

**EXCAVATIONS AT BARROW HILLS, RADLEY,
Oxfordshire, 1983–5**

Volume 2: The Romano-British cemetery
and Anglo-Saxon settlement

By Richard Chambers and Ellen McAdam

with contributions by

*Lyn Barnetson, Paul Blinkhorn, Paul Booth, Angela Boyle, P D C Brown, Anne Dodd,
Barbara Ford, Guy Grainger, Mary Harman, David Miles, Lisa Moffett and Chris Salter*

Illustrations by

*Danny Hacker, Amy Hemingway, Paul Hughes,
Sarah Lucas, Danyon Rey and Georgina Slater*

Oxford Archaeology

Thames Valley Landscapes Monograph No. 25

2007

The publication of this volume has been generously funded by English Heritage

Published for Oxford Archaeology by Oxford University School of Archaeology as part of the Thames Valley Landscapes Monograph series

Designed by Oxford Archaeology Graphics Office

Edited by Philippa Bradley and Chris Hayden

This book is part of a series of monographs about the Thames Valley Landscapes – which can be bought from all good bookshops and internet bookshops. For more information visit thehumanjourney.net

© 2007 Oxford Archaeological Unit

ISBN 0-947816-73-9

Typeset and printed in Europe by the Alden Group, Oxfordshire

Contents

List of Figures	vii
List of Plates	xi
List of Tables	xii
Summary	xv
Acknowledgements	xvi
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION <i>by Richard Chambers and Anne Dodd</i>	
Background to the excavation.....	1
Relief and geology	1
Archaeological background	4
The Romano-British landscape.....	5
Saxon settlement.....	7
Geophysical survey	9
The excavations.....	9
The site and excavation strategy.....	9
Recording system.....	10
Post-excavation analysis <i>by Ellen McAdam</i>	10
Location of archive.....	11
CHAPTER 2: THE ROMANO-BRITISH CEMETERY <i>by Richard Chambers and Angela Boyle</i>	13
Introduction	13
Cemetery organisation.....	13
Group A	13
Group B.....	13
Group C	13
Group D	13
Isolated burials.....	13
The cremation enclosure	17
Orientation	17
Age and sex	17
Body position	22
Prone burials	22
Decapitation	24
Coffins.....	25
Cremations	27
Inhumation versus cremation: comparative evidence.....	29
Grave goods	29
The chronology of the cemetery	30
Romano-British land use and the cemetery location	31
Roman pottery <i>by Paul Booth</i>	33
Introduction.....	33
Fabrics and forms	33
Discussion	35
Human bone <i>by Mary Harman</i>	38
Inhumations	38
The other Romano-British burials.....	43
Cremations	43
Inventory of the Romano-British burials <i>by Richard Chambers</i>	43
Inhumations	43
Cremations	58
CHAPTER 3: THE ANGLO-SAXON SETTLEMENT, STRUCTURAL EVIDENCE <i>by Ellen McAdam</i>	65
Introduction	65
Conditions of survival.....	65
Original extent of settlement.....	65

Post-built structures.....	66
Methodology.....	66
Rectangular post-built structures.....	66
Ancillary structures.....	67
Fencelines.....	68
Other post-built structures.....	71
Sunken-featured buildings.....	71
Methodology.....	71
Metrology.....	75
Length and width.....	75
Depth.....	75
Typology.....	75
Evidence for construction.....	75
Postholes.....	75
Recutting and replacement.....	77
Reconstruction.....	81
Use of hut floors.....	81
Existence of floors.....	82
Function.....	82
Building lifespans.....	83
Anglo-Saxon inhumations.....	83
Pits.....	83
Anglo-Saxon fills of Prehistoric barrow ditches.....	84
GAZETTEER 1: POST-BUILT STRUCTURES <i>by Richard Chambers and Ellen McAdam</i>	85
GAZETTEER 2: THE SUNKEN-FEATURED BUILDINGS <i>by Richard Chambers and Ellen McAdam</i>	89
GAZETTEER 3: ANGLO-SAXON INHUMATIONS <i>by Ellen McAdam</i>	201
GAZETTEER 4: ANGLO-SAXON FINDS FROM PITS, BARROW DITCHES AND MISCELLANEOUS CONTEXTS <i>by Ellen McAdam</i>	203
GAZETTEER 5: ANGLO-SAXON FEATURES AT BARTON COURT FARM <i>by David Miles</i>	221
CHAPTER 4: ANGLO-SAXON POTTERY <i>by Paul Blinkhorn</i>	229
Introduction.....	229
Recording methodology.....	229
Fabric analysis.....	230
Clay sources.....	231
Chronological and spatial analysis: methodology.....	232
Fabric: chronological trends.....	233
Spatial analysis.....	234
Quantitative analysis.....	234
Fabric distribution.....	235
Vessel use.....	238
5th-century tpq group.....	238
Vessel size.....	239
Jars.....	239
5th-century tpq.....	239
6th-century tpq.....	239
Bowls.....	241
6th-century tpq group.....	241
Decoration.....	241
Spatial distribution of stamp motifs.....	243
Lugged, rusticated, pierced and footing-base pottery.....	243
Further spatial analysis.....	245
Inner group versus south-east group.....	245
Cross-fits.....	246
Summary of results.....	246
Discussion and conclusions.....	246
CHAPTER 5: THE FINDS FROM THE SETTLEMENT SITE <i>by Barbara Ford</i>	249
Personal ornaments.....	249
Brooches <i>by Anne Dodd</i>	249

Contents

Bracelet.....	250
Buckles and belt equipment	250
Toilet items	250
Dress pins.....	250
Combs	251
Horse equipment.....	251
Weaponry	252
Domestic equipment.....	252
Lock and keys.....	252
Vessels	252
Iron implements.....	252
Tools	252
Knives	253
Discussion of the knives and razors <i>by Guy Grainger</i>	253
Razors.....	253
Nails.....	254
Textile equipment.....	254
Pins/needles.....	256
Antler working	256
Reused Roman pottery	257
Counters and roughouts.....	257
Games and tokens	257
Weights	257
Household uses	259
Craft processes.....	259
Slag <i>by Chris Salter</i>	259
Introduction.....	259
Detailed description of the samples	260
Discussion and conclusions.....	261
CHAPTER 6: THE ENVIRONMENTAL EVIDENCE.....	263
Faunal remains <i>by Lyn Barnettson</i>	
Introduction	263
Methodology.....	263
Taphonomy general: macrofauna.....	263
Cattle, sheep and goat.....	267
Pig.....	267
Horse.....	267
Deer	267
Carnivores	270
Lagomorphs.....	270
Birds.....	270
Taphonomy general: microfauna	270
Insectivores and rodents	270
Amphibians	270
Fish	270
Environment	270
Skeletal element frequency (SEF)	271
Cattle and sheep	271
Pig.....	276
Horse, deer and domestic birds.....	276
Other species	277
Age	277
Cattle, sheep and pig	277
Skeletal age	277
Dental age	278
Other species	278
Metrical analysis (sex and stature).....	283
Cattle.....	283
Stature	284

Sheep.....	284
Stature	285
Pig.....	285
Stature	285
Other species	285
Butchery.....	285
Cattle.....	285
The cranium.....	285
The post-cranial skeleton	286
Sheep.....	287
The cranium.....	287
The post-cranial skeleton	287
Pig.....	287
Other species	287
Pathology and anomalies.....	288
Cattle.....	288
Sheep.....	288
Other species	289
Conclusions.....	289
Charred cereals and other plant remains <i>by Lisa Moffett</i>	290
Methods.....	290
Crops.....	294
Other plants.....	294
Discussion	295
Conclusions	295
CHAPTER 7: THE ANGLO-SAXON SETTLEMENT <i>by Ellen McAdam</i>	297
Site location	297
Original extent.....	297
Date	297
Site formation processes.....	297
The distribution of bone and pottery in sunken-featured buildings and barrow ditches.....	300
Phasing	302
Site layout.....	302
The Romano-British cemetery	302
The Anglo-Saxon settlement	303
Settlement plan.....	303
The central cluster.....	303
Other structural groups	307
Barton Court Farm.....	307
Site function	308
Parallels	309
Cowdery's Down	309
Chalton.....	311
Discussion.....	313
Economy.....	314
Burials	317
APPENDIX 1: POTTERY AND BONE PROPORTIONS PER M ³	319
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	321
INDEX.....	329

Figures

CHAPTER 1

Figure 1.1	Site location plan.....	2
Figure 1.2	Solid and drift geology of the Radley area.....	3
Figure 1.3	The cropmarks between Radley and Barton Court Farm (after Benson and Miles 1974).....	3
Figure 1.4	The site: a plan of the cropmarks plotted from aerial photographs (see Figure 1.3). The irregular lines represent natural periglacial features.....	4
Figure 1.5	Romano-British and early Anglo-Saxon sites in Abingdon.....	6
Figure 1.6	Major Anglo-Saxon sites between Dorchester and Radley.....	8
Figure 1.7	Diagram showing the position of the quadrants in a sunken-featured building	10

CHAPTER 2

Figure 2.1	The Romano-British burials.....	14
Figure 2.2	Northern cemetery Groups A-C, for location see Figure 2.1.....	18
Figure 2.3	Cemetery Group D and isolated burials, for location see Figure 2.1	19
Figure 2.4	Suggested divisions within the burials at Barrow Hills.....	20
Figure 2.5	Plan of the late Romano-British inhumation cemetery at Barrow Hills excavated in 1945 (Atkinson 1952)	21
Figure 2.6	Distribution of inhumations by sex.....	23
Figure 2.7	Distribution of inhumations by age.....	23
Figure 2.8	Distribution of prone, semi-prone and decapitated inhumations	23
Figure 2.9	Distribution of grave goods accompanying inhumations	26
Figure 2.10	Distribution of evidence for inhumations in coffins	26
Figure 2.11	Orientation of heads.....	26
Figure 2.12	The cremation enclosure 1217 and surrounding cremation burials	28
Figure 2.13	Sections across the cremation enclosure 1217	29
Figure 2.14	Proposed Roman period land divisions in relation to known settlements and cemeteries (after Jones in Miles 1986).....	32
Figure 2.15	Cut marks on the cervical vertebrae from decapitated Romano-British skeletons	44
Figure 2.16	Romano-British inhumations 1008, 1009, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014	45
Figure 2.17	Romano-British inhumations 1015, 1017, 1018, 1019, 1020, 1022, 1023, 1024.....	46
Figure 2.18	Romano-British inhumations 1025, 1026, 1027, 1029, 1037, 1039, 1040	47
Figure 2.19	Romano-British inhumations 1041, 1042, 1043, 1044, 1045, 1046, 1047, 1048.....	51
Figure 2.20	Romano-British inhumations 1049, 1050, 1052, 1071, 1090, 1091, 1092, 1093, 1094, 1095	53
Figure 2.21	Romano-British inhumations 1096, 1097, 1098, 1099, 1100, 1102, 3518, 3521, 3522	55
Figure 2.22	Romano-British inhumations 3781, 3782, 3783, 3784, 3786, 4261	56
Figure 2.23	Pottery beakers from graves 1010, 1047, 1049 (1:4).....	58
Figure 2.24	Iron fittings from grave 1013.....	59
Figure 2.25	Bone pin from grave 1041; copper alloy bracelet, shale bracelet and selected glass beads from a necklace from grave 1042.....	60
Figure 2.26	Pottery beaker from 1001, probable cremation in ploughsoil (1:4)	61
Figure 2.27	Cremation 1004, beaker and grey ware jar; cremation 1006, beaker and coarse jar; cremation 1007, section, deformed grey ware beaker and grey ware jar; cremation 1203, plan (note intrusive animal burrow) and section (pottery at 1:4).....	62
Figure 2.28	Cremation 1208, plan, section, beaker and coarse ware vessel; cremation 1218, section (note animal burrow); cremation 1243, plan, beaker and coarse ware vessel; cremation 4614, beaker (pottery at 1:4)	63

CHAPTER 3

Figure 3.1	Scatter diagram showing dimensions of post-built halls at Barrow Hills, Barton Court Farm and West Stow	68
Figure 3.2	Scatter diagram showing dimensions of sunken-featured buildings at Barrow Hills, Barton Court Farm, Audlett Drive and Abingdon Vineyard	68
Figure 3.3	Post-built structures 1-5.....	69

Figure 3.4	Post-built structures 6–8.....	70
Figure 3.5	Post-built structures 9–12	72
Figure 3.6	Post-built structures 13–14	73
Figure 3.7	Post-built structures 15–18	74
Figure 3.8	Post-built structures 19–20	[facing pages] 76
Figure 3.9	Post-built structure 21.....	[facing pages] 78
Figure 3.10	Post-built structure 22.....	80
Figure 3.11	Sunken-featured building 1 and artefacts.....	90
Figure 3.12	Sunken-featured building 1 artefacts continued.....	91
Figure 3.13	Sunken-featured building 1 pottery	92
Figure 3.14	Sunken-featured building 2 and artefacts.....	94
Figure 3.15	Sunken-featured building 2 pottery	95
Figure 3.16	Sunken-featured building 3 and artefacts.....	96
Figure 3.17	Sunken-featured building 3 pottery	97
Figure 3.18	Sunken-featured building 4 and artefacts.....	99
Figure 3.19	Sunken-featured building 4 artefacts continued.....	100
Figure 3.20	Sunken-featured building 4 pottery	101
Figure 3.21	Sunken-featured building 5.....	102
Figure 3.22	Sunken-featured building 5 pottery	103
Figure 3.23	Sunken-featured building 6 and artefacts.....	104
Figure 3.24	Sunken-featured building 6 artefacts continued.....	105
Figure 3.25	Sunken-featured building 6 pottery	106
Figure 3.26	Sunken-featured building 7 and artefacts.....	108
Figure 3.27	Sunken-featured building 7 pottery	109
Figure 3.28	Sunken-featured building 8 and artefacts.....	110
Figure 3.29	Sunken-featured building 8 pottery	111
Figure 3.30	Sunken-featured building 9 and artefact	112
Figure 3.31	Sunken-featured building 10 and artefacts	113
Figure 3.32	Sunken-featured building 10 pottery.....	114
Figure 3.33	Sunken-featured building 11 and artefacts	116
Figure 3.34	Sunken-featured building 11 pottery.....	117
Figure 3.35	Sunken-featured building 12 and artefacts	118
Figure 3.36	Sunken-featured building 12 artefacts continued	119
Figure 3.37	Sunken-featured building 12 pottery.....	119
Figure 3.38	Sunken-featured building 13 and artefacts	120
Figure 3.39	Sunken-featured building 13 pottery.....	121
Figure 3.40	Sunken-featured building 14 and artefacts	122
Figure 3.41	Sunken-featured building 14 artefacts continued	123
Figure 3.42	Sunken-featured building 14 pottery.....	124
Figure 3.43	Sunken-featured building 14 pottery continued.....	125
Figure 3.44	Sunken-featured building 15 and artefacts	127
Figure 3.45	Sunken-featured building 15 pottery.....	128
Figure 3.46	Sunken-featured building 16	129
Figure 3.47	Sunken-featured building 16 pottery.....	129
Figure 3.48	Sunken-featured buildings 17 and 18.....	131
Figure 3.49	Sunken-featured buildings 17 and 18 artefacts	132
Figure 3.50	Sunken-featured buildings 17 and 18 pottery	133
Figure 3.51	Sunken-featured building 19 and artefact.....	135
Figure 3.52	Sunken-featured building 19 pottery.....	136
Figure 3.53	Sunken-featured building 20 and artefacts	137
Figure 3.54	Sunken-featured building 20 pottery.....	139
Figure 3.55	Sunken-featured building 21 and artefacts	140
Figure 3.56	Sunken-featured building 21 pottery.....	142
Figure 3.57	Sunken-featured building 22 and artefact.....	143
Figure 3.58	Sunken-featured building 22 pottery.....	144
Figure 3.59	Sunken-featured building 23 and artefacts	146
Figure 3.60	Sunken-featured building 23 artefacts continued	147
Figure 3.61	Sunken-featured building 23 pottery.....	147
Figure 3.62	Sunken-featured building 24 and artefact.....	148
Figure 3.63	Sunken-featured building 24 pottery.....	150
Figure 3.64	Sunken-featured building 25 and artefact.....	151

Figures

Figure 3.65	Sunken-featured building 25 pottery	152
Figure 3.66	Sunken-featured building 26 and artefacts	153
Figure 3.67	Sunken-featured building 26 pottery	155
Figure 3.68	Sunken-featured building 27	156
Figure 3.69	Sunken-featured buildings 28 and 29	158
Figure 3.70	Sunken-featured buildings 28 and 29 artefacts	159
Figure 3.71	Sunken-featured building 28 pottery	161
Figure 3.72	Sunken-featured building 28 pottery continued	162
Figure 3.73	Sunken-featured building 28 pottery continued	163
Figure 3.74	Sunken-featured building 28 pottery continued	164
Figure 3.75	Sunken-featured building 29 pottery	165
Figure 3.76	Sunken-featured building 30 and artefact	167
Figure 3.77	Sunken-featured building 30 pottery	168
Figure 3.78	Sunken-featured building 31 and artefact	169
Figure 3.79	Sunken-featured buildings 32, 33 and 34	170
Figure 3.80	Sunken-featured buildings 32 and 33 artefacts	172
Figure 3.81	Sunken-featured building 32 pottery	173
Figure 3.82	Sunken-featured building 35 and artefact	174
Figure 3.83	Sunken-featured building 35 pottery	175
Figure 3.84	Sunken-featured buildings 36 and 37 and artefact	176
Figure 3.85	Sunken-featured building 36 pottery	177
Figure 3.86	Sunken-featured building 37 pottery	178
Figure 3.87	Sunken-featured building 38 and artefacts	179
Figure 3.88	Sunken-featured building 38 artefacts continued	180
Figure 3.89	Sunken-featured building 39 pottery	181
Figure 3.90	Sunken-featured building 39 and artefacts	182
Figure 3.91	Sunken-featured building 39 pottery	184
Figure 3.92	Sunken-featured building 40 and artefacts	185
Figure 3.93	Sunken-featured building 40 artefacts continued	186
Figure 3.94	Sunken-featured building 40 pottery	187
Figure 3.95	Sunken-featured building 41 and artefacts	188
Figure 3.96	Sunken-featured building 41 pottery	190
Figure 3.97	Sunken-featured building 42 and artefacts	191
Figure 3.98	Sunken-featured building 43 and artefacts	193
Figure 3.99	Sunken-featured building 43 artefacts continued	194
Figure 3.100	Sunken-featured building 43 pottery	196
Figure 3.101	Sunken-featured building 44	197
Figure 3.102	Sunken-featured building 45 and artefacts	198
Figure 3.103	Sunken-featured building 45 pottery	199
Figure 3.104	Anglo-Saxon grave 5004	202
Figure 3.105	Roman and Anglo-Saxon finds from pits, unstratified and miscellaneous contexts and topsoil	204
Figure 3.106	Roman and Anglo-Saxon finds from pits, unstratified and miscellaneous contexts and topsoil continued	205
Figure 3.107	Pit 4664 pottery	205
Figure 3.108	Relic stream course pottery	206
Figure 3.109	Oval barrow outer ditch pottery	206
Figure 3.110	Oval barrow inner ditch pottery	207
Figure 3.111	Barrows 1 and 12 artefacts	208
Figure 3.112	Barrow 12 artefacts continued	209
Figure 3.113	Barrow 1 pottery	210
Figure 3.114	Barrow 12 pottery	213
Figure 3.115	Barrow 13 artefacts	215
Figure 3.116	Barrow 13 pottery	217
Figure 3.117	Pit 414 pottery	218
CHAPTER 4		
Figure 4.1	(A) Rim diameter of jars, sand-tempered fabrics, by MNV per diameter category, sand-tempered fabrics; (B) Rim diameter of jars, sand tempered fabrics, by MNV per diameter category, chaff-tempered fabrics	240

CHAPTER 6

Figure 6.1	Diagram of mandibular regions A, B, C, D, E	267
Figure 6.2	Weight distribution in kilograms of animal bone in the major SFBs	268
Figure 6.3	Weight distribution in kilograms of animal bone in the ditch sections of Barrow 1 (context 1), Barrow 13 (context 401) and Barrow 12 (context 601).....	269
Figure 6.4	Skeletal element frequency of cattle shown as a percentage of the most frequently occurring bone and, on the right, showing the SEF again after rib total adjusted to include vertebral ends only. Fragment numbers are shown in brackets.	272
Figure 6.5	Skeletal element frequency of sheep shown as a percentage of the most frequently occurring bone and, on the right, showing the SEF again after rib total adjusted to include vertebral ends only. Fragment numbers are shown in brackets.	273
Figure 6.6	Skeletal element frequency of pig shown as a percentage of the most frequently occurring bone. Fragment numbers are shown in brackets.....	274
Figure 6.7	Cattle, sheep and pig bones grouped as carcass parts and shown as a percentage of the relevant assemblage. (FORE = scapula, humerus, radius and ulna; HIND=innominate, femur, tibia [and fibula of pig only]; BACK = costae and thoracic and lumbar/sacral vertebrae; HEAD = cranium, maxilla, mandible [and horn cores of cattle and sheep]; EXT=metacarpal, metatarsal, astragalus, calcaneum and phalanges.).....	275
Figure 6.8	Epiphyseal fusion of cattle showing percentage fused for each epiphysis in approximate fusion sequence. Total number of epiphyses (fused, fusing and unfused) is given at the top.	279
Figure 6.9	Epiphyseal fusion of sheep showing percentage fused for each epiphysis in approximate fusion sequence. Total number of epiphyses (fused, fusing and unfused) is given at the top.	280
Figure 6.10	Epiphyseal fusion of pig showing percentage fused for each epiphysis in approximate fusion sequence. Total number of epiphyses (fused, fusing and unfused) is given at the top.	281
Figure 6.11	Cattle, sheep and pig dental age showing the number of mandibles (L or R) in each age group as a percentage of the total number of 'aged' mandibles in each assemblage.....	282
Figure 6.12	Scattergram showing cattle astragalus volumes plotted against distal breadth.....	284
Figure 6.13	Location of carbonised plant remains samples	291

CHAPTER 7

Figure 7.1	SFB quadrants and ditch sections containing highest proportions of bone and pottery by volume.....	298
Figure 7.2	Barton Court Farm	299
Figure 7.3	Detail of central building group	304
Figure 7.4	Detail of buildings south of barrow 13	305
Figure 7.5	Detail of buildings in area of ring ditch 801	306
Figure 7.6	Cowdery's Down: Period 4C buildings (after Millett and James 1983).....	311
Figure 7.7	Cowdery's Down: Period 4A and 4B buildings (after Millett and James 1983)	312
Figure 7.8	Chalton: Plan of major Saxon features (after Addyman and Leigh 1973 and Champion 1978)	313
Figure 7.9	Chalton: Phases C And B (after Addyman and Leigh 1973 and Champion 1978).....	314
Figure 7.10	Distribution of spinning and weaving equipment.....	315
Figure 7.11	Site plan.....	<i>See inside back cover</i>

Plates

CHAPTER 1

Plate 1.1	Aerial photograph of the cropmarks at Barrow Hills taken on 9 June 1959. The marshy area of Daisy Banks is off the bottom of the photograph, to the left is the Neolithic oval barrow and to the right the circular ditches representing the west end of the Bronze Age barrow cemetery. The sunken-featured buildings of the early Anglo-Saxon settlement appear as dark rectangles. Cambridge University collection.....	5
-----------	--	---

CHAPTER 2

Plate 2.1	The excavator of Barrow Hills, R A 'Charlie' Chambers, photographing a Romano-British grave.....	15
Plate 2.2	Photographs of cervical vertebrae cuts.....	24
Plate 2.3	Grave 1010: Skull and Oxford colour-coated beaker	27
Plate 2.4	Grave 1049: Oxford colour-coated beaker.....	31

CHAPTER 3

Plate 3.1	Looking south across PBS 1 to PBS 5 and the Romano-British cemetery: PBS 2 and SFB 6 on the left	71
Plate 3.2	The excavation of the Neolithic oval barrow with SFB 9 in the centre	80

Tables

CHAPTER 2

Table 2.1	The Romano-British inhumations; details of orientation, body position and grave good association	16
Table 2.2	The Romano-British cremations; depth, container, associated objects and preservation	22
Table 2.3	Coffin evidence.....	27
Table 2.4	Pottery dated inhumations and cremations	30
Table 2.5	Summary of the feasibility models for a farmstead based at Barton Court Farm	33
Table 2.6	Quantification of Roman pottery fabrics.....	34
Table 2.7	Distribution of Roman pottery fabrics by context type groupings.....	34
Table 2.8	Quantification of vessel types by EVEs (additional values in brackets represent once-complete vessels known to have been present but with no surviving rim)	35
Table 2.9	Summary of pottery in graves (note that dates have not been assigned to the coarse ware cremation urns)	35
Table 2.10	Summary of the cremations.....	37
Table 2.11	Brief details of the inhumations	39
Table 2.12	Incidence of caries, abscesses and ante mortem tooth loss in teeth and tooth sockets present, for different age groups.....	41
Table 2.13	Sex and age of inhumations by group.....	43

CHAPTER 4

Table 4.1	Pottery occurrence in the SFBs by EVE, major fabrics only, expressed as a percentage of the total assemblage.....	234
Table 4.2	Mean sherd weight per major fabric type (g).....	234
Table 4.3	Mean vessel rim diameters (in mm), all dated SFBs, per major fabric type.....	234
Table 4.4	Correlation coefficient (r) values between fabric proportion and SFB	235
Table 4.5	SFBs with 5th-century tpqs.....	236
Table 4.6	Central SFBs with a 5th-century tpq	236
Table 4.7	Southern SFBs with a 5th-century tpq	236
Table 4.8	Proportions of sand- and chaff-tempered wares by distance north and east	236
Table 4.9	Central/northern group, 6th-century tpq.....	237
Table 4.10	Southern group, 6th-century tpq.....	237
Table 4.11	Pottery occurrence by weight (in g) per excavated segment, barrow 12, northern segments	237
Table 4.12	Pottery occurrence by weight (in g) per excavated segment, barrow 12, southern segments	237
Table 4.13	Pottery occurrence by weight (in g) per excavated segment, barrow 13, northern segments	238
Table 4.14	Pottery occurrence by weight (in g) per excavated segment, barrow 13, southern segments	238
Table 4.15	Vessel occurrence in SFBs, all fabrics.....	238
Table 4.16	Percentage of vessel forms versus distance east, 5th-century tpq	238
Table 4.17	Percentage of vessel forms versus distance east, 6th-century tpq	239
Table 4.18	Jars.....	239
Table 4.19	Bowls.....	240
Table 4.20	Decorated pottery, all periods, by decoration type and fabric group, expressed in number of sherds	241
Table 4.21	Occurrence of decorated pottery by type, 6th-century tpqs, by number of sherds.....	242
Table 4.22	Decorated sherds in central and southern groups	242
Table 4.23	Occurrence of decorated pottery by type, barrows 1,12 and 13, by number of sherds.....	242
Table 4.24	Decorated sherds from northern and southern segments of barrow ditches	243
Table 4.25	Decorated pottery, 6th-century tpqs.....	243

Tables

CHAPTER 5

Table 5.1	Distribution of major knife forms at Barrow Hills, Kingsworthy and Finglesham.....	253
Table 5.2	Distribution of knife forms.....	253
Table 5.3	Reused Roman pot.	258

CHAPTER 6

Table 6.1	Range of species and fragment numbers, excluding sieved material and artefacts.	264
Table 6.2	MNI estimates for the three main domestic species based on most frequently occurring element of left or right side with visual assessment in square brackets.....	265
Table 6.3	Cattle and sheep rib fragment distributions in the ditch and SFB assemblages.....	265
Table 6.4	Vertebral fragments of sheep and cattle in the ditches and SFBs showing percentage of each type of vertebra	266
Table 6.5	Cattle withers' heights	266
Table 6.6	Sheep withers' heights	266
Table 6.7	Pig withers' heights	266
Table 6.8	Fragment numbers of principal bones of domestic fowl and goose, excluding one incomplete skeleton of immature domestic fowl (25 bones).....	277
Table 6.9	Charred plant remains from Saxon features	292
Table 6.10	Charred plant remains from barrow ditch and undated pit.....	294

CHAPTER 7

Table 7.1	Barrows 12 and 13, weight of animal bone and number of sherds by quadrant	300
Table 7.2	Comparison of central group at Barrow Hills with Chalton and Cowdery's Down	310
Table 7.3	Summary of Anglo-Saxon and possibly Anglo-Saxon burials in and around Barrow Hills/Barton Court Farm.....	316

Summary

Between 1983–5 excavation of 3.5 ha of gravel terrace at Barrow Hills, Radley, recorded three distinct phases of activity on a site whose existence was known from aerial photography: a prehistoric monument complex, a Romano-British cemetery and an early Anglo-Saxon settlement. The prehistoric features are reported on in Volume 1 (Barclay and Halpin 1999). This report, Volume 2, deals with the Romano-British cemetery and Anglo-Saxon settlement. The Romano-British cemetery consisted of 69 burials dating to the 3rd and 4th centuries and occurring as both distinct burials groups and isolated graves. There were 57 inhumations and 12 cremations, 6 of which were within a square ditched enclosure. The skeletal assemblage was well preserved. The report considers the evidence for the organisation of the cemetery, orientation, age and sex, body position, decapitation, coffins, inhumation versus cremation, grave goods, chronology and location. It is likely that the area of the prehistoric barrow cemetery was not cultivated in the Romano-British period, and the cemetery may have been laid out along the line of a north-south trackway. It probably served as the cemetery for the adjacent settlement site of Barton Court Farm (Miles 1986), and the cemetery groupings are compared with the population models postulated for that site.

The Anglo-Saxon settlement was represented by 22 post-built structures, 45 sunken-featured buildings,

2 inhumations, pits, fills of prehistoric barrow ditches and various other features. The settlement is dated by finds evidence to the 4th to early 7th centuries. The Anglo-Saxon features at Barton Court Farm, previously published in *fiche*, are also listed here. Chapter 3 describes the features and the evidence for their construction and use. Chapter 4 discusses the pottery assemblage, one of the largest excavated in England with a total weight of 127.62 kg. Chapter 5 deals with the small finds, and the environmental evidence is described in Chapter 6. Chapter 7 discusses the evidence for the settlement. It is suggested that the sunken-featured buildings and barrow ditches were backfilled deliberately using tertiary midden material, and that this makes dating individual features and hence phasing the site difficult. The Anglo-Saxon features at Barton Court Farm may have been part of the same settlement. It is posited that the central cluster of buildings at Barrow Hills, with a hall positioned end-on to buildings arranged around three sides of an open space in a grouping reminiscent of Chalton and Cowdery's Down, was located in relation to the Romano-British cemetery and its trackway. The barrow ditches, in contrast, were deliberately filled with rubbish. There is some evidence for variation in function between different parts of the site, with a higher proportion of butchery waste from the ditches of barrows 12 and 13.

Acknowledgements

Scheduled monument consent for the development of this site was granted subject to the site being available for excavation for two years from the granting of outline planning permission. The excavation was undertaken by the Oxford Archaeological Unit for English Heritage with labour supplied by the Manpower Services Commission. For permission to excavate the Unit is grateful to the owners of the site, initially Mr W P Docker-Drysdale and later Kibswell Builders Ltd, and to the horticultural tenant, Mr B Ford. The excavation would not have been as successful without the hospitality of Mr and Mrs Docker-Drysdale, and Mr Ford provided assistance on numerous occasions throughout the excavation. The excavation could not have been successfully concluded without the support and interest of various members of the Inspectorate of Ancient Monuments including Mrs G Andrews and Mr A J Fleming in particular.

Many people contributed their time and effort to the excavation and I would like to thank them all, especially the members of the Abingdon Archaeological Society and the Oxford In-Service Training Scheme. Conservation was undertaken by Mrs Gwyn Miles and the laboratory staff of the Ashmolean Museum, Oxford.

The preparation of this report has been very much a corporate effort. I am grateful to all of the contributing authors, who produced their manuscripts speedily and efficiently. Thanks are due to Professor R J C Atkinson for permission to reproduce the plan of the cemetery that he and Mrs Atkinson excavated at Barrow Hills in 1945. Dr Mark Robinson assisted with some of the more difficult botanical identifications. Professor S Frere and Mr D Miles provided many helpful comments. The overall interpretation of the archaeology of the site with all its attendant errors remains the responsibility of the author.

The excavation at Barrow Hills was funded by the Manpower Services Commission and English Heritage, who also funded the first phase of post-excavation.

Richard Chambers

This report would not have been brought to press without the help and advice of many friends and former colleagues at Oxford Archaeology, English Heritage and elsewhere. My thanks are due in particular to all those who worked on the new and revised text and illustrations; particularly the In-service trainees Jane Robertson, who produced the site mosaic, and Alwen Pearson and Julia Wise, who worked on the aerial photographic evidence. I am also grateful to Sheila Raven, who worked on the pottery. From English Heritage, Steve Trow, Jon Humble, Val Horsler, Chris Scull (to whose impeccable context sheets the project owes so much) and Helen Keeley offered advice and support. Thanks are due to the staff of Oxford Archaeology's Finds, Environmental and Archive departments who have provided assistance and support over the years. Dominic Powlesland and Jess Tipper provided practical help and information. My family showed exceptional forbearance throughout. Finally, I should like to thank Mark O'Neill, Head of Glasgow Museums, for allowing me to work on this report as part of my research programme.

The second phase of post-excavation was funded by English Heritage and Oxford Archaeology (formerly the Oxford Archaeological Unit). Chris Hayden is thanked for editorial work on the report.

Ellen McAdam