Landscape Evolution in the Middle Thames Valley

Heathrow Terminal 5 Excavations Volume 1, Perry Oaks

by Framework Archaeology

John Lewis, Fraser Brown, Angela Batt, Nicholas Cooke, John Barrett, Rachel Every, Lorraine Mepham, Kayt Brown, Kate Cramp, Andrew J Lawson, Fiona Roe, Steve Allen, David Petts, Jacqueline I. McKinley, Wendy J. Carruthers, Dana Challinor, Pat Wiltshire, Mark Robinson, Helen A Lewis and Martin R Bates

Illustrations by Karen Nichols and Elizabeth James

Framework Archaeology Monograph No. 1 2006 The publication of this volume has been generously funded by BAA plc

Edited by Lisa Brown, John Lewis and Alex Smith

Typeset and designed by Karen Nichols

© BAA, Oxford Archaeology and Wessex Archaeology

ISBN:

This book is the first of a series of monographs by Framework Archaeology, which can be bought from all good bookshops and internet bookshops.

Published by Framework Archaeology, a joint venture company between Oxford Archaeology and Wessex Archaeology



Oxford Archaeology, Janus House, Osney Mead, Oxford, OX2 0ES

Wessex Archaeology, Portway House, Old Sarum Park, Salisbury, SP4 6EB

Contents

- i **Contents**
- v List of Figures
- vii List of Plates
- vii List of Tables
- viii The Freeviewer CD-Rom
- ix Foreword
- x Summary
- x Acknowledgements

CHAPTER 1: Introduction

by John Lewis

- 2 Introduction
- 4 Structure of Chapter 1
- 5 Site location
- 5 **Geology and topography**
- 6 Topography prior to the construction of the sludge works in the 1930s and the airport in the 1940s
- 6 The Truncation Model
- 10 Modern land-use
- 11 The archaeological background to the area
- 13 Summary of the Heathrow archaeological landscape prior to the Perry Oaks excavation
- 13 Hunter-gatherer communities and early agricultural practices (300.000–4000 BC)
- 13 Early agricultural and ritual practices (4000–2000 BC)
- 13 Agricultural intensification and the rituals of reproduction (2000–100 BC)
- 13 Rural landscapes and urban hinterlands (100 BC–1700 AD)
- 14 The nature of the challenge and the solution
- 15 Academic aim and approach by John Barrett
- 15 Principles
- 15 Application
- 16 Structural Conditions
- 16 Structuring Principles
- 17 Application: the recording system and data presentation

17 Definitions

- 17 Context
- 17 Intervention
- 17 Deposit
- 18 Stratigraphic Group
- 18 Feature
- 18 Entity
- 18 Interpretative group
- 19 Information technology implementation
- 19 Fieldwork procedures
- 20 Practical application
- 20 Landscape Generic
- 21 Landscape Specific
- 22 **Post-excavation analytical procedures**
- 22 Publication: scope, concept, presentation and archive
- 22 Scope of the work within this volume
- 23 **Publication concept, presentation and archive**
- 24 Structure of the historical narrative and how the themes will be explored

CHAPTER 2: Hunter-gatherers and first farmers: The Mesolithic wildwood to the end of the monumental landscape of the Neolithic (10,000 BC-1700 BC) by John Lewis and Fraser Brown

- 28 Introduction
- 28 Summary of the evidence
- 28 Palaeolithic and Mesolithic
- 28 *Neolithic*
- 29 Early Bronze Age
- 29 Outline of the Narrative
- 30 Chronological framework
- 31 Absolute dates
- 31 Mesolithic dates
- 31 Neolithic dates
- 31 Relative chronology
- 31 Lithic technology and typology

32 Ceramic chronology

- 32 Problems with ceramic fabrics
- 34 Carinated Bowls
- 34 Undecorated Bowls and Decorated Vessels
- 36 Peterborough Ware
- 36 Grooved Ware
- 36 Beaker
- 37 Collared Urn
- 37 Conclusion of ceramic technology
- 37 Implications of a relative chronology for the Neolithic landscape at Perry Oaks
- **39 Geographies of the Palaeolithic**
- 39 Mesolithic / earlier Neolithic geographies
- 41 *Chronology and distribution of Mesolithic activity*
- 43 Continuity of place: From late Mesolithic pits to the Stanwell Cursus
- 45 Monumentality and the architectural transformation of the landscape in the 4th and 3rd Millennia BC
- 46 Activity predating the C1 Stanwell Cursus
- 47 C1 Stanwell Cursus
- 47 The history of investigation
- 47 Location and orientation
- 48 Form
- 49 Classification
- 49 When was the C1 Stanwell Cursus built?
- 52 The function of the C1 Stanwell Cursus
- 53 The linking of locations by the C1 Stanwell Cursus
- 54 What did the C1 Stanwell Cursus originally look like?
- 57 How much effort was required to build the C1 Stanwell Cursus?
- 57 What was the architectural impact of the C1 Stanwell Cursus?
- 61 What did the landscape look like at this time?
- 65 Settlements and clearance?
- 67 Summary of C1 Stanwell Cursus
- 69 *C2 Cursus*
- 69 Original architecture of the C2 Cursus
- 71 Stratigraphic relationships of the C2 Cursus
- 72 Horseshoe Enclosure 1

- 72 Form and architecture of the HE1 enclosure
- 74 Chronology of the HE1 enclosure
- 77 Function of the HE1 enclosure
- 78 Use of the HE1 enclosure
- 80 Architecture, monuments and society: a summary
- 82 3300 to 2000 BC: Peterborough and Grooved Ware
- 82 The evidence from Perry Oaks
- 86 Evidence for the wider landscape in the 3rd millennium BC
- 87 The Social Origins of the landscape transformation of the 2nd millennium BC
- 88 Chronology
- 89 Social Changes

CHAPTER 3: The emergence of the agricultural landscape from the early-middle Bronze Age to the end of the early Iron Age (*c* 1700 BC-400 BC) *by John Lewis and Angela Batt*

- 95 Introduction
- 95 Chronological framework
- 95 The inception of the 2nd millennium BC field system
- 97 Radiocarbon dates
- 97 *Results*
- 98 Stratigraphy: Bronze Age land enclosures with the Neolithic monuments of the 3rd and 4th millennium BC
- 101 Bronze Age metalwork
- 101 The ring
- 102 The spearhead
- 102 Palaeoenvironmental evidence for hedgerow origins prior to 1600 BC
- 102 *How did the hedges form?*
- 102 When did the hedges form?
- 104 Building the system—Development of the trackways and landholdings
- 104 What did the landscape look like during the latter half of the 2nd millennium BC?
- 105 Social concept of landscape division
- 105 Development of the trackways
- 106 Stratigraphy
- 108 Chronology of the development of the trackways and landholdings

112 Settlement

- 112 Settlement genesis
- 114 Settlement and landholding
- 114 Middle Bronze Age settlement location
- 116 Settlement 1
- 116 Pre- and early settlement activity
- 118 The 2nd millennium BC settlement
- 121 Post-Deverel Rimbury activity 1150–750 BC
- 122 Conclusion
- 123 Settlement 2
- 123 Origins
- 123 Structure
- 124 Development
- 124 Settlement 3
- 124 Origins
- 124 Structure and development
- 126 Settlement 4
- 126 Origins
- 126 Structure and Development 1700–1150 BC
- 128 The late Bronze Age 1150–750BC
- 129 Settlement 5
- 131 Settlement 6
- 132 Structural elements of settlements
- 133 Settlement post-1150 BC
- 133 Waterholes and water management in the 2nd and early 1st millennium BC
- 135 When were the waterholes excavated, what did they look like and what were they used for?
- 137 Distribution: where were waterholes dug and why?
- 140 Waterhole 135071
- 140 Episode 1
- 140 Episode 2
- 141 Episode 3
- 141 Episode 4
- 142 Waterhole 156028
- 142 Deposits within waterholes 135071 and 156028

- 142 Axe/adze handles
- 145 'Beaters'
- 145 Waterhole 124100
- 147 The developing role of the waterholes into the late Bronze Age
- 148 Waterhole complex 103040, 103038, 136194
- 150 Continuity of tradition
- 151 Life and death during the 2nd and early 1st millennium BC at Perry Oaks
- 151 Burials and metal artefacts: where are they?
- 152 How people lived: arable and pastoral agriculture at Perry Oaks 1700–750 BC
- 154 Palaeoenvironmental evidence from middle Bronze Age waterholes
- 159 Palaeoenvironmental evidence from late Bronze Age waterholes
- 163 Summary: farming practices in the middle to late Bronze Age
- 164 Changes in settlement patterns in the early 1st millennium BC
- 166 The early Iron Age landscape at Perry Oaks

CHAPTER 4: The develoment of the agricultural landscape from the middle Iron Age to the end of the Romano-British farmstead (*c* 400 BC–5th Century AD) *by Nicholas Cooke*

- 170 Introduction
- 171 The middle Iron Age settlement
- 171 Chronological indicators
- 172 The pottery
- 174 Other finds categories
- 176 The settlement
- 176 The penannular gullies / roundhouses
- 180 Group (a) gullies
- 182 Group (b) gullies
- 183 Group (c) gullies
- 184 Penannular gully 8
- 186 Penannular gully 3 and associated rectilinear enclosure/structure 108018
- 187 Settlement development
- 189 Waterholes
- 190 The southern enclosure
- 193 Middle Iron Age activity in the western field system

- 194 Middle Iron Age activity in the eastern field system
- 198 Pit digging, middens and propitiation in the middle Iron Age
- 199 Farming in the middle Iron Age at Perry Oaks
- 201 Summary
- 202 Transforming the landscape—late Iron Age-early Roman settlement and re-organisation
- 202 Ceramic evidence
- 203 Settlement focus
- 206 Eastern field system
- 208 Form and function of the eastern field system
- 208 Settlement activity in the mid Roman period
- 208 Organisation of the settlement
- 211 Roman buildings and activity areas
- 215 Water for the settlement –Roman waterholes and wells
- 215 Waterhole 133198 (1st–2nd century AD)
- 216 *Well/waterhole sequence 1740424 and 174019*
- 218 Extent and nature of the settlement
- 218 Settlement development within the later Roman period

- 218 Settlement focus
- 220 Waterhole 174070 (?3rd or early 4th century AD)
- 220 Waterhole 174069 (?4th century AD)
- 224 The Roman 'ladder' enclosure system
- 225 Pottery from the 'ladder' enclosure ditches
- 225 Chronology of the 'ladder' enclosure system
- 227 Summary of 'ladder' enclosures
- 227 The final act deposition of a lead tank
- 231 Post-Roman landscape

CHAPTER 5: Epilogue and prologue

by John Lewis

- 234 Epilogue to Volume 1
- 235 Prologue to Volume 2
- 237 Bibliography
- 241 Index

List of Figures

CHAPTER 1

- 2 Figure 1.1: Site location
- 3 Figure 1.2: Aerial photograph of Heathrow Airport showing outlines of main excavation areas at Perry Oaks
- 4 Figure 1.3: Archaeological investigations at Perry Oaks
- 5 Figure 1.4: Geology of the Heathrow area
- 7 Figure 1.5: 1943 topography of Heathrow
- 8 Figure 1.6: The truncation model
- 12 Figure 1.7: Extent of all known archaeological investigations at Heathrow
- 17 Figure 1.8: Diagram showing relationship between Structural Conditions and Structuring Principles
- 18 Figure 1.9: Modelling archaeological deposits

CHAPTER 2

- 28 Figure 2.1: The Mesolithic and Neolithic dataset: excavated features
- 35 Figure 2.2: The distribution of early Neolithic pottery
- 40 Figure 2.3: Quantity and distribution of Mesolithic and Mesolithic/ earlier Neolithic flint
- 41 Figure 2.4: Mesolithic and Mesolithic/Neolithic flints by feature type and date
- 42 Figure 2.5: Mesolithic pits under the C1 Stanwell Cursus
- 43 Figure 2.6: Burnt flint distribution and density in Mesolithic pits and C1 Stanwell Cursus ditches
- 45 Figure 2.7: All pits and postholes around the C1 Stanwell Cursus
- 46 Figure 2.8: Postholes and pits with stratigraphic associations with the C1 Stanwell Cursus
- 48 Figure 2.9: The Stanwell C1 Cursus in relation to the Bronze Age field system
- 50 Figure 2.10: Distribution of Fabric FL4 pottery within the C1 Stanwell Cursus
- 53 Figure 2.11: The C1 Stanwell Cursus linking earlier locations of importance
- 55 Figure 2.12: Longitudinal sections through both cursus ditches, from north to south, and area of C1 Stanwell Cursus ditch cross section
- 58 Figure 2.13: Relationship between animal bone, Mesolithic pits and postholes in C1 Stanwell Cursus
- 60 Figure 2.14: Relationship of postholes, burnt/struck flint and other artefacts with the C1 Stanwell Cursus
- 62 Figure 2.15: Pollen monolith sample from pit 150011
- 64 Figure 2.16: All dated early Neolithic tree-throws at Perry Oaks
- 66 Figure 2.17: Location of tree-throw 156191
- 68 Figure 2.18: The extent of the C2 Stanwell Cursus and its relationship with other Neolithic monuments

- 69 Figure 2.19: The C2 Stanwell Cursus
- 70 Figure 2.20: Stratigraphic relationship of the C2 Stanwell Cursus and Bronze Age field system
- 71 Figure 2.21 Relationship of Stanwell C1 Cursus with C2 Cursus
- 73 Figure 2.22: HE1 Enclosure
- 75 Figure 2.23: Plan of the estimated dimensions of the internal banks of HE1 enclosure and suggested arrangement of standing adults with site lines
- 79 Figure 2.24: Finds distribution within the HE1 Enclosure
- 81 Figure 2.25: Artist's reconstruction of the monumental landscape at the end of the 3rd millennium BC
- 83 Figure 2.26: Pits containing Grooved Ware
- 84 Figure 2.27: Lithic assemblage from pit 129109
- 88 Figure 2.28: Transformation from monumental to enclosed landscape
- 90 Figure 2.29: Distribution of pottery and lithics dated to the late Neolithic or early Bronze Age

CHAPTER 3

- 94 Figure 3.1: Bronze Age trackways and landholdings
- Figure 3.2: Late Neolithic/Bronze Age chronology (simplified version of Needhams 1996 figures 1, 2 and 3) and location of chronological evidence at Perry Oaks
- 97 Figure 3.3: Bronze Age radiocarbon dates
- 98 Figure 3.4: Radiocarbon dates from Landholding 3
- 99 Figure 3.5: Stratigraphic relationships in Landholdings 2 and 3
- 100 Figure 3.6: Plan of Site with copper alloy objects located
- 101 Figure 3.7: Copper alloy ring and spear head
- 103 Figure 3.8: Waterholes dating from 1600 to 750 BC containing palaeoenvironmental evidence
- 106 Figure 3.9: Relationships between trackway ditches and landholding ditches
- 107 Figure 3.10: Relationships between trackway ditches and landholding ditches
- 109 Figure 3.11: Landholdings, trackways and topography
- 110 Figure 3.12: Graphs showing (a) weight of 2nd millennium and early 1st millennium BC pottery by landholding and trackway and(b) percentage of weight of pottery fabrics for all trackways and landholdings
- 111 Figure 3.13: Sections across Trackway 1 (north)
- 113 Figure 3.14: Sections across Trackway 1 (south)
- 115 Figure 3.15: Location of middle Bronze Age settlement
- 117 Figure 3.16: Settlement 1: Northern Taxiway
- 119 Figure 3.17: Settlement 1: The 2nd millennium BC settlement showing posthole groups 1-2
- 120 Figure 3.18: Settlement 1: The 2nd millennium BC settlement showing posthole groups 3-5

- 121 Figure 3.19: Post-Deverel Rimbury activity 1150-750 BC
- 123 Figure 3.20: Settlement 2: Burrows Hill Close
- 125 Figure 3.21: Settlement 3: Grass Area 21
- 127 Figure 3.22: Settlement 4 in Landholding 3
- 130 Figure 3.23: Settlement 5: Landholding 3 and 4
- 131 Figure 3.24: Settlement 6: Landholding 5
- 134 Figure 3.25: Location of Bronze Age waterholes
- 135 Figure 3.26: Waterholes 178108, 157234, 159200 and 110107
- 136 Figure 3.27: Distribution of waterholes in the two main phases of use
- 138 Figure 3.28: Waterhole 180101
- 139 Figure 3.29: 'Ring' of waterholes around Neolithic HE1 enclosure with detail of waterhole 135071 and ramped re-cut 135055
- 140 Figure 3.30: Wood ladder 135042
- 141 Figure 3.31: Waterhole 156028
- 143 Figure 3.32: Artist's reconstruction of a middle Bronze Age 'waterhole ceremony'
- 144 Figure 3.33: Axe/adze handles (1-2) and 'Beaters' (3-4) from middle Bronze Age waterholes
- 146 Figure 3.34: Ramped waterhole 124100
- 147 Figure 3.35: Burnt Flint features around waterhole 124100
- 149 Figure 3.36: Waterhole complex 103040, 103038, 136194
- 150 Figure 3.37: Late Bronze Age pottery assemblage and decorated late Bronze Age/ early Iron Age bowl from Waterhole complex 103040, 103038, 136194
- 151 Figure 3.38: Location of Bronze Age cremation burials at Perry Oaks
- 153 Figure 3.39: Gateway in Trackway 1, used for stock management
- 156 Figure 3.40: Pollen samples from waterhole 178108
- 158 Figure 3.41: Pollen samples from waterhole 124100
- 159 Figure 3.42: Pollen diagram from late Bronze Age waterhole 155144
- 161 Figure 3.43: Plant macrofossils from late Bronze Age waterhole 180080
- 162 Figure 3.44: Burnt flint wt by intervention from Bronze Age fields and trackways
- 163 Figure 3.45: Average pottery sherd weight for landholdings, trackways and waterholes
- 165 Figure 3.46: Late Bronze Age early Iron Age settlement and landholding
- 167 Figure 3.47: Pottery distribution showing the process of settlement nucleation from the middle Bronze Age to the middle and late Iron Age at Perry Oaks

CHAPTER 4

- 170 Figure 4.1: Extent of middle Iron Age occupation at Perry Oaks
- 172 Figure 4.2: Distribution of middle Iron Age pottery
- 173 Figure 4.3: Distribution of pottery by middle Iron Age feature type
- 175 Figure 4.4: Distribution of dated material in different fill types of middle Iron Age features
- 177 Figure 4.5: Burnt flint and animal bone in middle Iron Age features

- 178 Figure 4.6: Plan of the middle Iron Age settlement core, with roundhouses highlighted
- 179 Figure 4.7: Correlation between the diameters of the penannular gullies and the quantities of finds within them
- 181 Figure 4.8: Group (a) gullies 4 and 9 and Group (b) gully 10
- 183 Figure 4.9: Penannular gullies of Group (c)
- 185 Figure 4.10: Penannular gully 8
- 187 Figure 4.11: Penannular gully 3 and rectilinear enclosure/structure 108018
- 188 Figure 4.12: Location of middle Iron Age waterholes with detail of waterhole 178015
- 191 Figure 4.13: The southern middle Iron Age enclosure
- 192 Figure 4.14: Middle Iron Age activity in the western field system
- 195 Figure 4.15: Middle Iron Age waterhole 148303
- 197 Figure 4.16: Middle Iron Age activity in the eastern field system
- 198 Figure 4.17: Distribution of pottery within the middle Iron Age pit group within the settlement
- 200 Figure 4.18: Pollen diagram for waterhole 178015
- 201 Figure 4.19: Artist's reconstruction of the middle Iron Age settlement at Perry Oaks, looking west through the entrance in the southern enclosure
- 202 Figure 4.20: Late Iron Age early Roman landscape at Perry Oaks
- 204 Figure 4.21: Late Iron Age early Roman settlement in the northern part of Perry Oaks
- 207 Figure 4.22: Late Iron Age early Roman landscape showing different zones of activity
- 209 Figure 4.23: Early and mid Roman landscape
- 210 Figure 4.24: Phases of Enclosure E1
- 213 Figure 4.25: Mid to late Roman buildings and graph showing distribution of charcoal remains
- 214 Figure 4.26: Roman buildings B1 and B2 and charts showing distribution of charred plant remains from B1
- 215 Figure 4.27: Waterhole 133198 with illustration of wooden bowl
- 217 Figure 4.28: Well/waterhole sequence (174024, 174019, 174070, 174069) within the Roman settlement with illustration of twisted willow rope from 174019
- 219 Figure 4.29: Late Roman landscape
- 220 Figure 4.30: Late Roman building B5
- 221 Figure 4.31: Late Roman well/waterhole 174069 with illustration of Alice Holt flagon
- 223 Figure 4.32: Other finds from Well 174069. No. 1. twisted willow withy tie; No. 2. 'reliquary'
- 224 Figure 4.33: Late Roman 'ladder' enclosure system
- 226 Figure 4.34: Roman ladder enclosures at the Imperial College Sports Ground
- 229 Figure 4.35: The late Roman lead tank
- 230 Figure 4.36: Artist's impression of the ceremony leading to the deposition of the lead tank into pit/waterhole
- 231 Figure 4.37: Post-Roman use of the 'ladder' enclosure system

List of Plates

CHAPTER 1

- 9 Plate 1.1: Aerial photo of Perry Oaks sludge works drying beds looking east with Heathrow airport in the background
- 10 Plate 1.2: Photograph looking south-east across Beds A and C at Perry Oaks
- 19 Plate 1.3: Site tour looking south-east with project team standing within middle Iron Age penannular gully 3 in WPR98 Bed C

CHAPTER 2

- 44 Plate 2.1: View from C1 Cursus ditch looking towards the Mesolithic pits
- 44 Plate 2.2: Mesolithic pits 120028, 160021 and possible Mesolithic pit 159025
- 47 Plate 2.3: Excavation of the C1 Stanwell Cursus looking north
- 56 Plate 2.4: Reconstructed cursus looking south
- 57 Plate 2.5: Reconstructed cursus central bank looking east
- 57 Plate 2.6: Standing on reconstructed cursus bank looking east

CHAPTER 3

- 122 Plate 3.1: Trackway 4: recut boundary ditch 218035 looking north
- 132 Plate 3.2: Ring gully 128119 within Settlement 6, looking north-west
- 137 Plate 3.3: Wattle structure excavated in waterhole 159200
- 145 Plate 3.4: Wooden revetment within waterhole 124100
- 146 Plate 3.5: Part of wood and wattle revetment on the base of waterhole 124100

CHAPTER 4

- 182 Plate 4.1: Penannular gully 10 looking south-east
- 184 Plate 4.2: Penannular gully 8 looking west
- 186 Plate 4.3: Penannular gully 3 looking south-east from the north-west corner of WPR98 Bed C
- 189 Plate 4.4: Excavation of Iron Age Waterhole 155116
- 196 Plate 4.5: Surveying the middle Iron Age features in the eastern field system
- 215 Plate 4.6: Plan view of collapsed wooden/wattle lining within Romano-British well 133198
- 216 Plate 4.7: Close up of wattle inside Romano-British well 133198
- 216 Plate 4.8: Excavation of Romano-British waterhole sequence 174024, 174019 and 174011
- 222 Plate 4.9: Excavation of the wooden revetment in the base of late Roman waterhole 174069
- 227 Plate 4.10: Withy ropes and straps (135088 and 135089) within late Roman waterhole 135087
- 228 Plate 4.11: Excavation of the lead tank from late Roman waterhole 135087
- 228 Plate 4.12: Excavation of the lead tank from late Roman waterhole 135087

List of Tables

CHAPTER 1

23 Table 1.1: Levels of data in each stage of dissemination within the Perry Oaks Volume **CHAPTER 2**

- 31 Table 2.1: Table showing thermoluminescence dates for Mesolithic pits in area of the Stanwell C1 Cursus at Perry Oaks WPR98
- 32 Table 2.2: Chronological divisions of lithic artefacts
- 33 Table 2.3: Distribution of possible Mesolithic flints, by feature
- 34 Table 2.4: Quantification of Neolithic and early Bronze Age pottery from Perry Oaks
- 39 Table 2.5: Palaeolithic finds from Heathrow
- 40 Table 2.6: Mesolithic features from Perry Oaks
- 49 Table 2.7: Neolithic ceramic assemblage from the C1 Stanwell Cursus
- 51 Table 2.8: C1 Stanwell Cursus lithic assemblage
- 56 Table 2.9: Volume of spoil excavated from Stanwell Cursus at Perry Oaks (WPR98)
- 67 Table 2.10: Lithic assemblage from tree-throw 156191
- 74 Table 2.11: Estimated original dimensions and volumes of HE1 ditches
- 74 Table 2.12: Estimated dimensions and volume of HE1 banks
- 76 Table 2.13: Lithic assemblage from the HE1 enclosure
- 77 Table 2.14: Comparison of flint condition from the upper and lower fills of the ring ditch (HE1)
- 82 Table 2.15: Late Neolithic/early Bronze Age lithic assemblage from pit 127022
- 88 Table 2.16: Ceramics of the 2nd millennium BC

CHAPTER 3

- 95 Table 3.1: Date range of Bronze Age pottery
- 112 Table 3.2: Pottery assemblage from Trackway 1
- 118 Table 3.3: Quantity of pottery from postholes or pits 210026 and 221005
- 124 Table 3.4: Proportion of Deverel Rimbury to Post-Deverel Rimbury pottery in the Burrows Hill settlement (Settlement 2)
- 128 Table 3.5: Radiocarbon dates from 156034 within well 156031
- 130 Table 3.6: Quantity of Deverel Rimbury and Post-Deverel Rimbury pottery from later features in and around Landholding 4
- 133 Table 3.7: Bronze Age waterholes at Perry Oaks
- 138 Table 3.8: Waterholes: Type, location and date

CHAPTER 4

- 172 Table 4.1: Quantification of pottery by feature type for the middle Iron Age
- 178 Table 4.2: Diameter of roundhouses at Perry Oaks
- 180 Table 4.3: Quantities of material from roundhouse gullies
- 193 Table 4.4: Dated residual material from contexts of waterhole 148303
- 205 Table 4.5: Quantities of pottery recovered from late Iron Age features

The Freeviewer CD-Rom

The volume is accompanied by a CD-Rom containing the Framework Archaeology Freeviewer. This GIS viewing software has been developed to enable readers to have access to more data than would be possible in a traditional publication. The monograph and Freeviewer are designed to be used together so that if more data is required in order to view the evidence supporting a particular argument presented in the text, it will be possible to consult the particular dataset via the Freeviewer. Filters can be applied to show different distributions of finds material by date, and at the start of Chapters 1–4 in this volume there are there Freeviewer boxes referencing particular queries that are available within the Freeviewer (eg 'Bronze Age waterholes' or 'Roman buildings'). Please note that much of the data within the Freeviewer is essentially primary data, in that it represents material and ideas generated on-site, without additional post-excavation analysis. Because of this there may be the occasional discrepancy with the data as presented within this volume. In addition to the Freeviewer, the CD-Rom also contains the full set of finds and environmental reports in PDF form as listed below:

- 1 Prehistoric pottery by Rachel Every and Lorraine Mepham
- 2 Romano-British pottery by Kayt Brown
- 3 Flint by Kate Cramp
- 4 Bronze Age metalwork by Andrew J Lawson
- 5 Stone axe by Fiona Roe
- 6 Wooden finds *by Steve Allen*
- 7 Roman lead tank by David Petts
- 8 Human bone by Jacqueline I. McKinley
- 9 Waterlogged plant remains by Wendy J. Carruthers
- 10 Wood charcoal and charred plant remains by Dana Challinor
- 11 Palynological analysis *by Pat Wiltshire*
- 12 Insects by Mark Robinson
- 13 Soil micromorphology by Helen A Lewis
- 14 Sediments by Martin R Bates

Instructions for installing the Freeviewer are presented below:

- 1. Insert the CD-Rom in your CD Drive
- 2. If Autoplay is enabled then the Framework Archaeology Installer will start. Otherwise double-click on the CD-Rom Drive letter in My Computer or select Autoplay from the right click pop-up menu.
- 3. Once the Framework Archaeology Installer has started, you should install the Framework Archaeology Freeviewer (menu option 1). Click the button to start the installation.

- 4. This starts a standard install program for the Framework Freeviewer. Follow the instructions of this installer. At the end of this process, you will then need to install the data.
- 5. Use the menu option 2 to start the installation of the data for the Perry Oaks excavations and follow the instructions. You may need to be patient as this can take some time to complete. During the installation you will be prompted to either accept the default location on your computer for the data or you can specify a location of your choice.
- 6. Once you have installed the data you can then exit the Framework Archaeology Installer by clicking the exit button.
- 7. Now you can start to explore the data using the Framework Archaeology Freeviewer. You will find a short-cut on the desktop to start the program. The Programs section of the Start Menu will also contain a folder called Framework Archaeology which contains short cuts to start the program and a link to the Help File. Help can be accessed within the program by pressing the F1 key or by using the Help option on the pull-down menu.

System requirements

The program requires 12MB of disk space to install and once installed will take up 3.5MB of disk space. The data (varying by project) may require approximately 1.1GB of free disk space and will use approximately 500MB of disk space once installed for the largest Framework Archaeology project. You will require as a minimum a 500 Mhz processor or better. The program is a Windows®-based application designed to run on Windows 2000® and Windows XP® operating systems. It will also run on Windows 98® but with limitations. Running on Windows 2000® and Windows XP® you will typically require 256MB of memory. The program will run with less memory but with a performance impact. Since the program includes a Geographic Information System, you will find that using the program is more comfortable at higher screen resolutions. The program is designed to run on a minimum screen resolution of 800 by 600 pixels but a screen resolution of 1024 by 768 or higher will greatly improve your experience of the Framework Freeviewer.

Data formats

The data is presented using the following data formats:

Database attribute data is in Microsoft Access 2000[®] format (.mdb) and stored in the AttributeData folder under the project folder, Perry Oaks. The mapping data is stored in ESRI[®] shapefile format (.shp) and stored in the SpatialData folder under the project folder, Perry Oaks. Supporting images such as sections and digital photographs are in .jpg format and stored under Sections and Photos folders under the project folder, Perry Oaks. The data can be directly accessed using your preferred Geographic Information Software if required.

Foreword

Almost 9000 years ago humans who lived by hunting and gathering dug a series of small pits on land overlooking a small river valley in what is now West London; today that site is covered by part of the newly constructed Terminal 5 at Heathrow Airport. These two events form part of a continuous human history of this area linking the people of early prehistoric times to those of the present day. This volume seeks to illuminate that history in some detail. That we are able to do so is because of a substantial programme of archaeological excavations undertaken as part of the Terminal 5 development.

Archaeological excavation is now a normal and accepted part of many development projects. Terminal 5, however, has not been a normal development; it has been one of the biggest construction projects in the world and this has presented particular challenges. From the outset, BAA was determined to ensure that Terminal 5 set new standards and benchmarks for UK construction. Building on its pioneering approach to partnering and taking further inspiration from the 1998 Egan report, Rethinking Construction, BAA created a bespoke commercial partnering agreement with contractors and suppliers called the T5 Agreement. This was a contract based on relationships and behaviours, designed to expose and manage risk rather than transfer that risk to other parties. Open communication, collaboration and an ethos of continuous improvement in the interests of achieving excellence were expected as standard on the project. These principles were applied across the whole range of construction-related activities, be it the delivery of aircraft pavements, baggage handling systems or, indeed, archaeology.

The archaeological project required a particular blend of field skills, academic expertise and liaison with the client. Much of the success of the project has been due to the appointment of a strong archaeological team of contractor and consultants, and to the excellent working relationship which the team has established with BAA. It was considered that the size of the excavation would stretch the resources of any one archaeological contractor and BAA was instrumental in setting up Framework Archaeology, a joint venture of Oxford Archaeology and Wessex Archaeology, the first occasion such an arrangement had been employed in a development context. From the beginning it was seen that a commitment to excellence would involve academic guidance and Professor John Barrett of Sheffield University has acted as academic advisor and played an important part throughout.

The archaeological team's challenge was to put in place a programme which would result in the greatest possible contribution to knowledge in as cost-efficient a manner as possible. Development-led archaeology is sometimes criticised as being simply an exercise in recording the remains on a site, with insufficient thought being given to what the value of the results might be. The Terminal 5 research design lies at the heart of the archaeological programme and its focus has been the history of human lives, rather than the recording of material remains; it has been about people, not things. Our desire has been to make this history available to the widest possible audience. A key objective of the archaeological work was the production of a narrative of the human history of the site which would be both accessible and updated as work progressed. This strategy proved very successful during excavation and stimulated interest in and support for the archaeological programme across the entire construction project and also within the local community.

Managing research - a process of asking questions about the past and seeking answers from the archaeological evidence contained in the ground - on the scale demanded by the Terminal 5 programme was a major challenge. BAA provided development funding to enable the archaeological team to review established working practices and re-design the archaeological process. Above all, what was sought was the active engagement of every member of the archaeological team in writing the history of the site. By demanding that each excavator move beyond the simple requirements of recording to the challenge of understanding the historical conditions in which people had lived, the programme not only required more of the excavation team but reaped the benefits in high levels of motivation. The feedback from members of Framework Archaeology who worked on the site has been extremely positive.

The style of this volume has tried to capture something of the immediacy and freshness of the developing on-site narrative, an approach which has been made possible by the digital presentation of detailed data on disc. The archaeological project is still very much "work in progress"; in accordance with spirit of the Terminal 5 programme it is hoped that the approach will be developed in the future and will stimulate discussion and debate within the archaeological profession.

The successful implementation of the archaeological programme on a development the size and complexity of Terminal 5 has been a considerable achievement and the archaeological discoveries made have amply repaid the efforts expended by all concerned. The excavations described in this and the forthcoming volume have recovered remarkable detail about past lives and made a major contribution to our understanding of the past.

Gill Andrews Archaeological Consultant to BAA plc

Summary

Between 1996 and 2000 Framework Archaeology undertook extensive excavations of an important prehistoric and Roman landscape at Perry Oaks sludge works, Heathrow, Middlesex. This volume presents the results of these excavations. Further archaeological work in advance of a fifth passenger terminal ('T5') at Heathrow Airport took place from 2002 onwards, and the results of those excavations will be integrated with the data contained in this volume, to be presented in Volume 2 of this series.

The earliest evidence of human habitation at Perry Oaks comprised a handful of pits which were dug in the 7th millennium BC at a location on the edge of the Colne floodplain. In the late 4th millennium BC, the landscape was transformed by the construction of the C1 Stanwell Cursus, one of the great monuments of Neolithic Britain. This event was followed by the construction of a second cursus (the C2 Cursus) and a small horseshoe shaped enclosure. In the space of a few centuries or less, people had transformed the landscape from one defined by memories of ancient locations to one defined by the architecture of earthen banks and ditches. However, by 1700 BC further changes led to the replacement of a system that apportioned land and resources through ceremony to one of physical demarcation: the first land tenure and field divisions. Settlements became archaeologically visible and landholdings developed into a landscape of small and large fields traversed by ditched trackways. This landscape supported a mixed arable / pastoral agricultural economy, supplemented by resources from the innumerable hedgerows which divided the fields. People maintained links with the past through ceremonies resulting in particular artefacts being deposited in the base of waterholes.

From the late 2nd millennium BC the pattern of small settlements scattered across the landscape changed to one of fewer and larger settlements. Little specific evidence was recovered for early Iron Age activity, but major elements of the Bronze Age agricultural landscape appear to have persisted well into this period. Waterholes appear to have retained their status as places of offering for generations of farmers during the late Bronze Age/early Iron Age whilst hedgerows were maintained and ancient trackways respected. Over this period, the Perry Oaks landscape came under the control of new cultural and economic influences and designs, culminating in a gradual transformation which saw the emergence in the middle Iron Age of a nucleated settlement of roundhouses. This in turn became a focal point for continuing occupation and ceremony through into the Roman period. However, the Perry Oaks landscape of the later Roman period largely overwrote the previous land divisions, focussing outwards and away from the ancient local community. Some fossilisation of this late Roman landscape can be traced in the medieval ridge and furrow and the alignment of a post-medieval trackway, although by this time the site appears to have reverted to localised rural inhabitation and agricultural regime.

Acknowledgements

The various phases of the Perry Oaks project have involved contributions from many people.

BAA

We are grateful to the Managing Director of the Terminal 5 Project, Eryl Smith, and Terminal 5 Construction Director, Norman Haste, for their interest and support.

The Framework Joint Venture was fostered on behalf of BAA by Andrew Gibson to whom we owe a great deal of thanks. The conduct of the excavations themselves was managed for BAA by Tony Power and David Harwood together with Ashley Hollington of EC Harris.

The staff of Laing O'Rourke provided essential advice and guidance, particularly Andy Anderson, Nick Harris and David Lloyd. Lorne Ireland and Jim Hodgekiss managed the plant, equipment and attendances with consummate professionalism.

BAA Consultancy and Advice

Many thanks are due to BAA's archaeological consultant, Gill Andrews, and academic advisor, John Barrett, who have provided constant support, advice and feedback through all stages of the project.

The principal contributions from Framework Archaeology staff

The Project was managed and directed by John Lewis and Ken Welsh. The planning of the project was aided by numerous contributors including Andy Crockett, Gill Hey, Sue Davies, George Lambrick, David Jennings and Jonathon Nowell. Linda Coleman undertook the topographic and truncation modelling. The difficult task of supervising the machine stripping and survey of the site under extremely bad weather conditions was undertaken by Nicholas Cooke. He and Jeff Muir were the principal Project Officers who oversaw the main excavations. The site supervisors were Angela Batt, Fraser Brown, Nicholas Mitchel (who also recorded the waterlogged wooden remains), Rob Johns, Jenny Morrison, Rod Brook, Richard Conolly, Jo Best and Simon Mortimer. Simon Mortimer also directed the excavations at Northern Taxiway (GAI99) and Grass Area 21 (GAA00), and was assisted at the latter by Phil Jefferson. Lorraine Mepham together with Leigh Allen managed the processing, recording and on-site analysis of the artefact assemblage which was undertaken by Rachel Every. Andy Bates recorded and reported on the animal bone assemblage. Dana Challinor was responsible for environmental sampling and processing. Kirsten Miller and Rosemary Wheeler scanned and digitised the plans and sections and oversaw the entry of data onto the database. Paul Miles provided computing support and advice. Anthony Beck developed the Framework database system and was responsible for surveying and all on-site computing. The scale of this achievement cannot be underestimated. Keith Westcott developed the stratigraphy-ranking algorithm. Niall Donald replaced Anthony Beck at the end of the fieldwork and has made a similarly important contribution. He has managed the data as well as stabilising and refining the database and GIS system. In particular, Niall has created the concept of entities as analytical tools and this has proved an important advance in the Framework Archaeology analytical process. The Framework Freeviewer software was also developed by Niall Donald.

Site archaeologists

The most important contribution to the project was from the site archaeologists in the form of the excavation, recording and on-site interpretation, without which there would be no report. However, the site staff not only shaped the nature of the excavation and the archive, but also the ethos of Framework Archaeology. The archaeologists involved were:

J Alcock, C Appleton, R Barrett, C Barton, A Bates, S Bates-Lacy, A Beaucock, C Bloor, K Blythe, P Breach, G Campbell, M Campbell, S Clelland, K Colls, R Court, S Craig, J Crisp, C Cropper, N Dagless, N Dale, M Davis, S Dennis, L Dicicilia, A Dicker, J Dilcock, P Durnford, J Eaton, F Edwards, S Exelby, T Fairclough, P Gajos, T Gent, F Gibson, J Gidlow, E Glass, R Golding, S Hamblett, D Harris, S Harris, J Helmsley, E Hemming, B Hennessy, R Hoyle, R Johns, C Jones, N Lambert, C Lawson-O'Brien, S Leech, B Lewis, C Lowe, G Mabbott, D Maricevic, L Martin, T Mellor, B Middleton, D Miller, S Morris, P McNulty, P Noble, E Noyce, M Orna-Ornstein, P Owen, A Page, A Paul, J Pearce, M Pearce, N Plunkett, P Poucher, A Prior, A Rackley, R Radford, N Redvers-Higgins, D Rodgers, J Rolfe, A Smallcross, J Stedman, D Stevens, M Stewart, D Sykes, E Taylor, S Thomas, M Thompson, S Thompson, R Villa, M Walter and R Woodgate.

The 1996 Museum of London Archaeology Service excavation at Perry Oaks (site code POK96) was managed by Simon Mason and directed in the field by Stuart Hoad.

Specialist support both on site and during post-excavation analysis

S Allen, M R Bates, K Brown, W J Carruthers, D Challinor, K Cramp, R Every, A J Lawson, H A Lewis, J I McKinley, L Mepham, D Petts, M Robinson, F Roe and P Wiltshire.

The post excavation analysis and publication programme also involved many staff in addition to many of those mentioned above. Fraser Brown, Angela Batt and Nicholas Cooke undertook the analysis and produced the first drafts of the main chapters. This was a particularly difficult task, since the format, style and content of the report was far from clear to anybody at the time. In addition, until Niall Donald developed the Framework Freeviewer software, the mechanism for distributing the digital data in a coherent form was absent. These chapters were subsequently reviewed and additional analysis and content provided by John Lewis with John Barrett, Alex Smith and Lisa Brown. Alex Smith edited the volume. The artefacts were drawn by Elizabeth James. The reconstruction work was done by Tom Goskar and Karen Nichols. Karen Nichols produced the final publication figures, typeset and designed the layout of the monograph.

Curatorial Advice

We would like to thank Greater London Archaeological Advisory Service (GLAAS) officers Robert Whytehead and Jez Reeve for advice throughout the project, and Jon Finney (Principal Architect/Planner, London Borough of Hillingdon) and Harvey Sheldon who monitored the fieldwork programme on behalf of the London Borough of Hillingdon. We are particularly grateful to Jonathan Cotton of the Museum of London who has provided much valuable advice, knowledge and encouragement over many years.

Framework Joint Venture Board and Management Team

The joint venture was agreed and overseen by the then chief executives of Wessex and Oxford Archaeology, Andrew Lawson and David Miles, together with Peter Dawes and Simon Palmer. This role has continued under the present Chief Executives, David Jennings and Sue Davies together with Clive Burrows who replaced Peter Dawes. The Framework Management team is composed of John Dillon and Bob Williams who provide guidance and advice.