

Site/Project Name **OXFORD, Logic Lane**

Site Code: OXLL10

Site/Project Type: Watching Brief

Year(s): 2011

Accession Number: OXCMS:2010.55

Record Group	Contents	Comments	Box/File Number
	INTRODUCTION Design Brief Written Scheme of Investigation	4 sheets See digital version	Box 1 File 1
A	REPORT Watching Brief Report OASIS data collection sheet	See: http://library.thehumanjourney.net/897/ 3 sheets	Box 1 File 2
B	SITE DIARY Watching Brief Record	4 sheets	Box 1 File 3
B	PRIMARY CONTEXT RECORDS Context check-list Context record Context record additional sheet	1 sheet 4 sheets 2 sheets	Box 1 File 4
C	CATALOGUE OF AND PRIMARY DRAWINGS Plan record sheet Section record sheet Plans 1000-1003 Section 1000 Section 1001	1 sheet 1 sheet 4 sheets 1 sheet 1 sheet	Box 1 File 5
D	CATALOGUE OF PHOTOS B+W Photographic record sheets, Films 1 and 2 Digital photographic index sheet Digital contact sheet	2 sheets 1 sheet 1 sheet	Box 1 File 6

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OA South

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OA South] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[OXFORD: Logic Lane Watching Brief, Oxford – OXCMS:2010.55]

Site code[OXLL10]

Line 2: Excavators name[John Gill]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Index to archive	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Introduction	<input type="checkbox"/>
A: Final Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
A: Publication Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	<input type="checkbox"/>
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	<input type="checkbox"/>
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>
F: Documentary	<input type="checkbox"/>
F: Press and Publicity	<input type="checkbox"/>
G: Correspondence	<input type="checkbox"/>
H: Miscellaneous	<input type="checkbox"/>



OXFORD: Logic Lane WB

OXLL 10

BOX 1 FILE 1

A: Introduction

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OA South

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OA South] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[OXFORD: Logic Lane Watching Brief, Oxford – OXCMS:2010.55]

Site code[OXLL10]

Line 2: Excavators name[John Gill]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Index to archive	
Introduction	✓
A: Final Report	
A: Publication Report	
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

Oxford City Council Planning Control and Conservation
Brief for an Archaeological Watching Brief and photographic recording
Project: University College, Oxford.
Development: Removal of existing cobbled surface and laying of York stone and granite.
Brief issued: 7/7/2010
Prepared by: David Radford

1. SUMMARY

This brief sets out the requirement for an archaeological photographic record and watching brief during the removal of cobbles from Logic Lane. The work is required to record any significant archaeological deposits revealed by the works bearing in mind the potential earlier street surfacing in this location.

2. DEFINITION

The definition of an Archaeological Watching Brief is a formal programme of observation and investigation conducted during any operation carried out for non-archaeological reasons. This will be within a specified area or site on landor underwater, where there is a possibility that archaeological deposits may be disturbed or destroyed. The programme will result in the preparation of a report and ordered archive. (IFA, 1999)

3. SITE DESCRIPTION

Logic Lane is a cobbled street enclosed within University College, Oxford SP51780621.

4. PLANNING BACKGROUND

- a. The brief relates to planning application no 09/01265/FUL to Oxford City Council.
- b. PPS5 Policy HE12.3 states that where the loss of the whole or a material part of a heritage asset's significance is justified, local planning authorities should require the developer to record and advance understanding of the significance of the heritage asset before it is lost, using planning conditions or obligations as appropriate. Developers should publish this evidence and deposit copies of the reports with the relevant historic environment record. Local planning authorities should require any archive generated to be deposited with a local museum or other public depository willing to receive it. Local planning authorities should impose planning conditions or obligations to ensure such work is carried out in a timely manner and that the completion of the exercise is properly secured.
- c. Watching briefs should undertaken in accordance with a "written scheme of investigation" which has been agreed in writing by the City Council Archaeologist prior to commencing fieldwork. The "written scheme of investigation" should comprise this brief combined with the archaeological contractor's project design (see below). The project will be completed only when all fieldwork and post-

excavation work has been undertaken, the archive has been deposited and any required publication secured.

5. ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

- a. An archaeological photographic record and watching brief is considered necessary because the repair work involves the removal of part of a cobbled surface of unknown date (potentially 18th century or later) and limited ground works to create a new surface. Excavations in Logic Lane have previously produced evidence for a Late Neolithic/Bronze Age barrow (UAD181) also late Saxon pottery and three undated human skeletons (UAD157).
- b. Logic Lane was formerly known as 'Jaudewyne's lane' or Horseman Lane in the 13th and 14th centuries. During the medieval period, a horse-mill was located here. It was also known as Horsemull Lane. The name of Logic Lane was adopted by the 17th century, due to a school of logicians at the northern end of the lane. A medieval street used to run across Logic Lane as an extension of the current Kybald Street to the west, but was closed in 1448 (VCH, 1979).

6. PROJECT OBJECTIVES

- Identify and record any significant archaeological remains revealed by the ground works, paying particular regard to the potential for medieval, post medieval and modern era remains.
- Produce a photographic record of the area of cobbled surface impacted by the works.

7. PROCEDURE AND PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

Archaeological Watching Briefs must be undertaken in accordance with the *Standard and Guidance for archaeological watching briefs* published by the Institute of Field Archaeologists (IFA, 1999). Each watching brief must be governed by a project design which has been agreed in writing by the City Council Archaeologist. The project design should be based on a thorough study of all relevant background information (In this case specifically the 2004 Oxford Archaeology Evaluation Report). It should conform to the requirements set out in paragraph 3.2.12 of the IFA guidelines and should in particular include:

- The project's objectives.
- A description of the proposed works and an assessment of their archaeological impact with an accompanying plan.
- Details of the methodology for implementing the watching brief indicating those works which are to be observed, the frequency of observation (permanent/daily visits etc) and any archaeological control over the developer's operating procedures.

- An assessment of the potential for, and possible nature of, any "unexpected discoveries"¹ with details of contingency arrangements for salvage recording.
- Procedures for project management (to follow the principles set out in Management of Archaeological Projects (MAP) (English Heritage, 1991)).
- The expertise of the project team. The project manager should be a named Member of the Institute of Field Archaeologists (MIFA) who is adequately qualified to manage the required archaeological work in line with the guidance set out in the IFA code of conduct. The composition and experience of the project team should be described. Specialists should be identified where required (e.g. for finds and environmental work). In some cases it will also be necessary to identify academic advisors. CVs should be supplied outlining the relevant qualifications and experience of key personnel - where relevant this should include specific reference to knowledge of particular periods and local/regional traditions. *Note: Specialists should be able to demonstrate a relevant qualification and track record of at least 3 years continuous relevant work (or equivalent) and appropriate publication. In appropriate circumstances, less experienced staff may conduct work under the supervision of well-established and widely recognised specialists.*
- An outline of the proposed timetable and staff resources - this must be non-binding and presented "for information only"
- Reporting and Archiving arrangements.

8. FIELDWORK METHODOLOGY

a. Procedure

Watching briefs require that the archaeologist(s) are present on site during works in the following circumstances: comprehensive/detailed (present during all works which may affect archaeological remains); intensive (present during specified sensitive works); intermittent (viewing immediately after each specified phase of works). In this case:

- **A photographic record of the area of cobbles impacted by the works should be produced and appropriately annotated to a measured plan.**
- **An intermittent watching brief should be undertaken during the removal of the cobbles and any significant ground works required for the new paving slabs.**

The presence/absence of archaeological features should be noted. If features are identified then sufficient work should be done to date, characterise and record the remains in accordance with the project objectives. An adequate contingency should

¹ The term "unexpected discovery" covers features whose existence and/or significance was unknown at the outset of the watching brief but subsequently prove to be potentially of county or national importance.

be provided to cover the eventuality that features exposed in the section of the trench can be adequately recorded.

If an "unexpected discovery" is made then the City Council Archaeologist should be informed as soon as possible. Initially consideration should be given to preservation in-situ but if this is not practical then such discoveries may give rise to a salvage excavation funded from the contingency (see below).

b. Recording

In principle, recording standards should be the same as for formal excavations but the particular practical difficulties and constraints of watching brief recording are acknowledged. Features should be recorded in plan at an appropriate scale and accurately located in relation to the National Grid. Each context should be recorded on pro-forma records which should include the following minimum details: character; contextual relationships; detailed description (dimensions and shape; soil components, colour, texture and consistency); associated finds; interpretation and phasing as well as cross-references to the drawn, photographic and finds registers. Normally each context should be recorded on an individual record. Sections should be drawn through all significant cut features and levelled to ordnance datum.

A black and white photographic record should be maintained including photos of all significant features and overall photos of each watching brief area. Selected colour transparencies should also be taken.

c. Artefact and Ecofact collection and recording

All stratified finds should be collected by context or, where appropriate, individually recorded in 3 dimensions. Unstratified finds should only be collected where they contribute significantly to the project objectives or are of particular intrinsic interest. Finds of "treasure" must be reported to the Coroner in accordance with the Treasure Act procedures.

Collection policies for structural remains and industrial residues have been set out by the Society of Museum Archaeologists (SMA, 1993). The presence of such materials within a context should always be recorded and, where they are considered to be of importance, the watching brief should aim to quantify their occurrence, even where comprehensive retention is not considered appropriate.

d. Contingency and salvage excavation

Contingency arrangements must be specified in the project design and should take account of the nature of possible "unexpected discoveries" and the likely impact of the development upon them. Arrangements should include the demarcation of the area for excavation, the period of temporary cessation of development works within this area and the resources (expressed in person-days, specialist input etc.) available to undertake the excavation.

In the event of discovery of any human remains the archaeological contractor should inform the client, the City Council Archaeologist, the Coroner, the Police

and the Ministry of Justice via the submission of an application form for the 'Archaeological/Accidental/Site Investigation Licence regarding the disturbance of human remains'. The Human remains should be left in-situ, covered and protected. Where a licence for their excavation is issued by the Ministry of Justice, the requirements of that licence should be followed. Where the Ministry of Justice is unable to issue a licence and it is reasonably determined that the remains are likely to be subject to further unavoidable disturbance or deterioration the archaeological contractor should inform the client and Ministry of Justice of their intention to excavate the remains with due decency and in accordance with the general conditions formerly attached to licences issued for excavation of human remains under similar circumstances.

The only exception is where excavations are being undertaken in a churchyard under a faculty issued by the Chancellor of Oxford Diocese (in such cases the faculty requirements should be followed). Human remains should be treated in accordance with IFA guidelines (IFA, 2004) and the advice set out in *Guidance for best practice for treatment of human remains excavated from Christian burial grounds in England* (English Heritage, 2005).

Provision should be made within the contingency for: conservation (lifting and treatment) of fragile objects and the collection and analysis of environmental and scientific (including dating) samples. Sampling is to be carried out in accordance with a strategy which is related to the project objectives and has been agreed with English Heritage's Regional Adviser in Archaeological Science.

9. POST-EXCAVATION METHODOLOGY

- a. For most watching briefs it will be sufficient to complete an archive report for the UAD, publish a summary note and deposit the archive (see below).
- b. For projects which have produced results of significant county, regional or national importance, an illustrated interim report together with a post-excavation assessment and updated project design (MAP Stage 3) should be submitted by the archaeological contractor and approved by the City Council Archaeologist within 6 months of the completion of fieldwork. Post-excavation analysis and report preparation should proceed in accordance with the agreed updated project design unless subsequent variations are agreed by the City Council Archaeologist.

10. PUBLICATION

- a. For all projects, a summary report (including illustrations where appropriate) should be sent to the editors of *South Midlands Archaeology* not later than three months after the end of the calendar year in which the work is undertaken.
- b. For projects which have produced results of significant county, regional or national importance, an illustrated final report which meets the guidelines set out in MAP Appendix 7 and is suitable for publication in an approved archaeological journal should be provided to the City Council Archaeologist within one year of the completion of fieldwork (unless a longer time period has been agreed in the updated project design). The overall content of the report should be agreed with the City

Council Archaeologist. The report should be clearly referenced in all respects to all work on the site. It should place the site in its local archaeological, historical and topographical context and include a clear location map. Each plan included should clearly relate to some other included plan of an appropriate scale and should normally include national grid references.

- c. Two bound offprints of the final publication and a digital copy of the text, in PDF format, must be supplied to the City UAD and one to the HER. A copy of any specialist papers relating to the site should also be supplied. A further offprint should accompany the archive.
- d. A publication grant should be provided to the publishers of the report in accordance with their requirements.

11. OASIS

Once the final report has been accepted contractors taking part in the OASIS scheme should complete an OASIS fieldwork summary form and submit it to the Archaeology Data Service. Contributors not yet formally participating are also encouraged to submit data. The form and guidance for its completion can be found at <http://ads.ahds.ac.uk/project/oasis/first.html>.

12. ARCHIVING

- a. The archaeological contractor should endeavour to ensure that the site archive (including any artefacts recovered) are deposited in an acceptable condition with a museum which is registered with the Museums, Libraries and Archives Council and approved for the storage of archaeological archives. The preferred archive for Oxfordshire is the County Museum. The procedures and requirements which must be followed for the deposit of archaeological archives with Oxfordshire County Museum are available from the Collections and Information Manager. A storage grant should be provided to the museum in accordance with their requirements.
- b. The archaeological contractor should arrange for the archive to be copied on microfiche to the standard required by the National Monuments Record and copy should be deposited with the NMR.

13. MONITORING

- a. Monitoring is carried out by the City Council Archaeologist to ensure that projects are being carried out in accordance with the brief and approved project design, to enable the need for modifications to the project to be independently considered and validated and to control and validate the use of available contingencies.
- b. A programme of monitoring should be agreed with the City Council Archaeologist prior to the commencement of fieldwork. The archaeological contractor should keep the CCA regularly informed of the project's progress and facilitate the monitoring of the project at each stage, including post-excavation. In particular, there should be no substantial modification of the approved brief and project design without the

prior consent of the CCA and no fieldwork should be carried out without the service's knowledge and approval.

- c. All monitoring visits will be documented by the CCA and the archaeological contractor will be informed of any perceived deficiencies.
- d. The CCA should be informed at the earliest opportunity of any unexpected discoveries, especially where there may be a need to vary the project design. The archaeological contractor should carry out such reasonable contingency works as requested by the CCA within the resources defined in the project design.

14. HEALTH AND SAFETY

Health and Safety must take priority over archaeological requirements. It is essential that all projects are carried out in accordance with safe working practices and under a defined Health and Safety Policy. **Risk Assessments must be carried out for every field project.** If the risk assessment indicates it is necessary, the requirements of the brief can be varied in the interests of health and safety (the City Council Archaeologist must be consulted and the proposed changes agreed in such cases). The Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 1994 (CDM) will apply to archaeological work undertaken on many construction (and demolition) projects.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- | | |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Communities and Local Government, 2010 | <i>Planning Policy Statement 5: Planning and the Historic Environment</i> |
| English Heritage, 1991. | <i>Management of Archaeological Projects.</i> |
| English Heritage, 1996. | <i>Waterlogged wood. Guidelines on the Recording, Sampling, Conservation and Curation of Waterlogged Wood.</i> |
| English Heritage, 2005 | <i>Guidance for best practice for treatment of human remains excavated from Christian burial grounds in England, English Heritage and Church of England</i> |
| IFA, 1999 | <i>Standard and Guidance for archaeological watching brief.</i> |
| IFA, 2004 | <i>Guidelines to the Standards for Recording Human Remains.</i> |
| SMA, 1993. | <i>Selection, Retention and Dispersal of Archaeological Collections.</i> |
| Victoria County History, 1979 | <i>A History of the County of Oxford: Volume 4: The City of Oxford , pp. 475-477.</i> |

CONTACTS

Council For British Archaeology South Midlands Group (South Midlands Archaeology)

Mr Barry Horne, Hon.Editor, "Beaumont", Church End, Edlesborough, Dunstable, Beds, LU6 2EP.

English Heritage Regional Adviser in Archaeological Science (South East Region)

Dr Dominique de Moulins, Institute of Archaeology, University College London, 31-34 Gordon Square, London WC1H 0PY. Tel:020 76791539; Fax: 020 73832572; e-mail:d.moulins@ucl.ac.uk

OXFORD: Logic Lane WB

OXLL 10

BOX 1 FILE 2

A: Report

--	--	--	--	--

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OA South

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OA South] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[OXFORD: Logic Lane Watching Brief, Oxford – OXCMS:2010.55]

Site code[OXLL10]

Line 2: Excavators name[John Gill]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Index to archive	
Introduction	
A: Final Report	✓
A: Publication Report	
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

OASIS DATA COLLECTION FORM: England

[List of Projects](#) | [Manage Projects](#) | [Search Projects](#) | [New project](#) | [Change your details](#) | [HER coverage](#) | [Change country](#) | [Log out](#)

Printable version

OASIS ID: oxfordar1-170637

Project details

Project name	Oxford, Logic Lane Paving, University College
Short description of the project	Oxford Archaeology (OA) has undertaken a programme of archaeological recording and watching brief in Logic Lane, Oxford relating to the replacement of a section of stone cobbles with York stone slabs. The repaving works were undertaken to provide disabled access across the road. The archaeological investigation comprised recording in October 2010 before the start of the development and watching brief recording in March 2011 during excavation works. The work was carried out in relation to planning reference 09/01265/FUL and was in accordance with the Written Scheme of Investigation (OA 2010) and a brief produced by David Radford, Oxford City Council. The project allowed accurate recording of the in situ cobbled surface and also allowed observation of underlying deposits during constructor's excavation works. No artefactual evidence was recovered and no underlying archaeological deposits were observed.
Project dates	Start: 11-10-2010 End: 29-03-2011
Previous/future work	Not known / Not known
Any associated project reference codes	OXLL 10 - Sitecode
Any associated project reference codes	OXCMS:2010.55 - Museum accession ID
Type of project	Recording project
Current Land use	Transport and Utilities 1 - Highways and road transport
Monument type	COBBLED SURFACE/ROAD Uncertain
Significant Finds	NONE None
Investigation type	""Watching Brief""
Prompt	Planning condition

Project location

Country	England
Site location	OXFORDSHIRE OXFORD OXFORD Oxford, Logic Lane Paving, University College

Study area 20.40 Square metres
 Site coordinates SP 5178 0621 51.751732824 -1.24981063418 51 45 06 N 001 14 59 W Point

Project creators

Name of Organisation Oxford Archaeology
 Project brief originator Oxford City Council
 Project design originator Oxford Archaeology
 Project director/manager J. Gill
 Project supervisor B. Dean
 Type of sponsor/funding body Landowner
 Name of sponsor/funding body University College

Project archives

Physical Archive Exists? No
 Digital Archive recipient Oxford Archaeology
 Digital Archive ID OXLL 10
 Digital Contents "other"
 Digital Media available "Images raster / digital photography", "Spreadsheets", "Text"
 Paper Archive recipient Oxfordshire County Museum Service
 Paper Contents "Stratigraphic", "other"
 Paper Media available "Context sheet", "Diary", "Photograph", "Plan", "Report", "Section", "Unpublished Text"

Project bibliography 1

Publication type Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)
 Title Oxford, Logic Lane Paving, University College
 Author(s)/Editor(s) Dean, B.
 Date 2012
 Issuer or publisher Oxford Archaeology
 Place of issue or publication Oxford, England
 Description Client report
 URL <http://library.thehumanjourney.net/897>

Entered by Victoria Skipper (victoria.skipper@oxfordarch.ac.uk)

Entered on 5 February 2014

OASIS:

Please e-mail English Heritage for OASIS help and advice

© ADS 1996-2012 Created by Jo Gilham and Jen Mitcham, email Last modified Wednesday 9 May 2012

Cite only: <http://www.oasis.ac.uk/form/print.cfm> for this page

OXFORD : Logic Lane WB
OXLLIO

BOX 1 FILE 3

B: Primary Site Data - Working Brief Record

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OA South

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OA South] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[OXFORD: Logic Lane Watching Brief, Oxford – OXCMS:2010.55]

Site code[OXLL10]

Line 2: Excavators name[John Gill]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Index to archive	
Introduction	
A: Final Report	
A: Publication Report	
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	✓
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X-rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	



WATCHING BRIEF RECORD

SITE CODE
OXLL10

SITE NAME Logic Lane, Oxford

DATE 29/3/10

NGR

County

Start Time

10.00

Oxon

Finish Time

10.30

Milage

Previous Visit

Visit By

24/3/10

B. Griffin

Type of construction work

~~excavation~~ disabled access.

Contacts made

Archaeology present?

Yes:

No:

Undated:

Other:

COMMENTS

Recorded what had been opened for the day and discussed the further works with the site crew. It was decided that it was unlikely that a visit would be needed tomorrow (30/3/11) but that one may be necessary on the 31/3/11. The site staff will continue to call ahead as needed.

Records? Dig, SLR + plan



WATCHING BRIEF RECORD

SITE CODE OXLL10

SITE NAME LOGIC LANE, OXFORD

DATE 24/3/11

NGR

County

Start Time

10.00

Oxon

Finish Time

10.45

Milage

Previous Visit

Visit By

23/3/11

S. COOK

Type of construction work

CONSTRUCTION OF DISABLED ACCESS

Contacts made

Archaeology present?

Yes:

No:

Undated:

Other:

COMMENTS

Recorded the extent of the work carried out since previous visit & discussed the future programme

Another site visit required for tomorrow 25/3/11. The site crew will phone ahead to notify once further work begins.

Records? Photo's Bw & Digic, Plan (1002), Section (1001)



WATCHING BRIEF RECORD

SITE CODE
OXLL10

SITE NAME
Logic Lane, Oxford

DATE 23/3/11

NGR

County
Oxon

Start Time

12.00

Finish Time

13.30

Milage

Previous Visit
11/10/10

Visit By

B. Griffin

Type of construction work

construction of disabled access

Contacts made

Richard?

Archaeology present?

Yes:

No:

Undated:

Other:

COMMENTS

Recorded the extent of the work already well underway and discussed the programme of work.

Organised another site visit for tomorrow (24/3/11) when they should be digging down c. 250mm in order to lay the new york stone surface. The site crew will phone ahead to notify the office once the work is about to start.

Records? Photos (BW + digi), Plan, Section + contexts
8x2 (1001) (1000) (1001 - 1003)



WATCHING BRIEF RECORD

SITE CODE OXLL10		SITE NAME LORIC LANE, OXFORD		DATE 11/10/10
NGR	County OXFORDSHIRE	Start Time	11:00	
		Finish Time	12:45	
Milage /	Previous Visit	Visit By B. DEAN		

Type of construction work
CONSTRUCTION OF DISABLED ACCESS REQUIRING UPLIFTING OF COBBLED SURFACE

Contacts made
NONE

Archaeology present?

Yes:

No:

Undated:

Other:

COMMENTS

RECORDED EXTANT COBBLED SURFACE PRIOR TO WORK

COMMENCING ON DISABLED ACCESS

NEXT VISIT SHOULD BE SCHEDULED FOR WHEN THE REMOVAL OF COBBLED SURFACE IS PLANNED

Records? PHOTOGRAPHS (B+W, F^H: 1-20 + DIS. 1-14), CONTEXT SHEET + PLAN (P. 1000)

OXFORD: Logic Lane WB

OXLL 10

BOX 1 FILE 4

B: Primary Context Records

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OA South

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OA South] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[OXFORD: Logic Lane Watching Brief, Oxford – OXCMS:2010.55]

Site code[OXLL10]

Line 2: Excavators name[John Gill]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Index to archive	
Introduction	
A: Final Report	
A: Publication Report	
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	✓
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

1000

SITE OXLL10

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE STRUCTURE

Trench Context Type: ~~Deposit~~ / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div. Overlain by: 3

DEPOSIT:

- 1. compaction
- 2. colour
- 3. composition
- 4. inclusion
- 5. thickness
- 6. extent
- 7. comments
- 8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abuted by:

Plan No.

1000

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

- 1. shape in plan
- 2. base/sides/top profile
- 3. dimension and depth
- 4. sketch
- 5. truncation
- 6. fill nos
- 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: 1001

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

- 1. materials
- 2. size of bricks etc
- 3. finish of stones
- 4. coursing/bond
- 5. form 6. faces
- 7. bond
- 8. dimensions as found
- 9. other comments

Slide No. DIC: 1-17

Cuts:

Neg No. F#: 1-30

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

THE ROAD SURFACE CONSISTS OF ROUNDED AND SUB-ROUNDED COBBLE STONES RANGING IN SIZE FROM 40mm x 50mm UP TO 140mm x 80mm WITH OCCASIONAL OUTLIER.

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



IN TERMS OF DIMENSIONS, THE COBBLES VARY IN COLOUR FROM A LIGHT ORANGEY BROWN TO A MID BROWN COLOUR. THE AREA RECORDED = c. 19m² ALTHOUGH SURFACE EXTENDS TO THE NORTH AND SOUTH WITH AN AVERAGE WIDTH OF 2.7m P.T.O. → D

Interpretation/Discussion:

0.05m THICK

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal CBM Wood Leather

△ Small Finds

Recorder BD

◇ Samples

Date 11/10/11

△ Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

1001

SITE

OXLL10

ADDITIONAL SHEETS: —

TYPE levelling layer

Trench

Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / ~~Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: 1000

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abuted by:

Plan No.

1001

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

1000

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: 1002

Level

Butts:

Slide No.

F#2

Cuts:

Neg No.

D. 22 + 23

Fill of:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

1) soft + / loose

2) light grey-yellow

3) sand


4) 20% SR gravel 5-30mm

5) 0.05m 6) > 4 x 0.6m

7) /

8) hand sunny!

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion:

Bedding / levelling layer for the existing cobbled road surface (1000)

Finds (tick): None [] Pot. [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass []
 Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

△ Small Finds

Recorder BG

◇ Samples

Date 23/3/11

△ Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.
1002SITE
OXLL10

ADDITIONAL SHEETS: _____

TYPE levelling layer

Trench

Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div.

Overlain by: 1001

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

1001

Cut by:

Filled by:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/side/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Section No.

1000

Same as:

Part of:

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: 1003

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No.

f2

Cuts:

Neg No.

22-23

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

- 1) loose
- 2) mid orange-yellow
- 3) sandy gravel
- 4) 40% SA-SB gravel 20-40mm
- 5) 0.1m
- 6) > 4 x 0.6m
- 7) —
- 8) hand clear

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion:

Redeposited natural coarse ~~gr~~ sandy-gravel used as levelling for the road surface
 Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []
 Small FindsRecorder *BCG* Samples

Date 23/3/11

 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

1003

SITE
OXLL10

ADDITIONAL SHEETS: —

TYPE: levelling layer

Trench

Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div.

Overlain by: 1002

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abuted by:

Plan No.

Cut by:

1001

Filled by:

Section No.

Same as:

1000

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Slide No. P*2

Cuts:

Neg No. D: 22 + 23

Fill of:

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

1) loose

2) dark yellow-orange


3) sandy-gravel

4) 60% SA gravel 20-50mm

5) 0.1m 6) >4 x 0.6m

7) 8) hand; clear

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion:

Redeposited natural sandy-gravel used as a levelling layer for the cobbled road surface (1000).

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass []
 Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

 Small Finds

Recorder BG

 Samples

Date 23/3/11

 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD ADDITIONAL SHEET

Context No.


SITE CODE OXLL10

SITE NAME LOGIC LANE, OXFORD

SHEET NO. |

THE SURFACE IS IRREGULAR WITH A NEGATIVE CANTER RESULTING IN A SLIGHT CONCAVITY AT THE WESTERN + EASTERN EDGES. THE AREA ALONG THE WESTERN EDGE HAS DEEPENED AREAS PRESENT. TWO AREAS APPEAR TO HAVE BEEN RE-SET IN MORE RECENT CONCRETE. THESE ARE BOTH IRREGULAR AND SUBCIRCULAR IN PLAN THE SMALL OF THE TWO LIES TO THE SOUTH OF THE ~~RE~~ RECORNER ROAM + MEASURING 0.5m x 0.35m THE SECOND LIES 1.6m TO THE NORTH AND EAST AND MEASURING 0.60m x 0.65m BOTH ARE SET IN LIGHT GREY CEMENT.

ALONG THE WESTERN EDGE LATER GRANITE SETTS HAVE BEEN LAID ALONG THE ENTIRE LENGTH OF THE ROAD AT A WIDTH OF 1.1m ADJOINING A STONE BUILT WALL. THE ~~EASTERN~~ ~~EDGE~~ IS ~~FLANKED~~ BY THE SETTS MEASURING 80mm x 90mm AND ARE MID → DARK ORANGE/ BROWN IN COLOUR. A SINGLE ROW RUNNING NORTH SOUTH ARE LAID LENGTHWISE WITH ALL OTHER ROWS PERPENDICULAR TO THIS WITH LONG AXES RUNNING EAST-WEST. A SINGLE ROW OF BLACK COBBLES / SETTS FLANKS THE EASTERN SIDE OF THE COBBLES RUNNING NORTH-SOUTH BUT IS ONLY PRESENT TOWARDS THE





CONTEXT RECORD ADDITIONAL SHEET

Context No.

1000

SITE CODE OXLL10

SITE NAME LORIC LANE, OXFORD

SHEET NO. 2

NORTH OF THE ROAD. THESE MEASURE 100m x 100m. 0.7m EAST OF THIS THE ENTIRE SURFACE IS BOUNDED BY LATER YORK STONE PAVERS SOUTH OF THE CURRENT ENTRANCE WAY IS A LARGE BRICK SETTING ORIENTED NORTH - SOUTH ALONG THE EASTERN LENGTH OF THE COBBLES SURFACE CONTAINING YELLOWISH FINISH BRICKS MEASURING c. 200mm x 12mm IN REGULAR ROWS





OXFORD: Logic Lane WB

OXLL10

BOX 1 FILE 5

B: Catalogue of + Primary Drawings

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OA South

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OA South] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[OXFORD: Logic Lane Watching Brief, Oxford – OXCMS:2010.55]

Site code[OXLL10]


Line 2: Excavators name[John Gill]

Line 3:

Classification of material


Tick if present

Index to archive	
Introduction	
A: Final Report	
A: Publication Report	
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings £	✓
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	✓
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	



OXLL10


P. 1000



1:50


B.O

11/10/10




1000

①
②
③




OXLL10
P.1001, S.1000
Scale 1:50
23/3/11-BG



OXLL10

P1002





OXLL10
 P1002
 @ 1:20
 24/3/11




OXLLIØ

P.1003

OXLLIØ
P.1003
Scale 1:50
29/3/11 - BG






OXLL10
 S.1000, P.1001
 Scale 1:20
 ZB/3/11 - BG

" = concrete
 b = brick

- 1001 - 4 gry-gel sand 80% gr SR
- 1002 - reddep nat
- 1003 - dk reddep nat



S1001, P1002

1:20 SE

24/3/11

OXFORD: Logic Lane WB

OXLL10

BOX 1 FILE 6

D: catalogue & photos

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OA South

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OA South] County[Oxfordshire] Parish:[Oxford]

Site[OXFORD: Logic Lane Watching Brief, Oxford – OXCMS:2010.55]

Site code[OXLL10]

Line 2: Excavators name[John Gill]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Index to archive	
Introduction	
A: Final Report	
A: Publication Report	
B: Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	✓
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	



PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET

SITE CODE OXLL10

SITE NAME LOGIC LANE, OXFORD

FILM NO. 1

Camera number 27D

Lens number

Black & white / colour

Date	Negative number	View	Context(s)	Initials
6/10/10	0	/	l.d. SHOT	B.D.
	1	W	1000 COBBLED SURFACE 2x1m SCALE W/B	
	2	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	3	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	4	N	1000 COBBLED SURFACE 2x1m SCALE W/D	
	5	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	6	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	7	W	CLOSE UP OF 1000	
	8	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	9	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	10	N	CLOSE UP OF 1000	
	11	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	12	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	13	E	CLOSE UP OF RE-SET COBBLED AREA AT SOUTH ^{1m SCALE}	
	14	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	15	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	16	↓	CLOSE UP OF NORTHERN RE-SET COBBLED AREA ^{1m SCALE}	
	17	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	18	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	19	N	GENERAL SHOT	
	20	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	21	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	22	S	GENERAL SHOT	
	23	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	24	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	25	SW	GENERAL SHOT	
	26	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	27	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	28	NE	GENERAL SHOT	
	29	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	30	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	31			
	32			
	33			
	34			
	35			
	36			
	37			



PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET

SITE CODE
OXLH10

SITE NAME
Leaic Lane, Oxford

FILM NO. 2

Camera number 5

Lens number

Black & white / ~~colour~~

Date	Negative number	View	Context(s)	Initials
23/3/11	0	-	ID SHOT	BG
↓	1	N	Gen shot / working shot	↓
↓	2	S	Gen shot / working shot	↓
↓	3	N	S, 1000, P1001 1x1m WB	↓
↓	4	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ NB	↓
↓	5	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ NB	↓
↓	6	NW	Gen shot 1x1m	↓
24/3/11	7	N	Gen shot of excavated area WB	SE
↓	8	"	" " NB	↓
↓	9	W	S 1001 WB	↓
↓	10	"	" " NB	↓
29/3/11	11	E	Gen shot of open trench NB	BG
"	12	E	" " " NB	BG
	13			
	14			
	15			
	16			
	17			
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			
	29			
	30			
	31			
	32			
	33			
	34			
	35			
	36			
	37			

Sheet1

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Site Code: OXLL 10		Site Name: Oxford Logic Lane			
2	Site shot	Archive Shot				
3	Number	Number	View	Description	Initials	Date
4	0001	0001		ID shot	BD	11/10/10
5	0002	0002	W	Cobbled surface 1000	BD	11/10/10
6	0003	0003	W	Cobbled surface 1000	BD	11/10/10
7	0004	0004	N	Cobbled surface 1000	BD	11/10/10
8	0005	0005	N	Cobbled surface 1000	BD	11/10/10
9	0006	0006	E	Cobbled surface 1000	BD	11/10/10
10	0007	0007	E	Close up of cobbled area	BD	11/10/10
11	0008	0008	E	Close up of cobbled area	BD	11/10/10
12	0009	0009	N	Close up of cobbled area	BD	11/10/10
13	0010	0010	W	Close up of southern re-set area	BD	11/10/10
14	0011	0011	W	Close up of northern re-set area	BD	11/10/10
15	0012	0012	N	General shot	BD	11/10/10
16	0013	0013	N	General shot	BD	11/10/10
17	0014	0014	S	General shot	BD	11/10/10
18	0015	0015	S	General shot	BD	11/10/10
19	0016	0016	SW	General shot	BD	11/10/10
20	0017	0017	NE	General shot	BD	11/10/10
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						
33						
34						
35						
36						
37						
38						
39						
40						
41						
42						
43						
44						
45						
46						
47						
48						
49						
50						
51						
52						
53						
54						
55						
56						
57						

OXLL10




Shot 0001.JPG



Shot 0002.JPG




Shot 0003.JPG




Shot 0004.JPG




Shot 0005.JPG




Shot 0006.JPG



Shot 0007.JPG



Shot 0008.JPG



Shot 0009.JPG



Shot 0010.JPG



Shot 0011.JPG




Shot 0012.JPG



Shot 0013.JPG



Shot 0014.JPG



Shot 0015.JPG



Shot 0016.JPG



Shot 0017.JPG