

Site/Project Name: **Swindon Supermarine FC**

Site Code: B 2007.15

Site/Project Type: Evaluation

Year(s): 2007

Accession Number: B:2007.15

Record Group	Contents	Comments	Box/File Number
	INTRODUCTION Written Scheme of Investigation	19 sheets	Box 1 file 1
A	REPORT Evaluation report OASIS form printout	1 bound copy 2 sheets	Box 1 file 2
B	PRIAMRY CONTEXT RECORDS Levels register Trench 1 - Trench record sheet & context record sheets Trench 2 - Trench record sheet & context record sheets Trench 3 - Trench record sheet & context record sheets Trench 4 - Trench record sheet & context record sheets	1 sheet 8 sheets 8 sheets 7 sheets 10 sheets	Box 1 file 3
B	CATAOLGUE OF DRAWINGS Plan record sheet Section record sheet	1 sheet 1 sheet	Box 1 file 4
B	PRIMARY DRAWINGS Plan & section drawings	4 A4 sheets	Box 1 file 5
D	CATALOGUE OF PHOTOGRAPHS Black and white photographic record sheet Colour photographic record sheet – original & amended	1 sheet 2 sheets	Box 1 file 6

The following items have been included within this archive as they are an integral part of the project information. Permission was granted for the use of these documents within the project, however anyone wishing to reproduce these items for any other reason will need to contact the appropriate copyright holder listed below

Written scheme of investigation: © Scott Wilson

SCAN PDF

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth

No. of CD copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County:[Wiltshire] Parish:[South Marston] Site:[Swindon, Supermarine FC]

Site code[B2007.15]

Line 2: Excavators name[T. Haines]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Classification of material	Tick if present
Index to archive	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Introduction	<input type="checkbox"/>
A:Final Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
A:Publication Report	<input type="checkbox"/>
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	<input type="checkbox"/>
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	<input type="checkbox"/>
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	<input type="checkbox"/>
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>
F: Documentary	<input type="checkbox"/>
F: Press and Publicity	<input type="checkbox"/>
G: Correspondence	<input type="checkbox"/>
H: Miscellaneous	<input type="checkbox"/>

Swindon, Supermarine FC
B 2007.15

Box 1 File 1

INTRODUCTION

SCAN PDF

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth

No. of CD copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County:[Wiltshire] Parish:[South Marston] Site:[Swindon, Supermarine FC]

Site code[B2007.15]

Line 2: Excavators name[T. Haines]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Classification of material	Tick if present
Index to archive	
Introduction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

Revision Schedule

Written Scheme of Investigation for Archaeological Trial Trenching April 2007

Rev	Date	Details
01	April 2007	Draft

Helen Clough
Archaeological Consultant

Andy Mayes
Senior Archaeological
Consultant

Jay Carver
Principal Consultant

Document has been prepared in accordance with the scope of Scott Wilson's appointment with its client and is subject to the terms of that appointment. It is addressed for the sole use and reliance of Scott Wilson's client. Scott Wilson accepts no liability for any use of this document other than by its client and only for the purposes, stated in the document, for which it was prepared and provided. No person other than the named copy (in whole or in part) use or rely on the contents of this document, without the written permission of the Company Secretary of Scott Wilson Ltd. Any advice, information, or recommendations within this document should be read and relied upon only in the context of the document as a whole. The contents of this document are not to be construed as providing legal, business or tax advice or opinion.

© Scott Wilson Group PLC 2006

Scott Wilson
The Crescent Centre
Temple Back
Bristol
BS1 6EZ

Tel: 0117 917 1200
Fax: 0117 930 0342

www.scottwilson.com

Table of Contents

<u>1</u>	<u>Introduction</u>	1
<u>1.1</u>	<u>Site Description</u>	
<u>1.2</u>	<u>Geology</u>	
<u>1.3</u>	<u>Planning Background</u>	
<u>1.4</u>	<u>Archaeological and Historical Background</u>	
<u>2</u>	<u>Project Objectives</u>	1
<u>3</u>	<u>Scope of Works</u>	2
<u>3.1</u>	<u>Outline Summary</u>	
<u>3.2</u>	<u>Excavation Methodology</u>	
<u>3.3</u>	<u>Environmental Sampling</u>	
<u>3.4</u>	<u>Artefact Recovery</u>	
<u>3.5</u>	<u>Human Remains</u>	
<u>3.6</u>	<u>Treasure Trove</u>	
<u>3.7</u>	<u>Personnel</u>	
<u>3.8</u>	<u>Monitoring and progress meetings and reports</u>	
<u>3.9</u>	<u>Archive Preparation and Deposition</u>	
<u>3.10</u>	<u>Confidentiality and publicity</u>	
<u>3.11</u>	<u>Copyright</u>	
<u>3.12</u>	<u>Project Programme</u>	
<u>3.13</u>	<u>Site Information</u>	
<u>3.14</u>	<u>Insurance</u>	
<u>3.15</u>	<u>Health and Safety</u>	
<u>3.16</u>	<u>General Provisions</u>	
<u>4</u>	<u>Deliverables</u>	9
<u>4.1</u>	<u>Evaluation fieldwork report</u>	
<u>5</u>	<u>References</u>	11

1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 Scott Wilson Ltd has been commissioned by Alder King Ltd. to produce a Written Scheme of Investigation to undertake archaeological trial trenching works at Supermarine Football Club, South Marston, Swindon (Fig 1) in support of planning application number S/07/0525/RM which expires 28 May 2007 to construct two new sports facilities.
- 1.1.2 The proposed development site is located in the grounds of the Supermarine Football Club, three miles to the north-east of Swindon (Figure 1). The site is centred at NGR 5136 1434. Two areas are to be redeveloped (Figure2):
- new all weather football pitch measuring 60m x 40m in area.
 - new changing rooms measuring 50m x 15m
- 1.1.3 The site of the proposed football pitch is currently at a higher level than the site of the proposed changing rooms and there has clearly been substantial landscaping undertaken in the past. The land immediately surrounding the proposed pitch and the proposed changing rooms comprises grass football pitches to the north, south and west, with a thin band of mature hedging set upon an earthen bund to the east. The site is bounded to the south by the access road to the football grounds and to the east by the A420.
- 1.1.4 This Written Scheme of Investigation details the scope and methodology required for an archaeological trial trenching evaluation of the site.
- 1.2.1 The solid geology of the Swindon area is characterised by Lower Greensand overlain by drift geology of alluvium (BGS 1:25000).
- 1.3.1 A planning application for the site will be submitted once the pre-determination evaluation trial trenching has been completed.

- 1.3.2 Geophysical Survey was carried out on the site by Bartlett Clark Consultancy on the 30th March 2007 and a number of anomalies identified (Figure 2) (report to be compiled).
- 1.3.3 Consultation was carried out with Melanie Pomeroy-Kellinger, County Archaeological Officer for Wiltshire on the 13th April 2007 and a trial trenching strategy agreed, focussed on the results of the geophysical survey. This comprises three 2m x 5m trenches (Trenches 2, 3 and 4) and one 2 x 10m trench (Trench 1, Fig 2). Trench 1 is located in the proposed new changing rooms area. Trenches 2, 3 and 4 are located within the proposed all weather football pitch. All the trenches are targeted at investigating the geophysical anomalies.

Until very recently, South Marston was a wholly agricultural community. The majority of the inhabitants worked on the various farms that surrounded it.

- 1.4.1 Evidence for prehistoric occupation in the area is sparse. Evidence for the Roman period comprises the Roman Road known as Ermin Way from Cirencester to Speen, which runs close to the south west side of the village of South Marston, separating it from Stratton St. Margaret. In addition, a Roman signal station was located at Nidum (now Nythe) just 2 miles south of South Marston.
- 1.4.2 The name South Marston is of Saxon origin, although it is not mentioned in the Domesday Survey of 1086. (www.swindonparish.org.uk/history.php)
- 1.4.3 Cropmark features are apparent on aerial photographs of the site as two relatively parallel linear cropmarks and a possible enclosure (Figure 2). The cropmarks have not been previously investigated and their date and character is not currently understood. However, the County Archaeologist suggests that they are of possible Roman date. Geophysical survey was carried out on the two proposed development areas and the preliminary results (full report to be issued) are shown on Figure 2.

2 Project Objectives

2.1.1 The objectives of the trial trench investigation are:

- To determine the condition or state of preservation of any archaeological deposits or features encountered
- To determine the range, date, quality and quantity of artefactual and environmental evidence present
- To characterise the cropmarks and geophysical anomalies identified on the site
- To provide a factual and interpretative report on the archaeological remains identified in order to inform further archaeological mitigation design, where necessary
- To disseminate the results of the investigation through the deposition of an ordered archive at the appropriate local museum and the deposition of the fieldwork report at the Wiltshire Sites and Monument Record

2.1.2 The trial trenches have been located on anomalies identified in the geophysical survey. Trenches 1 and 2 are also located on cropmarks.

3 Scope of Works

- 3.1.1 A total of four trenches are to be excavated. Trench 1 measures 2 x 10m and Trenches 2, 3 and 4 measure 2m x 5m. The location of the trenches is shown on Figure 2.
- 3.1.2 The Contractor shall carry out a trial trench investigation and factual and interpretative reporting.
- 3.1.3 Each trench has been given a trench number. The Contractor shall not vary these unless agreed in writing by Scott Wilson Ltd.

Trial trenches will be excavated according to IFA standards and guidance at the locations as shown on Figure 2. The trenches should be set out using electronic survey equipment to an accuracy of ± 500 mm. Trenches should not be relocated without the prior written permission of Scott Wilson Ltd and agreement of the Wiltshire County Archaeologist. The topsoil and subsoil will be removed with a mechanical excavator fitted with a flat bladed bucket under archaeological supervision to the top of the first archaeological horizon. Topsoil or other surface material and subsoil will be stockpiled separately alongside the trench and at a safe distance.

- 3.2.1 The extent of the trial trenches will be clearly demarcated with Heras fencing. The fencing will be regularly inspected and maintained until works in the area have been inspected and approved as completed by Scott Wilson Ltd.
- 3.2.2 The excavation will proceed mechanically under direct archaeological supervision, in level spits, until either the top of the first archaeological horizon or undisturbed natural deposits are encountered. Particular attention should be paid to achieving a clean and well-defined horizon with the machine. It is not anticipated that entire trenches will require hand cleaning. Under no circumstances should the machine be used to cut arbitrary trenches down to natural deposits. The surface achieved through machine excavation will be inspected for archaeological remains. The mechanical excavator will not traverse any stripped areas.
- 3.2.3 The machined surface will be cleaned by hand, where required, for the acceptable definition of archaeological remains. Following cleaning, all archaeological deposits and remains will be planned, to enable the selection of features and deposits for sample excavation.

- 3.2.4 Archaeological deposits/features identified for sample excavation will be hand excavated in an archaeologically controlled and stratigraphic manner in order to meet the aims and objectives of the evaluation. Machine-assisted excavation may be permissible if extensive or homogenous deposits are encountered but only after consultation with Scott Wilson Ltd and the Wiltshire County Archaeologist.
- 3.2.5 A sufficient sample of deposits/features will be investigated through sample excavation in each area to record the horizontal and vertical extent of the stratigraphic sequence to the level of undisturbed natural deposits. The following sampling strategies will be used:
- Linear features: A minimum of 20% along the length (each section not less than 1m wide) or a minimum of a 1m wide section through if the feature is less than 10m in length. All intersections will be investigated to determine the relationship between the component features
 - Discrete features: Pits, post-holes and other isolated features will normally be half-sectioned as a minimum. If large pits or deposits (over 1.5 m diameter) are encountered then the sample excavated should be sufficient to define the extent of the feature and to achieve the objectives of the investigation but should not be less than 25%.
 - Structures and complex stratigraphy: To be sampled sufficiently to define the extent, stratigraphic complexity and depth of the activity and its associated deposits and features to achieve the objectives of the evaluation.
 - Deep features such as wells and pits will be excavated to a depth sufficient to understand their use. This may require the use of a coring device in consultation with Scott Wilson Ltd and the Wiltshire County Archaeologist.
- 3.3.1 Provision will be made for the recovery of material suitable for scientific dating.
- 3.3.2 Any samples taken must come from appropriately cleaned surfaces, be collected with clean tools and be placed in clean containers. They will be adequately recorded and labelled and a register of all samples will be kept. Once the samples have been obtained they should be stored appropriately in a secure location prior to being sent to the appropriate specialist
- 3.3.3 A unique site code should be assigned by the relevant local museum. Prior to the commencement of all site investigations the Contractor will liaise with the local museum to ensure that the Site Code and Context Numbering system is compatible with their adopted system.

- 3.3.4 A full written, drawn and photographic record will be made of each trench. Hand drawn plans and sections of features will be produced at an appropriate scale (normally 1:20 for plans and 1:10 for sections). Sections shall always represent relationships for the full vertical trench sequence, shown as extrapolated and annotated where necessary. All plans and sections will include spot heights relative to Ordnance Datum in metres, correct to two decimal places and vertical and horizontal scale bars as appropriate.
- 3.3.5 Colour transparency and monochrome negative photographs will be taken at a minimum format of 35mm. In addition to records of archaeological features, a number of general site photographs will also be taken to give an overview of the site works in progress. Particular attention should be paid to obtaining shots suitable for displays, exhibitions and other publicity.
- 3.4.1 All artefacts will be collected, stored and processed in accordance with standard methodologies and national guidelines (Appendix 1). All non-modern artefacts will be collected and retained in accordance with county or local museum collection policies. Each 'small find' will be recorded three dimensionally. Similarly if significant artefact scatters are encountered these should be also recorded three dimensionally. Bulk finds will be collected and recorded by context.
- 3.4.2 Where necessary the artefacts will be stabilised, conserved and stored in accordance with the guidelines of the ICON (Institute of Conservation). Artefacts will be properly conserved after excavation and will be stabilised for storage. If necessary, a conservator will visit the site to undertake 'first aid' conservation treatment.
- 3.4.3 Artefacts will be stored in appropriate containers and conditions, and monitored to minimise further deterioration.
- 3.5.1 Should human remains be discovered during the course of the excavations the remains will be covered and protected and left in situ in the first instance. The removal of human remains will only take place in accordance with the appropriate Department for Constitutional Affairs and Environmental Health regulations and the Burial Act 1857, if required. In the event of the discovery of human remains the Contractor will notify Scott Wilson Ltd immediately.

-
- 3.6.1 Any artefacts that fall within the scope of the Treasure Act (1996) will be reported to Scott Wilson Ltd. Scott Wilson Ltd will contact H.M. Coroner to ensure that Treasure Act procedures are followed; and ensure that all relevant parties are kept informed.
- 3.7.1 The Works shall be managed, directed and staffed by appropriately qualified and experienced personnel.
- 3.7.2 CVs of key personnel (project manager and fieldwork director – any specialists) shall be submitted to Scott Wilson Ltd for approval prior to commencement of the works.
- 3.8.1 The Contractor shall provide Scott Wilson Ltd with verbal progress reports on request.
- 3.8.2 Scott Wilson Ltd shall inform the Wiltshire County Archaeologist of the start date for site works and keep them informed of progress. Scott Wilson Ltd shall also inform the Wiltshire County Archaeologist of any significant remains encountered during the course of the Works and invite them to attend site progress meetings. Trenches will not be backfilled unless the Wiltshire County Archaeologist has been advised of progress, or a reasonable attempt to do so has been undertaken.
- 3.8.3 The archaeological evaluation shall be subject to regular monitoring visits by Scott Wilson Ltd and the Wiltshire County Archaeologist who will have unrestricted access to the sites, site records or any other information. The work will be inspected to ensure that it is being carried out to the required standards and to ensure that it will achieve the stated aims and objectives.
- 3.9.1 The archive of finds and records generated during the fieldwork will be kept secure at all stages of the project. All records and materials produced will be quantified, ordered, indexed and internally consistent. The archive will be produced to the standards outlined by English Heritage (2006, Appendix 1).

- 3.9.2 The Contractor will, prior to the start of fieldwork, contact the recipient museum to obtain agreement in principle to accept the artefactual, documentary, digital and photographic archive for long-term storage and curation. The Contractor will be responsible for identifying any specific requirements or policies of the museum in respect of the archive, and for adhering to those requirements.
- 3.9.3 The Contractor will store the archive in a suitable secure location until it is deposited in the agreed museum.
- 3.9.4 The deposition of the archive forms the final stage of this project. The Contractor shall provide Scott Wilson Ltd with copies of communication with the recipient museum and written confirmation of the deposition of the archive. Scott Wilson Ltd will deal with the transfer of ownership and copyright issues.
- 3.9.5 The Wiltshire Sites and Monuments Record (WSMR) supports the Online Access to Index of Archaeological Investigations (OASIS) Project. The overall aim of the OASIS project is to provide an online index for archaeological grey literature that has been produced as a result of developer funded fieldwork.
- 3.9.6 The Contractor must therefore complete the online OASIS form at <http://ads.ahds.ac.uk/project/oasis/> within 3 months of completion of the work. When filling out the form the Contractor must make reference to the Regional Research Framework. Contractors are advised to ensure that adequate time and costings are built into their tenders to allow the forms to be filled in. A printout of the OASIS form will be appended to the evaluation report
- 3.9.7 Technical advice relating to the completion of OASIS forms should be sought in the first instance from OASIS (oasis@ads.ahds.ac.uk) and not from WSMR.
- 3.9.8 Once a report has become a public document by submission to or incorporation into the WSMR, the WSMR Team will validate the OASIS form thus placing the information into the public domain on the OASIS website.
- 3.9.9 The Contractor must indicate that they agree to this procedure within their method statement.
- 3.10.1 All communication of a technical nature, regarding this project is to be directed through Scott Wilson Ltd. The Contractor will refer all enquiries to Scott Wilson Ltd without making any unauthorised statements or comments to third parties.

-
- 3.10.2 The Contractor will not disseminate information or images associated with the project for publicity or information purposes without the prior written consent of Scott Wilson Ltd.
- 3.11.1 The copyright for all material produced in relation to the project should be assigned to Alder King Ltd. The Contractor retains the right to be identified as the author/originator of the material. This applies to all aspects of the project. It is the responsibility of the Contractor to obtain such rights from sub-contracted specialists.
- 3.11.2 The Contractor may apply in writing to use/disseminate any of the project archive or documentation (including images). Such permission will not be unreasonably withheld.
- 3.11.3 The results of the archaeological investigation will be submitted to the Wiltshire County Archaeologist (2 copies) by Scott Wilson Ltd and will ultimately be made available for public access. A copy of the report will be deposited with the Local Studies Library and WSMR.
- 3.12.1 The fieldwork is programmed to be implemented on 23rd April 2007 and shall be completed within one week of the start date.
- 3.12.2 The timetable for issuing the final report, incorporating any comments from Scott Wilson Ltd, is three weeks after completion of fieldwork.
- 3.12.3 Scott Wilson Ltd should be informed if this is not achievable.
- 3.12.4 The completed archive will be deposited within three months of the completion of the final report.
- 3.13.1 Access to the proposed development area will be arranged by Scott Wilson Ltd. Contact details will be provided
- 3.13.2 Potential hazards have been identified on the site. A Designer's Risk Assessment produced by Scott Wilson Ltd is provided to the Contractor (Appendix 2).

-
- 3.14.1 The Contractor will provide details of their public and professional indemnity insurance to Scott Wilson Ltd.
- 3.15.1 The Contractor will have their own Health and Safety policies compiled using national guidelines, which conform to all relevant Health and Safety legislation. A copy of the Contractor's Health and Safety policy shall be submitted to Scott Wilson Ltd.
- 3.15.2 The Contractor shall be responsible for identifying any buried or overhead services and taking the necessary precautions to avoid damage to such services, prior to commencing the works (see Appendix 2).
- 3.15.3 The Contractor will prepare a risk assessment for the investigation and identify project specific Health and Safety requirements. This will be submitted to Scott Wilson Ltd for approval prior to the commencement of fieldwork.
- 3.16.1 The Contractor will undertake the works to the specification issued by Scott Wilson Ltd and in any subsequent written variations. No variation from, or changes to, the specification will occur except by prior agreement with Scott Wilson Ltd (where appropriate in consultation with the Wiltshire County Archaeologist).
- 3.16.2 All communications by the Contractor on archaeological matters to any third party will be directed through Scott Wilson Ltd.
- 3.16.3 The archive of finds and records generated during the fieldwork will be kept secure in appropriate conditions using suitable materials at all stages of the project. The archive will be removed from site each evening and will be kept in secure premises by the Contractor.
- 3.16.4 Some initial processing of finds and samples may be undertaken concurrent with fieldwork, where possible. Immediately following the completion of on site works the processing of the remaining finds and samples will be undertaken.

4 Deliverables

4.1.1 An evaluation fieldwork report will be submitted within three weeks of the completion of the archaeological site works. The preparation of the site archive and post-excavation evaluation report will be undertaken in accordance with this Archaeological Trial Trenching Design and relevant archaeological standards and national guidelines (Appendix 1). The report will include the following:

- A non-technical summary
- Introduction (to include site code/project number, planning reference number and SMR caseworker number, dates of fieldwork/visits and grid references);
- Site location
- Archaeological and historical background
- Methodology
- Aims and objectives
- Results (to include full description, assessment of condition, quality and significance of remains. If archaeological remains are observed these will be described in the context of the known archaeology of the area)
- Statement of potential with recommendations
- A statement of the significance of the results in their local, regional and national context according to the Research Framework
- Publication proposals if warranted
- Archive storage and curation
- General and detailed plans showing the location of the trenches accurately positioned on an OS base map (to a known scale)
- Detailed plans and sections as appropriate (to a known scale and with relevant OD levels)
- A composite plan for each trench produced at a scale of 1:50

- A Harris matrix for each trench (where stratigraphic sequences are encountered)
 - A cross-referenced and quantified index of the project archive
 - General site photographs (a minimum 35mm format) showing the works in progress, as well as photographs of any significant archaeological deposits or artefacts that are encountered
 - Specialist artefact and environmental reports, as necessary
- 4.1.2 The finds and samples will be processed (cleaned and marked) as appropriate. Each category of find or environmental material will be examined by a suitably qualified archaeologist or specialist and their results incorporated into the report.
- 4.1.3 The report will specifically comment on the level of preservation at the site, with details of structures, burials, deposits and features. It will also comment on the potential for archaeological deposits to occur outside the areas covered by the trenches.
- 4.1.4 A copy of the completed report will be submitted to Scott Wilson Ltd as a draft for comment. In finalising the report the comments of Scott Wilson Ltd will be taken into account.
- 4.1.5 Six bound copies, one unbound master-copy and a digital version of the report and illustrations will be produced within one week of the receipt of comments on the draft report (digital text with plates to be in Microsoft Word format and illustrations in AutoCAD and PDF format).

5 References

Scott Wilson 2007 *Specification for Geophysical Survey* unpublished client report

www.swindonparish.org.uk/history.php)

APPENDIX 1
ARCHAEOLOGICAL STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES

APPENDIX 1 Archaeological Standards and Guidelines

Darvill, T and Atkins, M 1991: *Regulating Archaeological Works by Contract* (IFA Technical Paper No.8)

English Heritage: 2006 Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MoRPHE)

English Heritage: 1991 Management of *Archaeological* Projects Second Edition (MAP2)

English Heritage: 1995 *Archaeometallurgy in Archaeological Projects* (EH Scientific and Technical Guidelines No 2)

English Heritage: 1995 *Guidelines for the Care of Waterlogged Archaeological Leather* (EH Scientific and Technical Guidelines No 4)

English Heritage: 1996 *Guidelines for the Conservation of Textiles*

English Heritage: 1996 *Waterlogged Wood: Guidelines on the Recording, Sampling, Conservation and Curation of Archaeological Wood*

English Heritage: 2002 *Environmental Archaeology: A Guide to the Theory and Practice of Methods, from Sampling and Recovery to Post-Excavation* (Centre for Archaeology Guidelines)

English Heritage: 2002 *Human Bones from Archaeological Sites: Guidelines for Producing Assessment Documents and Analytical Report* (Centre for Archaeology Guidelines)

Ferguson, L and Murray, 1997 *Archaeological Documentary Archives* (IFA Paper No 1)

Garrett-Frost, S, 1992, *The Law and Burial Archaeology* (IFA Technical Paper No 11)

Handley, M, 1999, *Microfilming Archaeological Archives* (IFA Paper No 2)

Institute of Conservation, 2006, *Caring and Conservation for Archaeological Materials* (ICON Guideline)

Institute of Conservation, 2006, *Conservation Accreditation – a Guide* (ICON Guideline)

Institute of Conservation, 2006, *Conservation Reports* (ICON Guidelines)

Institute of Field Archaeologists, 1992, *Guidelines for Finds Work*

Institute of Field Archaeologists, 1997, *Code of Approved Practice for the Regulation of Contractual Arrangements in Field Archaeology* (and subsequent revisions)

Institute of Field Archaeologists, 1999, *Standard and Guidance for Archaeological Field Evaluation* (and subsequent revisions)

Institute of Field Archaeologists, 1999: *Standard and Guidance for the Collection, Documentation, Conservation and Research of Archaeological Materials* (and subsequent revisions)

McKinley, J.I. and Roberts, C., 1993: *Excavation and Post-excavation Treatment of Cremated and Inhumed Human Remains* (IFA Technical Paper No 3)

Museums and Galleries Commission, 1992: *Standards in the Museum Care of Archaeological Collections*

Prehistoric Ceramics Research Group, 1993: *The Study of Later Prehistoric Pottery: General Policies and Guidelines for Analysis and Publication* (PCRG Occasional Paper 12)

RCHME, 1999: *Recording Archaeological Field Monuments: A Descriptive Specification*

Richards, J and Robinson, J (eds) 200: *Digital Archive From Excavation and Fieldwork A Guide to Good Practice* (Archaeology Data Service)

Roman Finds Group And Finds Research Group, 1993: *Guidelines for the Preparation of Site and Assessments for all Finds other than Fired Clay Vessels*

Society of Museum Archaeologists, 1993: *Guidelines on the Selection, Retention and Display of Archaeological Collections*

Society of Museum Archaeologists, 1995: *Towards an Accessible Archaeological Archive – the Transference of Archaeological Archives to Museums: Guidelines for use in England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales*

Watkinson, D. E. and Neal, V., 1998: *First Aid for Finds* (3rd Edition)

APPENDIX 2

DESIGNER'S RISK ASSESSMENT

Swindon, Supermarine FC
B2007.15

Box 1 file 2

A. REPORT

SCAN PDF

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth

No. of CD copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County:[Wiltshire] Parish:[South Marston] Site:[Swindon, Supermarine FC]

Site code[B2007.15]

Line 2: Excavators name[T. Haines]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

Supermarine F.C.
South Marston
S w i n d o n



Archaeological Evaluation Report



June 2007



Client: Scott Wilson

Issue N^o: Draft
OA Job N^o: 3639
NGR: NGR SU 187 896

Supermarine F.C, South Marston, Swindon, Wiltshire***ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVALUATION REPORT***

NGR: SU 187 896

CONTENTS

Summary	1
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Location and scope of work	1
1.2 Geology and topography	1
1.3 Archaeological and historical background	2
Evaluation Aims	2
2 Evaluation Methodology	3
2.1 Scope of fieldwork	3
2.2 Fieldwork methods and recording	3
2.3 Presentation of results	3
3 Results: General	3
3.1 Soils and ground conditions	3
3.2 Distribution of archaeological deposits	4
4 Results: Descriptions	4
4.1 Description of deposits, by trench	4
4.2 Finds	5
4.3 Palaeo-environmental remains	5
5 Discussion And Interpretation	5
5.1 Reliability of field investigation	5
5.2 Overall interpretation and summary of results	5
Appendix 1 Archaeological Context Inventory	7
Appendix 2 Geophysical Report	9
Appendix 3 Bibliography and references	13
Appendix 4 Summary of Site Details	14

LIST OF FIGURES

- Fig. 1 Site location map
 Fig. 2 Site Overview and sections

SUMMARY

Oxford Archaeology (OA) carried out a field evaluation at Supermarine Football Club, South Marston Swindon on behalf of Scott Wilson Ltd between 30th April and 2nd May 2007. The evaluation did not reveal any archaeological remains. Linear anomalies identified by an earlier geophysical survey were found to be modern land drains, and voids left by the removal of natural boulders during earlier levelling and landscaping of the site had been infilled by colluvium. This landscaping is likely to have removed any archaeological remains that may previously have existed on the site.

1 INTRODUCTION

Between 30th April 2007 and 2nd May 2007 OA carried out a field evaluation on behalf of Scott Wilson Ltd (Consultants) in respect of a planning application for two new sports facilities (Planning Application No. S/07/0525/RM). A WSI was prepared by Scott Wilson Ltd and agreed with Alder King Ltd (The client) and Melanie Pomeroy-Kellinger (County Archaeological Officer for Wiltshire).

1.1 Location and scope of work

1.1.1 The proposed development site is located in the grounds of the Supermarine Football Club, situated three miles to the north-east of Swindon (Figure 1). The site is centred on NGR: SU 187 896. The proposed development comprises two areas in the south east of the site area, one for an all weather football pitch (60 m X 40 m in area) and a smaller area to the south-west (50 m X 15 m in area), for a changing block. The land surrounding the development areas comprises grass football pitches to the north, south and west and mature hedging upon an earthen bank to the east.

1.1.2 The site is bounded to the south by the access road to the football grounds and to the east by the Highworth Road leading to Sevenhampton.

1.2 Geology and topography

1.2.1 The site is situated on the Upper Greensand solid geology and overlain by alluvial drift geology.

1.2.2 The site lies on a natural shallow slope (from north to south) that has previously been terraced for the construction of the sports pitches. The centre of the all weather pitch development area, is at a level of 122.8 m above OD. The site of the proposed all weather football pitch is at a higher level than the site of the proposed changing rooms, although there has been substantial landscaping undertaken in the past to level the area.

Client Name: Scott Wilson

Client Ref No:

Document Title: Supermarine FC, Swindon Archaeological Evaluation Report

Document Type: Evaluation

Issue Number: Draft

National Grid Reference: NGR SU 187 896
Planning Reference:

OA Job Number: 3639
Site Code: SWIMG: B 2007.15
Invoice Code: SWSUFCEV
Receiving Museum: Swindon Museum and Art Gallery
Museum Accession No: B: 2007.13

Prepared by: Kate Brady
Position: Project Officer PX
Date: 20th May 2007


Checked by: Jim Mumford
Position: Project Officer
Date: 24th May 2007

Approved by: Tim Haines
Position: Senior Project Manager
Date: 11th June 2007

Document File Location: X:\SWSUFCEV_Supermarine
FC_Swindon_Eval\002Reports

Graphics File Location: \\Servergo\invoice codes r thru
z\S_codes\SWSUFCEV*SWIMG:B
2007.15*SWSUFCEV*Supermarine FC,
Swindon*MD*01.06.07

Illustrated by: Markus Dylewski

Signed 

Disclaimer:

This document has been prepared for the titled project or named part thereof and should not be relied upon or used for any other project without an independent check being carried out as to its suitability and prior written authority of Oxford Archaeology being obtained. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for the consequences of this document being used for a purpose other than the purposes for which it was commissioned. Any person/party using or relying on the document for such other purposes agrees, and will by such use or reliance be taken to confirm their agreement to indemnify Oxford Archaeology for all loss or damage resulting therefrom. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for this document to any party other than the person/party by whom it was commissioned.

Oxford Archaeology

© Oxford Archaeological Unit Ltd 2007

Janus House
Osney Mead
Oxford OX2 0ES
t: (0044) 01865 263800
f: (0044) 01865 793496

e: info@oxfordarch.co.uk
w: www.oxfordarch.co.uk

Oxford Archaeological Unit Limited is a Registered Charity No: 285627

1.3 Archaeological and historical background

- 1.3.1 The archaeological background to the evaluation has been summarised in the WSI (Scott Wilson, 2007) the results of which are summarised and expanded below. The site itself has produced no significant archaeological evidence.
- 1.3.2 Large numbers of Neolithic worked flints have been found in two locations approximately 1 km from the site at Kingsdown Crematorium and at another site south-east of Kingsdown Farm.
- 1.3.3 An archaeological evaluation undertaken by Museum of London Archaeological Service (MOLAS) of Plots 10d, 10e and 10f off Viscount Way (in South Marston Park Industrial Estate directly to the south of the site) revealed Iron Age and Roman cut features in the north-western part of that area. An Iron Age hillfort is situated at Broad Blunsdon, approximately 2.5 km to the north west of the site. The site lies within a rich prehistoric landscape, which includes the prehistoric route known as The Ridgeway upon which hillforts such as Uffington and Liddington are situated. The Ridgeway runs nearest to the site at approximately 9 km to the south-east, just beyond Bishopstone.
- 1.3.4 A Roman Villa, excavated in 1969 lies 1 km to the west of the site, to the west of Stanton House. The Honda works site approximately 750 m to the south of the site revealed a series of Romano-British field drains or field boundaries (NMR).
- 1.3.5 The A419, situated approximately 1.5km to the west of the site follows the route of the Roman Ermin Street, which linked Gloucester, Cirencester and Silchester.
- 1.3.6 The place name South Marston is derived from the Old English *mersc*, meaning marsh, and *tun*, meaning farm or village. The area has been dominated by agricultural use until very recently. During the Second World War this area to the North-West of Swindon was developed for the production of military aircraft. Following the war the area has continued to be industrialised.

Evaluation Aims

- 1.3.7 The evaluation aims were laid out in the WSI (Scott Wilson, April 2007) and were as follows;
- To determine the condition or state of preservation of any archaeological deposits or features encountered
 - To determine the range, date, quality and quantity of artefactual and environmental evidence present
 - To characterise the crop marks and geophysical anomalies identified on the site
 - To provide a factual and interpretative report on the archaeological remains identified in order to inform further archaeological mitigation design, where necessary

- To disseminate the results of the investigation through the deposition of an ordered archive at the appropriate local museum and the deposition of the fieldwork report at the Wiltshire Sites and Monuments Record

2 EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

2.1 Scope of fieldwork

- 2.1.1 The evaluation consisted of four trial trenches targeted on anomalies identified by a geophysical survey (appendix 2). Trenches 1 and 2 were also targeted on crop marks.
- 2.1.2 Trench 1 measured 11 m x 1.6 m and was aligned NNW-SSE. Trench 2 measured 4.6 m x 1.6 m and was aligned N-S. Trench 3 measured 5 m x 1.6 m and was aligned E-W. Trench 4 measured 10 m x 1.6 m and was aligned NNE-SSW.
- 2.1.3 The overburden was removed in spits under close archaeological supervision by a JCB mechanical excavator fitted with a toothless grading bucket.

2.2 Fieldwork methods and recording

- 2.2.1 The trenches were cleaned by hand and the revealed features were sampled to determine their extent and nature, no finds or environmental samples were retrieved. All trenches were planned and where excavated their sections drawn at scales of 1:20. All trenches were photographed using colour slide and black and white print film. Recording followed procedures laid down in the *OAU Fieldwork Manual* (ed D Wilkinson, 1992).

2.3 Presentation of results

- 2.3.1 The report consists of detailed trench descriptions followed by a general discussion. As no finds or environmental evidence was recovered no such reports are included.
- 2.3.2 Illustrations are located at the end of the report and a context inventory and a copy of the geophysical survey report are included in the appendices.

3 RESULTS: GENERAL

3.1 Soils and ground conditions

- 3.1.1 The site is located on levelled ground, on a pre-existing grass pitch. The ground was dry during the work. The site had been levelled prior to the construction of the sports ground and evidence of the removal of large natural boulders stones during this process could be seen as voids that had been filled by colluvium. This previous landscaping is likely to have significantly truncated any archaeological remains that may have existed in the area of the sports ground.

3.2 Distribution of archaeological deposits

3.2.1 In the area of the proposed all weather pitch the natural mid orange brown alluvial silt was overlain by a thick compact layer of redeposited light brown clay and stone rubble constituting a make up layer 0.85 m thick for the existing pitch. This was overlain by a dark brownish black silty clay loam topsoil with moderate gravel inclusions which formed the pitch turf. In the area of the proposed changing rooms, the natural alluvial silt was overlain by a layer of old cultivated topsoil, approximately 0.2 m deep, which was overlain by the hard standing of the current road way.

4 RESULTS: DESCRIPTIONS

4.1 Description of deposits, by trench

Trench 1 (Fig. 2)

- 4.1.1 Trench 1 was situated in the area of the proposed changing room block.
- 4.1.2 Archaeological features were not present in Trench 1. However, the northern two thirds of the trench were traversed by a large field drain of modern date (13) which had been constructed to divert water from the area of the sports pitches. These field drains (figure 2) consisted of two large parallel linear features which were identified by the geophysical survey (Appendix 2). This ditch cut through the old topsoil layer (12) and was overlain by the redeposited alluvial layers (15 and 16) to the south of the hard standing for the road way (10) which made up a bank alongside the road.
- 4.1.3 The average depth to the top of the natural was 0.3 m in the south of the trench (where the bank and underlying ditch was situated) and 0.1 m in the north of the trench. The natural alluvium was encountered at 120.32 m OD.

Trench 2 (Fig.2)

- 4.1.4 Trench 2 was situated in the south-eastern corner of the area of the proposed all weather pitch.
- 4.1.5 Archaeological features were not present in Trench 2. However, the modern field drain identified in Trench 1 traversed the southern two thirds of Trench 2. The field drain was overlain by a mixed make up layer for the pitch (22) which was 0.75 m thick. This was overlain by the topsoil/ pitch turf (20).
- 4.1.6 The average depth to the top of the natural was 1 m. This natural alluvium was encountered at 121.78 m OD.

Trench 3 (Fig.2)

- 4.1.7 Trench 3 was situated in the centre of the area of the proposed all weather pitch.
- 4.1.8 Archaeological features were not present in Trench 3. However, the northernmost of the modern field drains (35) was seen in the far eastern end of the trench. The

deposits within this trench consisted entirely of layers of made ground (31, 32, 33 and 34) 0.6 m thick and the topsoil of the pitch (30), 0.24 m thick. The natural alluvium was not seen as it had been removed to a great depth during terracing in order to level of the site for the original construction of the pitches.

- 4.1.9 The depth of the trench was 0.8 m. The natural alluvium was not reached at the bottom of the trench which was at 122.13 m OD.

Trench 4 (Fig.2)

- 4.1.10 Trench 4 was situated at the western end of the area of the proposed all weather pitch.
- 4.1.11 Archaeological features were not present in Trench 4 but the trench was traversed by two modern land drains (44 and 49), which were cut through the pitch/ topsoil (40) which was 0.24 m thick. Two gravel and re-deposited alluvium make up layers were identified (45 and 48).
- 4.1.12 The depth to the natural alluvium was 0.5 m. This natural alluvium was reached at 122.37 m OD. A slot was machined into the far northern end of the trench, which revealed a layer of natural gravel (Upper Greensand geology) underneath the natural alluvium at 122.04 m OD.

4.2 Finds

- 4.2.1 No finds were recovered from the evaluation.

4.3 Palaeo-environmental remains

- 4.3.1 There were no archaeological features identified by the evaluation and no environmental samples were taken.

5 DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION

5.1 Reliability of field investigation

- 5.1.1 The results of the evaluation are considered reliable and give a good indication of the remains on the site.

5.2 Overall interpretation and summary of results

- 5.2.1 The results of the evaluation provide clear evidence that no archaeological remains were extant in the areas of the site revealed by evaluation trenches 1 to 4. Due to previous intensive landscaping of the site, it is likely that any archaeological remains were destroyed. This is further suggested by the thinness of the drift geology (natural alluvium) in Trench 4. Up to 1 m of made ground existed within the trenches, and a significant amount of natural alluvium may have been removed by this levelling.

- 5.2.2 The terracing and levelling of the site has most likely removed all archaeological remains that may have been present. Only very substantial features such as very large boundary ditches or waterholes/ wells would have survived this degree of truncation.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1 ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONTEXT INVENTORY

<i>Trench</i>	<i>Cbct No</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>Width (m)</i>	<i>Thick. (m)</i>	<i>Comment</i>	<i>Finds</i>	<i>No./wt</i>	<i>Date</i>
001								
	10	Layer		0.2	Hard standing of road way	-	-	-
	11	Layer			Natural Alluvium	-	-	-
	12	Layer		0.3	Old cultivated topsoil of site.	-	-	-
	13	Cut	1.1	0.55	Modern field drain, partly revealed.	-	-	-
	14	Fill		0.55	Backfill of modern field drain.	-	-	-
	15	Layer		0.5	Redeposited material making up bank on the side of the road way	-	-	-
	16	Layer		0.25-0.3	Topsoil on bank	-	-	-
002								
	20	Layer		0.26	Topsoil forming pitch/ turf	-	-	-
	21	Layer			Natural	-	-	-
	22	Layer		0.75	Ground make up for pitch	-	-	-
	23	Cut	0.8	0.5	Field drain	-	-	-
	24	Fill		0.5	Backfill of field drain	-	-	-
	25	Cut	0.25	0.4	Field drain	-	-	-
	26	Fill		0.4	Backfill of field drain	-	-	-
003								
	30	Layer		0.24	Topsoil	-	-	-
	31	Layer		0.28	Made ground	-	-	-
	32	Layer		0.32	Made ground	-	-	-
	33	Layer		0.1	Made ground	-	-	-
	34	Layer		0.1	Made ground	-	-	-
	35	Cut			Modern Land drain	-	-	-
004								

	40	Layer		0.24	Topsoil	-	-	-
	41	Layer		0.24	Natural	-	-	-
	42	Fill		0.14	Fill of modern land drain	-	-	-
	43	Fill		0.18	Fill of modern land drain	-	-	-
	44	Cut	0.14	0.24	Modern land drain, partially within the trench	-	-	-
	45	Layer		0.22	Made ground	-	-	-
	46	Layer		0.1	Subsoil beneath made ground	-	-	-
	47	Layer			Natural gravel	-	-	-
	48	Layer		0.15	Subsoil beneath made ground	-	-	-

APPENDIX 2 GEOPHYSICAL REPORT

**SUPERMARINE FOOTBALL CLUB
SOUTH MARSTON, SWINDON****Report on
Archaeogeophysical Survey 2007****A.D.H. Bartlett
Surveyed by:****Bartlett-Clark Consultancy****25 Estate Yard, Cuckoo Lane,
North Leigh,
Oxfordshire OX8 6PS****for****Scott Wilson Ltd
The Crescent Centre
Temple Back
Bristol BS1 6EZ****SUPERMARINE FOOTBALL CLUB
SOUTH MARSTON, SWINDON****Report on Archaeogeophysical Survey 2007****Introduction**

This report describes findings from a magnetometer survey carried out to test for evidence of archaeological features or remains in advance of a proposed development at the Supermarine Football Club, South Marston, Swindon.

The survey was commissioned by the Scott Wilson Group plc on behalf of Alder King Ltd. Procedures and requirements to be followed during the course of the survey were set out in a specification document issued by Scott Wilson in March 2007 [1]. The two areas investigated by the survey represent the sites of a proposed all-weather football pitch, together with an adjacent club building to accommodate changing rooms. The all-weather pitch site was surveyed on 30 March 2007, and the club building site on 11 April. The initial data plots which were supplied to Scott Wilson following each phase of fieldwork are now included, with additional interpretative plans, in this report.

The Site

The survey areas are located within the football club grounds at South Marston, some 5km north east of Swindon (at approximately NGR SU 188896). The site of the proposed all-weather pitch is currently a grass football pitch. This is at a higher level than the adjacent changing room site, indicating that the site has previously been landscaped. The site, in its previous history, also formed part of the former Vickers airfield.

The survey coverage on the all-weather pitch site was constrained by existing boundaries to the west and south, and by a goal at the southern end of the adjacent pitch to the north. The actual survey area therefore differs slightly from the proposed area as indicated on figure 1 (where the proposed area is defined by the corner markers 1-8). The final extent of the survey amounts to some 36m x 70m. An additional 12m x 70m was surveyed on the changing room site to the south. Boundaries of the existing pitches, as determined by GPS measurements taken during the course of the survey, are shown on figures 2 and 4. These differ slightly from the background mapping, which is based on an architect's drawing, and shows the proposed rather than current site layout.

The geology of the site is Corallian Limestone, a band of which runs to the north of Swindon, and has provided favourable ground conditions for previous magnetometer surveys in the vicinity. Topsoil magnetic susceptibility values were tested during the course of the fieldwork. Readings in a range 26-43 ($\times 10^{-5}$) SI were obtained. These relatively high values confirm that soil conditions at the site should be responsive to magnetometer surveying.

Evidence that the site may be of potential archaeological interest is provided by aerial photographs. Figure 1 is reproduced (with additions) from a plan supplied by Scott Wilson, and shows the cropmarks as indicated on a plan from the Wilshire County Council Sites and Monuments Record. Two parallel linear features extend across the football club site from the west, where there is also a possible enclosure. It is suggested in the Specification that the cropmarks could be of Roman date.

Survey Procedure

The magnetometer survey followed standard procedures for work of this kind with readings collected along transects 1m apart using Bartington 1m fluxgate magnetometers. The results are shown as grey scale plots at 1:625 scale in figure 2, and as graphical (x-y trace) plots in figure 3. An interpretation of the findings is shown superimposed on figure 3, and is reproduced separately to provide a summary of the findings on the final plan (figure 4).

The survey plots show the magnetometer readings after standard treatments which include adjustment for irregularities in line spacing caused by variations in the instrument zero setting, and slight linear smoothing. Additional 2D low pass filtering has been applied to the grey scale plot to reduce background noise levels.

The survey grid was set out to cover as much ground as possible within the constraints of the site, and then tied to OS national grid co-ordinates by means of a sub-1m accuracy GPS system. OS co-ordinates of map locations can be read from the

AutoCAD (.dwg) version of the plans which can be supplied with this report. The background mapping which is included in these plans has also been located on the OS grid by means of GPS measurements taken during the survey.

The magnetometer survey was supplemented by a background magnetic susceptibility readings, as mentioned above. These were taken with a Bartington MS2 meter and field sensor loop.

Results

The plot of the larger (all-weather pitch) survey area shows a number of strong magnetic disturbances of clearly recent origin, but is not seriously obscured by magnetic interference. It does not therefore appear that the site has been built up for leveling or drainage purposes with imported rubble or other magnetic fill (as we have sometimes found to be the case with sports fields). The site could perhaps have been raised with clean imported topsoil, but the possibility remains that an original ground surface may survive.

Various non-archaeological magnetic disturbances are marked on figures 3 and 4 by blue cross hatching. They include interference from a fence to the south of the survey, a goal to the north, and a number of strong narrow peaks (as seen in the xy plot) indicating buried iron objects.

Other magnetic anomalies which could perhaps be of archaeological interest are outlined in red. These include a group of features at A (as labeled on figure 4), and some more isolated ones at B. These anomalies are of a strength and size which could indicate the presence of such features as silted pits, but it is unclear from the survey evidence alone whether they could be of archaeological origin, or whether they relate to more recent ground disturbances.

The survey from the changing room site also shows disturbances from adjacent boundaries (and a floodlight to the south). The centre of the survey crosses a gravel track, but the data here remains sufficiently undisturbed for an apparent linear magnetic anomaly to be visible. This is outlined in red at C. This feature corresponds well with the location of one of the cropmarks, and suggests that a surviving silted ditch crosses the survey at this point.

Conclusions

The survey perhaps produced a rather greater amount of interpretable data than would necessarily be expected from a confined and landscaped site near to modern obstructions. Some magnetic interference was recorded, particularly near the site boundaries, but a number of potentially significant features were also detected.

These include pit-like features of a kind which could indicate silted pits or comparable sub-surface features at A and B within the all-weather pitch site. Further investigation would be needed to establish whether these are of archaeological origin.

A linear feature was detected in the survey of the changing room site. This corresponds quite closely to one of the cropmarks. The survey does not provide any comparable evidence that the cropmark features extend into the all-weather pitch site.

Report by:

A.D.H. Bartlett BSc MPhil
Bartlett - Clark Consultancy
Specialists in Archaeogeophysics
25 Estate Yard
Cuckoo Lane
North Leigh
Oxfordshire OX29 6PW
01865 200864

27 April 2007

C. Oatley and P. Cottrell carried out the fieldwork for this survey.

APPENDIX 3 BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES

BGS, Geological Survey of England and Wales sheet 201

IFA, 1999 *Standard and Guidance for archaeological evaluations*

MOLAS, 2005, Sites 10D, 10E and 10F, Viscount Way, South Marston Industrial Estate, Swindon. An Archaeological Assessment

National Monuments Record (NMR)

OAU, 1992 Fieldwork Manual (1st edition, August 1992 ed. D. Wilkinson)


OA, 2005 Plot 11c South Marston Park, Swindon, Wiltshire: Written Scheme of Investigation for an Archaeological Evaluation. Prepared by OA for Michael Sparks Associates

Supermarine Football Club, South Marston, Swindon. Specification for Geophysical Survey. Prepared by Andy Mayes BA, Senior Archaeologist, Scott Wilson Group plc. March 2007.

Supermarine Football Club, South Marston, Swindon. Written Scheme of Investigation for Archaeological Trial Trenching. Scott Wilson Group plc. April 2007.

APPENDIX 4 SUMMARY OF SITE DETAILS**Site name:** Supermarine FC**Site code:** SWIMG:B:2007.15**Grid reference:** SU 187 896**Type of evaluation:** Trial trenching**Date and duration of project:** 30th April 2007 - 2nd May 2007 (3 Days)**Area of site:** 60 m X 40 m (proposed all weather pitch) 50 m x 15 m (proposed changing block) 4 Trenches.**Summary of results:** No archaeological features remained. Severe truncation by landscaping may have removed and archaeological remains.**Location of archive:** The archive is currently held at OA, Janus House, Osney Mead, Oxford, OX2 0ES, and will be deposited with relevant Swindon Museum and Art Galleries in due course, under the following accession number **B:2007.13**.

\\Server\go\voice codes r\firm z\IS_codes\SW\SWUCEV\SWIMG: B_2007_15\SWUCEV\Supermarine FC_Swindon\MD*01_06_07



Scale 1:25000

Reproduced from the Landranger 1:50,000 scale by permission of the Ordnance Survey on behalf of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office
© Crown Copyright 1996. All rights reserved. Licence No. AL 100005569

Figure 1: Site location





Figure 2: Site plan and sections



Oxford Archaeology

Janus House
Osney Mead
Oxford OX2 0ES

t: (0044) 01865 263800
f: (0044) 01865 793496
e: info@oxfordarch.co.uk
w: www.thehumanjourney.net



Oxford Archaeology North

Mill 3
Moor Lane
Lancaster LA1 1GF

t: (0044) 01524 541000
f: (0044) 01524 848606
e: lancinfo@oxfordarch.co.uk
w: www.thehumanjourney.net



Director: David Jennings, BA MIFA FSA

Oxford Archaeological Unit is a
Private Limited Company, N^o: 1618597
and a Registered Charity, N^o: 285627

Registered Office:

Oxford Archaeological Unit
Janus House, Osney Mead, Oxford OX2 0ES

OASIS DATA COLLECTION FORM: England

[List of Projects](#) | [Search Projects](#) | [New project](#) | [Change your details](#) | [HER coverage](#) | [Change country](#) | [Log out](#)

Printable version

OASIS ID: oxfordar1-46296

Project details

Project name	Swindon, Supermarine FC
Short description of the project	April - May 2007. Oxford Archaeology carried out a field evaluation at Supermarine FC, Swindon on behalf of Scott Wilson Ltd. The evaluation did not reveal any archaeological remains. Linear anomalies identified by an earlier geophysics survey were found to be modern land drains, and voids left by the removal of natural boulders during earlier leveling and landscaping of the site, that had been in filled by colluvium. This landscaping is likely to have removed any archaeological remains that may previously have existed.
Project dates	Start: 30-04-2007 End: 02-05-2007
Previous/future work	Yes / No
Any associated project reference codes	B2007.15 - Sitecode
Any associated project reference codes	SWIMG:B2007.15 - Museum accession ID
Type of project	Field evaluation
Current Land use	Community Service 2 - Leisure and recreational buildings
Monument type	N/A None
Significant Finds	N/A None
Methods & techniques	'Targeted Trenches'
Development type	Sports facilities
Prompt	In support of planning application
Position in the planning process	Between deposition of an application and determination

Project location

Country	England
Site location	WILTSHIRE SWINDON SOUTH MARSTON Swindon, Supermarine FC
Study area	10000.00 Square metres

Site coordinates SU 187 896 51.6044555634 -1.729953137930 51 36 16 N 001 43 47 W Point

Project creators

Name of Organisation Oxford Archaeology
 Project brief originator No formal brief issued
 Project design originator Scott Wilson
 Project director/manager T Haines
 Project supervisor J. Mumford


Project archives

Physical Archive Exists? No
 Digital Archive recipient Oxford Archaeology
 Digital Archive ID B2007.15 / SWSUFCEV
 Digital Contents 'Stratigraphic'
 Paper Archive recipient Swindon Museum and Art Gallery
 Paper Archive ID SWIMG:B2007.15
 Paper Contents 'Stratigraphic'
 Paper Media available 'Context sheet', 'Microfilm', 'Photograph', 'Plan', 'Report', 'Section', 'Unpublished Text'

Project bibliography 1

Publication type Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)
 Title Supermarine FC, Southmarston, Swindon. Archaeological Report
 Author(s)/Editor(s) Brady, K
 Date 2007
 Issuer or publisher Oxford Archaeology
 Place of issue or publication Oxford
 Description A4, plastic spiral bound
 Entered by S.Brown (s.brown@oxfordarch.co.uk)
 Entered on 30 July 2008

OASIS:




Swindon, Supermarine FC
B2007-15

Box 1 File 3

B. PRIMARY CONTEXT RECORDS

en 44414 Orange 44416 Pink 44417

Made in England



SCAN PDF

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth

No. of CD copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County:[Wiltshire] Parish:[South Marston] Site:[Swindon, Supermarine FC]

Site code[B2007.15]

Line 2: Excavators name[T. Haines]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Classification of material	Tick if present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	



LEVELS REGISTER

SITE CODE SWIM6.8
2007.15

SITE NAME SUPREMARINE FL. SOUTH MARSTON.

SHEET NO 1

TBM	Backsite	Instrument Height (IH) (TBM+Backsight)	Level number	Foresight	Reduced Level (IH-Foresight)	Comments/Context No(s)/ Small Find No(s)/Plan or Section No(s)
122.99	1.41	124.4	1	1.54	122.86	Plan 1
			2	1.99	122.41	↓
			3	1.67	122.73	
			4	2.03	122.37	
			5	2.36	122.04	
			6	1.49	122.91	
			π	1.58	122.82	
			π	1.59	122.81	S.2.
			1	1.55	122.85	Plan 2
			2	2.27	122.13	↓
			3	2.30	122.10	
			4	1.54	122.86	↓
			π	1.65	122.75	S.3
			π	1.55	122.85	Plan 3
			2	2.52	121.88	↓
			3	2.62	121.78	
			4	1.56	122.84	
			π	1.88	122.52	
122.20			1	1.51		Plan 4
			2	2.05		↓
			3	1.50		
			4	1.84		
			5	0.80		
			6	1.97		
			7	1.88		
			8	0.61		
			π	1.32	122.4	
1.22.99	0.73	123.72		2.09	121.63	
	1.57	122.20				

1 1.57
122.20



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

10

SITE

Swim 1B: 2007-15

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE *LAYER*

Trench

1

Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / ~~Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

4

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

5

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: 16. 12.

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:

Matrix location


Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

A 0.2m THICK COMPACT BLACK STONE LAYER

TARMAC BASE

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

HARD STANDING OF ROAD WAY.

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal []
CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

△ Small Finds

Recorder

◇ Samples

Date

⬆ Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

11

SITE SW1MG: B: 2067-15

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE NAT.

Trench 1

Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: 12.

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No. 4

Cut by: 13

Filled by:

Section No. 5

Same as:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

A COMPACT LIGHT BROWN SUEY CLAY AND SANDSTONE
GRAIN.

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

NATURAL.

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal []
CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

 Small Finds

Recorder

 Samples

Date

 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

12

SITE

SWIMC: B: 200715

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE *LAYGR*

Trench

1

Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: 15.

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

Cut by: 13

Filled by:

Section No.

5

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/side/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: 11

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:

Matrix location


Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

A030-0.5m THICK VERY DARK CRAY BROWN

SWEET CLAY WITH SANDSTONE FRAGS (10%), CHARCOAL (1%)

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

OLD CULTIVATED TOPSOIL OF SITE.

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal []
CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

 Small Finds

Recorder

 Samples

Date

 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

13

SITE Swinca: B: 2007-15

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE *CWT*

Trench

1

Context Type: ~~Deposit / Cut / Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
 3. composition 4. inclusion
 5. thickness 6. extent
 7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

4

Cut by:

Filled by: 14

Section No.

5

Same as:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
 2. base/sides/top profile
 3. dimension and depth
 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts: 12, 11

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
 7. bond 8. dimensions as found
 9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain


Description (See check lists):

A LINEAR DRAINAGE NW/SE ACROSS TRENCH BASE

BELOW LEVEL OF TRENCH, VERTICAL SIDES, MASONRY

1.6 x 1.1 x 0.55m

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



this context is

Interpretation/Discussion

MODERN CWT FOR DRAINAGE ON SITE.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

△ Small Finds

Recorder

◇ Samples

Date

⏏ Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

14

SITE *Swimg: B. 2007.15*

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE *Fill*Trench *1*Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: *15*

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

4

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

5

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of: *13*


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

*A LOOSE LIGHT COBT SAND WITH CONCRETE FRAG (60%)**REINFORCEMENT WIRE (6x) CLASSIC PLATTY BASTING*

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

MAXIMUM BACKFILLING CUT. [13]

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small Finds

Recorder

 Samples

Date

 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

15

SITE *Swimg: B: 2007-15*

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE *LAYER*Trench *1*Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: *16*

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No. *5*

Same as:

CUT:

Part of:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: *14, 12*

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

*A 0.5m thick dark brown grey clay loam**with charcoal (5%) charcoal (1%)*

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

Redeposited material banking up bank on side of road cut.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small Finds


Recorder

 Samples

Date

 Building Materials

Initials

		<h1>CONTEXT RECORD</h1>	Context No. <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">16</div>
SITE <i>Swimg: B.200715</i>		ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE <i>LOAM</i>
Trench <i>1</i>	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by: <i>10</i>	DEPOSIT:	
Structure No.	Abutted by:	1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions	
Plan No.	Cut by:	CUT:	
	Filled by:		
Section No. <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">5.</div>	Same as:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments	
	Part of:		
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	MASONRY:	
	Overlies: <i>15</i>		
Level	Butts:	1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments	
Slide No.	Cuts:		
Neg No.	Fill of:		
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain		
Description (See check lists): <i>A 0.25-0.3m THICK VERY DARK LOAM BLOWN SILEY CLAY LOAM.</i>		STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX 	
Interpretation/Discussion <i>TOPSOIL of BANK.</i>			
Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []			
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds		Recorder	
<input type="checkbox"/> Samples		Date	
<input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials		Initials	



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

20

SITE *SWING: B.
2007-15*

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE *LAYER*Trench *2*Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

Cut by:

3

Filled by:

Section No.

Same as:

CUT:

4.

Part of:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: *26, 25, 22*

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

*A 0-26m THICK LAYER OF VERY DARK GRAY**BLOWN SWEET CLAY LOAM WITH GRAVEL (2X)*

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

WELL CULTIVATED TOPSOIL TO FORM SURFACE OF PITCH.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small Finds


Recorder

 Samples

Date

 Building Materials

Initials

		CONTEXT RECORD		Context No. 21
SITE Swim 4 'B' 2007-15.		ADDITIONAL SHEETS:		TYPE NAT 2112
Trench 2	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure		Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 22	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions		
Structure No.	Abutted by:			
Plan No. 3	Cut by:	CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments		
	Filled by:			
Section No. 4	Same as:	MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments		
	Part of:			
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:			
	Overlies:			
Level	Butts:			
Slide No.	Cuts:			
Neg No.	Fill of:			
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain			
Description (See check lists): A COMPACT LIGHT BROWN CLAY EXHIBITING A CONTACT SUBTLE BRUSH.		STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX 		
Interpretation/Discussion NATURAL				
Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []				
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds			Recorder	
<input type="checkbox"/> Samples			Date	
<input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials			Initials	



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

22

SITE *Swimga B:
206715*

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE

*LAYER
~~TRAY~~*

Trench

2

Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

22

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

22

Cut by:

23

Filled by:

Section No.

4

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

21

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

*A 0.75m THICK COMPACT LAYER OF LIGHT BROWN
CLAY WITH CRUSHED STONE (80% TILL) (17)*

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

Ground make-up to level above for pitch

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small Finds


Recorder


 Samples

Date

 Building Materials

Initials

		<h1>CONTEXT RECORD</h1>	Context No. <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 24px;">23</div>
SITE <i>Swim: B!</i> <i>2007-15</i>	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:		TYPE <i>CMT.</i>
Trench <i>2</i>	Context Type: <i>Deposit / Cut / Structure</i>		Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	 DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions 	
Structure No.	Abutted by:		
Plan No.	Cut by:		
	Filled by: <i>24</i>		
Section No. <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 24px;">4</div>	Same as:	 CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments 	
Co-Ordinates	Part of:		
	Consists of:		
Level	Butts:	 MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments 	
Slide No.	Cuts: <i>22</i>		
Neg No.	Fill of:		
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain		
Description (See check lists): <i>A VERTICAL SIDED, FLAT BASE CUT masonry</i> <i>1.6 x 0.8 x 0.5m.</i>		STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX 	
Interpretation/Discussion <i>A DRAIN TO HELP TAKE WATER OFF PIRINGS</i>			
Finds (tick): None[] Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone[] Glass[] Metal[] CBM[] Wood[] Leather[]			
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds		Recorder	
<input type="checkbox"/> Samples		Date	
<input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials		Initials	

		CONTEXT RECORD		Context No. 24
SITE SWING:BI 2007.15		ADDITIONAL SHEETS:		TYPE Fill
Trench 2	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure		Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 20		DEPOSIT:	
Structure No.	Abutted by:		1. compaction 2. colour	
Plan No.	Cut by: 25		3. composition 4. inclusion	
	Filled by:		5. thickness 6. extent	
Section No. 4	Same as:		7. comments 8. method & conditions	
	Part of:		CUT:	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:		1. shape in plan	
	Overlies:		2. base/sides/top profile	
Level	Butts:		3. dimension and depth	
Slide No.	Cuts:		4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments	
Neg No.	Fill of: 23		MASONRY:	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain		1. materials 2. size of bricks etc	
Description (See check lists): A COMPACT LIGHT BROWN SILTY CLAY WITH LOTS OF STONE (95%)		STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX		
				
Interpretation/Discussion STONE INFILLED DRAIN WITH LOT OF SILT.				
Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []				
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds			Recorder	
<input type="checkbox"/> Samples			Date	
<input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials			Initials	



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

25

SITE *Swim 91B!*
2007115

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE *CUT*Trench *2*Context Type: ~~Deposit~~ / ~~Cut~~ / ~~Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditionsPlan No.
3

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

Same as:

CUT:

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

*A thin linear NW/SE across SW corner
of trench. measuring 0.9 x 0.25 x 0.4m, vertical
sides & flat base.*

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

modern land drain across pitch

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small Finds


Recorder


 Samples

Date

 Building Materials

Initials

		<h1>CONTEXT RECORD</h1>	Context No. <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">26</div>
SITE <i>Swinaib! 2007.15.</i>		ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE <i>Fill</i>
Trench <i>2</i>	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure		Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: <i>20</i>		DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Structure No.	Abutted by:		
Plan No. <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">3</div>	Cut by:		CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments
Section No.	Filled by:		
Co-Ordinates	Same as:		
Level	Part of:		MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Slide No.	Consists of:		
Neg No.	Overlies:		
Matrix location	Butts:		
Matrix location	Cuts: <i>A</i>		Relationships uncertain
Matrix location	Fill of: <i>25</i>		
Description (See check lists): <i>A loose red gravel around 50mm Ø perforous pipe.</i>		STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX 	
Interpretation/Discussion <i>fill of LARD DRAW TRENCH</i>			
Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []			
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds		Recorder	
<input type="checkbox"/> Samples		Date	
<input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials		Initials	

 CONTEXT RECORD		Context No. 30
SITE SWINGBOAT 15	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE layers
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Structure No.	Abutted by:	
Plan No. 2	Cut by: 35	CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments
	Filled by:	
Section No. 3	Same as:	MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
	Part of:	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	
	Overlies: (31)	
Level	Butts:	
Slide No.	Cuts:	
Neg No. 1 = 12 → 14	Fill of:	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	
Description (See check lists):		STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX
See (40)		
5 / 0.24 m 6 / 5m (x 1.6m w)		
Interpretation/Discussion		
Top soil		
Finds (tick): None <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pot <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Flint <input type="checkbox"/> Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Burnt stone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds	Recorder EP	
<input type="checkbox"/> Samples	Date 30/4/01	
<input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials	Initials EP	



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

31

SITE ^{2004.15} ~~SwimGB~~

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE *layer*

Trench

Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (30)

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

- 1. compaction
- 2. colour
- 3. composition
- 4. inclusion
- 5. thickness
- 6. extent
- 7. comments
- 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

2

Cut by:

35

Filled by:

Section No.

3

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

- 1. shape in plan
- 2. base/sides/top profile
- 3. dimension and depth
- 4. sketch
- 5. truncation
- 6. fill nos
- 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: (32)

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

- 1. materials
- 2. size of bricks etc
- 3. finish of stones
- 4. coursing/bond
- 5. form
- 6. faces
- 7. bond
- 8. dimensions as found
- 9. other comments

Neg No. *12 → 14*

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

see (45)

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

*5/0.28m**6/1.6m (w) x 5m (L)*


Interpretation/Discussion

Made ground layer.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small FindsRecorder *EP* SamplesDate *30/7/07* Building Materials

Initials


 CONTEXT RECORD		Context No. 32
SITE Swing 5207.15	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE layer
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / excavation	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: (31)	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Structure No.	Abutted by:	
Plan No. 2	Cut by: [35] Filled by:	CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments
Section No. 3	Same as: Part of:	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of: Overlies: (33)	MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Level	Butts:	
Slide No.	Cuts:	
Neg No. 1 = 12 → 14	Fill of:	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	
Description (See check lists):		STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX
1/ Compact 2/ Mid Brown Orange 3/ silty clay 4/ Stones = 10% Chalk = <20% 5/ 0.32m 6/ 1.6m (w) X 5m (d) (Trench only) 7/ Made ground very close in appearance to Natural Alluvium 8/ Machine/ Quarry.		
Interpretation/Discussion		
layer of probable made ground which looks very similar to the alluvial clay seen in Trench 4 however this is uncertain as definite re-deposited back fill of chalk & Dirty Mixed clay.		
Finds (tick): None [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Pot [<input type="checkbox"/>] Bone [<input type="checkbox"/>] Flint [<input type="checkbox"/>] Stone [<input type="checkbox"/>] Burnt stone [<input type="checkbox"/>] Glass [<input type="checkbox"/>] Metal [<input type="checkbox"/>] CBM [<input type="checkbox"/>] Wood [<input type="checkbox"/>] Leather [<input type="checkbox"/>]		
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds <input type="checkbox"/> Samples <input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials	Recorder EP Date 30/4/07 Initials	

SITE SWINGBROOK 15	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE layer
---	--------------------	---

Trench 3	Context Type: Deposit / Cut Buried	Check Lists: ✓
Site sub-div	Overlain by: (32)	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Structure No.	Abutted by:	
Plan No. 2	Cut by:	CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments
Section No. 3	Filled by:	
Co-Ordinates	Same as:	MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Level	Part of:	
Slide No.	Consists of:	
Neg No. F1 = 12 → 14	Overlies: (34)	
Matrix location	Butts:	
	Cuts:	
	Fill of:	
	Relationships uncertain	

Description (See check lists):

1/ compact
2/ Mid Brown Grey
3/ Chalky clay
4/ chert = > 80%
5/ 10 → 5cm 6/ 4m(w) x 1.6m(d) (Trench only)
7/ Made ground?
8/ Machine / sunny



Interpretation/Discussion

Layer of probable made ground consisting of chert & gravel mixed with clay.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

<input type="checkbox"/> Small Finds <input type="checkbox"/> Samples <input type="checkbox"/> Building Materials	Recorder EP Date 30/4/07 Initials
---	---



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

34

SITE Shim GB 2004.15

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE layer

Trench

3

Context Type: Deposit / Ear/structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

(33)

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

2

Cut by:

Filled by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Section No.

3

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos
7. other comments

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

12-714

Fill of:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond
5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- 1/ compact
- 2/ Dark grey Blue & Orange
- 3/ Mixed clay
- 4/ Sand = 20%
- 5/ 10-15cm
- 6/ -
- 7/ Re-deposited / Made ground clay
- 8/ Machine / Sunny.



Interpretation/Discussion

layer of Mixed clay which is quite dirty with some fleck of charcoal & metal. This is possibly Made ground / ~~re~~ re-deposited material.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
CBM Wood Leather

 Small Finds
Recorder EP
 Samples
Date 30/4/07
 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

35

SITE *SM163200715*

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE *cut*

Trench

*3*Context Type: ~~Deposit~~ / Cut / ~~Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
 3. composition 4. inclusion
 5. thickness 6. extent
 7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

2

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

3

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
 2. base/sides/top profile
 3. dimension and depth
 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

(30) (31) (32)

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond
 5. form 6. faces
 7. bond 8. dimensions as found
 9. other comments

Neg No. *fj = 12 -> 14*

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

see [44]

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX



Interpretation/Discussion

Modern land Drain cut

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small FindsRecorder *ED* SamplesDate *30/4/07* Building Materials

Initials



SITE <i>SWING B2007-15</i>	EVALUATION TRENCH RECORD SHEET	Trench No. <i>4</i>
-------------------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------------

Trench orientation <i>N→S</i>	Grid reference	Field No.
Length <i>10m</i> Width <i>1.6m</i>	Average depth to top of natural <i>0.5m</i>	Was archaeology present? <i>NO</i>
Plan Nos? <i>1</i>	Section Nos? <i>1 & 2.</i>	Were finds recovered? <i>NO</i>

If a trench contains only a small number of contexts, and requires only one or two plans and sections, list plans and sections on this sheet. If the trench contains large numbers of contexts use a conventional context check list and plan and section list sheets as necessary.

Context check list / Descriptions

Context No.	Description
<i>40</i>	<i>Present topsoil/ploughsoil</i>
<i>42</i>	<i>Disturbed sub top soil within cut for land drain.</i>
<i>43</i>	<i>Gravel Packing for Modern land Drain.</i>
<i>[44]</i>	<i>cut of Modern Drain.</i>
<i>45</i>	<i>Gravelly Made ground layer 6.</i>
<i>46</i>	<i>Small deposit of possible buried top/sub soil beneath made ground</i>
<i>47</i>	<i>Natural gravel layer</i>
<i>48</i>	<i>layer of possible buried sub/topsoil beneath made ground.</i>
48	
<i>[49]</i>	<i>cuts of Modern Drains</i>
49	
<i>41</i>	<i>Natural (describe) Natural Alluvial clay Mid Orange Brown.</i>

Brief description of archaeology/comments

V

No Archaeology Present Only several Modern land drains a layer of made ground and natural layers of topsoil, Gravel & clay Alluvium.

Photos = F1 :- 6 → 11	Recorder <i>EP</i>
	Date <i>30/2/07</i>



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

40

SITE Swim 682007.15

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE layerTrench 4Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cell / Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

1Cut by: (44)

Filled by:

Section No.

102

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: (45)

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other commentsNeg No. F1=6-10

Fill of:

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1/ Firm

2/ Dark Blue Brown

3/ silty loam


4/ stones = < 30%

5/ 0.24m

6/ 1.6m(w) x 1.0m(d) (trench only)

7/ top soil

8/ Machine/sunny



Interpretation/Discussion

Top soil

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small FindsRecorder EP SamplesDate 30/4/07. Building MaterialsInitials /



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

41

SITES *Swingby 2007.15*

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE *layer*Trench *4*Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ *Structure*

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: *(46) & (48)*

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditionsPlan No. *1*

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No. *1 of 2*

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: *(47)*

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other commentsNeg. *6-70*

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- 1/ Compact
- 2/ Mid Orange Brown
- 3/ silty clay
- 4/ Stones = < 10% (5-10cm)
- 5/ 0.24 m
- 6/ 1.6m (w) x 1.0m (d) (French only)
- 7/ Natural Alluvial clay
- 8/ Machine/survey



Interpretation/Discussion

Natural Alluvial clay

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small FindsRecorder *EP* SamplesDate *30/4/07* Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

42

SITE Swim GB2007.15

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE FillTrench 4Context Type: Deposit / ~~Context~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

Cut by: ~~43~~

Filled by:

Section No.

Same as:

CUT:

1

Part of:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of: ~~43~~Overlies: 43

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No. 6-10

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg No.

Fill of: 44

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1/ loose

2/ Dark Grey Brown

3/ Topsoil + Gravel.


4/ Gravel = < 40%

5/ 0.14m

6/ 0.16m (x 1.6m) (Trench only)

7/ Disturbed Top Soil by land drain

8/ Machine / Sunny + windy




Interpretation/Discussion

Top Fill of Muckless Land Drain Trench. Disturbed Topsoil mixed with gravel.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small FindsRecorder EP SamplesDate 30/4/07 Building Materials

Initials

		CONTEXT RECORD		Context No. 43
SITE <i>Swing 13 2007-15.</i>		ADDITIONAL SHEETS:		TYPE <i>Fill</i>
Trench <i>4</i>	Context Type: Deposit / Cut Structure		Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by: <i>(42)</i>	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions		
Structure No.	Abutted by:			
Plan No. <i>1</i>	Cut by:	CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments		
Section No. <i>14</i>	Filled by:			
Co-Ordinates	Same as:	MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments		
Level	Part of:			
Slide No.	Consists of:			
Neg <i>6-10</i>	Overlies:			
Matrix location	Butts:			
	Cuts:			
	Fill of: <i>(44)</i>			
	Relationships uncertain			
Description (See check lists):		STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX		
<i>1/ loose</i>				
<i>2/ matrix Pale Yellow Orange</i>				
<i>3/ Gravel</i>				
<i>4/ —</i>				
<i>5/ 0.14m</i>				
<i>6/ 0.16m x 1.6m (Trench only)</i>				
<i>7/ Gravel Fill of Land Drain trenches.</i>				
<i>8/ Machine / Sunny</i>				
Interpretation/Discussion				
<i>Gravel Packing surround Pipe of Land Drain within Trench cut (44). Very sterile.</i>				
Finds (tick): None <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pot <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Flint <input type="checkbox"/> Stone <input type="checkbox"/> Burnt stone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> CBM <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/>				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Small Finds <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Samples <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Building Materials			Recorder <i>EP</i> Date <i>30/4/07</i> Initials	



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

44

SITE Swimg B 2007.15

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE cutTrench 4Context Type: ~~Deposit~~ / Cut / ~~Structure~~

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction
2. colour
3. composition
4. inclusion
5. thickness
6. extent
7. comments
8. method & conditions

Plan No.

1

Cut by:

Filled by: (42) (43)

Section No.

1

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch
5. truncation
6. fill nos
7. other comments

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts: ~~49.1~~ (40) (45)

1. materials
2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones
4. coursing/bond
5. form
6. faces
7. bond
8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Neg Sp.1 = 6-710

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX


- 1/ linear
- 2/ V-shaped cut, rounded at base.
- 3/ 0.16m x 1.6m(L) x 0.24m(D) (trench only)



5/

6/ (42) (43)

7/ Modern land Drain.



Interpretation/Discussion

cut of Modern land Drain trench.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small FindsRecorder EP SamplesDate 30/7/04 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

45

SITE *SWSMGB200715*

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE

layer

Trench

4

Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ *Structure*

Check Lists:

J

Site sub-div

Overlain by:

(40)

DEPOSIT:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Structure No.

Abutted by:

Plan No.

1

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

192

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies:

(46)

(48)

Level

Butts:

Slide No.

Cuts:

Neg No.

F1-6-10'

Fill of:

Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

MASONRY:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other comments

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

1/compact

2/ ~~Pale~~ Kellow Brann.

3/ gravel & Rubble.


4/

5/ 0.22m

6/ 10m(x) 1.6m(w) (Trench only)

7/ Made ground layer.

8/ Machine/swing.



Interpretation/Discussion

layer of Made ground mostly above natural
alluvial clay with some remnants of top/subsoil
beneath it.

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal []
CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

 Small Finds

Recorder

EP

 Samples

Date

30/4/07.

 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

46

SITE Swim GB2007.15

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE 1/2Trench 4Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ / ~~Structure~~Check Lists: 1

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (45)

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditionsPlan No. 1

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No. 1

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. base/side/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: (41)

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other commentsNeg No. 1 = 6-710

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- 1/ Compact
- 2/ Dark Bluish Grey Brown.
- 3/ ~~the~~ silty clay
- 4/ stones \leftarrow 40%
- 5/ 10m 6/ 0.7m (W) x ?(L) (Trench only)
- 7/ Buried soil?
- 8/ Machine / Sunny.



Interpretation/Discussion

Possible layer of Disturbed & Buried sub/Top soil beneath Made ground layer (45). No finds. This layer is directly above the natural alluvial clay.

Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal []
CBM [] Wood [] Leather []

 Small FindsRecorder EP SamplesDate 30/10/07 Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

47

SITE *Swim GB2007.15*

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE *layer.*Trench *4*Context Type: Deposit / ~~Cut~~ Structure

Check Lists:

Site sub-div

Overlain by: *(41)*

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditionsPlan No. *1*

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No. *2*

Same as:

Part of:

CUT:

1. shape in plan
2. ~~base/sides/top profile~~
3. dimension and depth
4. ~~sketch~~ 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: */*

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other commentsNeg No. *6-70*

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- 1/ compact
- 2/ Mid → light Brown orange
- 3/ Gravel + clay (70% + 30%)
- 4/ ———
- 5/ ———
- 6/ 1.6m (w) x 1.0m (d) (Tread only)
- 7/ Natural gravel
- 8/ Machine/sunny



Interpretation/Discussion

Natural Gravel of area beneath alluvial clays

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather

 Small FindsRecorder *EP* SamplesDate *30/4/07* Building Materials

Initials



CONTEXT RECORD

Context No.

48

SITE Swim 2007.15

ADDITIONAL SHEETS:

TYPE layerTrench 4Context Type: Deposit / Cut StructureCheck Lists: ✓

Site sub-div

Overlain by: (45)

DEPOSIT:

Structure No.

Abutted by:

1. compaction 2. colour
3. composition 4. inclusion
5. thickness 6. extent
7. comments 8. method & conditions

Plan No.

Cut by:

Filled by:

Section No.

Same as: (46) (?)

CUT:

2

Part of:

1. shape in plan
2. base/sides/top profile
3. dimension and depth
4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments

Co-Ordinates

Consists of:

Overlies: (41)

Level

Butts:

MASONRY:

Slide No.

Cuts:

1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
7. bond 8. dimensions as found
9. other commentsNeg No. 6-10

Fill of:


Matrix location

Relationships uncertain

Description (See check lists):

STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX

- 1/ Compact
- 2/ Dark Grey Brmn.
- 3/ silty clay
- 4/ Stng < 40%
- 5/ 0.15m
- 6/ 2m (approx) x ? (L) (Trench cut)
- 7/ Buried soil?
- 8/ Machine / Sunny.



Interpretation/Discussion

Possible buried/disturbed Top/sub soil lying beneath Made ground layer (45). This may be the same as layer (46) however the two are not connected within the sections seen in the trenches.

Finds (tick): None Pot Bone Flint Stone Burnt stone Glass Metal
 CBM Wood Leather


 Small FindsRecorder EP SamplesDate 30/10/07 Building Materials

Initials

Swindon, Supermarine FC
B2007.15

Box 1 File 4

B. CATALOGUE OF DRAWINGS



SCAN PDF

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth

No. of CD copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County:[Wiltshire] Parish:[South Marston] Site:[Swindon, Supermarine FC]

Site code[B2007.15]

Line 2: Excavators name[T. Haines]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	✓
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

Swindon Supermarine FC
B2007.15

Box 1 File 5

B. PRIMARY DRAWINGS

SCAN PDF

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth

No. of CD copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County:[Wiltshire] Parish:[South Marston] Site:[Swindon, Supermarine FC]

Site code[B2007.15]

Line 2: Excavators name[T. Haines]

Line 3:


Classification of material

Tick if present


Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	✓
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

SWING: B: 2007-15.
 PLAN 4.
 SCALE 1:50

TR1




SWING: B: 2007-15
 SECTION 5
 SCALE 1:50
 TR1




TR1

SWIMA: B12007.15
TRENCH 2
 SCALE 1:50
 PLAN NO 3
 N ←





SWIMA: B12007.15
 SECTION 4
 SCALE 1:20
 TR 2




- 20 T/S.
- 26 F
- 25 L/D
- 24 F
- 23 DRAIN
- 22 MAKEUP
- 21 NAT



TIR 3



Plan = 2
Section = 3
1:50





EP 30/4/07




W. Facing

1:20




E facing



Plan 1

1:50



Sections = 1/2

Swindon Supermarine FC

B2007-15

Box 1 File 6

D. CATALOGUE OF PHOTOGRAPHS

Green 44414 Orange 44416 Pink 44417

NEGATIVE

ANUSPVA

EVACUATION

ANUSPVA

ANUSPVA

Made in England

SWINDON SUPERMARINE FC

SCAN PDF

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth

No. of CD copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County:[Wiltshire] Parish:[South Marston] Site:[Swindon, Supermarine FC]

Site code[B2007.15]

Line 2: Excavators name[T. Haines]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if present

Classification of material	Tick if present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/X--rays	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	



PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET

SITE CODE
SW1M43207.15

SITE NAME
Supermarine FC, S. Marston.

FILM NO. 1

Camera number

Lens number

Black & white / ~~color~~

Date	Negative number	View	Context(s)	Initials
30/4/07	0		ID SHOT	EP
↓	1	SW	Location shot of FC club house	EP
↓	2	↓	" " "	↓
↓	3	—	Working shot TR4	↓
↓	4	—	" " "	↓
30/4/07	5	NW	" " "	EP
↓	6	N	TR4 1x1m + 1x2m WB	↓
↓	7	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	↓
↓	8	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	↓
↓	9	S	(Bound wrong N. Arrow points S.)	WB
↓	10	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	↓
↓	11	↓	1x1m & 1x2m scales	↓
↓	12	E	TR3 + S.3 1x1m + 1x2m scales WB	EP
↓	13	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	↓
↓	14	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	↓
	15	→ N	TR2 General view WB	
	16	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	17	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	18	→ S	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	19	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	20	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	21	→ E	TR2 S.4 WB	
	22	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	23	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	24	→ N	TR1 General view WB	
	25	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	26	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	27	→ S	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	28	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	29	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	30			
	31			
	32			
	33			
	34			
	35			
	36			
	37			



PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET

SITE CODE
SAMGIS 2007-15

SITE NAME
Supermarine FC, S. Muston.

FILM NO. I

Camera number

Lens number

Black & white / colour

Date	Negative number	View	Context(s)	Initials
30/4/07	0		± D SHOT	EP
	1	SW	Location shot of FC club house	EP
	2	↓	" "	
	3	↙	Working shot TR4	
	4	↘	" " "	
	5	NW	" " "	EP
	6	N	TR4 1x2m + 1x1m scales WB	EP
	7	↓	↓ ↓ ↓	
	8	↓	↓ ↓ ↓	
	9	S	(No museum wing on B side) TR4 1x1m + 1x2m	
	10	↓	↓ ↓ ↓	
	11	↓	↓ ↓ ↓	
	12	E	TR3 + S.3 1x1m + 1x2m scales WB	EP
	13	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	14	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	15	⇒ N	TR2 General view WB	
	16	↓	↓ ↓ ↓	
	17	↓	↓ ↓ ↓	
	18	⇒ S	↓ ↓ ↓	
	19	↓	↓ ↓ ↓	
	20	↓	↓ ↓ ↓	
	21	⇒ E	TR2 S.4 WB	
	22	↓	↓ ↓ ↓	
	23	↓	↓ ↓ ↓	
	24	⇒ N	TR1 General view WB	
	25	↓	↓ ↓ ↓	
	26	↓	↓ ↓ ↓	
	27	⇒ S	↓ ↓ ↓	
	28	↓	↓ ↓ ↓	
	29	↓	↓ ↓ ↓	
	30			
	31			
	32			
	33			
	34			
	35			
	36			
	37			



PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET

SITE CODE
SAMG13 30715

SITE NAME
Supermarine FC, S. Muston.

FILM NO. 1

Camera number

Lens number

Black & white / colour

Date	Negative number	View	Context(s)	Initials
30/4/07	0		± D SHOT	EP
	1	SW	Location shot of FC - the club house	EP
	2	↓	" "	
	3	↙	working shot TR4	
	4	↙	" " "	
	5	NW	" " "	EP
	6	N	TR4 1x2m + 1x1m scales WB	EP
	7	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	8	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	9	S	(Abstruse wing on Social) TR4 1x1m + 1x2m	
	10	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	11	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	12	E	TR3 + S.3 1x1m & 1x2m scales WB	EP
	13	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	14	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	15	→ N	TR2 General view WB	
	16	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	17	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	18	→ S		
	19	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	20	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	21	→ E	TR2 S.4 WB	
	22	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	23	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	24	→ N	TR1 General view WB	
	25	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	26	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	27	→ S	DON'T COME OUT	
	28	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	29	↓	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	30			
	31			
	32			
	33			
	34			
	35			
	36			
	37			