McKinley, J, 2017 Compiling a skeletal inventory: cremated human bone. In Mitchell P, and Brickley, M (eds) Updated Guidelines to the Standards for Recording Human Remains, ClfA 14-19
- E.2.14 Mitchell P, and Brickley, M (eds) Updated Guidelines to the Standards for Recording Human Remains, ClfA 2017
- E.2.15 Mytum, H, 2000 Recording and Analysing Graveyards. CBA Handbook No. 15
- E.2.16 Reeve, J, and Adams, M, 1993 The Spitalfields Project. Volume I – The Archaeology Across the Styx. CBA Research Report No. 85
- E.2.17 The Human Tissue Act 2004
- E.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation**
- E.3.1 Loe, L, 2008 The Treatment of Human Remains in the Care of Oxford Archaeology. Oxford Archaeology internal policy document
- E.3.2 Oxford Archaeology 2018 *Fieldwork Manual Human Remains* unpublished

## **APPENDIX F REPORTING**

### **F.1 Standard methodology - summary**

- F.1.1 For Watching Briefs and Evaluations, the style and format of the report will be determined by OA, but will include as a minimum the following:

A location plan of trenches and/or other fieldwork in relation to the proposed development.

Plans and sections of features located at an appropriate scale.

A section drawing showing depth of deposits including present ground level with Ordnance Datum, vertical and horizontal scale.

A summary statement of the results.

A table summarising the features, classes and numbers of artefacts contained within, spot dating of significant finds and an interpretation.

A reconsideration of the methodology used, and a confidence rating for the results.

An interpretation of the archaeological findings both within the site and within their wider landscape/townscape setting.



- F.1.2 For Excavations, a Post-Excavation Assessment and Project Design will generally be prepared, as prescribed by Historic England Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MoRPHE) 2015, Section 2.3. This will include a Project Description containing:
- A summary description and background of the project.
  - A summary of the quantities and assessment of potential for analysis of the information recovered for each category of site, finds, dating and environmental data. Detailed assessment reports will be contained within appendices.
  - An explicit statement of the scope of the project design and how the project relates to any other projects or work preceding, concurrent with or following on from it.
  - A statement of the research aims of the fieldwork and an illustrated summary of results to date indicating to what extent the aims were fulfilled.
  - A list of the project aims as revised in the light of the results of fieldwork and the current post-excavation assessment process.
- F.1.3 A section on Resources and Programming will also be produced, containing:
- A list of the personnel involved indicating their qualifications for the tasks undertaken, along with an explanation of how the project team will communicate, both internally and externally.
  - A list of the methods which will be used to achieve the revised research aims.
  - A list of all the tasks involved in using the stated methods to achieve the aims and produce a report and research archive in the stated format, indicating the personnel and time in days involved in each task. Allowance should be made for general project-related tasks such as monitoring, management and project meetings, editorial and revision time.
  - A cascade or Gantt chart indicating tasks in the sequence and relationships required to complete the project. Due allowance will be made for leave and public holidays. Time will also be allowed for the report to be read by a named academic referee as agreed with the County Archaeological Officer, and by the County Archaeological Officer.
  - A report synopsis indicating publisher and report format, broken down into chapters, section headings and subheadings, with approximate word lengths and numbers and titles of illustrations per chapter. The structure of the report synopsis should explicitly reflect the research aims of the project.
- F.1.4 The Project Design will be submitted to the County Archaeological Officer or equivalent for agreement.
- F.1.5 Under certain circumstances (e.g. with very small mitigations), and as agreed with the County Archaeological Officer or equivalent, a formal Assessment
-

and Project Design may not be required and either the project will continue straight to full analysis, or a simple Project Proposal (MoRPHE 2015 Section 2.1) will be produced prior to full analysis. This proposal may include:

- A summary of the background to the project
- Research aims and objectives
- Methods statement outlining how the aims and objectives will be achieved
- An outline of the stages, products and tasks
- Proposed project team
- Estimated overall timetable and budget if appropriate.

F.1.6 Once the post-excavation Project Design or Project Proposal has been accepted, the County Archaeological Officer or their appointed deputy will monitor the progress of the post-excavation project at agreed points. Any significant variation in the project design will be agreed with the County Archaeological Officer.

F.1.7 The results of the project will be published in an appropriate archaeological journal or monograph. The appropriate level of publication will be dependent on the significance of the fieldwork results and will be agreed with the County Archaeological Officer. An OASIS (Online Access to the Index of Archaeological Investigations) form will be completed for each project as per Historic England guidelines.

## F.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines

F.2.1 Oxford Archaeology (OA) adheres to the national standards in post-excavation procedure as outlined in Historic England's Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MoRPHE; HE 2015). Furthermore, all post-excavation projects take into account the appropriate regional research frameworks as well as national research agendas such as the Framework for Historic Environment Activities & Programmes in Historic England (SHAPE; EH 2008).

## APPENDIX G LIST OF SPECIALISTS REGULARLY USED BY OA

G.1.1 Below are two tables, one containing 'in-house' OA specialists, and the other containing a list of external specialists who are regularly used by OA.

### Internal archaeological specialists used by OA

Specialist	Specialism	Qualifications
John Cotter	Medieval and Post Medieval pottery, Clay Pipe and CBM	BA (Hons), MCIfA
Dr Alex Davies	Prehistoric Pottery	BA (Hons), MA, PhD, ACIfA
Edward Biddulph	Roman Pottery	BA (Hons), MA, MCIfA
Kate Brady	Roman Pottery	BA, ACIfA
Cynthia Poole	CBM and Fired Clay	BA (Hons), MSc

Specialist	Specialism	Qualifications
Leigh Allen	Metalwork and worked bone	BA (Hons), PGDip
Anni Byard	Metalwork, coins and glass	MSx, MCIfA
Dr Ruth Shaffrey	Worked stone artefacts	BA, PhD, MCIfA
Dr Rebecca Nicholson	Fish and Bird Bone	BA (Hons), MA, D.Phil, MCIfA, FSA Scot
Ian Smith	Animal Bone	BA (Hons), MSc, PCIfA
Dr Martyn Allen	Animal Bone	BA (Hons), MA, PhD
Adrienne Powell	Animal Bone	BA (Hons), MA
Dr Denise Druce	Charred plant remains, charcoal and pollen	BA (Hons), PhD, MCIfA
Sharon Cook	Charred plant remains	BSc, MSc, ACIfA
Elizabeth Stafford	Geoarchaeology and land snails	BA (Hons), MSc
Carl Champness	Geoarchaeology	BA (Hons), MSc, ACIfA
Nicola Scott	Archaeological archive deposition	BA (Hons Dunelm)
Mike Donnelly	Flint	BSc, MCIfA
Dr Louise Loe	Human Bone	BA PhD, MCIfA, BABAO
Helen Webb	Human Bone	BSc, MSc, MCIfA, BABAO
Mark Gibson	Human Bone	BA, MSc, ACIfA, BABAO
Dr Lauren McIntyre	Human Bone	BSc, MSc, PhD, MCIfA, BABAO
Zoe Ui Choileain	Human Bone	Pg Dip, MA, Msc, BABAO
Natasha Dodwell	Human Bone	BA, MSc, BABAO

#### External archaeological specialists regularly used by OA

Specialist	Specialism	Qualifications
Lynne Keys	Slag	BA (Hons)
Quita Mould	Leather	BA, MA
Penelope Walton Rogers, The Anglo Saxon Laboratory	Identification of Medieval Textiles	FSA, Dip.Acc
Dana Goodburn-Brown	Conservation	BSc (Hons), BA, MSc
Steve Allen, York Archaeological Trust	Conservation	BA, MA, MAAIS
Dr Richard Macphail	Soils, especially Micromorphology	BA (Hons), MSc, PhD
Dana Challinor	Charcoal	MA, MSc
Dr Nigel Cameron	Diatoms	BSc, MSc, PhD
Dr David Smith	Insects	BA (Hons), MA, PhD
Professor Adrian Parker	Phytoliths and pollen	BSc (Hons), D.Phil
Dr David Starley	Metalworking Slag	BSc (Hons), PhD

Specialist	Specialism	Qualifications
Wendy Carruthers	Charred and waterlogged plant remains	BA (Hons)
Dr John Whittaker	Ostracods and Foraminifera	BA (Hons), PhD
Dr John Crowther	Soil Chemistry	MA, PhD
Dr Martin Bates	Geoarchaeology	BSc, PhD
Dr Dan Miles	Dendrochronology	D.Phil, FSA
Dr Jean-Luc Schwenninger	Optically Stimulated Luminescence Dating	PhD
Dr David Higgins	Clay Pipe	BA, PhD, MCIfA
Dr Hugo Anderson-Wymark	Flint	BSc, PhD, FSA Scot, MCIfA
Dr Damian Goodburn-Brown	Ancient Woodwork	BA, PhD
Dr David Dungworth	Archaeometallurgy and Glassworking	BA (Hons), PhD

## APPENDIX H DOCUMENTARY ARCHIVING

### H.1 Standard methodology – summary

- H.1.1 The documentary archive constitutes all the written, drawn, photographic and digital records relating to the set-up, fieldwork and post-excavation phases of the project. This documentary archive, together with the artefactual and environmental ecofact archive collectively forms the record of the site. The report is part of the documentary archive, and the archive must provide the evidence that supports the conclusions of the report, but the archive may also include data which exceeds the limitations of research parameters set down for the report and which could be of significant value to future researchers.
- H.1.2 At the outset of the project OA Archive manager will contact the relevant local receiving museum or archive repository to notify them of the imminent start of a new fieldwork project in their collecting area. Relevant local archiving guidelines will be observed and site codes, which integrate with the receiving repository, will be agreed for labelling of archives and finds.
- H.1.3 Where there is currently no receiving museum for the project archive, although responsibility for the archive ultimately lies with the client, OA will hold the archive on their behalf for a period of up to 3 years after completion of the report, after which time (in the event that a suitable depository has not been secured) provision for further storage of the archive will be made in agreement with Oxford Archaeology, the client and the relevant planning archaeologist.
- H.1.4 During the course of the project the Archive team will assist the Project Manager in the management of the archive including the cataloguing and development technique suitable for photographic archive requirements.
- H.1.5 The hard copy site archive will be security copied by scanning to PdFA and a copy of this will be housed on the OA Archive Server. A full digital copy of the archive, including scanned hard copy and born digital data, will be deposited

with and made publicly available on-line through the ADS. A further copy will be maintained on the OA server and if requested a copy on disk will also be sent to the receiving museum with the hard copy. This will act as a safeguard against the accidental loss and the long-term degeneration of paper records and photographs.

- H.1.6 Born digital data will only be printed to hard copy for the receiving museum where practical. Archive elements that need maintaining in digital form will be sent to ADS in accordance with Arches Standard and ADS guidelines. A copy will be sent to the receiving museum by CD and back-up copies will be stored on the OA digital network. In most cases a digital copy of the report will be included in the OASIS project library hosted by ADS.
- H.1.7 Prior to deposition the Archive team will contact the museum regarding the size and content of the archive and discuss any retention and dispersal policies which may be applicable in line with local and SMA Guidelines ' Selection, Retention & Dispersal of Archaeological Collections' 1993.
- H.1.8 The site archive will then be deposited with the relevant receiving museum or repository at the earliest opportunity unless further archaeological work on the site is expected. The documentary archive will include correspondence detailing landowner consent to deposit the artefacts and any copyright licences in accordance with the receiving museum guidelines. Deposition charges will be required from the client as part of the project costs, but the level of the fee is set by the receiving body and may be subject to change during the lifespan of the project. Changes to archiving charges beyond OA's control will be passed across to the client.
- H.1.9 Oxford Archaeology will retain full copyright of any commissioned reports, tender documents, or other project documents, under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 with all rights reserved; excepting that it will provide the receiving repository or museum for the archive with a full licence for use to the client in all matters directly relating to the project as described in the Written Scheme of Investigation, and in line with the relevant receiving body guidelines.
- H.1.10 OA will advise the receiving repository or museum for the archive of 3rd party materials supplied in the course of projects which are not OA's copyright.
- H.1.11 OA undertakes to respect all requirements for confidentiality about the client's proposals provided that these are clearly stated. It is expected that such conditions shall not unreasonably impede the satisfactory performance of the services required. Archaeological findings and conclusions can be kept confidential for a limited period but will be made publicly available in line with the above procedure either after a specified time period agreed with the client at the outset of the project, or where no such period is agreed, after a reasonable period of time. It is expected that clients respect OA's general ethical obligations not to suppress significant archaeological data for an unreasonable period.

## H.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines

- H.2.1 At the end of the project the site archive will be ordered, catalogued, labelled and conserved and stored according to the following national guidelines:
-

- H.2.2 EAC, 2014 A Standard and Guide to Best Practice for Archaeological Archiving in Europe (EAC Guidelines 1)
  - H.2.3 ClfA, 2014 (Updated 2020) Standard and Guidance for the Creation, Compilation, Transfer and Deposition of Archaeological Archives
  - H.2.4 Brown, D, 2011 Archaeological Archives A Guide to Best Practice in Creation, Compilation, Transfer and Curation. AAF
  - H.2.5 UKIC, 1990 Guidelines for the preparation of excavation archives for long-term storage
  - H.2.6 SMA, 2020 Standards and Guidance in the Care of Archaeological Collections
  - H.2.7 Local museum guidelines such as Museum of London Guidelines: (<http://www.museumoflondonarchaeology.org.uk/English/ArchiveResearch/DemosResource>) will be adopted where appropriate to the archive collecting area.
  - H.2.8 The site archive will be prepared to at least the minimum acceptable standard defined in Management of Archaeological Projects 2, Historic England 1991.
- H.3 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation**
- H.3.1 The OA Archives Policy.

## **APPENDIX I HEALTH AND SAFETY**

### **I.1 Standard Methodology - summary**


- I.1.1 All work will be undertaken in accordance with the current OA Health and Safety Policy, the OA Site Safety Procedures Manual, a site-specific Risk Assessment and, if required, Safety Plan or Method Statement. Copies of the site-specific documents will be submitted to the client or their representative for approvals prior to mobilisation, and all relevant H and S documentation will be available on site at all times. The Health and Safety documentation will be read in conjunction with the project WSI.
- I.1.2 Where a project falls under the Construction (Design and Management) Regulations (2015), all work will be carried out in accordance with the Principal Contractor's Construction Phase Plan (CPP).

### **I.2 Relevant industry standards and guidelines**

- I.2.1 All work will be carried out according to the requirements of all relevant legislation and guidance, including, but not exclusively:
- I.2.2 The Health and Safety at Work Act (1974).
- I.2.3 Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations (1999).
- I.2.4 Manual Handling Operations Regulations 1992 (as amended).
- I.2.5 The Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations (2013).
- I.2.6 The Construction (Design and Management) Regulations (2015).

- I.2.7 Relevant OA manual and other supporting documentation
- I.2.8 The OA Health and Safety Policy.
- I.2.9 The OA Site Safety Procedures Manual.
- I.2.10 The OA Risk Assessment templates.
- I.2.11 The OA Method Statement template.
- I.2.12 The OA Construction Phase Plan template.

# Bawdsey Trench Proposal



633500.000      633600.000      633700.000      633800.000      633900.000

240000.000

239900.000

239800.000

239700.000

239600.000

239500.000

239400.000

**Reservoir footprint.**

FB

Track

Drain

TR1

TR2

TR3

TR4

TR5

TR6

TR7

TR8

TR9

TR10

TR11

TR12

ck

Track

0

50

100

150

200

250





### **Cambridge office**

15 Trafalgar Way,  
Bar Hill,  
Cambridgeshire, CB23 8SQ

T: +44(0)1223 850500

E: [info@oxfordarchaeology.com](mailto:info@oxfordarchaeology.com)

### **Lancaster office**

Mill 3,  
Moor Lane,  
Lancaster, LA11 1QD

T: +44(0)1524 541000

E: [info@oxfordarchaeology.com](mailto:info@oxfordarchaeology.com)

### **Oxford office**

Janus House,  
Osney Mead,  
Oxford OX2 0ES

T: +44(0)1865 980700

E: [info@oxfordarchaeology.com](mailto:info@oxfordarchaeology.com)

W: <http://oxfordarchaeology.com>




*Chief Executive Officer*

*Ken Welsh, BSc, MCIfA, FSA*

*Oxford Archaeology Ltd is a*

*Private Limited Company, No: 1618597*

*and a Registered Charity, No: 285627*



Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2023. All rights reserved. License No. AL 10001998

Figure 1: Site location



Figure 2: HER data




Figure 3: Trench plan

Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2023. All rights reserved. License No. AL 10001998



Plate 1: Trench 1, from the south



Plate 2: Trench 3, from the south



Plate 3: Trench 7, from the west



Plate 4: Trench 12, from the west

### **Cambridge office**

15 Trafalgar Way,  
Bar Hill,  
Cambridgeshire, CB23 8SQ

T: +44(0)1223 850500

E: [info@oxfordarchaeology.com](mailto:info@oxfordarchaeology.com)

### **Lancaster office**

Mill 3,  
Moor Lane,  
Lancaster, LA11 1QD

T: +44(0)1524 541000

E: [info@oxfordarchaeology.com](mailto:info@oxfordarchaeology.com)

### **Oxford office**

Janus House,  
Osney Mead,  
Oxford OX2 0ES

T: +44(0)1865 980700

E: [info@oxfordarchaeology.com](mailto:info@oxfordarchaeology.com)

W: <http://oxfordarchaeology.com>



*Chief Executive Officer*

*Ken Welsh, BSc, MCIfA, FSA*

*Oxford Archaeology Ltd is a*

*Private Limited Company, No: 1618597*

*and a Registered Charity, No: 285627*