

Site/Project Name: **Cambridge Homerton College**

Site Code: CAHOMC 07

Site/Project Type: Evaluation

Year(s): 2007

Accession Number: ECB 2627

Record Group	Contents	Comments	Box/File Number
	INTRODUCTION Archaeological brief Written scheme of investigation	3 sheets 1 bound copy	Box 1 file 1
A	REPORT see http://library.thehumanjourney.net/955		
B	SURVEY REPORTS Archaeogeophysical survey	1 bound copy	Box 1 file 2
B	PRIMARY CONTEXT DATA Levels register Trench and context sheets Tr 1-10	3 sheets as numbered	Box 1 file 3
B	PRIMARY DRAWINGS & CATALOGUE Plan list Section list A4 plans and sections	1 sheet 1 sheet 30 sheets	Box 1 file 4
C	FINDS BOX AND BAG LISTS Finds compendium Box contents sheets Finds context checklist	1 sheet 2 sheets 1 sheet	Box 1 file 5
D	CATALOGUE OF PHOTOGRAPHS B/W index Colour slide index	3 sheets 3 sheets	Box 1 file 6

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth
 No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Cambridgeshire] Parish:[Cambridge]

Site[**Cambridge Homerton College**] Site code[CAHOMC 07]

Line 2: Excavators name[K Welsh]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Index to archive	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Introduction	<input type="checkbox"/>
A:Final Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
A:Publication Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	<input type="checkbox"/>
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	<input type="checkbox"/>
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>
F: Documentary	<input type="checkbox"/>
F: Press and Publicity	<input type="checkbox"/>
G: Correspondence	<input type="checkbox"/>
H: Miscellaneous	<input type="checkbox"/>

OASIS DATA COLLECTION FORM: England

[List of Projects](#) | [Manage Projects](#) | [Search Projects](#) | [New project](#) | [Change your details](#) | [HER coverage](#) | [Change country](#) | [Log out](#)

Printable version

OASIS ID: oxfordar1-139071

Project details

Project name	Proposed Residential Development, Homerton College, Cambridge
Short description of the project	In June and July 2007 Oxford Archaeology (OA) carried out a geophysical survey and evaluation on land within the grounds of Homerton College, Cambridge, (NGR: TL 459 561), on behalf of Colophon Ltd.. The geophysical survey revealed a large amount of magnetic activity within the site, although only a small percentage was of potential archaeological interest. The subsequent trench evaluation confirmed this low potential. A number of isolated post-medieval features, including a field boundary and a number of pits were investigated. Although largely undated, where pottery or other material was collected it suggests agricultural and quarrying activity from the late nineteenth or early twentieth century in the south-eastern part of the site.
Project dates	Start: 25-07-2007 End: 27-07-2007
Previous/future work	Not known / No
Any associated project reference codes	CAHOMC 07 - Sitecode
Any associated project reference codes	ECB2627 - Museum accession ID
Type of project	Field evaluation
Site status	None
Current Land use	Other 14 - Recreational usage
Monument type	FIELD BOUNDARY Post Medieval
Significant Finds	POTTERY Post Medieval
Methods & techniques	"Sample Trenches"
Development type	Housing estate
Prompt	Planning condition
Position in the planning process	After full determination (eg. As a condition)

Project location

Country	England
Site location	CAMBRIDGESHIRE CAMBRIDGE CAMBRIDGE Proposed Residential Development, Homerton College
Study area	1.20 Hectares
Site coordinates	TL 459 561 52 0 52 11 00 N 000 08 03 E Point

Project creators

Name of Organisation	Oxford Archaeology
Project brief originator	Cambridgeshire County Council
Project design originator	Oxford Archaeology
Project director/manager	K Welsh
Project supervisor	D. McNicol
Type of sponsor/funding body	Developer
Name of sponsor/funding body	Colophon Ltd.,

Project archives

Physical Archive recipient	Cambridgeshire County Archaeological Store
Physical Archive ID	ECB 2627
Physical Contents	"Animal Bones", "Ceramics", "Metal", "Worked stone/lithics"
Digital Archive recipient	Oxford Archaeology
Digital Archive ID	CAHOMC 07
Digital Contents	"other"
Digital Media available	"Text"
Paper Archive recipient	Cambridgeshire County Archaeological Store
Paper Archive ID	ECB 2627
Paper Contents	"Stratigraphic"
Paper Media available	"Context sheet", "Plan", "Report", "Unpublished Text"

Project bibliography 1

Publication type	Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)
Title	P r o p o s e d Residential Development Homerton College Cambridge
Author(s)/Editor(s)	McNicol D
Date	2007

Issuer or publisher	Oxford Archaeology South
Place of issue or publication	Oxford
Description	Client report
URL	http://library.thehumanjourney.net/955
Entered by	Nicola Scott (n.scott@oxfordarch.co.uk)
Entered on	11 December 2012

OASIS:

Please e-mail English Heritage for OASIS help and advice

© ADS 1996-2012 Created by Jo Gilham and Jen Mitcham, email Last modified Wednesday 9 May 2012

Cite only: <http://www.oasis.ac.uk/form/print.cfm> for this page

CAMBRIDGE HOMERTON LANE
HOMERTON COLLECTE
CATOMC 07

UNIT OXF

BOX 1 FILE 1

INTRODUCTION

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Cambridgeshire] Parish:[Cambridge]

Site[Cambridge Homerton College] Site code[CAHOMC 07]

Line 2: Excavators name[K Welsh]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Index to archive	
Introduction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

BRIEF FOR ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVALUATION
Cambridgeshire Archaeology Planning & Countryside Advice

Site: Homerton College, Western Area

Planning Application: C/01/0365/0

Company: Oxford Archaeology

Location: NGR TL 459 562

This design brief is only valid for six months after the above date. After this period the Cambridgeshire Archaeology Planning & Countryside Advice office (CAPCA) should be contacted. Any specifications resulting from this brief will only be considered for the same period. Please note that this document is written for archaeological project managers to facilitate the production of an archaeological specification of work; the term project manager is used to denote the archaeological project manager only.

The project manager is strongly advised to visit the site before completing their specification, as there may be implications for accurately costing the project. The project manager must consult the Cambridgeshire Historic Environment Record (CHER) as part of the evaluation. Any response to this brief should follow IFA Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluations, 1999.

NO FIELDWORK MAY COMMENCE UNTIL WRITTEN APPROVAL OF A SPECIFICATION HAS BEEN ISSUED BY THE CAMBRIDGESHIRE ARCHAEOLOGY PLANNING & COUNTRYSIDE ADVICE OFFICE (CAPCA)

1.0 Site Description

- 1.1 This site is located in the historic City of Cambridge.
- 1.2 Situated on 2nd terrace river gravels, the site is located in an area of high archaeological potential. The site is located close to the projected line of the road running south-east from the Roman town at Cambridge, previously identified within the grounds of Perse School to the south (Historic Environment Record Numbers 04819, 05146). Artefacts and a cremation of Roman date have also been found in the vicinity (HER 04820, 04821, 04824).
- 1.3 Recent archaeological investigations undertaken to the immediate east within the grounds of Homerton College identified features of Roman and medieval date (HER ECB2313).

2.0 The nature of the development and archaeological requirements

- 2.1 The proposed development includes residential development within the area indicated on the attached plan.
- 2.2 Due to the high archaeological potential of the site, a condition has been placed on planning consent requiring a scheme of archaeological work to be undertaken at the site. The first phase of this work will be an archaeological evaluation to assess the nature and potential of the site, and to determine the need for any future site investigation. This brief deals solely with the evaluation phase.
- 2.3 The evaluation should include a suitable level of documentary research, including consultation with CHER, to set the results in their geographical, topographical, archaeological and historical context.

- 2.4 The required scheme shall include a field evaluation of threatened archaeological remains.
- 2.5 The evaluation should include a programme of linear trial trenching and/or test-pitting to adequately sample the threatened available area and will excavate sufficient archaeological features to conform with section 3.0 below. At least 5% of the development area should be subject to trial trenching.
- 2.6 All features must be investigated and recorded unless otherwise agreed with CAPCA. Investigation slots through all linear features must be at least 1m in width. Discrete features must be half-sectioned or excavated in quadrants.
- 2.7 Mitigation of any threat to identified remains will be outlined in a Further Design Brief.

3.0 Objectives

- 3.1 The evaluation should aim to determine, the location, extent, date, character, condition, significance and quality of any surviving archaeological remains liable to be threatened by the proposed development. An adequate representative sample of all areas where archaeological remains are potentially threatened should be studied. This office will be particularly concerned with the amount of truncation to buried deposits, the presence or absence of a palaeosol or 'B' horizon, the preservation of deposits within negative features, site formation processes generally. To these ends buried soils and associated deposits should be inspected on site by a suitably qualified soil scientist and his/her advice sought on the whether soil micromorphological study or other analytical techniques will enhance understanding of the site. If so, analysis should be undertaken.
- 3.2 The project manager should consult an appropriate geophysical specialist, to assess the viability of various survey techniques on the site. A suitably qualified specialist organisation and/or individuals must undertake all geophysical work. Such work must be preceded by a sample scan to assess the effectiveness of the technique in relation to the site-specific geological/topographical conditions. Survey methods must be recommended by the specialist and presented in a specification of works and approved by CAPCA prior to commencement. Any subsequent survey work must be recommended by the specialist and where possible, approved by CAPCA. **A digital copy of the geophysical survey evidence should be supplied with the report for inclusion in the CHER.**
- 3.3 The assessment of the environmental potential of the site through examination of suitable deposits must also be arranged with a suitably qualified specialist. Attention should be paid:
- to the retrieval of charred plant macrofossils and land molluscs from former dry-land palaeosols and cut features, and to soil pollen analysis;
 - to the retrieval of plant macrofossils, insect, molluscs and pollen from waterlogged deposits located.
 - provision for the absolute dating of critical contacts should be made: eg the basal contacts of peats over former dryland surfaces; distinct landuse or landmark change in urban contexts
- The project manager must make their results known to the English Heritage Regional Science Advisor. The assessment of environmental potential should consider the guidelines set out in the following documents:
- English Heritage Centre for Archaeology Guidelines, 2002, *Environmental Archaeology: A guide to the theory and practice of methods, from sampling and recovery to post-excavation.*
 - Association for Environmental Archaeology, 1995, *Environmental archaeology and archaeological evaluations. Recommendations concerning the environmental archaeology component of archaeological evaluations in England.* Working Papers of the Association for Environmental Archaeology 2, 8 ff. York: Association for Environmental Archaeology;
 - Dobney, K., Hall, A., Kenward, H. and Milles, A., 1992, *A working classification of sample types for environmental archaeology.* Circaea 9.1 (1992 for 1991), pg. 24-26;
 - Murphy, P.L. and Wiltshire, P.E.J., 1994, *A guide to sampling archaeological deposits for environmental analysis.*

- 3.4 The evaluation should also carefully consider any artefact or economic information, in particular the survival of faunal evidence, and provide an assessment of the viability for further study of such information. It will be particularly important to provide an indication of the relative importance of such material for any subsequent decision-making regarding mitigation strategies. Advice is to be sought from a suitably qualified specialist in Faunal Remains on the potential of sites for producing bones of fish and small mammals. If there is potential, a sieving programme is to be undertaken. Faunal remains collected by hand and sieving are to be assessed and analysed if appropriate.
- 3.5 The evaluation should include a comprehensive, illustrated assessment of the regional context within which the archaeological evidence rests and should aim to highlight any relevant research issues within a national and regional research framework.
- 3.6 The evaluation should provide a predictive model of surviving archaeological remains detailing zones of relative importance against known development proposals. An impact assessment should also be provided.
- 3.7 If any of these areas of analysis are not considered appropriate the report will detail justification for their exclusion.

4.0 Requirements

- 4.1 The evaluation must be undertaken by an archaeological team of recognised competence, fully experienced in work of this character and formally acknowledged by the CAPCA officers, advisors to the Local Planning Authority (LPA). Inclusion in The Institute of Field Archaeologists' Register of Archaeological Organisations is recommended. Details, including the name, qualifications and experience, of the site director and all other key project personnel (including specialist staff) will be communicated to CAPCA as part of a specification of works that conforms to the guidelines contained in English Heritage's MAP 2 publication (Management of Archaeological Projects, specifically, Appendix 2). This specification must:
1. be supported by a research design which sets out the site specific objectives of the archaeological works.
 2. detail the proposed works as precisely as is reasonably possible, indicating clearly on plan their location and extent.
 3. provide a timetable for the proposed works including a "safety" margin in the event of bad weather or any other unforeseen circumstances that may effect this timetabling.
- 4.2 Care must be taken in the siting of offices and other support structures in order to minimise impact on the environment. Extreme care must also be taken in the structure and maintenance of spoil heaps for the same reasons and to facilitate a high quality reinstatement. This is particularly important in relation to pastureland.
- 4.3 The archaeological project manager must satisfy themselves that all constraints to groundworks have been identified, including the siting of live services, Tree Preservation Orders and public footpaths. The CAPCA officers bear no responsibility for the inclusion or exclusion of such information within this brief.
- 4.4 Care must be taken in dealing with human remains and the appropriate Department for Constitutional Affairs (DCA) and environmental health regulations followed. CAPCA and the local Coroner must be informed immediately upon discovery of human remains. If found during an evaluation, the human remains must be left *in situ*, covered and protected when discovered. No further investigation should normally be permitted beyond that necessary to establish the date, condition and character of the burial. If removal is essential an exhumation licence should be requested from the DCA.

- 4.5 All aspects of the evaluation shall be conducted in accordance with the Institute of Field Archaeologist's *Code of Conduct*, the *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluations* (rev 1999), and *Standards for Field Archaeology in the East of England* (EAA Occasional Paper 14). Reference should also be made to *Research and Archaeology: A Framework for the Eastern Counties 1. Resource Assessment and 2 Research Agenda and Strategy* documents (EAA Occasional Papers 3 and 8).
- 4.6 **Before commencing work the project manager must carry out a risk assessment and liaise with the site owner, client and CAPCA in ensuring that all potential risks are minimised. A copy of this must be given to CAPCA before the commencement of works.**
- 4.7 Project Managers are reminded of the need to comply with the requirements of the Treasure Act 1996 (with subsequent amendments). Advice and guidance on compliance with Treasure Act issues can be obtained from the Cambridgeshire Historic Environment Record (CHER) office, and project managers are recommended to report any finds that could be considered treasure under the terms of the Act made during the process of fieldwork to CHER within 48 hours of discovery.
- 4.8 To assist with the curation of the project's archive, the Project Manager must contact the CHER office to obtain an **event number**. CHER will use this number as a unique identifier linking all physical and digital components of the archive. **The unique event number must be clearly indicated on any specification received for this project and on any ensuing reports.**
- 4.9 Arrangements for the long term storage and deposition of all artefacts must be agreed with the landowner and CHER before the commencement of fieldwork. The Project Manager should consult document ref HER 2004/1 (available from our website¹) regarding the requirements for the deposition of the archive, which must be deposited in the County Store on completion of post-excavation analysis and publication.
- 4.10 Cambridgeshire Archaeology supports the national programme: Online Access to the Index of Archaeological Investigations (OASIS III) project and requires archaeological contractors working in Cambridgeshire to support this initiative. In order that a record is made of all archaeological events within the county occurring through the planning system, the archaeological contractor is required to input details of this project online at the ADS internet site²: The OASIS reference ID and summary form should be clearly presented in the relevant report. **Any report that does not contain this information will be returned.**
- 4.11 An unbound hard copy of the report, clearly marked **DRAFT**, should be prepared and presented to CAPCA within four weeks of the completion of site works (unless there are reasonable grounds for more time). This report must conform to the format contained within the document **CAPCA Eval rev 06** dealing with the production of archaeological evaluation reports. Copies can be obtained from the address below. IFA *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation* (rev 1999) Annex 2, Report Contents, should be used.
- 4.12 Following acceptance, **one copy** of the approved report of the results should be submitted to CAPCA, **one hard and digital copy** to the CHER. The approved report should also be uploaded to the OASIS database.
- 4.13 CAPCA officers are responsible for monitoring all archaeological work within Cambridgeshire and will normally inspect site works and review the progress of excavation reports and archive preparation. The project manager must inform CAPCA in writing **at least one week in advance** detailing proposed start dates for the project.
- 4.14 Any changes to the specifications that the project manager may wish to make after approval by this office should be communicated directly to CAPCA for approval.

¹ <http://www.cambridgeshire.gov.uk/leisure/archaeology/historic/archives/herstore.htm>


² <http://ads.ahds.ac.uk/project/oasis>

- 4.15 CAPCA should be kept regularly informed about developments both during the site works and subsequent post-excavation work.
- 4.16 The involvement of CAPCA should be acknowledged in any report or publication generated by this project.

As part of our desire to provide a quality service to all our clients we would welcome any comments you may have on the content or presentation of this design brief. Please address them to the author at the address below.

Andy Thomas
Senior Archaeologist

Cambridgeshire Archaeology
Cambridgeshire County Council
Planning & Countryside Advice
Box ELH 1108, Castle Court
Castle Hill, Cambridge. CB3 0AP



Site location Plan

Proposed
Undergraduate
Accommodation
Homerton College
Cambridge



**Written Scheme of Investigation
For Archaeological Evaluation**



June 2007

Client: Colophon Limited

Issue N^o: 1

OA Job N^o: 3697

Planning Ref N^o: C/01/0365/0

NGR: TL 459 561

Client Name: Colophon Limited

Client Ref No:

Document Title: Proposed Undergraduate Accommodation, Homerton College, Cambridge

Document Type: Written Scheme of Investigation

Issue Number: 1

National Grid Reference: TL 459 561
Planning Reference: NN 0000 0000

OA Job Number: 3697
Site Code: CAHOMC07
Invoice Code: CAHOMCEV
Receiving Museum: Cambridgeshire County Archaeological Store
Museum Accession No: ECB2627

Prepared by: Ken Welsh
Position: Senior Project Manager
Date: 18th June 2007

Checked by: Ken Welsh
Position: Senior Project Manager
Date: 18th June 2007

Approved by: Ken Welsh
Position: Senior Project Manager
Date: 18th June 2007

Signed.....

Document File Location X:\Homerton College\003Project_Designs\001Current
Graphics File Location
Illustrated by Lucy Martin

Disclaimer:

This document has been prepared for the titled project or named part thereof and should not be relied upon or used for any other project without an independent check being carried out as to its suitability and prior written authority of Oxford Archaeology being obtained. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for the consequences of this document being used for a purpose other than the purposes for which it was commissioned. Any person/party using or relying on the document for such other purposes agrees, and will by such use or reliance be taken to confirm their agreement to indemnify Oxford Archaeology for all loss or damage resulting therefrom. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for this document to any party other than the person/party by whom it was commissioned.

Oxford Archaeology

© Oxford Archaeological Unit Ltd 2007

Janus House
Osney Mead
Oxford OX2 0ES
t: (0044) 01865 263800
f: (0044) 01865 793496

e: info@oxfordarch.co.uk
w: www.oxfordarch.co.uk

Oxford Archaeological Unit Limited is a Registered Charity No: 285627

**Proposed Undergraduate Accommodation,
Homerton College,
Cambridge**

Event Code: ECB2627

NGR: TL 459 561

**Written Scheme of Investigation
for Archaeological Field Evaluation**

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1.1 Homerton College propose to construct new undergraduate accommodation on land within the College grounds (centred on TL 459 561) (Figure 1).
- 1.1.2 Colophon Ltd, the college's trading company, has commissioned Oxford Archaeology (OA) to undertake geophysical survey and trial trenching of the site in order further to inform the assessment of possible implications of the scheme.
- 1.1.3 Cambridgeshire Archaeology Planning and Countryside Advice (CAPCA) have produced a Brief for Archaeological Evaluation for the site (Cambridgeshire County Council, January 2007). This document forms a Written Scheme of Investigation and details how Oxford Archaeology (OA) would carry out the geophysical survey and trial trenching as detailed in the Brief.
- 1.1.4 The project will be monitored by CAPCA who will be afforded reasonable access to the site.

2 THE SCHEME

- 2.1.1 Homerton College proposes to construct new undergraduate accommodation and associated infrastructure. The site occupies an area of c 1.8ha although the western part of the site contains a number of trees which are to be retained within the development and will therefore be excluded from evaluation. The development area itself occupies an area of c 1.2 ha.

3 GEOLOGICAL, TOPOGRAPHICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

3.1 Introduction

3.1.1 The geological, topographical and archaeological background has been detailed within a desk-based assessment (Dickens, 2002). Subsequent to the preparation of the desk-based assessment, archaeological evaluation of two areas within the college ground has been carried out. A brief summary is provided below to set the context for the evaluation proposals.

3.2 Geology and Topography

3.2.1 The site is located on the south side of Cambridge centred on TL 459 561. The site slopes gently from approximately 12.8m in the north to 14.8m in the south and is currently used as playing fields. The underlying geology is Third Terrace river gravels.

3.3 Archaeological Background

3.3.1 Very few finds or sites of prehistoric date have been found with the vicinity of the site although a number of ditches of possible prehistoric date were recorded during previous evaluation work within Homerton College (Alexander 1997).

3.3.2 The site is close to the projected line of a Roman road, called the *Via Devana*, which was identified within the grounds of Perse School to the south and a number of other sites of Roman date, including a cremation, have been found close to the line of the road. A Roman brooch was found to the east of the site (Alexander 1997) and a number of ditches of Roman date were recorded during evaluation of an adjacent area (Webb and Dickens 2006).

3.3.3 No sites or finds of Saxon date are known from the vicinity of the site.

3.3.4 A number of ditches of possible medieval date were recorded to the east of the site during previous evaluation work (Alexander 1996 and Webb and Dickens 2006).

4 AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

4.1.1 The aims and objectives of the evaluation are:

- To establish the presence/absence of archaeological remains within the proposed development area;
- To determine, as far as possible, the location, extent, date, condition, nature, character, significance and quality of any archaeological remains present;

- To inform the strategy for any further evaluation as appropriate;
- To make available the results of the investigation.

5 STRATEGY

5.1 Introduction

5.1.1 It is proposed that the objectives be met through two evaluation techniques:

- detailed magnetometry survey of the development area;
- trial trenching of the development area.

5.1.2 All evaluation will be conducted in compliance with the standards outlined in the Institute of Field Archaeologist's *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluations* (as amended 1994), and *Standards For Field Archaeology in the East of England* (East Anglian Archaeology Occasional Paper 14) excepting where they are superseded by statements made below.

5.2 Health and Safety

5.2.1 Health and Safety considerations will be of paramount importance in conducting all fieldwork. Safe working practices will override archaeological considerations at all times.

5.2.2 All work will be carried out to the requirements of *Health and Safety at Work, etc. Act 1974*, *The Management of Health and Safety Regulations 1992*, the SCAUM (Standing Conference of Archaeological Unit Managers) H & S manual *Health and Safety in Field Archaeology 1991*, the OA Health and Safety Policy, and any main contractors requirements.

5.2.3 A copy of the OA's Health and Safety Policy is available on request.

5.2.4 A site specific Risk Assessment will be prepared and will be provided on request.

5.3 Geophysical Survey

Introduction

5.3.1 Conditions at the site should be favourable for the detection of archaeological features through a systematic magnetometry survey, as has been demonstrated in previous surveys on similar geology.

Magnetometer survey procedure

- 5.3.2 Readings will be collected at intervals of (usually) 25 cm along transects 1m apart. This will be done using 1m length Bartington 601 gradiometers, which are more sensitive and offer better depth penetration than 0.5m instruments.
- 5.3.3 Results will be presented as graphical (x-y trace) plots and as grey scale images of the areas covered. It is useful to compare the two sets of plots, which display the detected magnetic anomalies in profile and plan respectively, when interpreting the findings. The x-y plots usually represent the readings after preliminary corrections (including adjustment for irregularities in line spacing caused by variations in the instrument zero setting), and the grey scale plots show a processed version after additional low pass filtering to control background noise levels. The data plots will be accompanied by an interpretative plan indicating magnetic anomalies of potential archaeological interest, and other relevant findings.

Magnetic susceptibility survey

- 5.3.4 The survey can also be supplemented with background magnetic susceptibility measurements. Readings for this purpose will be taken at 20 m or 25 m intervals using a Bartington MS2 meter, and plotted as shading or contours superimposed on a site plan. A survey of this kind may offer supplementary evidence for the presence or otherwise of areas of archaeological activity, and is of help when interpreting the magnetometer survey.

5.4 Trial trenching

Introduction

- 5.4.1 It is proposed that the evaluation objectives be met through the excavation of an array of ten evaluation trenches, each 30m long, equivalent to approximately 5% of the development area. The trench layout will be finalised once the results of the magnetometry survey are known to allow for the investigation of any significant anomalies recorded.

Excavation

- 5.4.2 Each trench will be stripped using a 360° tracked excavator with a toothless ditching bucket under the direct supervision of an archaeologist. Topsoil will then be stored separately from subsoil. Spoil will be stored adjacent to, but at a safe distance from trench edges. Machine spoil will be checked for artefacts.

- 5.4.3 Machining will then continue in spits down to the top of the undisturbed gravels or archaeological deposits, whichever is first encountered; this is likely to be between 0.3m and 0.5m below modern ground surface. Once archaeological deposits have been exposed, further excavation will proceed by hand.
- 5.4.4 A sample of each feature will be excavated and recorded. In the event of the identification of an exceptional number and complexity of archaeological deposits, sample excavation will be more circumspect and will aim to be minimally intrusive. Excavation will however be sufficient to resolve the principal aims of the evaluation.

Recording

- 5.4.5 Recording will be undertaken in accordance with the guidance given the Institute of Field Archaeologist's *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluations* (as amended 1994), excepting where they are superseded by statements below.
- 5.4.6 All exposed archaeological deposits will be recorded in accordance with the requirements of the *OAU Field Manual* (ed. D Wilkinson 1992).
- 5.4.7 A complete digital and drawn record of excavated archaeological features and deposits will be compiled. This will include both plans and sections, drawn to appropriate scales (1:20 for plans, 1:10 for sections), and with reference to a site grid tied to the OS National Grid. The OD height of all principal features and levels will be calculated and plans/sections will be annotated with OD heights.
- 5.4.8 A full photographic record will be maintained using colour transparencies, black and white negatives (on 35 mm film) and digital images. The photographic record will illustrate both the detail and the general context of the principal features, finds excavated, and the site as a whole.

Finds and Environmental Samples

- 5.4.9 A register of small finds and environmental samples will be maintained.
- 5.4.10 All identified finds and artefacts will be retained, although certain classes of building material may sometimes be discarded after recording if an appropriate sample is retained. No finds will be discarded without the prior approval of the local authority's archaeological advisor. The machine-excavated spoil will be examined for artefacts and these will be retained and recorded. Material of undoubtedly modern date from the spoil heaps will be noted but not retained

- 5.4.11 Any human remains will be left *in situ*, covered and protected.
- 5.4.12 The strategy for sampling archaeological and environmental deposits and structures will be developed by the on-site geo-archaeologist in consultation with Oxford Archaeology's Environmental Managers and will be in line with '*Environmental Archaeology and Archaeological Evaluations – recommendation regarding the environmental archaeology component of archaeological evaluations in England*' (AEA 1995). Their advice will be sought and, if necessary, a visit arranged to determine the importance that should be attached to the various deposit types.
- 5.4.13 Where bulk environmental soil samples are collected they will be of 40 litres and taken from sealed archaeological features for plant macrofossils and small animal bones. Where appropriate, column samples for palynological, micromorphological or other pedological analysis will be taken.
- 5.4.14 Bulk environmental soil samples will be processed by flotation and scanned to assess the environmental potential of deposits, but will not be fully analysed. The residues and sieved fractions will be recorded and retained with the project archive. Column samples will not be processed or assessed at this stage but will be retained with the project archive.
- 5.4.15 All finds and samples will be treated in a proper manner and to standards agreed in advance with the approved recipient museum. These will be exposed, lifted, cleaned, conserved, marked, bagged and boxed in accordance with the guidelines set out in UKIC's *Conservation Guidelines No. 2*. Metal objects will be X-rayed and then selected for conservation.

6 PROVISIONAL PROGRAMME

- 6.1.1 It is anticipated that the geophysical survey will begin on 25th June 2007, and will be completed in two days. It is anticipated that the trench evaluation will begin on 9th July 2007, will require a team of up to four suitably qualified archaeologists, and will be completed in a week.
- 6.1.2 The work will be managed by Ken Welsh, Senior Project Manager, under the general direction of Nick Shepherd, Head of Fieldwork.

7 REPORTING

- 7.1.1 A report of the findings will be produced within six weeks of the completion of all fieldwork.
- 7.1.2 Where appropriate the evaluation report will include:
- a non-technical summary;
 - an introduction;

- a methodology;
- a description of the project results;
- an interpretation of the results in an appropriate context;
- details of the archive and its proposed location;
- site layout plans on an OS base;
- graphical (x-y trace) plots and grey scale images of the results of the geophysical survey;
- scale plans of each trench in which archaeological features were found;
- trench and feature sections (with OD heights);
- an interpretative plan indicating magnetic anomalies of potential archaeological interest, and other relevant findings;
- a consideration of the evidence within its wider context;
- an evaluation of the methodology employed and the results obtained;
- A copy of the OASIS summary form

7.1.3 A list of specialists used by OA is presented below:

Name	Organisation	Expertise
Lisa Brown	Oxford Archaeology	Prehistoric Pottery
Edward Biddulph	Oxford Archaeology	Late Iron Age and Roman Pottery
Leigh Allen	Oxford Archaeology	Small Finds
Dr Hilary Cool	Freelance	Roman Small Finds
Dr Ruth Shaffrey	Oxford Archaeology	Worked Stone
Hugo Lamdin-Whymark	Freelance	Worked Flint
Lynne Keys	Freelance	Slag & other Metal Working Residues Specialist
Dr Esther Cameron	Oxfordshire Museums	Finds conservation
Dr Louise Loe	Oxford Archaeology	Head of Burial Archaeology
Fay Worley	Oxford Archaeology	Faunal Remains
Dr Rebecca Nicholson	Oxford Archaeology	Environmental Manager
Elizabeth Huckerby	Oxford Archaeology	Charred and waterlogged Plant Remains, Pollen
Dana Challinor	Oxford Archaeology	Charcoal
Elizabeth Stafford	Oxford Archaeology	Geoarchaeology, Molluscs
Dr J Crowther	Department of Geography University of Lampeter	Soil chemistry
Jon Cotter	Oxford Archaeology	Medieval pottery and CBM
Dan Miles	Freelance	Dendrochronology

7.1.4 The site archive (paper and photographic record, artefacts and environmental samples) will be prepared for long-term storage in accordance with *Guidelines for the preparation of excavation archives for long term storage* (Walker 1990 - UKIC) and *Standards in the Museum Care of Archaeological Collections* (Museums and Galleries Commission 1992).


7.1.5 Arrangements for the long term storage and deposition of any artefacts will be agreed with the Cambridgeshire County Council Heritage Service and the site archive will be deposited in the County Store.

8 GENERAL

- 8.1.1 Any significant variations to the proposed methodology will be agreed with the client and the local authority's archaeological representative in advance.

References

- AEA 1995 *Environmental Archaeology and Archaeological Evaluations – recommendation regarding the environmental archaeology component of archaeological evaluations in England*
- Alexander, M 1997 An Archaeological Evaluation at Homerton College, Cambridge, CAU Report No. 198
- Cambridgeshire County Council, 2006, Brief for Archaeological Evaluation: Homerton College, Western Area.
- Dickens, A 2002 Homerton College Cambridge: An Archaeological Desktop Assessment, CAU Report No. 481
- OA 1992 *Fieldwork Manual*, Ed. D Wilkinson, first edition, August 1992
- Webb, D and Dickens, A 2006 Homerton College Cambridge: Archaeological Evaluation on the Site of New Undergraduate Accommodation, CAU Report No. 720



Reproduced by permission of the Ordnance Survey on behalf of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. Licence No. AL 100005569

Figure 1: Site location



Oxford Archaeology

Janus House
Osney Mead
Oxford OX2 0ES

t: (0044) 01865 263800
f: (0044) 01865 793496
e: info@oxfordarch.co.uk
w: www.oxfordarch.co.uk



Oxford Archaeology North

Storey Institute
Meeting House Lane
Lancaster LA1 1TF

t: (0044) 01524 541000
f: (0044) 01524 848606
e: lancinfo@oxfordarch.co.uk
w: www.oxfordarch.co.uk



Director: David Jennings, BA MIFA FSA

Oxford Archaeological Unit is a
Private Limited Company, N^o: 1618597
and a Registered Charity, N^o: 285627

Registered Office:

Oxford Archaeological Unit
Janus House, Osney Mead, Oxford OX2 0ES

CAMBRIDGE HEMERTON LANE
CAMBRIDGE 07

Box 1 FILE 2

B. SURVEY REPORTS.

--	--	--	--	--

No. 978033 Buff



PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Cambridgeshire] Parish:[Cambridge]

Site[Cambridge Homerton College] Site code[CAHOMC 07]

Line 2: Excavators name[K Welsh]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	✓
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

**HOMERTON COLLEGE,
CAMBRIDGE**

Report on Archaeogeophysical Survey 2007

F.S.M. Prince

Surveyed by:

Bartlett-Clark Consultancy

**25 Estate Yard, Cuckoo Lane,
North Leigh,
Oxfordshire OX29 6PW
01865 200864**

for:

**Oxford Archaeology,
Janus House,
Osney Mead,
Oxford OX2 0ES**

Homerton College, Cambridge

Report on Archaeogeophysical Survey 2007

Introduction

This report describes findings from a magnetometer survey carried out in the grounds of Homerton College, Cambridge. The geophysical survey forms part of an archaeological assessment undertaken by Oxford Archaeology in advance of a proposed development for student accommodation. Fieldwork for the survey was carried out on 25 and 26 June 2007.

The survey covered a playing field located to the west of the existing college buildings, and bounded to the west by the railway. The total area affected by the development proposal is marked by red cross hatching on figure 1. Parts of this area are obstructed by trees and recent building works, but the remaining open ground was surveyed (as indicated by the blue outline on figure 1).

The Site

The survey area is mostly flat mown grass incorporating a football pitch complete with goalposts. The most northern section of the survey site was made up of disturbed topsoil in which bricks and other building materials were visible on the ground surface. This appears to be associated with recent development work at the college. Similar disturbances continued down the eastern boundary of the site, where there was an access track for construction traffic. The survey area was bordered by a brick wall to the south and by trees to the east and west.

In terms of geology, the site falls within an area of Cambridge that lies on chalk bedrock, although river terrace drift deposits may also be present. Soil conditions at the site should in either case, on undisturbed ground, be reasonably favourable for the magnetic detection of archaeological features. We have not been told that any previously recorded archaeological features are present within the survey area.

Survey Procedure

A survey grid was established at the site using a differential GPS system. Figures 2-4 are based on a geo-referenced version of an architect's site plan, supplied to us by Oxford Archaeology.

The evaluation area was then investigated by means of a recorded magnetometer

survey. Readings were taken with Bartington fluxgate gradiometers at 25cm intervals along transects 50cm apart. Survey coverage at this high resolution should provide a more detailed plan of any archaeological features which are present than would be the case for a standard survey with 1m transect separation. The results are presented in the enclosed plans as a grey scale image in figure 2 and as a graphical (xy trace) plot in figure 3, both at 1:625 scale. An interpretation of the results is also shown on figure 3. This interpretation is reproduced separately in figure 4 to provide a summary of the findings.

The survey plots show the magnetometer readings after standard treatments which include adjustment for irregularities in line spacing caused by variations in the instrument zero setting, and slight linear smoothing. Additional 2D low pass filtering has been applied to the grey scale plot to reduce background noise levels.

Magnetometer surveys can respond favourably to cut features such as ditches and pits where silting with topsoil has occurred. This survey technique is also effective in detecting thermoremanent magnetism of fired materials, notably baked clay structures such as kilns or hearths. It is also equally sensitive to buried bricks, rubble, or other modern magnetic debris.

In addition to the magnetometer survey, a magnetic susceptibility survey was undertaken at the site. This technique relies on the principle that topsoil magnetic susceptibility is enhanced through burning associated with past human occupation. The identification of areas of high susceptibility can therefore provide a broad indication of previously occupied or disturbed areas. Recent as well as ancient magnetic disturbances will again of course be detected.

A Bartington MS2 meter and field sensor loop were used to collect magnetic susceptibility readings at 10m intervals across the survey area. The readings are presented as shaded squares of density proportional to the readings, and included as an inset to figure 4.

Results

The survey plots show a considerable amount of magnetic activity, a small amount of which could possibly be of archaeological concern.

The main positive findings are clusters of features at A and B (as outlined in red and labeled on figure 4). These include magnetic anomalies of a size and strength which could indicate silted pits, as may be found at ancient settlement sites. They are, however, irregular in shape and plan, and do not form a recognisable plan or pattern which would suggest the presence of an archaeological site. These features could therefore indicate minor or recent soil disturbances, but further investigation could be needed to confirm this.

Some of the remaining magnetic anomalies which are scattered across the western half of the survey are strong peaks indicating buried iron or brick, but some others have been outlined. These include a potentially substantial pit-like feature at C.

There is a noticeably stronger overall magnetic response from the eastern part of the survey area (indicated by cross-hatching on the interpretation), perhaps as a result of previous ground disturbance in leveling or draining the playing field. There are traces of a broken linear feature (D) running north-south on the boundary between the quieter and more noisy part of the site. A linear disturbance of this kind could possibly be of archaeological significance, but its position here at the edge of an area of probably modern disturbances suggests it is more likely to be recent. The anomalies at D could perhaps indicate the line of a drainage channel.

Some pit-like magnetic anomalies have been outlined within the disturbed eastern part of the site, although in this context they may well relate to the surrounding recent magnetic activity. Areas of particularly strong recent magnetic interference are shown by narrow cross hatching. The two disturbances E1 and E2 represent the football goalposts. These are of metal construction, and were too heavy to remove prior to the survey.

A linear feature (F) which is possibly a pipe or drainage channel runs close to the boundary wall to the south of the site. This converges with a strong magnetic response (G), which could perhaps be a drain cover.

The magnetic susceptibility survey has produced reasonably high readings across the site but has responded mainly to the modern disturbances to the north and east of the survey (see plot inset in figure 4). There may be a slight correlation between raised susceptibility values and the group of magnetic anomalies at A, but the results are otherwise archaeologically inconclusive.

Conclusions

The magnetometer survey findings suggest there are unlikely to be any major concentrations of detectable archaeological features within the survey area. The response from the north and east of the survey is obscured by interference associated with recent building work, and there are less concentrated disturbances across much of the eastern part of the site. These appear to be bounded by a linear feature at D, and may relate to earlier leveling or drainage of the playing field.

The possibility that some of the remaining magnetic anomalies in the western half of the site, and particularly those outlined at A, B and C could be of archaeological origin cannot be finally excluded on the survey evidence alone.

Report by:

F.S.M. Prince MA (Hons)
with A. Bartlett BSc MPhil

Bartlett-Clark Consultancy
Specialists in Archaeogeophysics

25 Estate Yard,
Cuckoo Lane,
North Leigh,
Oxfordshire,
OX29 6PW

01865 200864


29 June 2007


bcc123@ntlworld.com

P. Cottrell and F. Prince carried out the fieldwork for this project.

Based upon Ordnance Survey mapping with permission of the controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office. Crown Copyright Licence No. AL 100005569



 site location

 survey area

**HOMERTON COLLEGE,
CAMBRIDGE**
Geophysical Survey 2007
Figure 1: Survey Location


0 1:2500 100m

Surveyed by Bartlett - Clark Consultancy (01865 200864) for Oxford Archaeology

This drawing is based on a site plan supplied by EDI Surveys Ltd.



256200N







**HOMERTON COLLEGE,
CAMBRIDGE**
Geophysical Survey 2007
Figure 2: Magnetometer Survey
(grey scale plot)



Surveyed by Bartlett - Clark Consultancy (01865 200864)
for Oxford Archaeology

This drawing is based on a site plan supplied by EDI Surveys Ltd.

Magnetometer Survey Interpretation:

-  magnetic anomalies
-  strong recent magnetic disturbance
-  magnetically disturbed area
-  drain or pipe ?

256200N




546000E

150nT

**HOMERTON COLLEGE,
CAMBRIDGE**
Geophysical Survey 2007
Figure 3: Magnetometer Survey
(with interpretation)





0 1:625 20m


Surveyed by Bartlett - Clark Consultancy (01865 200864)
for Oxford Archaeology




This drawing is based on a site plan supplied by EDI Surveys Ltd.

Magnetometer Survey Interpretation:

-  magnetic anomalies
-  strong recent magnetic disturbance
-  magnetically disturbed area
-  drain or pipe ?



256200N



**HOMERTON COLLEGE,
CAMBRIDGE**

Geophysical Survey 2007
Figure 4: Summary of Findings

0 1:625 20m

CAMBRIDGE
HOMERTON LANE
CAMBRIDGE

BOX 1 FILE 3

B. PRIMARY CONTEXT DATA

--	--	--	--	--	--

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Cambridgeshire] Parish:[Cambridge]

Site[Cambridge Homerton College] Site code[CAHOMC 07]

Line 2: Excavators name[K Welsh]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Classification of material	Tick if present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	



LEVELS REGISTER

SITE CODE

SITE NAME HONINGTON COLLEGESHEET NO 1

TBM

Backsite

Instrument Height (IH)
(TBM+Backsight)

Level number

Foresight

Reduced Level
(IH-Foresight)Comments/Context No(s)/
Small Find No(s)/Plan or
Section No(s)

13.78

1.84

15.62

1.99

13.63

Tr 1 #100 .1

2.54

13.08

.2

2.76

12.88

.3

2.43

13.10

.4

2.66

12.96

.5

2.07

13.55

.6

~~1.99~~

13.63

S# 100

~~1.90~~

13.72

Tr 2 P#200 .1

2.40

13.22

.2

2.19

13.43

.3

~~1.88~~

13.74

.4

1.56

14.06

.5

~~1.56~~

S#200

1.73

13.89

S#200

1.84

13.78

Tr 3 P#303 .1

2.37

13.45

.2

2.21

13.41

(P#300 + S#300) .3

2.34

13.28

(P#300) .4

1.65

13.97

(P#301 + S#301) .5

2.52

13.10

(P#301) .6

2.49

13.13

.7

2.50

13.12

.8

2.43

13.19

.9

2.06

13.56

.10

~~1.88~~ (1.88)

13.74

.11

1.72

13.90

(P#302 + S#302) .12

1.89

13.73

(P#302) .13

1.95

13.67

.14

1.72

13.90

.15

1.3.7

14.25

.16

0.95

14.67

Tr 4 .1

TBM
TR 1.2



LEVELS REGISTER

SITE CODE _____ SITE NAME HONEYMAN COLLEGE SHEET NO 2

TBM TR 1.2

TBM	Backsite	Instrument Height (IH) (TBM+Backsight)	Level number	Foresight	Reduced Level (IH-Foresight)	Comments/Context No(s)/ Small Find No(s)/Plan or Section No(s)
13.78	1.84	15.62		1.14	14.48	TR 4 .2
				0.98	14.64	.3
				0.60	15.02	.4
				1.35	14.27	.5
				2.02	13.60	.6
				1.32	14.30	.7
				0.94	14.68	.8
				1.06	14.56	.9
				1.72	13.90	.10
				0.56	15.06	.11
				0.56	15.06 15.06	.12
				0.66	14.96	TR 6 .1
				1.07	14.55	.2
				0.75	14.87	.3
				0.70	14.92	.4
				1.10	14.52	.5
				1.15	14.47	.6
				0.87	14.75	.7
				1.50	14.12	TR 10 .1
				1.74	13.88	.2
				1.78	13.84	.3
				1.73	13.89	.4
				1.51	14.11	.5
				1.87	13.75	.6
				1.81	13.81	.7
				2.78	12.84	TR 9 .1
				2.43	13.19	.2
				2.09	13.53	.3
				1.77	13.85	.4
				1.64	13.98	.5
				1.67	13.95	.6



LEVELS REGISTER

SITE CODE: [] SITE NAME: Hemerton College SHEET NO: 3

TBM
TR 1.2

TBM	Backsite	Instrument Height (IH) (TBM+Backsight)	Level number	Foresight	Reduced Level (IH-Foresight)	Comments/Context No(s)/ Small Find No(s)/Plan or Section No(s)
13.78	1.84	15.62		1.85	13.77	TR 8 .1
				2.05	13.57	↓ .2
				1.98	13.64	↓ .3
				1.96	13.66	↓ .4
				1.99	13.63	↓ .5
				2.02	13.60	↓ .6
				1.76	13.86	↓ .7
				1.85	13.77	↓ .8
				1.64	13.98	↓ .9
				1.45	14.17	TR 7 .1
				1.71	13.91	↓ .2
				1.69	13.93	↓ .3
				1.45	14.17	↓ .4
				1.63	13.99	↓ .5
				1.24	14.38	↓ .6
				1.50	14.12	↓ .7
				1.45	14.17	↓ .8
				1.12	14.50	↓ .9
				1.16	14.46	TR 5 .1
				1.46	14.16	↓ .2
				1.33	14.29	↓ .3
				1.31	14.31	↓ .4
				1.49	14.13	↓ .5
				1.38	14.24	↓ .6
				1.26	14.26	TR 5 .7
				1.26	14.26	TR 5
				1.40	14.22	↓ .8
				1.67	13.95	↓ .9
				1.24	14.38	↓ .10
				1.02	14.60	↓ .11

SITE CAHOMCO7		EVALUATION TRENCH NOTES SHEET		Trench No. 1
Trench orientation E-W		Grid reference		Field No.
Length 29m	Width 1.9m	Average depth to top of natural 0.5m	Was archaeology present? N	
Plan Nos? 100		Section Nos? 100	Were finds recovered? N	

If a trench contains only a small number of contexts, and requires only one or two plans and sections, list plans and sections on this sheet. If the trench contains large numbers of contexts use a conventional context check list and plan and section list sheets as necessary.

Context check list

Context No.	Description
100	Present topsoil/ ploughsoil BROWN GRAVELLY SAND 30% GRAVEL - 0-0.2m
101	BLACK/DARK GREY CLAYEY SAND 15-20% STONE/SMALL RUBBLE - MOD. WASTE LAYER 0.25-0.55m
102	BEIGE/WHITE CHALK, MORTAR + RUBBLE - BUILDING DEBRIS 0.35-0.55m.
103	Natural (describe) LIGHT REDDISH BROWN GRAVELLY SAND

Brief description of archaeology/comments

NO ARCHAEOLOGY
 AREA TRUNCATED BY MODERN CONSTRUCTION WORK

Recorder *Dmc*
 Date 25/7/07

SITE CAMOMCO7		EVALUATION TRENCH NOTES SHEET		Trench No. 2
Trench orientation N-S		Grid reference		Field No.
Length 29.5	Width 1.9m	Average depth to top of natural 0.35m	Was archaeology present? N	
Plan Nos? 200		Section Nos? 200		Were finds recovered? N

If a trench contains only a small number of contexts, and requires only one or two plans and sections, list plans and sections on this sheet. If the trench contains large numbers of contexts use a conventional context check list and plan and section list sheets as necessary.

Context check list

NORTH
1/2

SOUTH
1/2

Context No.	Description
200	Present topsoil/ploughsoil BROWN GRAVELLY SAND 30% GRAVEL 0-0.072m
201	BLACK/DARK GREY CLAYEY SAND 15-70% STONE (SMALL RUBBLE - MOD. WASTE LAYER 0.22-0.45m
202	TOPSOIL: DARK GREY/BLACK SLIGHTLY CLAYEY LOAM 0-0.25m
203	SUBSOIL: DARK REDDISH BROWN SILTY SAND 0.25-0.3m
	→ DIFFUSE HORIZON.
204	Natural (describe) LIGHT REDDISH BROWN GRAVELLY SAND 0.3m+

Brief description of archaeology/comments

NO ARCHAEOLOGY.

**NORTH 1/2 TRUNCATED BY MODERN CONSTRUCTION WORK
ROUGH MARKS VISIBLE IN SOUTHERN 1/2 OF TRENCH**

Recorder **Dmc**

Date **25/7/67**

SITE		EVALUATION TRENCH NOTES SHEET		Trench No.
CAHOMCO7				3
Trench orientation N-S		Grid reference		Field No.
Length 29.3m	Width 1.9m	Average depth to top of natural 0.4m	Was archaeology present? Y	
Plan Nos? 300-3		Section Nos? 300-2		Were finds recovered? Y
<p>If a trench contains only a small number of contexts, and requires only one or two plans and sections, list plans and sections on this sheet. If the trench contains large numbers of contexts use a conventional context check list and plan and section list sheets as necessary.</p>				
Context check list				
Context No.	Description			
300	Present topsoil/ploughsoil greyish BROWN SANDY SILT 0-0.2m			
301	SUBSOIL: DARKISH BROWN SILTY SAND 0.2-0.4m			
303/4	TREE BOLL - SEE CTXT SHTS			
305/6	BOUNDARY DITCH / HEDGEROW - SEE CTXT SHTS.			
307/8	LAND DRAIN - SEE CTXT SHTS.			
309/10	PIT - SEE CTXT SHTS			
311	DEMOLITION DEPOSIT - SEE CTXT SHT			
312	BIOTURB. - SEE CTXT SHT.			
302	Natural (describe) LIGHT REDDISH BROWN GRAVELLY SAND.			
Brief description of archaeology/comments				
<p>A PROBABLE BOUNDARY DITCH RUNNING ENE - WSW WAS LOCATED, ALIGNS WITH KNOWN BOUNDARY ON EARLY OS MAPS. THIS DITCH HAS BEEN RE-USED FOR A VICTORIAN DRAIN. A SMALL POSTHOLE PIT WAS ALSO LOCATED. ANOTHER POSS HEDGEROW WAS ALSO SEEN BUT NOT EXCAVATED.</p>				
				Recorder Sam O.
				Date 27/7/07



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

[303]

SITE CAHOMCO7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE CUT

Trench 3

Context Type: ~~Deposit~~ / Cut / ~~Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: ~~107~~

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

- 1. compaction 2. colour
- 3. composition 4. inclusion
- 5. thickness 6. extent
- 7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

300

Cut by:

Filled by: (304)

Section No.

300

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: ~~107~~

- 1. shape in plan
- 2. base/sides/top profile
- 3. dimension and depth
- 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

2:10-12

Cuts: (301)

Neg No.

Fill of:

- 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
- 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
- 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments



Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1. IRREGULAR SHAPE IN PLAN. 2. BASE UNEVEN SLOPE S → N, SIDES IRREGULAR
2. 46° SLOPE. TOP PROFILE CLEAR
3. DIMENSIONS AND DEPTH
3. 1.33m N → S, 1m E → W, DEPTH APPROX 0.14m MAX
6. FILLED BY (304) 4



Interpretation/Discussion

Felt TREE BOWL INCLUDING POST MEDIEVAL FINDS FROM FIL

INCLUDING SEA SHELLS, GLASS AND LAMP GLASS PIPE

TREE WAS PROBABLY A REMAINDER OF A TREE TRUNK FOR

FESTIVAL PIPE ON SITE

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

△ Small Finds

Recorder SNA

◇ Samples

Date 25/7/07

⬠ Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

(304)

SITE CAHOMC 07

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FILL

Trench 3

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (301)

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No. 300

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No. 300

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: (201) (202)

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill pos
7. other comments

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. 7: 10-12

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of: [303]

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1. VERY COMPACT
2. LIGHT BROWN WITH DARKER ROOT DEPOSITS
3. SLIGHTLY LOOSE SAND
4. 10% FLINT INCLUSION UNSUBROUNDED 0.04 M. 5 0.14 M
6. 1.3 M BUNDY ON TROWLS. INTERMEDIAL SUNNY



Interpretation/Discussion

TREE BOWL INCLUDING POST MEDIEVAL FINDS

TREE WAS PROBABLY REMOVED TO MAKE WAY 4 TREE ABOVE FOOTBALL PITCH

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather SHELL

 Small Finds

Recorder SNA

 Samples

Date 25/7/07

 Building Materials

Initials [Signature]



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

305

SITE **CAMBURTON**

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE **Cut**Trench **3**Context Type: ~~Deposit~~ / Cut / ~~Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

- 1. compaction 2. colour
- 3. composition 4. inclusion
- 5. thickness 6. extent
- 7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No. **301**

Cut by:

Filled by: **(306)**Section No. **301**

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

- 1. shape in plan
- 2. base/sides/top profile
- 3. dimension and depth
- 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. **2:16-8**Cuts: **(302) - NAT.**

- 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
- 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
- 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:



Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

LINEAR ENE - SWSW**LINEAR CONCRETE / UNEXPOSED 45° / DIFUSE****W - 2.75m L -> 1.9m D - 0.2m****TRUNCATED BY DITCH [307]****Flw (306)**

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

PROB TREE FILLED BOUNDARY DITCH - SEEN ON EARLY OS MAPS AND TREE LINE STILL EXISTS NEARBY.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small FindsRecorder **Sam. O** SamplesDate **25/7/04** Building MaterialsInitials **[Signature]**



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

306

SITE CAMMCO7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FILE

Trench 3

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (308)

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

301

Cut by: (307)

Filled by:

Section No.

301

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. 2:16-8

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of: (305)


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

FLIABLE

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



BROWNISH YELLOW SILTY CLAY

PURE FLINT PEBBLES

T-0.2m W-0.78m L->1.9m

MATTOCK, OVERCAST

Interpretation/Discussion

SINGLE FILL OF PROB BOUNDARY DITCH (305)

LARGE AMOUNT OF ROOTS INDICATE PROB HEDGEROW / TREE LINED DITCH.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
CBM Wood Leather

 Small Finds

Recorder SAM.O

 Samples

Date 25/7/07

 Building Materials

Initials

Z



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No. [307]

SITE CHOMCO 7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE DITCH CUT

Trench 3

Context Type: ~~Deposit / Cut / Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: ~~C301, C311, C300~~

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No. 301

Cut by:

Filled by: C308

CUT:

Section No. 301

Same as:

Part of:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. F. 2

Cuts: ~~C305, C306, C307~~

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments


Neg No. 16-18

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):
1. LINEAR-EBYNE → WBYSH
RUNNING. 2. NOT BOTTOMED
1. STEEP CONCAVE / DIFFUSE.
3. W = 2.74 M ~~LONG~~
~~NO. 0.61 M DEEP.~~
AT INTERSECTIONS CUTS [305].
(C308). 7. CONTAINS A MODERN
INDUSTRIAL DRAINAGE PIPE.



Interpretation/Discussion
DRAINAGE DITCH
RA-CUT INTO LAND BOUNDARY
[305]. PURPOSEFULLY
BACK FILLED. FINDS SUGGEST
INDUSTRIAL ~~DATE~~
POST-MED POSS. VICTORIAN BY
STYLE OF DRAIN.



Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

Small Finds Recorder S.O.O
 Samples Date 25/07/07
 Building Materials Initials 2

TRENCH E D G F



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No. (308)

SITE CA HONC 07

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE DITCH FILL

Trench 3

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (301), ~~(302)~~, ~~(303)~~

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

301

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

301

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: (306), ~~(307)~~

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

F.2

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

16-18


Fill of: (307)

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):
1. MEDIUM. 2. DARK BROWN. 3. SILTY CLAY. 4. COMMON FLINT PEBBLES, COMMON ROOTS. 5. 0.61M THICK [NOT BOTTOMED]. 6. C. 2.74M ^{wide} ~~long~~ ~~EAST SIDE OF DITCH AT INTERVENTION~~. 7. CONTAINS INDUSTRIAL/MODERN DRAINAGE PIPE. PROB VICTORIAN

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

~~MAJOR~~ FILL OF DRAINAGE DITCH CUT INTO POS. LAND BOUNDARY [305]. DELIBERATE BACK FILL - INDICATED CONCENTRATION OF FINDS. FINDS SUGGEST INDUSTRIAL/MODERN DATE THE STYLE OF THE DRAIN PIPES TO PROB VICTORIAN. NOT FULLY EXCAVATED.

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [x] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [x] Metal [x] CBM [x] Wood [] Leather [] CLAY PIPE DRN DRAIN PIPE FRAGS

- Small Finds
- Samples
- Building Materials

Recorder S.D.O.
Date 25/07/67
Initials [Signature]



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.
309

SITE **CAHOMCO7**

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE **CUT**

Trench **3**

Context Type: ~~Deposit~~ / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

- 1. compaction 2. colour
- 3. composition 4. inclusion
- 5. thickness 6. extent
- 7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.
P 302

Cut by:

Filled by: **(310)**

Section No.
S 302

Same as:

CUT:

Part of:

- 1. shape in plan
- 2. base/sides/top profile
- 3. dimension and depth
- 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. **2:22-4**

Cuts: **[302]**

- 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
- 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond
- 5. form 6. faces
- 7. bond 8. dimensions as found
- 9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain


Description (See check lists):

1. ROUND / IRREGULAR

2. BASE FLAT WITH CENTRAL HOLLOW SIDES


BASE STEEP YET GENTLER SLOP ON E

15° TOP PROFILE VERY CLEAR



3. 0.94 M E → W, DEPTH 0.24 M MAX, 0.90 M N → S

G. FILLED BY (310)



Interpretation/Discussion **PIT WITH UNKNOWN ORIGIN WITH MIXED**

POST MEDIEVAL & FINDS

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal

CBM Wood Leather **FOUND IN ITS FULL**

Small Finds

Recorder **SNM**

Samples

Date **26/7/07**

Building Materials

Initials **[Signature]**



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

310

SITE CAHON 07

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FILL

Trench 3

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (309)

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

P 302

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

S 302

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

2:22-4

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond
5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of: [309]

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

1. NOT VERY COMPACT

2. DARK BROWN WITH ORANGE PATCHES

3. SILTED CLAY


4. 5% FLINT AND CHARCOAL

INCLUSIONS, 5. 0.24 M (MAX) THICKNESS 6. EXTENT

E → W 0.94 M BY N → S 0.90 M 8. TROWELED (METHOD)

OVERCAST

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

PIT OF UNKNOWN ORIGIN OR USE WITH ~~EARLY~~

POST MEDIEVAL FINDS

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [✓] Bone [✓] Flint [] Stone [✓] Burnt stone [] Glass [✓] Metal []
 CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

△ Small Finds

Recorder SNA

◇ Samples

Date 26/7/07

△ Building Materials

Initials [Signature]



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

(311)

SITE **CANOMC67**

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE **DEPOSIT**Trench **3**

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: **C 300**

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No.

301

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

301

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: **C 301**, ~~C 300~~, ~~C 302~~, ~~C 303~~, ~~C 304~~

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. **F2.**

Cuts:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form & faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No. **10-18**

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

1. HIGH 2. LIGHT
 WHITISH YELLOW 3. SILTY
 CHALK 4. COMMON FLINT
 & PEBBLES 5. 0.14 M THICK
 (MAX) 6. ~~BECAUSE LONG FEW WIDE~~
~~AT INTERVENTION~~ 7. UNEVEN LAYER - DISTURBED
 BY BIOTURBATION.

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

MAN MADE DEPOSIT - DUMP OF
 MATERIAL POS. FROM DEMOLITION. MODERN
 MOD. BUILDING DEBRIS LAYER

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small Finds
Recorder **S. D. O.**
 Samples
Date **25/07/07**
 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

C3120

SITE CAKOMC&7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

BIOTURB.
TYPE DEPOSIT.

Trench 3

Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: C301, ~~C302~~

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

303

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

N/A

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: ~~C302~~

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

N/A

Cuts: (302)

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond
5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain


Description (See check lists): 1. MEDIUM. 2. DARK

BROWN. 3. SILTY CLAY.

4. COMMON FLINT PEBBLES,
COMMON ROOTS. 5. NOTDUG INTO. 6. ~~CAVITY~~ ~~FEATURE~~1M WIDE ~~INTERVENTION~~. 7. CATEGORIZED
BUT NOT EXCAVATED - DUE TO INTERPRETATION.

8. N/A. SUNNY, WINDY.

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

BIOTURBATION DEPOSIT -

NATURAL FEATURE NOT EXCAVATED.

NO FINDS - DATE UNCERTAIN.

PROB HEDGE ROW DUE TO LARGE AMOUNT OF ROOTS WITHIN.

ORIENTATED ROUGHLY ~~NE-S~~ E-W. UNEXCAVATEDFinds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
CBM Wood Leather Small Finds

Recorder S.O.

 Samples

Date 26/07/07

 Building Materials

Initials M

SITE CAMOMCO7	EVALUATION TRENCH NOTES SHEET	Trench No. 4
-------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------

Trench orientation N-S	Grid reference	Field No.
Length 24.1m Width 1.9m	Average depth to top of natural 0.35m	Was archaeology present? Y
Plan Nos? 400-2	Section Nos? 400/1	Were finds recovered? N

If a trench contains only a small number of contexts, and requires only one or two plans and sections, list plans and sections on this sheet. If the trench contains large numbers of contexts use a conventional context check list and plan and section list sheets as necessary.

Context check list

Context No.	Description
400	Present topsoil/ploughsoil DARK GREY/BLACK SLIGHTLY CLAYEY loam 15-20% Small Stone 0-0.3m
401	SUBSOIL: DARK REDDISH BROWN SILTY SAND 0.3-0.35m
403/4	PIT - SEE CXT SHTS
405/6	PIT - SEE CXT SHTS
402	Natural (describe) LIGHT REDDISH BROWN GRAVELLY SAND

Brief description of archaeology/comments

TWO LARGE PITS? LOCATED IN S 1/2 OF TRENCH, POSS. COPALITE PITS.

Recorder Dmc
Date 25/7/07



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

403

SITE CALLOWCOZ

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE CutTrench 4Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by: . . .

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No. 400

Cut by:

Filled by: (404)Section No. 400

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. 2:19-21Cuts: ~~(401)~~ (401) - SUBSOIL

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:



Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

POSS LINEAR? ONLY PARTIALLY USABLENOT BOTTOMED / VERTICAL, STRAIGHT / CLEAR>1.9 x 3 > 1.74 x > 1.0mFlw (404)

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

UNKNOWN FEATURE - POSS CHERLITE PIT SINCE FILL SIMILAR TO OTHER KNOWN PITS

FAIRLY MODERN SINCE CUTS SUBSOIL

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal CBM Wood Leather

 Small Finds Samples Building MaterialsRecorder DACDate 25/7/07

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

404

SITE CAHOMCO7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FILL

Trench

4

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (400) - Topsoil

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

400

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

400

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

2:19-21

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of: [403]

Matrix location


Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

COMPACT, SOFT

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

VARYING LAYERS OF CHALKY CLAYEY



SAND, VARIOUS CHANGES OF COLOR

FROM MID-DARK BROWN, PALE YELLOW,

AND LIGHT GREY/WHITE. 25% SMALL STONE/FLINT.

LAYERS VARY IN THICKNESS 0.1 - 0.85M.

> 1.9 x > 1.74 x > 1.0M.

MATTOKK, SUNNY.

Interpretation/Discussion

VARYING LENSES WITHIN PIT [403], INDICATES A GRADUAL FILLING UP, ALTHOUGH FILL IS NOT NATURAL SO DELIBERATELY DUMPED.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
CBM Wood Leather

 Small Finds

Recorder DM

 Samples

Date 25/7/07

 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

405

SITE CAMK07

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE CUTTrench 4Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No.

401

Cut by:

Filled by: (406)

Section No.

401

Same as:

CUT:

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. 2:25-7Cuts: (401) - SUBSOIL

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:



Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

TRIANGULAR? - SEE PLAN.

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

FLAT, CONCAVE / STEEP VERTICAL / SHARP>4.9 x 7.9 x 0.76m.F/W (406)

Interpretation/Discussion

UNKNOWN FEATURE. HAS NOT BEEN RECORDED AS ONE SINGLEFILL. UNUSUAL SHAPE

SEC =

POSS. CORRELATE PIT, SINCE FILLSIMILAR TO OTHER KNOWN PITS.FAMILY MODERN SINCE CUTS SUBSOIL

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small FindsRecorder DMC SamplesDate 25/7/07 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

406

SITE CAMONCO 7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE Fill

Trench 4

Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (400)

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

401

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

401

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

2:25-7

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of: [405]

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):


COMPACT, SOFT

LIGHT GREY/WHITE CHALKY CLAYEY

SAND, 10% SMALL STONE/FLINT.

T - 0.76m > 4.9 x > 1.9m

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



MATTOCK, SUNNY.

Interpretation/Discussion

SINGLE FILL OF POSS P.T [405] POSS DELIBERATE
DUMP. SINCE NOT SIMILAR TO NATURAL / SUBSOILS.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
CBM Wood Leather

△ Small Finds

Recorder DMC

◇ Samples

Date 25/7/67

△ Building Materials

Initials

SITE CAMONCO7		EVALUATION TRENCH NOTES SHEET			Trench No. 5
Trench orientation E-w		Grid reference		Field No.	
Length 29.6m	Width 1.9m	Average depth to top of natural 0.35m	Was archaeology present? Y		
Plan Nos? S00-2		Section Nos? S00-2		Were finds recovered? Y	
<p>If a trench contains only a small number of contexts, and requires only one or two plans and sections, list plans and sections on this sheet. If the trench contains large numbers of contexts use a conventional context check list and plan and section list sheets as necessary.</p>					
Context check list					
Context No.	Description				
S00	Present topsoil/ploughsoil BLACK/DARK GRAY SLIGHTLY CLAYEY LOAM 0-0.2m				
S01	SUBSOIL: DARK REDDISH BROWN SILTY SAND 0.21-0.35m				
S03-5	PIT SEE CTXT SHTS				
S06-7	BIOTURB. SEE CTXT SHTS				
S08-9	PIT SEE CTXT SHTS				
S10-11	BIOTURB. SEE CTXT SHTS				
S02	Natural (describe) LIGHT REDDISH BROWN GRAVELLY SAND				
Brief description of archaeology/comments					
TWO SMALL POST-MED PITS BOTH TRUNCATED BIOTURBATION					
					Recorder Duc
					Date 25/7/07



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

503

SITE CA MOM CO7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE CUT

Trench 5

Context Type: ~~Deposit~~ / Cut / ~~Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No.

500

Cut by:

Filled by: (504) + (505)

Section No.

500

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

> 2:13-15

Cuts: (507)

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

CIRCULAR

CONCAVE, SLOPED DOWN E-W / STEEP



> 45° / SHARP

~~0.55 x 0.5 x 1.1~~ 1.1 x 1.0 x 0.3m

TRUNCATES BIRMS [506]

F/W (504) + (505)

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

SMALL CIRCULAR PIT, TRUNCATES SOME BIOTURBATION

UNKNOWN FUNCTION - POST-MED IN DATE

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal []
 CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

 Small Finds

Recorder DM

 Samples

Date 25/7/67

 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

S04

SITE CAHOMCO7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FILL

Trench 5

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No. 500

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No. 500

Same as:

CUT:

Part of:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: (S03)

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. 2:13-15

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of: [S03]

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain


Description (See check lists):

~~PROB~~ CONTACT

DARK GREY SILTY SAND, 15% SMALL STONE

T-O.28M 1.1 x 1.0M

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



TRAVEL, SUNNY.

Interpretation/Discussion

TOP SECONDARY FILL OF P.T [S03] PROB NATURAL

SILTING UP OVER TIME.

POST-MED PR WHITE CHINA WARE FOUND IN FILL - NOT LEFT.

Finds (tick): None [] ^{POST-MED NOT LEFT} Pot [x] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal []
CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

△ Small Finds

Recorder DMC

◇ Samples

Date 25/7/07

△ Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

S05

SITE CAHONCO7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FILLTrench 3Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / ~~Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (S04)

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No. 8 -

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No. S00

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: (S07)

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. 2:13-15

Cuts:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of: [S03]


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

FAIRLY COMPACTMOTTLED REDDISH BROWN + GRAYISHBROWN CLAYEY SAND.10-15% SMALL STONET-0.17m 0.5 x 70.25m

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

TROWEL, SUNNY

Interpretation/Discussion

BOTTOM SECONDARY FILL OF P.T [S03] PROB SUCINGUP FROM SUBSOIL / TOPSOIL FROM WEST.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small FindsRecorder Dme SamplesDate 25/7/07 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

506

SITE CAMONCO7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE CUT

Trench 5

Context Type: ~~Deposit~~ / Cut / ~~Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

500

Cut by:

Filled by: (507)

Section No.

500

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

2:13-15

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of: (502) - NAT

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

[IRREGULAR

UNEVEN / STEEP, 45°, UNEVEN / DIFFUSE


0.85 x 0.7 x 0.1m APPROX.

SEE [503] FOR SKETCH

TRUNCATED BY PIT [503]

F/w (507)

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

SMALL AREA OF Bioturbation

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
CBM Wood Leather

 Small Finds

Recorder: Dmc

 Samples

Date: 25/7/07

 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

S07

SITE CAMONCO 7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FILLTrench 5Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (S06)

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

S00Cut by: [S03]

Filled by:

Section No.

S00

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

2:13-15

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond
5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of: [S05]


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

FAMILY COMPACTMOTTLED GREY + REDDISH BROWN CLAYEY SAND5-10% small STONET-0.1m 0.85 x 0.7m

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

TROWEL, SUNNY

Interpretation/Discussion

SINGLE FILL OF BIOTURGATOR [S05]

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
CBM Wood Leather

 Small FindsRecorder DMC SamplesDate 25/7/07 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

[508]

SITE CAHOMCO7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE CUT

Trench 5

Context Type: ~~Deposit~~ / Cut / ~~Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No.

501

Cut by:

Filled by: (509)

CUT:

Section No.

501

Same as:

Part of:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

2:28-30

Cuts: ~~(510)~~ (511)

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain


Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1. ROUND SHAPE IN PLAN, 2. BASE
 ROUNDED SLIGHTLY UNEVEN, SIDES ARE
 CURVED 45° TOP PROFILE IS CLEAR
 3. DIMENSIONS E→W 1.00M, N→S 0.76M
 DEPTH AT MAX 0.21M. 5. TRUNCATES
 BIOTURB [510]
 6. FILLED BY (509)



4



Interpretation/Discussion

THE FEATURE IS A PIT WITH EVIDENCE OF BIOTURBATION
 WITH VERY FEW PEBBLES IT IS POSSIBLE THAT ITS
 FUNCTION IS UNCLEAR, THE PIT CUTS THROUGH THE
 BIOTURBATION

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather FOUND IN FILE

 Small Finds

Recorder SNA

 Samples

Date 27/7/07

 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

(509)

SITE CAHONCO7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FILL

Trench

5

Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: 501

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

501

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

501

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

2:28-30

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.


Fill of: [508]

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



1. SLIGHTLY COMPACT (NOT VERY)

2. DARK BROWN COLOUR 3.

SLIGHTLY CLAY SAND

4. 15% ~~ARTIFACT~~ FLINT, ~~MATERIAL~~ $\geq 0.04m$ 5. 0.17m THICK

6. E-W = 1.00m by N → S 0.76m 8. TROWEL

Interpretation/Discussion

PIT FEATURE WITH EVIDENCE OF Bioturbation

THE FILL CONTAINED FEW FINDS HOWEVER THE

FINDS WERE POST MEDIEVAL

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [x] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal []
CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

△ Small Finds

Recorder SNA

◇ Samples

Date 27/7/07

△ Building Materials

Initials [Signature]



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

[510]

SITE CA HBMCO7 ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE CUT

Trench 5 Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No. Abutted by:

- 1. compaction 2. colour
- 3. composition 4. inclusion
- 5. thickness 6. extent
- 7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No. 501 Cut by:

Filled by: (511)

Section No. ~~501~~ Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

- 1. shape in plan
- 2. base/sides/top profile
- 3. dimension and depth
- 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates Consists of:

Overlies:

Level Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. Cuts (502)

- 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
- 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
- 7. bond 8. dimensions as found
- 9. other comments



Neg No. Fill of:

Matrix location Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- 1. IRREGULAR SHAPE IN PLAN
- 2. STEEP SIDES 30° THE BASE IS UNIFORM, THE TOP PROFILE IS CLEAR.
- 3. 0.08M DEPTH AT MAX ~~0.24M~~ ^{N→S} 0.24M ~~0.53M~~ ^{E→W} 0.53M
- 5. [510] TRUNCATED ^{BY} [508]. 6. FILLED BY (511)



Interpretation/Discussion
 BIOTURBATION WHICH ^{IS CUT BY} ~~IS~~ [508] SHOWING EARLIER THE ~~EARLIER~~ PRESENCE OF VEGETATION TRUNCATED BY PIT ~~508~~ [508]

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal []
 CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

△ Small Finds

Recorder SNA

◇ Samples

Date 27/7/07

△ Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

(511)

SITE CAHOMC 07

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE ~~(S11)~~ FILL

Trench

S

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: ~~S08~~

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No.

501

Cut by: [S08]

Filled by:

Section No.

~~S08~~

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of: [510]

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

① LOOSELY COMPACTED

② MID BROWN colour


③ SANDY CLAY (COMPOSITION)

④ PEBBLE AND FLINT INCLUSION

ABOUT 5% ⑤ 0.08M THICK. ⑥ N → S 0.24M

E → W 0.53M ⑧ TROWLED CUT

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

ROTURBATION EVIDENCE OF VEGETATION BEFORE THE PIT WHICH IT CUTS [S08]

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

△ Small Finds

Recorder SNA

◇ Samples

Date 27/7/07

⬆ Building Materials

Initials M

SITE CANONCO7		EVALUATION TRENCH NOTES SHEET			Trench No. 6
Trench orientation E-W		Grid reference		Field No.	
Length 2m	Width 1.9m	Average depth to top of natural 0.35m	Was archaeology present? Y		
Plan Nos? 600	Section Nos? 600		Were finds recovered? Y		
<p>If a trench contains only a small number of contexts, and requires only one or two plans and sections, list plans and sections on this sheet. If the trench contains large numbers of contexts use a conventional context check list and plan and section list sheets as necessary.</p>					
Context check list					
Context No.	Description				
600	Present topsoil/ploughsoil DARK GREY / BLACK SLIGHTLY CLAYEY loam 0-0.3m				
601	SUBSOIL: DARK REDDISH BROWN SILTY SAND 0-0.2 0.3-0.35m				
603-11	PITS - SEE CTXT SHTS				
602	Natural (describe) LIGHT REDDISH BROWN GRAVELY SAND / PINK YELLOW / BROWN SANDY GRAVEL				
Brief description of archaeology/comments					
LA TWO LARGE PITS INTERCUTTING, POSS COPPERLITE PITS.					
					Recorder DMC
					Date 26/7/07



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

603

SITE CAHONCO7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE CUTTrench 6Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No.

Cut by:

Filled by: (604)(605)(607) ~~(611)~~

Section No.

Same as:

CUT:

600

Part of:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. > 2:31-3Cuts: ~~(602)~~ (601) - SUBSOIL

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

UNKNOWN - ONLY PARTIALLY VISIBLE

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

NOT BOTTOMED / STRAIGHT, NEAR VERTICAL / CLEAR> 1.9m x 2.8m x > 1.5mTRUNCATED BY PIT [606]FLW (604-S), (607) ~~(611)~~MACHINE EXCAVATED SO
NO PLAN.

Interpretation/Discussion

LARGE PIT - POSS CORRELATE PIT SINCE SIMILAR FILE
TO OTHER KNOWN ONES
POST-MED.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
CBM Wood Leather

 Small FindsRecorder DM SamplesDate 26/7/07 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

604

SITE CAH0007

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FILL

Trench 6

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (611)

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No.

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

Same as:

CUT:

600

Part of:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: (605)

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. > 2:31-3

Cuts:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of: [603]

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain


Description (See check lists):

CONTACT, SOFT

LIGHT GREEN / WHITE CRACKLY SANDY CLAY

T-0.85m > 1.9m X 2.8m

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



MACHINE, OVERCAST

Interpretation/Discussion

FILL OF ROSS (CELESTINE PIT) PROB. DELIBERATE BACKFILLING OF PIT

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal

CBM Wood Leather

File

Small Finds

Recorder *Duc*

Samples

Date 26/7/67

Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

605

SITE **CAHONCO7**

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE **Fill**Trench **6**Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: **(604)**

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No.

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

Same as:

CUT:

600

Part of:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: **(607)**

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. **> 2:31-3**

Cuts:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of: **(603)**

Matrix location


Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

CONTACT

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

**MOTTLED GREY SANDY CLAY + DARK
BROWN SILTY SAND**



T-0.5m > 1.9 x 2.55m

MACHINE OVERCAST

Interpretation/Discussion

FILL OF LOSS CORALITE PIT, PROB. DELIBERATE BACKFILL.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

Small Finds

Recorder **Duc**

Samples

Date **26/7/07**

Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

606

SITE CAHONCO 7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE CutTrench 6Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

Cut by:

600Filled by: (608), (609), (610)

Section No.

Same as:

600

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. 2:31-3Cuts: (611)

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

UNKNOWN - NOT FULLY VISIBLECONCRETE / STEEL 45° / CLEAR.>1.9 x 2.55 x 1.6m APPROXTRUNCATED PIT [603]FILL (608), (609), (610)

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



MACHINED OUT SO NO PLAN.

Interpretation/Discussion

LARGE PIT - NOT FULLY EXCAVATED. POSS CORRELATE PIT

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small FindsRecorder Dme SamplesDate 25/7/07 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

607

SITE CAHOMCO7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE Fill

Trench 6

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (605)

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No. —

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No. 600

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. > 2:31-3

Cuts:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of: (603)

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain


Description (See check lists):

COMPACT, SOFT

LIGHT GREY/WHITE CHARCY SANDY CLAY.

T -> 0.1m 21.9 x 2.1m.

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



MACHINE, OVERLAYS

Interpretation/Discussion

BOTTOM FILL OF PIT (603) (ALTHOUGH PIT NOT BOTTOMED IT IS VIRTUALLY BOTTOMED HENCE KNOWING THIS IS THE BOTTOM FILL).

DELIBERATE BACKFILL OF LOSS CORRELATE PIT (603)

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

Small Finds

Recorder DMC

Samples

Date 26/7/07

Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

608

SITE Caron Co 7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FillTrench 6Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure-

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (610)

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No.

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

606

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: (609)

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

2:31-3

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of: [606]

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

COMPACT, SOFTLIGHT GREY/WHITE CHALKY SANDY CLAYS-6% SMALL STONET-~~3~~ 1.1m > 1.9 x ~~3~~ 2.4m

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

MACHINE OVERCAST

Interpretation/Discussion

DELIBERATE BACKFILL OF P.T [606]

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small FindsRecorder Dmc SamplesDate 26/7/07 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

609

SITE **CAHONCO7**

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE **Fill**Trench **6**

Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: **(608), (610)**

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No.

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

600

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

2:31-3

Cuts:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of: **[606]**


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

SOFT

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



**DARK REDDISH BROWN SOY SAND WITH
GCC. GREY SANDY CLAY PATCHES
20-30% SMALL STONE.**

T-0.35M 21.9 x 2.5M

MACHINE, OVERCAST

Interpretation/Discussion

**BOTTOM FILL OF PIT [606] (NO) INITIAL SILTING
UP FROM SURROUNDING SUBSOIL / TOPSOIL ~~FROM~~ FROM E.**

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
CBM Wood Leather

Small Finds

Recorder **JMC**

Samples

Date **26/7/07**

Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

610

SITE CAMONCOF

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE Fill

Trench

6Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure-

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

(600)

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No.

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

600

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: (611), (608), (609)

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

2:31-3

Cuts:

Neg No.

Fill of:

[606]

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Matrix location


Relationships uncertain

(611)

Description (See check lists):

SOFT

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

MOTTLED REDDISH BROWN + GREY SILTYSAND 60-80% SMALL STONET-0.6M >1.9 x 2.9mUNCLEAR HORIZON WITH (611)*MACHINE SUMPY

Interpretation/Discussion

* IT IS POSSIBLE THAT (610) + (611) ARE THE SAME AND ARE NOT PART OF THE PITS, ALTHOUGH THE RELATIONSHIP IS VERY UNCLEAR. IT MAY BE THAT THIS IS JUST A LEVELLING LAYER AFTER THE PITS HAVE BEEN BACKFILLED? POST-MED IN DATE.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small FindsRecorder gmc SamplesDate 26/7/07 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

611

SITE Carlowcot

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FILLTrench 6Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (600)

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No. —Cut by: [606]

Filled by:

Section No.

600

Same as:

CUT:

Co-Ordinates

Part of:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. > 2:31-3

Cuts:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:

Matrix location


Relationships uncertain (610)

Description (See check lists):

SOFT

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

MOTTLED REDDISH BROWN + GREY SILTY SAND, LO-SO% SMALL STONE
T - 0.35m > 1.9 x 2.55m

MACHINE, SUNNY

Interpretation/Discussion

SEE (610)

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small FindsRecorder Dmc SamplesDate 27/6/07 Building Materials

Initials

SITE CAMONCO7		EVALUATION TRENCH NOTES SHEET			Trench No. 7
Trench orientation N-S		Grid reference		Field No.	
Length 2m	Width 1.9m	Average depth to top of natural 0.35m	Was archaeology present? Y		
Plan Nos? 700-2		Section Nos? 700-1		Were finds recovered? Y	
<p>If a trench contains only a small number of contexts, and requires only one or two plans and sections, list plans and sections on this sheet. If the trench contains large numbers of contexts use a conventional context check list and plan and section list sheets as necessary.</p>					
Context check list					
Context No.	Description				
700	Present topsoil/ploughsoil DARK GREY/BLACK SLIGHTLY CLAYEY LOAM 0-0.3m				
701	SUBSOIL: DARK REDDISH BROWN SILTY SAND 0.3-0.35m				
703/4	SMALL GULLY SEE CTXT SHTS				
705/6	.. DITCH SEE CTXT SHTS.				
702	Natural (describe) LIGHT REDDISH BROWN GRAVELLY SAND				
Brief description of archaeology/comments					
1 SMALL GULLY / POSS HERONROW					
1 SMALL DITCH - POSS DRAINAGE DITCH.					
BOTH POST-MED.					
PLOUGH MARKS SEEN THROUGHOUT TRENCH					
					Recorder DUE
					Date 27/7/07



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

703

SITE CAMMCO7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE CUTTrench 7Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
 3. composition 4. inclusion
 5. thickness 6. extent
 7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No. 700

Cut by:

Filled by: (704)Section No. 700

Same as:

CUT:

Part of:

1. shape in plan
 2. base/sides/top profile
 3. dimension and depth
 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos
 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. 3:4-6Cuts: (702) - NAT

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond
 5. form 6. faces
 7. bond 8. dimensions as found
 9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

LINEAR NE-SWSLIGHTLY CONCAVE UNLEN / GRADUAL SLOPEUNLEN / SLIGHTLY DIFFUSEL -> 1.9m W - 0.65m D - 0.07m.FLW (704)

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

UNLEN NATURE AND ROOT DISTURBANCE INDICATES PROBHEDGEROW.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small FindsRecorder DMC SamplesDate 26/7/07 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

704

SITE CAHON CO7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE Fill

Trench 7

Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: 701

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

- 1. compaction 2. colour
- 3. composition 4. inclusion
- 5. thickness 6. extent
- 7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

700

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

700

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

- 1. shape in plan
- 2. base/sides/top profile
- 3. dimension and depth
- 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

3:4-6

Cuts:

- 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
- 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
- 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:

[703]

Matrix location


Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

FAIRLY FRAGILE / LOOSE

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

CLAYISH BROWN SANDY SILT 10% SMALL STONE



T-0.07m W-0.45m L-2.19m.

TRAVEL, SURVEY

Interpretation/Discussion

SINGLE FILL OF FACED MUDGEOUR [703]

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
CBM Wood Leather

Small Finds

Recorder Duc

Samples

Date 26/7/07

Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

705

SITE CAMMCO7

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE CUT

Trench 7

Context Type: ~~Deposit~~ / Cut / ~~Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
 3. composition 4. inclusion
 5. thickness 6. extent
 7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

701

Cut by:

Filled by: (706)

Section No.

701

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
 2. base/sides/top profile
 3. dimension and depth
 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

3:7-9

Cuts: (701)

Neg No.

Fill of:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
 7. bond 8. dimensions as found
 9. other comments

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):



LINEAR E-W

CONCAVE / STEEP >45° / CLEAR.

L -> 2.9m W - 0.45m D - 0.22m

Flw (706)

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

LINEAR DITCH / GULLY. POSS DRAINAGE DITCH? POST-MED IN DATE

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal []
 CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

 Small Finds

Recorder DMC

 Samples

Date 27/7/07

 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

706

SITE CAMPON 67

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FILLTrench 7Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (700)

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

701

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

701

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

3:7-9

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond
5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of: (705)


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

FAIRLY LOOSE

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

GREYISH BROWN SANDY SILT 10-15%SMALL STONEST-0.22m W-0.65m L->1.9m.TRAVEL, SUNNY

Interpretation/Discussion

SINGLE, SIFTED UP FILL OF POND DRAINAGE DITCH [705]
POST-MED FINDS.
Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather
 Small FindsRecorder Dmc SamplesDate 27/7/07 Building Materials

Initials

SITE CAHONCO7	EVALUATION TRENCH NOTES SHEET	Trench No. 8
-------------------------	--------------------------------------	---------------------

Trench orientation E-W	Grid reference	Field No.
Length 29m	Width 1.9	Average depth to top of natural 0.3m
Plan Nos? 800		Section Nos? 800
		Were finds recovered? N

If a trench contains only a small number of contexts, and requires only one or two plans and sections, list plans and sections on this sheet. If the trench contains large numbers of contexts use a conventional context check list and plan and section list sheets as necessary.

Context check list

Context No.	Description
800	Present topsoil/ploughsoil Dark grey/brown silty clayey loam 0-0.25m
801	Subsoil: Dark brown silty sand 0.25-0.3m
801	Subsoil: Dark reddish brown silty sand 0.25-0.3m
802	Natural (describe) ^{Light} reddish brown coarsely silty sand with small flint/pebbles

Brief description of archaeology/comments

**ROUGH MARKS VISIBLE RUNNING ROUGHLY N-S
Blowdown THROUGHOUT**

	Recorder Jue
	Date 25/7/07

SITE CAMBOUF		EVALUATION TRENCH NOTES SHEET		Trench No. 9
Trench orientation E-W		Grid reference —		Field No. —
Length 2.9m	Width 1.9m	Average depth to top of natural 0.25m	Was archaeology present? N	
Plan Nos? 900		Section Nos? 900		Were finds recovered? N

If a trench contains only a small number of contexts, and requires only one or two plans and sections, list plans and sections on this sheet. If the trench contains large numbers of contexts use a conventional context check list and plan and section list sheets as necessary.

Context check list

Context No.	Description
900	Present topsoil/ploughsoil DARK GRAY/BACK SLIGHTLY CLAYEY LOAM 0-0.20m
901	SUBSOIL 15-20% SMALL STONE 0.05-0.2m
901	SUBSOIL: DARK REDDISH BROWN SILTY SAND 0.2-0.25m
902	Natural (describe) ^{LIGHT} REDDISH BROWN GRAVELLY SAND 40-50% SMALL FLINT/PEBBLES.

Brief description of archaeology/comments

NO ARCHAEOLOGY
PATCHES OF ROSS ACTION THROUGHOUT
LOSS FAINT PLOWN MARKS RUNNING SSE-NNW

Recorder **DME**
 Date **25/7/07**

CAMBRIDGE HOMERTON LANE
CAMBRIDGE



Box 1 FILE 4

B PRIMARY DRAWINGS.

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Cambridgeshire] Parish:[Cambridge]

Site[Cambridge Homerton College] Site code[CAHOMC 07]

Line 2: Excavators name[K Welsh]


Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings ✗	✓
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	✓
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

S#100




S#100
Rep. Sec TR 1
1:10
Dms
25/7/07





P#100

S
M.G.
3/8/07




P#100
 TR 1
 1:50
 DMC
 28/7/07






S#200
 Ref. Sec. TR 2
 1:10
 DMC
 25/7/07



P#200

S.M.C.
3/8/07



Redeveloped
Topsoil /
Construction
Site

↑

↓

PLAYING
FIELD

(204)

3
x

Redeveloped
Topsoil /
Construction
Site

↑

↓


PLAYING
FIELD

REP
SEC
#200

↑

N

P#200
TR #2
1:50
D.M.
25/7/07



||| - PLOUGH MARKS





5
x

TR 2.2

CAHOMC 07

WEST FACING SECTION OF [303]

S300



S 300
 TREE BOWL [303]
 1110
 SNA 25 / 7 / 07

KEY
 O = FLINT

MATRIX

[303]

1


(302)

S# 301

EAST FACING SECTION OF [305], [307]

DP. 301.1
N

DP. 301.2
S



NOT BOTTOMED.



S# 301
 MEDGE BOWL [305];
 DITCH [307]

1:20
 S.D.D 25/10/7107


- KEY
- = FLINT
 - ▣ = CHALK
 - ▨ = BONE
 - ▧ = GLASS
 - = UNCERTAIN EDGE
 - = LIMIT OF EXCAVATION

CA 110507

CAHOMC 07

S302

NORTH FACING SECTION OF [309]



MATRIX

(310)
 |
 [309]
 |
 (302)

S302
 PIT [309]
 26/7/07
 SNA

KEY

- ☐ = STONE
- = CRACK COAL



CAHOMC 07

PLAN OF [303]

P 300

MATRIX

(300)

(301)

(304)

[303]



(302)

P# 300

TREE BOWL [303]

11 20

SNA 25/7/07




KEY
 ° = STONE

MATRIX

- (300)
- (311)
- (301)
- (308)
- [307]
- (306)
- [305]
- (302)

KEY

- = FLINT
- - = TRENCH EDGE
- = UNCERTAIN EDGE



PH 301
 HEDGE BOWL [305]
 DITCH [307]
 1:20
 S.O. 25107 107
 NOT BOTTOMED



CAHOMC 07

P 302

PLAN OF [309]

- MATRIX
- (300)
- (301)
- (310)
- [309]
- (302)

P# 302
PIT [309]
11/20
SNA 26/7/07



3/8/07

P# 303

TRENCH 3






1:50


S.D. 26/07/07

KEY



- - - UNCERTAIN EDGE

- - - LIMIT OF EXCAVATION





CANONCO9
P#400
P.T [403]
1:20
DMC
25/7/07



CAMONCO7
 P#401
 Pit [405]
 1:20
 Dnc
 25/7/07




S
 m. Gz.
 3/8/07

P#401

S
M.E.L.
3/8/07
D
M.E.L.
6/8/07

TR 4.1


GOAL POSTS IN
WAY SO COULD
NOT EXCAVATE




TR 4.2



(TRENCH PEGS WERE
MOVED AND ORIGINAL
POSITIONS LOST DURING
DETURFING.)




CAHONCO7
P#402
TR 4
1:50
Dmc
25/7/07




- §§ - MID-DARK BROWN STONY SAND
- /// - PALE YELLOW SAND
- /// - DARK BROWN CLAYEY SAND
- EVERYTHING ELSE: LIGHT GREY / WHITE STONY / CLAYEY SAND OCC FLINT

CANONCO7
S#400
PIT 403
1:10
Dmc
25/7/07




CAMONCO7
 S#401
 Pπ [405]
 1:10
 DMC
 25/7/07

S#401



CANOMCO7
 S#500
 P# [S03], BISTUES [S05]
 1.10
 DMC
 25/7/07




S#500

S# 501
S# 502

CAHOMCO7

S501

NORTH FACE OF [508]




26/7/07
SNA

CAHOMCO7

S502


SECTION OF TYPICAL TRENCH EDGE
(500) + (502)

26/7/07
SNA
1110




P# 500
P# 501

CAHOMCO 7
P# 500
Pit [503], BIOTURBS [506]
1:20
DME
25/7/07



PLAN OF [508] AND [510]

P# 501
PIT [508], BIOTURBS [510]
1:20
SNA
27/7/07
CAHOMCO 7




CATHOM CO7

P 502


TRENCH B PLAN
27/7/07
SNA
P# 503
CATHOMCO7

S-
M.E.L.
3/8/07
D
M.E.L.
6/8/07





KEY

- = PIPE
- ≡ = PLUGH MARKS
- ☞ = PIT + BIOTURB



(SOL)




PH600

S.E.
3/18/07

D
M.E.
6/8/07

TR6.2



MACHINE
SONDRAGE
FOR
PITS
[603]
+
[605]

PLACED
MARKS


TR6.1

← N


CAMMCO7
TR 6
P#600
1:50
DME
26/7/07



P# 700
P# 701




CAMONCO7
P#700
Gully [703]
1:20
DME
27/7/07



CAMONCO7
P#701
Ditch [705]
1:20
DME
27/7/07

PH 702

S
M.S. 3/8/07
D
M.S. 8/8/07





(702)



CAMMCO7
PH 702
TR 7
1:50
DME
27/7/07


SH 700
SH 701

CANONCO7
SA 700
Gully [703]
1:10
DME
27/7/07



CANONCO7
SA 701
Ditch [705]
1:10
DME
27/7/07









CAHONCO7
 S# 800
 REP SEL TR 8
 1:10
 DMC
 27/7/07

PH 800


S
M.E.
31/8/07
D
M.E.
6/8/07





--- Rough walls.



CAHOMCO7
 P# 800
 TR 8
 1:50
 DRC
 27/7/07








- roots

CANONCO7
 S#900
 REP. SEC. TR 9
 116
 JMC
 25/7/07


P#900
S
M.E.L.
3/8/07
D
M.E.C.
6/8/07



(902)




CAMONCO7
P#900
TR 9
1:50
DUC
25/7/07



REF.
SEC
#900

3
+




CAMONCO7
S#1000
REF. SEC. TR 10
1:10
DNC
27/7/07

PH 1000

S
M.E.L
3/8/07
D
M.E.L
6/8/07

TR 10.2
MORNING SOURCE
TRENCH
2
A



TR 10.1



(1002)

CANONCO7
PH 1000
TR 10
1:50
DME
27/7/07

SA 1000
13
A

AF





CAMBRIDGE
HOMERSON LANE
CAMBRIDGE

Box FILES

C FINDS BOX/BAG LISTS

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Cambridgeshire] Parish:[Cambridge]

Site[Cambridge Homerton College] Site code[CAHOMC 07]

Line 2: Excavators name[K Welsh]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

Finds Compendium

Site Code	Invoice Code	Site Name	Accession No	OAU No
CAHOMC 07	CAHOMCEV	Cambridge, Homerton College		

Finds materials summarised for Site Code: CAHOMC 07 and invoice code: CAHOMCEV

Material	No of Boxes	No Of Contexts	No Of Sherds	Total Weight (g)	Box Sizes	Box Numbers
Animal Bone		3	16	76		MISC.01 - mixed box
CBM		2	40	915		MISC.01 - mixed box
Clay Pipe		3	7	21		MISC.01 - mixed box
Copper Alloy		1	2	0		FE.01
Flint		1	1	32		MISC.01 - mixed box (DISCARDED)
Iron		3	9	0		FE.01
Pottery		4	31	407		MISC.01 - mixed box
Shell		4	6	30		MISC.01 - mixed box
Stone		1	2	25		MISC.01 - mixed box
Totals:			114	1,506 g		

Total No of Boxes: 1 boxes + 1 miscellaneous boxes

Miscellaneous Box Sizes:
MISC.01 Size 2

Box Contents Sheets

Site Code CAHOMC 07	Material: Miscellaneous
Box Size Size 2	Box No MISC.01 Accession No

Context	SF No	No of Bags	No of Objects	Material:	Weight (g)	Context	SF Number	No of Bags	No of Objects	Material:	Weight (g)
304		1	1	Animal Bone	3						
308		1	13	Animal Bone	24						
310		1	2	Animal Bone	49						
308		2	39	CBM	861						
603		1	1	CBM	54						
304		1	1	Clay Pipe	8						
308		1	4	Clay Pipe	10						
310		1	2	Clay Pipe	3						
310		1	1	Flint	32						
304		1	5	Pottery	17						
304		1	2	Pottery	18						
308		1	11	Pottery	136						
308		1	2	Pottery	5						
310		1	8	Pottery	159						
310		1	2	Pottery	12						
610		1	1	Pottery	60						
304		1	2	Shell	5						
308		1	2	Shell	5						
310		1	1	Shell	2						
706		1	1	Shell	18						
308		1	2	Stone	25						

No of Contexts: 21 **Total Bags:** 22
Total Objects: 103 **Total Weight:** 1506

Box Contents Sheets

Site Code CAHOMC 07	Material: Copper Alloy & Iron
Box Size Plastic size 4	Box No FE.01 Accession No

Context	SF No	No of Bags	No of Objects	Material:	Weight (g)	Context	SF Number	No of Bags	No of Objects	Material:	Weight (g)
308		1	2	Copper Alloy Button	0						
306		1	5	Iron Unidentified	0						
604		1	3	Iron Unidentified	0						
706		1	1	Iron Nail	0						

No of Contexts:	4	Total Bags:	4
Total Objects:	11	Total Weight:	0



CAMBRIDGE
HEMERTON LANE
CATOMC 07

Box 1 FILE 6

D. CATALOGUE OF PHOTOS.

PDF/A SCAN

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth

No. of copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County[Cambridgeshire] Parish:[Cambridge]

Site[**Cambridge Homerton College**] Site code[CAHOMC 07]

Line 2: Excavators name[K Welsh]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	✓
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	



PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET

SITE CODE CAM100CO7

SITE NAME HOMERTON COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE

FILM NO. 1

Camera number 16

Lens number

Black & white / colour

Date	Negative number	View	Context(s)	Initials
<u>23/07/07</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>J.D. SHOT</u>	<u>S.D.O.</u>
	<u>1</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>TRENCH 1. 2x2M SCALES</u>	<u>WIB</u>
	<u>2</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>WOIB</u>
	<u>3</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>↓</u>
	<u>4</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>TRENCH 1: - RE-TAKE.</u>	<u>WIB</u>
	<u>5</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>WOIB</u>
	<u>6</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>↓</u>
	<u>7</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>SH100 REP. SECT. 1M SCALE</u>	<u>WIB</u>
	<u>8</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>WOIB</u>
	<u>9</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>↓</u>
	<u>10</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>TRENCH 2. 2x2M SCALES</u>	<u>WIB</u>
	<u>11</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>WOIB</u>
	<u>12</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>↓</u>
	<u>13</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>SH200 REP. SECT. 1M SCALE</u>	<u>WIB</u>
	<u>14</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>WOIB</u>
<u>23/07/07</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>↓</u>
<u>24/07/07</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>TRENCH 3. 2x2M SCALES</u>	<u>WIB</u>
	<u>17</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>WOIB</u>
	<u>18</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>↓</u>
	<u>19</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>TRENCH 4. 2x2M SCALES</u>	<u>WIB</u>
	<u>20</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>WOIB</u>
	<u>21</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>↓</u>
	<u>22</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>TRENCH 5. 2x2M SCALES</u>	<u>WIB</u>
	<u>23</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>WOIB</u>
	<u>24</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>↓</u>
	<u>25</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>TRENCH 6. 2x2M SCALES</u>	<u>WIB</u>
	<u>26</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>WOIB</u>
	<u>27</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>↓</u>
	<u>28</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>TRENCH 7. 2x2M SCALES</u>	<u>WIB</u>
	<u>29</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>WOIB</u>
	<u>30</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>↓</u>
	<u>31</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>TRENCH 10 2x2M SCALES</u>	<u>WIB</u>
	<u>32</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>WOIB</u>
	<u>33</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>↓</u>
	<u>34</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>WORKING SHOT</u>	
<u>24/07/07</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>↓</u>		<u>↓</u>
	<u>36</u>			
	<u>37</u>			

T9 NNW
T8 *



PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET

SITE CODE **CA16MCO7**

SITE NAME **KOMERTON COLLEGE,
CAMBRIDGE.**

FILM NO. **2**

Camera number **10**

Lens number

Black & white / colour

Date	Negative number	View	Context(s)	Initials
24/07/07	0	N/A	I.D. SHOT	S.O.O
↓	1	N W	TRENCH 9. 2x2M SCALES W/B	↓
	2	↓	W/B	
	3	↓	*	
	4	N W	TRENCH 8. 2x2M SCALES W/B	
	5	↓	W/B	
24/07/07	6	↓	↓	S.O.O
↓	7	N	S# 200 1x2m W/B DMC	
	8	↓	W/B	
	9	↓	-	
	10	E	S# 300 [303] BISTONE 1x2m W/B SMA	
	11	↓	W/B	
	12	↓	-	
	13	S	S# 500 [503] 1x2m W/B DMC	
	14	↓	W/B	
	15	↓	-	
	25/07/07	16	E	S# 301 [305] FREEBOWL [307] DITCH W/B S.O.O
↓	17	↓	HEDGE 2M SCALE W/B	
	18	↓	↓	
	19	W	S# 400 [403] 1x2m W/B DMC	
	20	↓	W/B	
	21	↓	-	
	22	S	S# 302 [309] PIT 1M SCALE W/B SMA	
	23	↓	W/B	
	24	↓	↓	
	25	E	S# 401 [405] 1x2m W/B DMC	
	26	↓	W/B	
27	↓	-		
28	N	S# 501 [509]	SMA	
29	↓	BOARD IN ALL	SMA	
30	↓		SMA	
↓	31	S	S# 600 [603] + [606] 1x2m W/B DMC	
	32	↓	W/B	
	33	↓	-	
	34			
	35			
	36			
	37			



PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET

SITE CODE CA100007SITE NAME Homerton CollegeFILM NO. 3Camera number 16

Lens number

Black & white Colour

Date	Negative number	View	Context(s)	Initials
<u>30/7/67</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>ID Spot</u>	<u>DW</u>
	<u>1</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Ref sec # 800 tr 8 1x1m</u>	<u>w/s</u>
	<u>2</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>w/s</u>
	<u>3</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>w/s</u>
	<u>4</u>	<u>SW</u>	<u>S# 700 1x0.5m</u>	<u>w/s</u>
	<u>5</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>w/s</u>
	<u>6</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>w/s</u>
	<u>7</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>S # 600 701 1x1m</u>	<u>w/s</u>
	<u>8</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>w/s</u>
	<u>9</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>w/s</u>
	<u>10</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>S# 600 1x1m</u>	<u>w/s</u>
	<u>11</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>w/s</u>
	<u>12</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>w/s</u>
	<u>13</u>			
	<u>14</u>			
	<u>15</u>			
	<u>16</u>			
	<u>17</u>			
	<u>18</u>			
	<u>19</u>			
	<u>20</u>			
	<u>21</u>			
	<u>22</u>			
	<u>23</u>			
	<u>24</u>			
	<u>25</u>			
	<u>26</u>			
	<u>27</u>			
	<u>28</u>			
	<u>29</u>			
	<u>30</u>			
	<u>31</u>			
	<u>32</u>			
	<u>33</u>			
	<u>34</u>			
	<u>35</u>			
	<u>36</u>			
	<u>37</u>			



PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET

SITE CODE CAH0607SITE NAME HOMERTON COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGEFILM NO. 1Camera number 16

Lens number

~~Black & white~~ / colour

Date	Negative number	View	Context(s)	Initials
<u>23/07/07</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>I.D. SHOT</u>	<u>S.O.O</u>
	<u>1</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>TRENCH 1. 2X2M SCALES. WIB</u>	
	<u>2</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓ WIB</u>	
	<u>3</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	
	<u>4</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>TRENCH 1. RE-TAKE WIB</u>	
	<u>5</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓ WIB</u>	
	<u>6</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	
	<u>7</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>SH 100. REP. SECT. 1M SCALE WIB</u>	
	<u>8</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓ WIB</u>	
	<u>9</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	
	<u>10</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>TRENCH 2. 2X 2M SCALES WIB</u>	
	<u>11</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓ WIB</u>	
	<u>12</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	
	<u>13</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>SH 200. REP. SECT. 0 WIB</u>	
	<u>14</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓ 1M SCALE WIB</u>	
<u>23/07/07</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>S.O.O</u>
<u>24/07/07</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>TRENCH 3. 2X2M SCALES WIB</u>	<u>S.O.O</u>
	<u>17</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓ WIB</u>	
	<u>18</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	
	<u>19</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>TRENCH 4. 2X2M SCALES WIB</u>	
	<u>20</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓ WIB</u>	
	<u>21</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	
	<u>22</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>TRENCH 5. 2X2M SCALES WIB</u>	
	<u>23</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓ WIB</u>	
	<u>24</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	
	<u>25</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>TRENCH 6. 2X2M SCALES WIB</u>	
	<u>26</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓ WIB</u>	
	<u>27</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	
	<u>28</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>TRENCH 7. 2X2M SCALES WIB</u>	
	<u>29</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓ WIB</u>	
	<u>30</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	
	<u>31</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>TRENCH 6. 2X2M SCALES WIB</u>	
	<u>32</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓ WIB</u>	
	<u>33</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	
	<u>34</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>WORKING SHOT</u>	<u>S.O.O</u>
<u>24/07/07</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>↓</u>	<u>S.O.O</u>
	<u>36</u>			
	<u>37</u>			



PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET

SITE CODE **CAMOMCOT**SITE NAME **KOMERTON COLLEGE,
CAMBRIDGE**FILM NO. **2**Camera number **16**

Lens number

Black & white/ colour

Date	Negative number	View	Context(s)	Initials
24/07/07	0	N/A	I.D. SHOT	S.D.'0
	1	W	TRENCH 4. 2X2M SCALES W/B	
	2	↓		
	3	↓		
	4	W	TRENCH 8. 2X2M SCALES W/B	
	5	↓		
24/07/07	6	↓		S.D.'0
25/7/07	7	N	S#400 1x1m	Dme
	8	↓		
	9	↓		
	10	E	S#300 [303] BURNERS 1x1m	SNA
	11	↓		
	12	↓		
	13	S	S#500 [503] 1x1m	Dme
	14	↓		
	15	↓		
26/07/07	16	E	S#301. [305] FREEBOWL, [307] DITCH W/B	S.D.'0
	17	↓	MEGGE 2M SCALE W/B	
	18	↓		
	19	W	S#400 [403] 1x1m	Dme
	20	↓		
	21	↓		
	22	S	S#302 [309] PIT. 1M SCALE W/B	SNA
	23	↓		
	24	↓		
	25	E	S#401 [405] 1x2m	Dme
	26	↓		
	27	↓		
	28	N	S# 501 501 [508]	SNA
	29	↓	BOARD IN ALL	SNA
	30	↓		SNA
	31	S	S#600 [603]+[605] 1x2m	Dme
	32	↓		
	33	↓		
	34	N	S#502 WITH B	SNA
	35	↓		
	36	↓		
	37			



PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET

SITE CODE CA10002

SITE NAME Haverston College

FILM NO. 3

Camera number 16

Lens number

~~Black & white~~ / colour

Date	Negative number	View	Context(s)	Initials	
<u>20/7/67</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>(D) SPOT</u>	<u>Due</u>	
	<u>1</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>S# 800</u>	<u>1x1m</u>	<u>w/b</u>
	<u>2</u>	<u>↓</u>			<u>w/b</u>
	<u>3</u>	<u>↓</u>			<u>"</u>
	<u>4</u>	<u>SW</u>	<u>S# 700</u>	<u>1x1m</u>	<u>w/b</u>
	<u>5</u>	<u>↓</u>			<u>w/b</u>
	<u>6</u>	<u>↓</u>			<u>"</u>
	<u>7</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>S# 700 701</u>	<u>1x1m</u>	<u>w/b</u>
	<u>8</u>	<u>↓</u>			<u>w/b</u>
	<u>9</u>	<u>↓</u>			<u>"</u>
	<u>10</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>S# 1000</u>	<u>1x1m</u>	<u>w/b</u>
	<u>11</u>	<u>↓</u>			<u>w/b</u>
	<u>12</u>	<u>↓</u>			<u>"</u>
	<u>13</u>				
	<u>14</u>				
	<u>15</u>				
	<u>16</u>				
	<u>17</u>				
	<u>18</u>				
	<u>19</u>				
	<u>20</u>				
	<u>21</u>				
	<u>22</u>				
	<u>23</u>				
	<u>24</u>				
	<u>25</u>				
	<u>26</u>				
	<u>27</u>				
	<u>28</u>				
	<u>29</u>				
	<u>30</u>				
	<u>31</u>				
	<u>32</u>				
	<u>33</u>				
	<u>34</u>				
	<u>35</u>				
	<u>36</u>				
	<u>37</u>				