General index to the archive

Site/Project Name

Windsor Daniel Store

Site Code:

WIDAST 09

Site/Project Type:

Watching Brief

Year(s):

2009

Accession Number:

REDMG:2009.177

Record	Contents	Comments	Box/File Number
Group		<u> </u>	
	INTRODUCTION		Box 1 file 1
•	Brief for an archaeological watching brief	3 double sided sheet	• •
	Written scheme of investigation	7 double sided sheets	
A	REPORT	•	Box 1 file 2
	·		
	Archaeological watching brief record	1 bound copy	
	OASIS form printout	3 sheets	
В	DIARY/FIELDNOTES		Box 1 file 3
	Watching brief record sheets	5 sheets	
В	PRIMARY CONTEXT DATA		Box1 file 4
•	Context checklist	2 sheets	
-	Context record sheets	40 sheets	
В .	CATALOGUE OF DRAWINGS	,	Box 1 file 5
	Plan record sheet	1 sheet	
	Section record sheet	1 sheet	
В	PRIMARY DRAWINGS		
• •	Plan	1 A3 sheet	Roll 1 of 1
	Sections	6 A4 sheets	Box 1 file 6
В	PRIMARY FIND DATA		Box 1 file 7
	Finds context checklist	2 sheets	
С	FINDS BOX AND BAG LISTS		Box 1 file 8
	Finds compendium	1 sheet	
•	Finds contents sheets	1 sheet	
D	CATALOGUE OF PHOTOGRAPHS		Box 1 file 9
	Black and white photographic record sheets	1 sheet	
	Colour photographic record sheet	1 sheet	
	Digital photographic record sheet	2 sheets	,

OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

SCAN PDF

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of CD copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County:[Berkshire] Parish:[Windsor] Site:[Daniel Store]

Site code[WIDAST09]

Line 2: Excavators name[D. Dodds]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

Index to archive Introduction A:Final Report A:Publication Report B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence H: Miscellaneous		present
A:Final Report A:Publication Report B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		
A:Publication Report B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence		
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	ral Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	ary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	nesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	ey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	ogue of Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	ary Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	nesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	nary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	thesised Finds Data	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	cialist Reports	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	t/Bag List	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	lides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	Data: Primary Records	
F: Documentary F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	Data: Synthesised Records	
F: Press and Publicity G: Correspondence	Data: Specialist Reports	
G: Correspondence	·	
H: Miscellaneous		

WINDSOR DANIEL STORE WIDAST09

Box 1 FILE 1

INTRODUCTION

				, ,
		1	, 1	1
		l '	: I	
		i l	i	
	1	i l		l f
	1	1		
	Ī	I	! !	
'	i	!		1 1
	į.	i i		i i
		1 1		
	ł .			· •
	ŀ	ł i		: :
	1	t l	•	l 1
1		4 :		i i
L	l .			l i
		1	,	1
	}			
	i			,
0 .	1	i	· ·	}
٠	ŀ		ľ	i i
	į.		ŀ	1
		ł. ·	t	1
ł	l .	ľ	ŀ	I I
	I .	I	ŀ	4
Ĭ .	i	I '		
l .	1	i	Ī	t l
l	Ι,	1.	ł	i
i	1	1	}	(i
Ī	t .	1 .	l .	
	ì	I	Ł	T
ł	1 .	5	1	\$ I
i	i .	}	ŧ	1
i .	I	1	1	1
l .	i	!		i i
I .	1		B .	I I
ŧ.	1	l l	ł	
i	1	I .	Į.	1
i	ı	l .	1.	1 .
I	1	I .		
	i	1 ,	ſ	
I	l. •	1	ł .	l l
1 .	ı	l .	I .	1 1
1	1	i	l .	1
	ł	1	1	!
L	1.	I .		
'	1	1 '		t i
1	l .	1 .	I .	
1	i	l	1	i
٠.	1	I	j	1
I	ı	[ì	
I	1	ſ	l .	1
l .	li .	1	D	1 ,
I .	1	1	ł	1 ,
I ,	i		l .	1 '
	1 .			I .
I		1		ſ
1	I .	i .	ĥ	Į
i	1 .		1	1
l .	1	ı		
1	1	1 "	ı	1 .
1	į.	1	T	1
1				

No.978033 Buff





Berkshire Archaeology

Daniel Store, Peascod Street, Windsor Brief for an Archaeological Watching Brief

1 Summary

1.1 This brief sets out the background and general conditions to enable a detailed specification for the first phase of an archaeological scheme to be prepared and submitted for approval to Berkshire Archaeology on behalf of The Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead. The brief is valid for six months only, after which time Berkshire Archaeology shall be contacted. Specifications produced in accordance with this brief will be considered valid for the same period of time.

2 Site Location and Description

2.1 The site is located on the north side of Peascod Street, in the centre of Windsor, and centred on NGR SU 9662 7677. The site is currently occupied by a department store and other retail property. A site plan shall be sought from the applicant.

3 Planning Background

3.1 The applicant (WJ Daniel & Co Ltd) proposes to redevelop the site for a new store, including new build across the site and extension of the basements - planning applications 08/00940 and 08/00941 apply, with further applications currently being considered.

4 Archaeological Background

- 4.1 The site is identified as being of archaeological potential, due to a number of sites noted on the Berkshire Sites and Monuments Record for this area.
- 4.2 The site lies with the historic core of the Medieval town of new Windsor, which grew as a settlement around the 11th century castle. Documentary sources refer to Peascod Street in the early 14th century, and significant Medieval activity is known throughout the area.
- 4.3 An archaeological desk-based assessment carried out by Oxford Archaeology in 2008 notes the archaeological potential of the area, both in terms of back plot activity and more limited street front activity. However it identifies large parts of the site where at least some truncation is known or likely, due to previous development on the site including basementing.

- 4.4 It is therefore considered reasonable that adequately funded archaeological mitigation is required for the proposed redevelopment, in line with local and national planning policy. The first phase of mitigation will comprise a watching brief on any demolition procedures that have the potential to impact on archaeological deposits.
- 4.5 Following this, further phases of work will be required; see section 10 below

5 Aims

The aims and objectives of the watching brief are as follows:

- To determine the existence or absence of any archaeological remains on areas of the site impacted by demolition procedures; and should remains be found to be present to ensure their preservation by record to the highest possible standard.
- ii). To determine or confirm the approximate date or date range of the remains, by means of artefactual or other evidence.
- iii). To determine or confirm the approximate extent of the remains.
- iv). To determine the condition and state of preservation of the remains.
- v). To determine the degree of complexity of the horizontal and/or vertical stratigraphy present.
- vi). To assess the associations and implications of any remains encountered with reference to the historic landscape.
- vii). To determine the implications of the remains with reference to economy, status, utility and social activity.
- viii). To determine or confirm the likely range, quality and quantity of the artefactual evidence present.
- ix). To determine the potential of the site to provide palaeoenvironmental and/or economic evidence and the forms in which such evidence may be present.
- x). To inform where possible on the potential for eliminating any parts of the site found to be wholly truncated from further archaeological consideration, and to inform decision making on further phases of work required in mitigation

6 Stages of Work and Techniques

6.1 The aims of the project will be to record the presence/absence, extent, condition, character, quality and date of any archaeological deposits within the whole of the area affected by development. This is to be carried out as part of a continuous assessment and investigation of the archaeological remains on site and will inform further stages of work as is considered appropriate. Although the archaeological work is led by the development schedule, this will not affect the quality and standards of excavation and recording which is to be

including foundation construction, basement excavation, levelling of the site and trenching for services etc.

10.2 Some details of construction and groundworks are yet to be decided on, and this will inevitably affect the types of archaeological mitigation employed. However, anticipated methodologies might include:

Excavation for basements or larger areas of ground reduction: in areas which can not be confidently eliminated as being of no potential, archaeological monitoring would be expected over careful machining in spits of agreed depth, with all stratigraphy being recorded until archaeological deposits are identified. Hand excavation would then apply.

Pile caps: where pile caps are to be located in areas of potential archaeology, these should be excavated archaeologically in advance.

Trenching for services: should be subject to watching brief except in areas shown to be of no potential (where no constraint will apply) or those where more complex deposits have been identified, where some excavation in advance may be required

10.3 Other works requiring mitigation may be identified in the source of discussions over the exact nature of groundworks and any finds made during previous phases, or alternative approaches put forward by the archaeological contractor if more practical or cost-effective. To facilitate this process and create both some assurances for the applicant and clarity in terms of outstanding planning requirements, it is advised that once the first phase watching brief is complete and the demolition and site clearance works carried out, a meeting is arranged between the archaeological contractor, the architects, groundworks contractor and Berkshire Archaeology, to discuss the various parts of the site and agree on appropriate next steps.

11 Archive Deposition

- 11.1 All finds (other than those claimed as treasure under the Treasure Act) are the property of the landowner. The agreement of the landowner for the ownership of any finds to be transferred to an appropriate museum will be sought.
- 11.2 Provision shall be made for the deposition of archive and artefacts in an appropriate local authority museum. The museum shall be advised of the proposed investigation before excavation starts and the specification shall include any reasonable requirements they may have regarding conservation and storage of excavated material and archive. The archive shall be prepared in accordance with the guidelines published in *Guidelines for the preparation of Excavation Archives for long-term storage* (United Kingdom Institute for Conservation, 1990) and *Standards in the Museum care of archaeological collections* (Museums and Galleries Commission, 1994)

12 Publication and Dissemination

- 12.1 Interim plans showing areas covered by the watching brief will be required to inform the next stages of mitigation, and a brief statement on the results of this work. On completion of all phases, a copy of the results will be supplied to Berkshire Archaeology on the understanding that this will become a public document after an appropriate period of time (usually not exceeding six months). A minimum of three copies of the approved report shall be forwarded to Berkshire Archaeology and SMR.
- 12.2 The archaeological contractor will supply Berkshire Archaeology with a full set of catalogued slides taken during the projects, together with selected negatives, for future use in lectures, publications, or other publicity material.
- 12.3 An estimate of time and staff resources required for report production shall be provided. This shall include details of arrangements for specialist conservation and analysis of artefacts and ecofacts.
- 12.4 Details of style and format to be determined by the archaeological contractor. However, the report *must* have a front sheet providing the following information:
 - Site name
 - Grid Reference
 - Site activity (e.g. Evaluation trenching, geophysical survey, fieldwalking, etc.
 - Date and duration of project
 - Site code
 - Area of site
 - Summary of results
 - Monuments identified (this *must* be referenced to the RCHME Thesaurus of Monument Types)
 - Location and reference of archive

12.5 The report shall also include:

- (i) a summary of the project's background;
- (ii) the site location;
- (iii) a methodology;
- (iv) a stratigraphic description of the deposits encountered
- (v) a description of the project's results;
- (vi) an interpretation of the results in the appropriate context;
- (vii) a summary of the contents of the project archive and its location (including summary catalogues of finds and samples);
- (viii) site layout plans on an Ordnance Survey base, with the location of the trenches superimposed on the results of any earlier, non-intrusive surveys:
- (ix) plans of each trench or area;
- (x) trench sections and feature sections;
- (xi) site matrices where appropriate and
- (xii) a consideration of evidence within the wider setting.

13 Oasis Project Reporting

Berkshire Archaeology supports the *Online Access to Index of Archaeological Investigations* (OASIS) project. The overall aim of the OASIS project is to provide an online index to the mass of archaeological grey literature that has been produced as a result of the advent of large-scale developer funded fieldwork. The archaeological contractor must therefore complete the online OASIS form at http://ads.ahds.ac.uk/project/oasis/. If the archaeological contractor does not have Internet access a paper copy of the form can be obtained from Berkshire Archaeology. Contractors are advised to contact Berkshire Archaeology prior to completing the form. Once a report has become a public document by forming part of a planning application, Berkshire Archaeology will place the information on a website. Please ensure that you and your client agree to this procedure in writing as part of the process of submitting the report to the Principal Archaeologist for consideration.

14 Other Factors

The specification may be varied where necessary, subject to agreement between the contractor, the landowner/developer and the Principal Archaeologist, in response to significant discoveries, unforeseen ground conditions or health and safety issues. The contractor is advised to clarify with the client as to any relevant permissions that may be required to carry out these works.

issued by:

Berkshire Archaeology Reading Central Library Abbey Square Reading RG1 3BQ 0118 901 5976 fiona.macdonald@reading.gov.uk

22nd January 2009

W J Daniel & Company Limited

Daniel Department Store, Peascod Street, Windsor, Berkshire

NGR SU 9662 7677

Written Scheme of Investigation for an Archaeological Watching Brief

Planning reference: 08/00940 and 08/00941

1 Introduction

- 1.1 Planning permission has been granted to WJ Daniel & Company for the redevelopment of the existing department store. This is to include new build across the site and the extension of the existing basements.
- 1.2 A Planning Condition has been placed on the permission requiring that a programme of archaeological works are undertaken during the development process. In the first instance the investigation will form a watching brief during the initial demolition process, and ton include cleaning and inspection of any suitable exposed surfaces. The requirements of the watching brief have been laid down in *Daniel Store, Peascod Street, Windsor: Brief for an Archaeological Watching Brief* by Fiona Macdonald, Archaeological Advisor to the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead.
- 1.3 This document details how Oxford Archaeology (OA) will implement the requirements of the brief. The first part is site specific while the Appendices detail general OA standards and procedures.

2 Geology and Topography

- 2.1 The site is located on the north side of Peascod Street, in the centre of Windsor, and centred on NGR: SU 9662 7677. The site is currently occupied by a department store and other retail property.
- 2.2 The underlying geology is London Clay.

3 Archaeological and Historical Background

- 3.1 The site is identified as being of archaeological potential, due to a number of sites noted on the Berkshire Sites and Monuments Record for this area.
- 3.2 The site lies within the historic core of the medieval town of New Windsor,

- which grew as a settlement around the 11th century castle. Documentary sources refer to Peascod Street in the early 14th century, and significant medieval activity is known throughout the area.
- 3.3 An archaeological desk-based assessment carried out by Oxford Archaeology in 2008 notes the archaeological potential of the area, both in terms of back plot activity and more limited street front activity. However, it identifies large parts of the site where at least some truncation is known or likely, due to the previous development on the site, including basementing.
- 3.4 It is therefore considered reasonable that adequately funded archaeological mitigation is required for the proposed redevelopment of the site. The first phase of mitigation will comprise a watching brief on any demolition procedures that have the potential to impact on archaeological deposits.
- 3.5 Following the watching brief, further phases of work maybe necessary; see section 10 below

4 Aims

- 4.1 The aims and objectives of the watching brief are as follows:
- i). To determine the existence or absence of any archaeological remains on areas of the site impacted by the demolition procedures; and should remains be found to be present to ensure their preservation by record.
- ii). To determine or confirm the approximate date or date range of the remains, by means of artefactual or other evidence.
- iii). To determine or confirm the approximate extent of the remains.
- iv). To determine the condition and state of preservation of the remains.
- v). To determine the degree of complexity of the horizontal and/or vertical stratigraphy present.
- vi). To assess the associations and implications of the remains with reference to economy, status, utility and social activity.
- vii). To determine the associations and implications of any remains encountered with reference to the historic landscape.
- viii). To determine or confirm the likely range, quality and quantity of the artefactual evidence present.
- ix). To determine the potential of the site to provide palaeoenvironmental and/or economic evidence and the forms in which such evidence may be present.

x). To inform where possible on the potential for eliminating any parts of the site found to be wholly truncated from further archaeological consideration, and to inform decision making on further phases of work required in mitigation.

5 Strategy

- 5.1 The watching brief will observe all demolition works relating to the grubbing out of the foundations, any ground reduction for a piling mat etc. Oxford Archaeology will liase closely with the demolition contractors so that all works are suitably informed, and no archaeologically sensitive actions are omitted from the watching brief.
- 5.2 Excavation of archaeological features will be undertaken to fulfil the basic objective of retrieval of archaeological data affected by the works.
- 5.3 Human remains will be located and planned and left *in-situ*.
- 5.4 All features and deposits will be issued with unique context numbers, and context recording will be in accordance with the established OA *Field Manual* (OAU 1992). All contexts, and any small finds and samples from them will be allocated unique numbers. Bulk finds will be collected by context. Colour transparency and black-and-white negative photographs will be taken of all trenches and archaeological features.
- 5.5 Should archaeological deposits or features be encountered, adequate stoppage time and resourcing must be built into the programme to allow for further investigation, sampling and recording of significant features identified, and, where these are to be truncated or damaged by demolition techniques, to allow for an appropriate level of 'preservation by record' in line with local and national planning policy. Alternatively design or engineering solutions allowing for preservation *in-situ* may be agreed and employed, or the area left untouched for further discussion with regard to subsequent phases of mitigation.
- 5.6 Provision will be made for taking environmental/organic samples in accordance with OA Environmental procedures (OA 2000).
- 5.7 A plan at a scale of 1:100 will be made of all exposed archaeological features noting all visible relationships. Detailed plans of complex features will normally be drawn at 1:20. The site grid will be accurately tied into the National Grid and located on a 1:2500 plan of the area. The site plan will be generated digitally by an EDM Total Station with AutoCAD software. The plan will be tied into the 1:2500 OS map.
- 5.8 Surface finds will be collected during/after machining, by context, to allow provisional dating/characteristics of the site to be determined.
- 5.9 Spoil heaps and stripped surfaces will be monitored and metal detected to aid the spatial distribution of finds.

- 5.10 All field work and recording will adhere to the standards laid down by the IFA Standard Guidance for Archaeological Watching Briefs (2001) and OA's standards as laid down in Fieldwork Manual (OA, 1st edition. ed. D. Wilkinson, 1992)
- 5.11 The project will be carried out by a suitably qualified OA Supervisor and an appropriate team of archaeologists, under the direction of Dan Dodds, Head of Small Works and under the overall direction of Nick Shepherd, OA Head of Fieldwork.
- 5.12 The watching brief will be monitored by a representative of Berkshire Archaeology.
- 5.13 OA procedures and standards are outlined in Appendix 1. All sub-sections are relevant.

6 Report and Archive

- 6.1 A client report (appendix 8) on the results of the investigation will be completed within three weeks of the end of the fieldwork. The project supervisor and OA finds specialists will undertake the report stage under the direction of the project manager. Copies will be forwarded to the client. Two copies of the report will be submitted to Berkshire Archaeology and the SMR.
- 6.2 A fieldwork summary form shall be submitted to the Archaeology Data Service as part of the OASIS scheme.
- 6.3 If environmental remains are recovered, then the staff from the OA Environmental Department will scan these to assess the potential of the remains. Detailed analysis, if required; would normally be undertaken by the University Museum, Oxford.

A list of specialists used by OA is presented below:

Specialist	Subject
Richard McPhail (UCL)	Soil micromorphologist
Mark Robinson (Oxford University Museum)	Plant remains analysis
Mark Robinson (Oxford University Museum)	Molluscs
Rob Scaife (Freelance)	Pollen analysis
Dr Louise Loe (OA)	Osteoarchaeologist
Paul Miles (OA)	Computer manager
Matt Bradley (OA)	Head of Geomatics
Leigh Allen (OA)	Finds manager
Dr Rebecca Nicholson (OA)	Environmental manager
Vanessa Fell (Oxford Institute of Archaeology)	Conservator
Kate Cramp (OA)	Lithic analysis
Paul Booth (OA)	Roman pottery
Paul Blinkhorn/Duncan Brown (Freelance)	Saxon/medieval/post-medieval
	pottery

Specialist	Subject
Chris Salter (Oxford University)	Slag
Liz Stafford (OA)	Geoarchaeologist
Cecily Cropper (Freelance)	Glass
Ian Scott (Freelance)	Metalwork
Dan Miles (Freelance)	Worked wood/Dendrochronology
FayWorley/ Lena Strid (OA)	Animal bone analysis
Belfast Laboratory	C14 dating
Sarah Hall (Oxford Archaeological Research Laboratory)	Thermoluminescence dating

- 6.4 The County Museums Service, if required, will undertake finds conservation.
- 6.5 The site archive including finds (subject to the landowner's agreement) will be deposited with the County Museums Service in an approved format.
- 6.6 A final report will be produced and an appropriate archaeological journal or monograph will be used to publish the findings. The appropriate level of publication will be dependent on the significance of the fieldwork results, but as a minimum a note will be submitted for inclusion in the relevant local journal.
- 6.7 On completion of the fieldwork the site archive will be prepared in the format agreed with the relevant local museum, who will be consulted concerning their requirements. The site archive will be security copied and a copy deposited with the NAR before post-excavation analysis begins or as soon thereafter as can be conveniently arranged. The Museum will be consulted about their conditions for accepting excavated material prior to commencement of the whole project including discussion regarding archiving or reinterring human remains.
- 6.8 The site archive (paper and photographic record, artefacts and environmental samples) will be prepared for long-term storage in accordance with Guidelines for the preparation of excavation archives for long term storage (Walker 1990 UKIC) and Standards in the Museum Care of Archaeological Collections (Museums and Galleries Commission 1992).

7 Health and Safety

7.1 All OA project fieldwork is undertaken in accordance with all relevant current Health and Safety Legislation. This includes in particular the following regulations (the list is not intended to be exhaustive):

Health and Safety at Work Act 1974
Construction (Design and management) Regulations 1994
The management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1992
Personal Protective Equipment at Work Regulations 1992
Work Equipment Regulations 1992
Manual Handling Operations Regulations 1992
Workplace (Health, Safety and Welfare) Regulations 1992

- 7.2 The OA has its own Health and Safety Policy which refers to the manual Health and Safety in Field Archaeology (SCAUM 1997), and these two documents constitute the Health and Safety arrangements of the OA. The Director of OA is ultimately responsible under the terms of the Health and Safety Act (1974) for ensuring the safety of employees. He must know the broad requirements of relevant legislation; attend meetings of the OA Health and Safety Committee; ensure that responsibility for health and safety is properly assigned and accepted at all levels. The Director of the OA is David Jennings.
- 7.3 The Health and Safety Co-ordinator of the OA: represents the director on matters of health and safety; keeps abreast of relevant legislation and approved practice, and disseminates this information to OA staff; advises staff as required on matters of health and safety; maintains the OA health and safety records; calls and chairs meetings of the OA Health and Safety Committee. The Health and Safety Co-ordinator is Dan Poore.
- 7.4 The Project Director is the person delegated to take overall charge of a particular project. She/he is responsible for health and safety matters on the projects that they manage, reporting to the Safety Officer in the first instance, and ultimately to OA's Director. She/he must be satisfied that an adequate safety plan has been drawn up for the project, or for each phase of the project. The Project Director may also be the Project Manager in some cases (see below).
- 7.5 Individual Project Supervisors/Managers are the persons delegated to take charge of a particular phase or part of the overall project. They are responsible for ensuring that for each site that they are in charge of an adequate Risk Assessment and any amendments or additions to the Site Safety Plan have been drawn up prior to work starting on site, and they are immediately responsible for the Health and Safety of employees and sub-contractors under their supervision. They report directly to the Project Director and OA Safety Officer. The OA Health and Safety Committee consists of the Director, Safety Officer, OA Manager and the Site Staff Representative. The Safety Officer normally calls meetings of the Committee when there is business for discussion, but may be called by other members of the committee.
- 7.6 OA's independent Health and Safety Consultants are Safety Services Ltd, Stanton Harcourt, Oxon, who are consulted with regard to matters such as deep trenching, shoring and working in confined spaces.
- 7.7 Prior to the project a pro-forma OA Health and Safety audit check list is completed by the project manager/supervisor and passed to the OA safety Officer for comment. A Risk Assessment document may also be completed. The Project Manager/supervisor ensures that the following information is available to the excavation team copy of the HSE poster 'Health and Safety Law What You should Know', copy of the Safety Plan and Risk Assessment, Emergency Information Sheet giving details of nearest hospital etc, copy of the Notification of Project to HSE, location of an accident book.

8 References

BAS 2009 Brief for an Archaeological Watching Brief

IFA 2001 Standard Guidance for Archaeological Watching Briefs

OA 1992 Fieldwork Manual (1st edition. ed. D. Wilkinson)

Oxford Archaeological Unit March 2009

Appendix 1 OA Standard Fieldwork Methodology Appendices

The following methods and terms will apply, where appropriate, to all OA fieldwork unless varied by undertakings specified in a detailed Written Scheme of Investigation.

2 MACHINE EXCAVATED TRENCHES

- 2.1 A visual inspection of the entire site will be undertaken. This will include the examination of any available exposures (e.g. recently cut field ditches and geological test pits).
- 2.2 An appropriate mechanical excavator will be used for machine excavated trenches. This will normally be a JCB 3CX Sitemaster or 360° tracked excavator with a 5' or 6' wide toothless bucket. For work with restricted access or working room a mini excavator such as a Kubota KH 90 will be used.
- 2.3 All machining will be undertaken under direct archaeological supervision.
- 2.4 All undifferentiated topsoil or overburden of recent origin will be removed down to the first significant archaeological horizon, in successive, level spits.
- 2.5 Following machine clearance, all faces of the trench that require examination or recording will be cleaned using appropriate hand tools.
- 2.6 Spoil heaps will be monitored in order to recover artefacts to assist in the analysis of the spatial distribution of artefacts. Modern artefacts will be noted but not retained.
- 2.7 All investigation of archaeological levels will be by hand, with cleaning, examination and recording both in plan and section.
- 2.8 Within significant archaeological levels a minimum number of features required to meet the aims will be hand excavated. Pits and postholes will be subject to a 50% sample by volume. Linear features will be sectioned as appropriate. Features not suited to excavation within narrow trenches will not be sampled. No archaeological deposits will be entirely removed unless this is unavoidable. It is not necessarily the intention that all trial trenches will be fully excavated to natural stratigraphy, but the depth of archaeological deposits across the entire site will be assessed. The stratigraphy of all evaluation trenches will be recorded even where no archaeological deposits have been identified.
- 2.9 Any excavation, both by machine and by hand, will be undertaken with a view to avoiding damage to any archaeological features or deposits that appear to be worthy of preservation *in situ*.
- 2.10 Different environmental sampling strategies may be employed according to established research targets and the perceived importance of the strata under investigation. Bulk samples, a minimum of 10 litres, but up to 30 litres if possible for early prehistoric features will be taken for flotation for charred plant remains. Bulk samples will be taken from any waterlogged deposits present for macroscopic plant remains. Columns for pollen analysis will be taken if appropriate. Mollusc samples will be collected if present. Other bulk samples for small animal bones and other small artefacts may be taken from appropriate contexts.
- Any finds of human remains will be left in-situ, covered and protected and the coroner informed. If removal is essential it will only take place under appropriate Home Office licence, section 25 of the Burial Act 1857 and local environmental health regulations, and if appropriate in compliance with the Disused Burial Grounds (Amendment) Act 1981.
- All finds of gold and silver will be removed to a safe place and reported to the local Coroner according to the procedures relating to Treasure Act, 1996. Where removal can not be effected on the same working day as the discovery, suitable security measures will be taken to protect the finds from theft.
- 2.13 The OA welcomes monitoring visits by the local authorities' archaeological representatives. Timetables of the on-site work will be provided in order that visits can be made at appropriate times.

2.14 After recording, the trenches will be backfilled with excavated material, but will otherwise not be reinstated.

RECORDING

2.15 Contexts

- If less than ten trenches are to be recorded, a block of numbers, in a continuous sequence will be allocated to each trench.
- If more than ten trenches are to be recorded, a continuous unique numbering system will operate within each trench only.
- Written descriptions will be recorded on proforma sheets comprising factual data and interpretative elements.
- Where stratified deposits are encountered a Harris matrix will be compiled during the course of the excavation.

2.16 Plans

- These will normally drawn at 1:100, but on urban or deeply stratified sites a scale of 1:50 or 1:20 will be used. Detailed plans will be at an appropriate scale. Burials will be drawn at scale 1:10.
- The site grid will be accurately tied into the National Grid and located on the 1:2500 or 1:1250 map of the area.
- A register of plans will be kept.

2.17 Sections

- Long sections of trenches showing layers will be drawn at 1:50. Sections of features or short lengths
 of trenches will be drawn at 1:20.
- A register of sections will be kept.
- Generally all sections will be tied in to Ordnance Datum. The exception to this is where the proposal
 for the site is mineral extraction where depth in relation to the development proposals is irrelevant. In
 these cases only some significant sections will be tied in to OD.

2.18 Photography

- A full black and white and colour (35 mm transparency) photographic record, illustrating in both detail
 and general context the principal features and finds discovered will be maintained. The photographic
 record will also include working shots to illustrate more generally the nature of the archaeological
 work.
- Photographs will be recorded on OA Photographic Record Sheets.
- 2.19 All recording will be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the OA Field Manual (ed. D Wilkinson 1992).

FINDS

- All identified finds and artefacts will be retained, although certain classes of building material or post medieval pottery may sometimes be discarded after recording if an appropriate sample is retained. However, no finds will be discarded without the prior approval of the nominated representative of the local authority and the receiving Museum. All appropriate ironwork will be X-rayed.
- 2.21 The pottery and other relevant artefacts will be scanned to assess the date range of the assemblage.
- All finds and samples will be treated in a proper manner and to standards agreed in advance with the approved recipient museum. These will be exposed, lifted, cleaned, conserved, marked, bagged and boxed in accordance with the guidelines set out in UKIC's "Conservation Guidelines No. 2".
- 2.23 The level of artefact analysis will be sufficient to establish date ranges of archaeological deposits, a general assessment of the types of pottery and other artefacts to assist in characterising the archaeology, and to establish the potential for all categories of artefacts should further archaeological work be necessary.
- 2.24 At the beginning of a project, the local relevant museum and the landowner will be contacted regarding the preparation and deposition of the archive and finds.
- 2.25 Environmental samples, if appropriate will be processed and scanned for potential date. This will usually be co-ordinated by Dr M Robinson of University Museum, Oxford using appropriate specialists.

7 WATCHING BRIEFS

- 7.1 Ground disturbances (demolition, general site strip and levelling, reduction for roads, excavation for service trenches and foundation trenches) will be monitored by an archaeological supervisor assisted, where necessary, by archaeological technicians and under the overall guidance of a project manager.
- 7.2 All archaeological features and deposits exposed will be recorded.
- 7.3 Where only the tops of features or deposits are exposed, these will be located on a site plan, planned, and recorded by written description and by photographs.
- 7.4 Visible artefacts will be collected in order to assist in the dating of features and deposits.
- 7.5 Where trenches are excavated through cut features (pits, ditches, etc.) and vertical stratigraphy is not present, the features will be recorded in section with appropriate collection of finds.
- 7.6 Where ground disturbance exposes stratified remains or significant features, these will be hand excavated by the archaeologist and recorded.
- 7.7 The archaeological curator will be advised at the earliest opportunity of any archaeological features or deposits that appear worthy of preservation *in situ*.
- 7.8 On completion of the fieldwork the site archive will be compiled and security copied.
- 7.9 Proposals for analysis and publication will be determined in the light of the results of the fieldwork.

RECORDING

- 7.10 All on-site recording will be undertaken in accordance with the *OA Field Manual* (ed. D Wilkinson 1992).
- 7.11 A continuous unique numbering system will be operated. Written descriptions will be recorded on proforma sheets comprising factual data and interpretative elements.
- 7.12 Plans will normally be drawn at 1:50 but in urban or deeply stratified sites a scale of 1:20 will be used. Detailed plans will be at an appropriate scale. Burials will be drawn at 1:10.
- 7.13 A register of plans will be kept.
- 7.14 Sections of features or trenches showing stratigraphy will be drawn at 1:20 or 1:10.
- 7.15 A register of sections will be kept.
- 7.16 All sections will be tied in to Ordnance Datum if possible or into the contractors TBM.
- 7.17 A black and white and colour (35 mm transparency) photographic record, illustrating in both detail and general context the principal features and finds discovered will be maintained. The photographic record will also include working shots to illustrate more generally the nature of the archaeological work.
- 7.18 Photographs will be recorded on OA Photographic Record Sheets.
- 7.19 All identified finds and artefacts from stratified archaeological deposits will be retained, although certain classes of building material or post medieval pottery may sometimes be discarded after recording if an appropriate sample is retained.

9 AREA EXCAVATION

- 9.1 Prior to any area excavation, appropriate survey (e.g. earthwork, contour, geophysical) or sampling strategy (e.g. for topsoil artefact densities, phosphate analysis) will be undertaken prior to mechanical site strip.
- 9.2 In most cases area excavations will be stripped of topsoil and other overburden mechanically. An appropriate machine will always be used. This will normally be a 360° tracked excavator with a 1.5 or 1.8m wide toothless bucket. In other cases a JCB 3CX Sitemaster, or for work with restricted access or working room a mini-excavator such as a Kubota KH 90 will be employed. Lorries or dumpers will be used to move spoil to the storage areas. No machinery will be allowed to cross stripped areas.
- 9.3 All machining will be undertaken under direct archaeological supervision.
- 9.4 All undifferentiated topsoil or overburden will be removed down to the significant archaeological horizon in level spits; the level of the archaeological horizon having first been established by an evaluation or by the digging of test pits.
- 9.5 Mechanically excavated spoil will be monitored in order to recover artefacts that will assist in meeting the aims of the project.
- 9.6 The resulting surface will be cleaned adequately by hand using appropriate tools.
- 9.7 A site grid covering the area of investigation will be established. The grid will normally be on a 10m spacing and related to the Ordnance Survey grid. A temporary bench mark related to Ordnance Datum will be created.
- The sampling level of the archaeological remains that will be excavated will be determined after the initial surface clean, but will normally seek to maintain at least the following:
 - All structures and all zones of specialised activity (e.g. industrial, agricultural processing, ceremonial, funerary) will be fully excavated and all relationships recorded.
 - Ditches and gullies: all significant relationships will be defined and investigated. All terminals will be excavated. Sufficient of the ditch lengths will be excavated to determine the character of each individual ditch over its entire course with consideration given to possible recutting of ditches which may not have taken place over the entire length. This will be achieved by a minimum 10% sample of each ditch length (1m wide section every 10m). Should specialised deposits (e.g. localised refuse dumping, industrial wastes) be present, then more extensive excavation will take place. Sufficient artefact assemblages will be recovered to assist in dating stratigraphic sequences and for obtaining sufficient ceramic assemblages for comparison with other sites.
 - Pits: 100% (by number) will be half sectioned. Usually at least 50% (by number) of the pits will be fully excavated). Decisions as to which pits will be fully excavated will be made in the light of information gained in half sectioning.
 - Post and stake holes: where they are not clearly forming a structure 100% (by number) will be half sectioned ensuring that all relationships are investigated. Where deemed necessary by artefact context a number may require full excavation.
 - For other features such as working hollows, quarry pits, etc., all relationships will be ascertained.
 Further investigation will be a matter of on-site judgement, but should seek to define their extent, date and function.
- 9.9 Different environmental sampling strategies may be employed according to established research targets and the perceived importance of the strata under investigation. Bulk samples, a minimum of 10 litres, but up to 30 litres if possible for early prehistoric features will be taken for flotation for charred plant remains. Bulk samples will be taken from any waterlogged deposits present for macroscopic plant remains. Columns for pollen analysis will be taken if appropriate. Mollusc samples will be collected if present. Other bulk samples for small animal bones and other small artefacts may be taken from appropriate contexts.
- 9.10 All artefacts will be retained from excavated contexts unless they are of recent origin. In these cases sufficient of the material will be retained to date and establish the function of the feature.
- 9.11 All finds of gold and silver will be removed to a safe place and reported to the local Coroner according to the procedures relating to Treasure Act (1996). Where removal can not be effected on the same working day as the discovery, suitable security measures will be taken to protect the finds from theft.
- 9.12 All known human remains will be excavated under the appropriate Home Office licence and local environmental health regulations.
- 9.13 In certain circumstances where unusual or extremely fragile and delicate objects are to be found, then their recovery will be by appropriate specialists.

RECORDING

- 9.14 All on-site recording will be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the OA Field Manual (ed. D Wilkinson 1992).
- 9.15 A continuous unique numbering system will be operated. Written descriptions will be recorded on proforma sheets comprising factual data and interpretative elements.
- 9.16 Where stratified deposits are encountered a Harris matrix will be compiled during the course of the excavation.
- 9.17 Plans will normally be drawn at 1:50 but in urban or deeply stratified sites a scale of 1:20 will be used. Detailed plans will be at an appropriate scale. Burials will be drawn at 1:10.
- 9.18 The site grid will be accurately tied into the National Grid and located on the 1:2500 or 1:1250 map of the area.
- 9.19 A register of plans will be kept.
- 9.20 Long sections of trenches showing layers will be drawn at 1:50 or 1:20. Sections of features or short lengths of trenches will be drawn at 1:20 or 1:10.
- 9.21 A register of sections will be kept.
- 9.22 Generally all sections will be tied in to Ordnance Datum.
- 9.23 A full black and white and colour (35 mm transparency) photographic record, illustrating in both detail and general context the principal features and finds discovered will be maintained. The photographic record will also include working shots to illustrate more generally the nature of the archaeological work.
- 9.24 Photographs will be recorded on OA Photographic Record Sheets.
- 9.25 A register of small finds and environmental samples will be maintained.
- 9.26 All identified finds and artefacts will be retained, although certain classes of building material or post medieval pottery may sometimes be discarded after recording if an appropriate sample is retained. However, no finds will be discarded without the prior approval of the nominated representative of the local authority and the receiving Museum. All ironwork will be X-rayed.
- 9.27 All finds and samples will be treated in a proper manner and to standards agreed in advance with the approved recipient museum. These will be exposed, lifted, cleaned, conserved, marked, bagged and boxed in accordance with the guidelines set out in UKIC's "Conservation Guidelines No. 2". All metal objects will be x-rayed and then selected for conservation.

ARCHIVING, POST-EXCAVATION AND PUBLICATION

- 9.28 On completion of the fieldwork the site archive will be prepared in the format agreed with the relevant local museum, who will be consulted at this stage concerning their requirements. The site archive will be security copied and a copy deposited with the NMR before post-excavation analysis begins or as soon thereafter as can be conveniently arranged. The Museum will be consulted about their conditions for accepting excavated material prior to commencement of the whole project.
- 9.29 The site archive (paper and photographic record, artefacts and environmental samples) will be prepared for long-term storage in accordance with Guidelines for the preparation of excavation archives for long term storage (Walker 1990 UKIC) and Standards in the Museum Care of Archaeological Collections (Museums and Galleries Commission 1992).
- 9.30 A summary report will be prepared on completion of the site archive. This will include:
 - A statement of the research aims of the fieldwork and an illustrated summary of results to date indicating to what extent the aims were fulfilled.
 - A summary of the quantities and potential for analysis of the information recovered for each category
 of site, finds, dating and environmental data.
 - A list of the project aims as revised in the light of the results of fieldwork and post-excavation assessment.

- A list of the methods which will be used to achieve the research aims (these should be explicitly linked to aims).
- A list of all the tasks involved in using the stated methods to achieve the aims and produce a report and
 research archive in the stated format, wherever possible linking each task explicitly to the relevant
 method statement and indicating the personnel and time in days involved in each task. Allowance
 should be made for general project-related tasks such as monitoring, management and project
 meetings, editorial and revision time.
- A report synopsis indicating publisher and report format, broken down into chapters, section headings
 and subheadings, with approximate word lengths and numbers and titles of illustrations per chapter.
 The structure of the report synopsis should explicitly reflect the research aims of the project.
- A list of the personnel involved indicating their qualifications for the tasks undertaken.
- A cascade or Gantt chart indicating tasks in the sequence and relationships required to complete the
 project. Due allowance will be made for leave and public holidays. Time will also be allowed for the
 report to be read by a named academic referee as agreed with the County Archaeological Officer, and
 by the County Archaeological Officer.
- 9.31 The summary report including analysis and publication proposals will be submitted to the County Archaeological Officer or equivalent for agreement.
- 9.32 Once the post-excavation project design has been accepted, the County Archaeological Officer or his appointed deputy will monitor the progress of the post-excavation project at agreed points. Any significant variation in the project design will be agreed with the County Archaeological Officer.
- 9.33 The results of the project will be published in an appropriate archaeological journal or monograph. The appropriate level of publication will be dependent on the significance of the fieldwork results, but as a minimum the basic requirements of Appendix 7.1 of Management of Archaeological Projects (English Heritage 1991) will be met.

11 GENERAL

- 11.1 The requirements of the Brief will be met in full where reasonably practicable.
- 11.2 Any significant variations to the proposed methodology will be agreed with the local authority's archaeological representative in advance.
- The scope of work detailed in the main part of the Written Scheme of Investigation is aimed at meeting the aims of the project in a cost-effective manner. Oxford Archaeology attempts to foresee possible site-specific problems and resource these. However there may be unusual circumstances which have not been included in the costing and programme.
 - Unavoidable delays due to extreme bad weather, vandalism, etc.
 - · Complex structures or objects, including those in waterlogged conditions, requiring specialist removal.
 - Extensions to specified trenches or feature sample sizes requested by the archaeological curator.
 - Trenches requiring shoring or stepping, ground contamination, unknown services, poor ground conditions requiring additional plant, specialist reinstatement of surfaces (i.e. tarmac, turf).

HEALTH AND SAFETY and INSURANCE

- All work will be carried out to the requirements of Health and Safety at Work, etc. Act 1974, The Management of Health and Safety Regulations 1992, the SCAUM (Standing Conference of Archaeological Unit Managers) H & S manual Health and Safety in Field Archaeology 1991, the OA Health and Safety Policy, and any main contractors requirements.
- 11.5 A copy of OA's Health and Safety Policy is available on request. OA will require copies of the H & S policies of all other contractors and operators present on site in compliance with *The Manual of H & S Regulations 1992*.
- OA holds Employers Liability Insurance, Public Liability Insurance and Professional Indemnity Insurance.

 Details will be supplied on request.
- 11.7 OA will not be liable to indemnify the client against any compensation or damages for or with respect to:
 - Damage to crops being on the Area or Areas of Work (save in so far as possession has not been given to the Archaeological Contractor);
 - The use or occupation of land (which has been provided by the Client) by the Project or for the purposes of completing the Project (including consequent loss of crops) or interference whether

- temporary or permanent with any right of way, light, air or water or other easement or quasi easement which are the unavoidable result of the Project in accordance with the Agreement;
- Any other damage which is the unavoidable result of the Project in accordance with the Agreement;
- Injuries or damage to persons or property resulting from any act or neglect or breach of statutory duty done or committed by the client or his agents, servants or their contractors (not being employed by Oxford Archaeology) or for or in respect of any claims demands proceedings damages costs charges and expenses in respect thereof or in relation thereto.

COPYRIGHT and CONFIDENTIALITY

- 11.8 Oxford Archaeology will retain full copyright of any commissioned reports, tender documents or other project documents, under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 with all rights reserved; excepting that it will provide an exclusive licence to the client in all matters directly relating to the project as described in the Written Scheme of Investigation.
- 11.9 Oxford Archaeology will assign copyright to the client upon written request but retains the right to be identified as the author of all project documentation and reports as defined in the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (Chapter IV, s.79).
- 11.10 OA will advise the client of any such materials supplied in the course of projects that are not OA's copyright.
- 11.11 OA undertakes to respect all requirements for confidentiality about the client's proposals provided that these are clearly stated. It is expected that such conditions shall not unreasonably impede the satisfactory performance of the services required. OA further undertake to keep confidential any conclusions about the likely implications of such proposals for the historic environment. It is expected that clients respect OA's general ethical obligations not to suppress significant archaeological data for an unreasonable period.

OA STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES

- 11.12 OA shall conform to the standards of professional conduct outlined in the Institute of Field Archaeologists' Code of Conduct, the IFA Code of Approved Practice for the Regulation of Contractual Arrangements in Field Archaeology, the IFA Standards and Guidance for Field Evaluations, Desk Based Assessments, etc. and the British Archaeologists and Developers Liaison Group Code of Practice.
- 11.13 OA is a member of the Institute of Environmental Assessment and the Council for British Archaeology.
- 11.14 Project Directors normally will be recognised in an appropriate Area of Competence by the IFA. For more extensive and complicated evaluation projects especially where they are part of large-scale programmes of work in historic urban centres, the procedures outlined in English Heritage's Management of Archaeological Projects 2nd Edition 1991 (MAP 2) will be followed for immediate post-field archive preparation and initial assessment. Agreement to then be reached, in collaboration with the local authority's archaeological representative, about what aspects will need to be taken forward to provide a report in the required format containing the information needed for planning purposes.

GEGGE 269 Edge of Reading hed, Plain graver.

WINDSOR DANIEL STORE WIDAST09

Box1 FILE 2

AREPORT

				_
		·	•	
. •		·		
·		,		
	,			
	•			

No.978033 Buf





OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

SCAN PDF

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of CD copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County:[Berkshire] Parish:[Windsor] Site:[Daniel Store]

Site code[WIDAST09]

Line 2: Excavators name[D. Dodds]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

	present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data - Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data - Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

Daniel Store Peascod Street Windsor



Archaeological Watching Brief Report



Client: W.J. Daniel and Co. Ltd

Issue No: 1

OA Job No: 4362 NGR: SU 9662 7677

Planning Reference: 08/00940

and 08/00941

Client Name:

W.J. Daniel and Co Ltd

Client Ref No:

Document Title:

Daniel Store, Peascod Street, Windsor

Document Type:

Watching Brief

Issue Number:

National Grid Reference:

SU9662 7677

Planning Reference:

08/00940 and 08/00941

OA Job Number:

4362

Site Code:

WIDAST 09

Invoice Code: Receiving Museum:

WIDASTWB Reading Museum

Museum Accession No:

REDMG:2009.177

Prepared by: Position: Date:

Mike Sims SWD Supervisor 3rd December 2009

Checked by:

Edward Biddulph

Position: Date:

Senior Project Manager

4th January 2010

Approved by:

Dan Poore

Signed 14 E Bushle 4h

Position: Date:

Head of Fieldwork 25th January 2010

Document File Location

W:PROJECTS:\Berkshire BR\Windsor and Maidenhead

W&M\10200 Daniels Store EX\wbREP.doc

Graphics File Location

Servergo:/oaupubs 1 AtoH*WIDASTWB*Daniels Dept

Store, Windsor*jm*08.09.09

Illustrated by

Julia Moxham

This document has been prepared for the titled project or named part thereof and should not be relied upon or used for any other project without an independent check being carried out as to its suitability and prior written authority of Oxford Archaeology being obtained. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for the consequences of this document being used for a purpose other than the purposes for which it was commissioned. Any person/party using or relying on the document for such other purposes agrees, and will by such use or reliance be taken to confirm their agreement to indemnify Oxford Archaeology for all loss or damage resulting therefrom. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for this document to any party other than the person/party by whom it was commissioned.

Oxford Archaeology © Oxford Archaeological Unit Ltd 2010

Janus House Osney Mead Oxford OX2 0ES t: (0044) 01865 263800 f: (0044) 01865 793496

e: info@oxfordarch.co.uk w: www.oxfordarch.co.uk

Oxford Archaeological Unit Limited is a Registered Charity No: 285627

Daniel Department Store, Peascod Street, Windsor, Berkshire

ARCHAEOLOGICAL WATCHING BRIEF REPORT

CONTENTS

Summary	1
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Location and scope of work	1
1.2 Geology and topography	1
1.3 Archaeological and historical background	1
2 Project Aims and Methodology	2
2.1 Aims	
2.2 Methodology	2
3 Results	
3.1 Description of deposits	2
3.2 Finds	
3.3 Palaeo-environmental remains	6
4 Discussion and Conclusions	6
Appendix 1 Archaeological Context Inventory	
Appendix 2 Bibliography and references	
Appendix 3 Summary of Site details	

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig	l Cital	location
rig	l Sue	iocarion

Fig. 2 Plan of watching brief area

Fig. 3 Sections

Fig. 4 1881 Ordnance Survey plan of area

Fig. 5 1932 Ordnance Survey plan of area

SUMMARY

In March and July 2009 Oxford Archaeology (OA) carried out an archaeological watching brief at the Daniel Department Store, Peascod Street, Windsor, Berkshire (NGR: SU 9662 7677). The work was commissioned by W J Daniel and Company Ltd in advance of the redevelopment of an existing store. The watching brief revealed evidence of extensive truncation of the eastern side of the site due to modern intrusions, together with earlier basementing at the front of the site. Remains of the structures recorded on the 19th-century OS maps were also observed together with an associated brick-capped well. No evidence for structures predating the 19th century was observed.

1 Introduction

1.1 Scope of work

- 1.1.1 In March and April 2009 Oxford Archaeology (OA) carried out an archaeological watching brief at the Daniel Department Store, Peascod Street, Windsor, Berkshire (NGR: SU 9662 7677). The work was commissioned by W J Daniel and Company Ltd in respect of a planning application for redevelopment of the existing department store (Planning References No. 08/00940 and 08/00941).
- 1.1.2 A project brief was set by Fiona Macdonald, the principal achaeologist of Berkshire Archaeology (BA) on behalf of the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead (BA 2009).
- 1.1.3 OA prepared a Written Scheme of Investigation detailing how it would meet the requirements of the brief (OA 2009).

1.2 Location, geology and topography

- 1.2.1 The site is located on the north side of Peascod Street, in the centre of Windsor, and is centred on NGR: SU 9662 7677 (Fig. 1). The site is currently occupied by a department store and other retail properties fronting Peascod Street with open yards at the back of the premises. The underlying topology slopes down to the north with a 3.5 m drop from front to rear.
- 1.2.2 The underlying geology is Shepperton gravel over clay and gravel (Geological Survey of Great Britain, sheet no. 269).

1.3 Archaeological and historical background

- 1.3.1 The archaeological background to the watching brief was prepared for the WSI for the project (OA 2009) and is reproduced below.
- 1.3.2 The site has been identified as being of archaeological potential due to a number of sites noted on the Berkshire Sites and Monuments Record for this area.

- 1.3.3 The site lies within the historic core of the medieval town of New Windsor, which grew as a settlement around the 11th-century castle. Documentary sources refer to Peascod Street in the early 14th century, and significant medieval activity is known throughout the area.
- 1.3.4 An archaeological desk-based assessment carried out by Oxford Archaeology in 2008 noted the archaeological potential of the area, both in terms of back-plot activity and more limited street-front activity. However, it identified large parts of the site where at least some truncation was known or likely, due to the previous development on the site, including basementing.
- 1.3.5 It was therefore considered reasonable that adequately funded archaeological mitigation was required before the redevelopment of the site. The first phase of mitigation, reported on here, comprised of a watching brief on demolition procedures that had the potential to impact on archaeological deposits.

2 PROJECT AIMS AND METHODOLOGY

2.1 **Aims**

- 2.1.1 To identify and record the presence or absence, extent, condition, quality and date of archaeological remains in the areas affected by the development.
- 2.1.2 To preserve by record any features or deposits (if present) that the development may disturb or destroy.
- 2.1.3 To make available the results of the archaeological investigation.

2.2 Methodology

- 2.2.1 The watching brief was conducted as a series of site visits during the demolition of the old building and the preparation of the site prior to piling.
- 2.2.2 A plan of the excavations (Fig. 2) was maintained at a scale of 1:100 and any recorded sections were drawn at a scale of 1:20. The site and the recorded sections were photographed using digital photography, colour slide and black and white print film. A general photographic record of the work was also made. Recording followed procedures detailed in the *OA field manual* (Wilkinson 1992).

3 RESULTS

3.1 Description of deposits

Section 1, Underpinning of west wall of the Daniel store

3.1.1 The natural clay was not exposed within this section. This trench was dug to a depth of 20.90 m above OD. A layer of yellow-brown silt clay containing lenses of silt and mortar and chalk flecking (19) was encountered within the base of the trench. This

was overlaid by a 0.1 m deep layer of very dark grey clay silt (18). Overlying this was a 0.2 m deep layer of yellow-brown silt clay (17), possibly a layer of redeposited natural. This was sealed by a layer of dark grey-brown silt, 0.3 m in depth (16), which contained fragments of brick and tile.

3.1.2 This was overlaid by a 0.2 m deep layer of grey-brown clay silt (15). This layer produced charcoal flecking and brick and tile fragments. Cutting this deposit was a 0.65 m deep trench running parallel to the west wall of the Daniels store (13). Built within this trench was a 0.2 m deep concrete footing supporting the west wall of the Daniels store (14). The trench was then backfilled with redeposited material from the excavation (12).

Section 2, Rear of No 118 (Fig. 3)

- 3.1.3 This was located adjacent to the present day Daniel store, at the rear of No. 118.
- 3.1.4 The underlying natural clay, 9, was encountered at a level of 23.55 m above OD. It was overlaid by a 0.3 m deep continuation of the very dark grey-brown clay silt (8) seen in section 3, which produced fragments of peg tiles. Overlying this was a 0.5 m deep layer of silty clay, a continuation of layer 7.
- 3.1.5 This deposit was cut by a very steep sided flat bottomed linear feature (6). This ran parallel to the standing wall of the current Daniels store and measured 1.8 m deep by 1.3 m wide at the top. Built within this trench was a solid concrete block (5), 0.8 m high. This was poured within shuttering which had been subsequently removed when the concrete had set. This block formed the foundation for the west wall of the Daniel store. The trench was then backfilled using redeposited material excavated out when the trench was dug (4). Sealing the backfill was a layer of demolition debris 0.32 m deep, part of the same phase of deposition as layer 3. As in section 3 this was overlaid by the hardcore base, 2, for the concrete shop floor 1.

Section 3, Centre of No 117 and 118

- 3.1.6 The location of this section is almost central within building 117 shown on the 1881 OS Map (Fig. 4).
- 3.1.7 The underlying natural clay (9) was encountered at a height of 22.71 m above OD. This was overlaid by a 0.28 m deep layer of very dark grey-brown clay silt (8). This may represent the original topsoil. Overlying this was a 0.62 m deep layer of grey-brown clay silt containing fragments of brick and tile (7), a possible occupation layer.
- 3.1.8 A 1.7 m diameter vertically sided shaft (11) cut layer 7. The full depth of this cut was not exposed, but it is reasonable to assume that it reached the top of the underlying sandstone for a total depth of 3.25 m.
- 3.1.9 A brick well lining (20) was built within this cut. The bottom 1.9 m depth of this lining was roughly constructed using red bricks laid radially without mortar, giving

- an internal diameter of approximately 1.1 m. The upper 1.2 m of the swell lining was better constructed using red bricks, bonded with lime mortar, laid tangentially.
- 3.1.10 The well was capped by a hemispherical brick dome built using hand-moulded red brick measuring 0.22 m x 0.105 m x 0.06 m, bonded with a lime mortar. These bricks were also laid tangentially. Both the bricks and mortar were different between the upper part of the lining and the well cap suggesting that there were two phases of construction. This can be interpreted as either a subsequent repair or possibly that the well cap was added to the open well at a later date. The crown of the cap was damaged during machining and it was impossible to determine where the suction pipe entered.
- 3.1.11 Backfilling the well shaft and covering the well cap was a grey-brown clay silt containing fragments of brick and tile (10).
- 3.1.12 The backfill of the well shaft was sealed by a 0.45 m layer of demolition debris (3). This had been levelled and was overlaid by a layer of hardcore (2), the base for the modern concrete shop floor (1).

Section 4, Underpinning of west wall of the Daniel Store

- 3.1.13 This was located 5.5 m to the north of section 1.
- 3.1.14 The natural (9) was encountered at a level of 20.64 m above OD within this section. Sealing the natural was a 0.4 m deep layer of dark yellow-brown silt clay containing charcoal flecking (26). This deposit produced fragments of creamware pottery dating to the 19th/20th-century. Overlying this was a 0.25 m deep layer of grey-brown mixed silts and clay (25). This was cut by the 0.35 m deep foundation trench (24), a continuation of the foundation trench for the west wall of the Daniels Store (14).
- 3.1.15 The foundation trench was backfilled using material from its excavation (23). Sealing this was a 0.16 m deep layer of weak concrete (22). This was overlaid by a 0.13 m deep layer of very dark grey-brown clay silt producing fragments of brick (21).

Section 5, Underpinning of west wall of the Daniel store

- 3.1.16 This was located at the northern end of the west wall of the Daniel store. The base of the trench was excavated to a level of 19.94 m above OD.
- 3.1.17 A layer of grey-brown clay silt containing charcoal and lime mortar flecking (32), in excess of 0.8 m in depth was exposed in the base of the trench. This deposit produced fragments of bottle glass dating to the 19th century. Overlying this was a 0.2 m deep layer of dark grey-brown clay silt containing demolition rubble (31). This was cut by the 0.7 m deep foundation trench for the west wall (30). Built within this trench was. As before the west wall of the Daniel store (14) was built was the trench which was then backfilled using excavated material (29). This was sealed by a 0.3 m deep layer of light grey-brown mixed silts and gravel (28). Overlying this was a 0.3 m deep layer of grey-brown clay silt (27).

Section 6, Peascod Street Frontage

- 3.1.18 This was exposed when the front wall (including the basement wall) was broken out, and comprised a section showing the stratigraphy under the Peascod Street pavement.
- 3.1.19 The underlying natural, a yellow brown sandy silt clay (9), was encountered at a depth of 1.2 m below the current pavement level. This was overlaid by a 0.36 m deep layer of red-brown fine sandy silt clay (40). This deposit produced much charcoal flecking and animal bone suggesting that it was an occupation layer. Within the surface of this deposit there was a lens of grey-brown silt clay (39) measuring 0.18 m deep and 1.9 m wide. This lens was heavily cess-stained and produced a handle from a Tudor skillet or pipkin.
- 3.1.20 A 0.12 m deep layer of mixed mortar and pebbles (38) sealed the lens. It was unclear if this layer represented a bedding layer for stone slabs or construction debris. This was overlaid by a 0.18 m deep layer of orange-brown fine silt (37), possibly a levelling layer for the tile surface (36). This was constructed using three overlapping layers of large clay tiles (probably roof tiles) bonded with a lime mortar.
- 3.1.21 These were sealed by a 0.1 m deep layer of sand and gravel (35) which in turn was overlaid by a 0.3 m deep layer of crushed stone (34), the base for the 0.15 m thick modern shop floor (33).

Section 7, Northern cellar wall No. 116

- 3.1.22 This was located across the rear cellar wall of No. 116, approximately in the centre of the site. The underlying natural clay, 9, was encountered at a level of 21.60 m above OD. This was overlaid by a 0.2 m deep continuation of the yellow-brown silt clay (17). Overlying this was a continuation of layer 16, 0.35 m in depth, which contained fragments of brick and tile. This in turn was sealed by a 0.25 m deep continuation of layer 15. This layer produced charcoal flecking and brick and tile fragments.
- 3.1.23 Cutting this deposit was a 1.3 m deep trench running east-west across the site (43). A 0.2 m deep concrete footingwas built within this trench which supported a 0.4 m wide brick wall built using hand moulded bricks measuring and cement mortar (41). This wall formed the north wall of the cellar and extended for at least another floor upwards. The trench was backfilled with a mixture of redeposited material from the excavation and construction debris (42).
- 3.1.24 Within the cellar range a 0.12 m thick concrete floor (44) was laid butting up wall 41. The cellar had been backfilled with demolition debris.

Section 8, North end of yard, adjacent to the underground car park

3.1.25 A 0.8 m deep trench was excavated through the piling mat for a ground beam. A continuation of layer 26, a grey-brown clay silt containing abraded brick and tile was

observed at a depth of 22.63 m above OD. This was overlaid by a 0.3 m deep continuation of layer 25.

Section 9, Northwest side of yard, adjacent to no. 115

- 3.1.26 A 1.1 m deep trench was excavated adjacent to the east wall of number 115 for a ground beam.
- 3.1.27 Layer 26 was encountered at a depth of 22.23 m above OD. This was overlaid by a 0.3 m deep continuation of the grey-brown clay silt 25. Overlying this was a continuation of layer 21, a dark grey-brown clay silt measuring 0.4 m deep.

3.2 Finds

3.2.1 The vast majority of the finds recovered were post-medieval in date, and included fragments of 18th-century clay pipe stem and 19th/20th glazed creamware, while the fragments of bricks and tiles date between the 18th and 20th-centuries. A glazed handle belonging to a skillet or pipkin dating between the 15th and 17th centuries was recovered from layer 39.

3.3 Palaeo-environmental remains

3.3.1 No deposits suitable for paleo-environmental sampling were encountered during the course of the watching brief.

4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

- 4.1.1 From a study of the sections it is possible to reconstruct the original topology of the area of the site. At the front (southern) end of the site leading onto Peascod Street the underlying natural clay (9) was encountered at a level of 23.28 m above OD. Within the region of the well (section 3), the natural was encountered at a level of 22.73 m above OD. Towards the rear of the site the natural was encountered at 20.64 m (section 4) and in the north-east corner of the site the natural was observed at 20.02 m above OD. This gives a fall of 3.26 m within a 37 m length or an approximately 10% slope, leading northwards towards the river. This corresponds well with the slope still visible in Goswell Hill Lane running to the west of the current Daniel store.
- 4.1.2 The majority of the stratigraphy observed directly relates to buildings shown of the Ordnance Survey maps (Figs 4 and 5). The position of wall 41 within section 7 corresponds with the rear wall of No. 116 shown in both the 1881 and 1932 maps. The cellar floor level observed within the section (21.57 m above OD) is approximately 0.75 m below the level of the natural clay, 20, and would have truncated any deposits or features within this area.
- 4.1.3 The positioning of the well (20) places it within the interior of nos 117 and 118. This is not unusual during the 18th century. The water would have been drawn using a

- hand suction pump. It is possible that the well was under the dividing wall between the two premises and there was a separate pump serving each address.
- 4.1.4 Alongside the west wall of the standing Daniel store building, evidence of a cellar predating the construction of the department store was observed within section 2. It was evident from the section that the previous cellar walls had been demolished and the original cellar cut (6) had been widened in order that the new concrete foundations (5) could be cast between forms.
- 4.1.5 Comparison of the OS maps dating from 1881 and 1932 (Figures 4 and 5) show that No. 117 had been extended to the north during this period. It is possible that the well may have been sealed over by layer 3 during this work.
- 4.1.6 There are a number of possible occupation layers observed (layers 7, 8, 18, 32, 39 and 40). The majority of these layers produced fragments of brick, tile and pottery dating to the 19th century, the exceptions being layer 39 which produced a handle from a 15th-17th century skillet and layer 40 which produced no dating evidence, but produced fragments of butchered bone.
- 4.1.7 No evidence in the form of residual finds or truncated features was observed to show that the site had been occupied prior to the post-medieval period. It is possible that the street has been widened in the past so that the earlier street frontage may be situated within Peascod Street itself. This may account for the 15th-17th century pottery observed within layer 39. However, the absence of any truncated rubbish pits within remainder of the site would mitigate against the site being part of a medieval (or earlier) street frontage. This may suggest that the earlier activity was concentrated further up the hill close to the castle, with the lower part of the street only becoming occupied during the expansion of Windsor in the 19th-century.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1 ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONTEXT INVENTORY

Context	Туре	Depth	Comments	Finds	Date
1	Layer	0.15 m	Modern concrete shop floor surface	-	C20th
2	Layer	0.18 m	Sand blinding for layer 1		C20th
3	Layer	0.4 m	Demolition layer	Brick	c1885
4	Fill	2.2 m	Backfill of modern foundation trench	Brick ·	C20th
5	Structure	1.2 m	Concrete underpinning	Concrete	C20th
6	Cut	2.2 m	Underpinning trench		C20th
7	Layer	0.55 m	Probable occupation layer	Brick, pegtile, pottery, oyster shell	C18/19th
8	Layer	0.3 m	Probable occupation layer	Pegtile	C18/19th
9	Layer	> 1.5 m	Natural clay	-	-
10	Fill	> 1 m	Backfill of wellshaft 11	Brick, tile	C18th
11	Cut	> 5.5 m	Wellshaft	-	C18th
12	Fill	0.45 m	Backfill of 13	Brick	C20th
13	Cut	0.65 m	Foundation trench	-	C20th
14	Structure	> 3 m	West wall of current Daniel Store	Brick and concrete	C20th
15	Layer	0.25 m	Layer of made ground	Brick and tile	C19th/ 20th
16	Layer	0.3 m	Layer of made ground	Brick and tile	C19th/ 20th
17	Layer	0.2 m	Layer of made ground	Brick and tile	C19th
18	Layer	0.08 m	Possible occupation layer	-	C19th
19	Layer	> 0.25 m	Layer of made ground	Brick and tile	C19th
20	Structure	4 m	Brick lining of well shaft	Brick	C18th/ 19th
21	Layer	0.13 m	Layer of made ground	Brick and tile	C20th
22	Layer	0.16 m	Layer of made ground, possible construction layer	Brick and tile	C19th/ 20th
23	Fill	0.4 m	Backfill of cut 24	Brick and tile	C20th
24	Cut	0.4 m	Foundation trench	-	C20th
25	Layer	0.25 m	Layer of made ground	Brick and tile	C19th/ 20th
26	Layer	> 0.6 m	Layer of made ground	Brick and tile	C19th
27	Layer	0.3 m	Layer of made ground	Brick and tile	C19th/ 20th

Context	Туре	Depth	Comments	Finds	Date -
28	Layer	0.3 m	Layer of made ground	Brick and tile	C20th
29	Fill	0.18 m	Buckfill of cut 30	Brick and tile	C20th
30	Cut	0.6 m	Foundation trench	-	C20th
31	Layer	0.2 m	Layer of made ground	Brick and tile	C19th/ 20th
32	Layer	0.8 m	Possible occupation layer	Pottery	C19th
33	Surface	0.15 m	Original concrete floor of No.116	-	C20th
34	Layer	0.3 m	Hardcore base for 33	-	C20th
35	Layer	0.1 m	Blinding layer for 34	•	C20th
36	Surface	0.14 m	Floor surface composed of red tiles	Tile	C19th
. 37	Layer	0.1 m	Levelling layer for 36	-	C19th
38	Layer	0.05 m	Possible construction layer	-	C19th
39	Lens	0.25 m	Occupation layer	Pottery	C15th-C17th
40	Layer	0.36 m	Occupation layer	Bone	-
41	Structure	> 2.5 m	Rear cellar wall	Brick	C19th
42	Fill	1 m	Backfill of cut 43	Brick	C19th
43	Cut	1 m	Foundation trench	-	C19th
44	Surface	0.15 m	Concrete cellar floor	-	C20th

APPENDIX 2 BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES

BA, 2009 Daniel Department Store, Peascod Street, Windsor, Berkshire: Brief for an Archaeological Watching Brief, Berkshire Archaeology

Wilkinson, D (ed), 1992 Field Manual, Oxford Archaeological Unit

OA, 2009 Daniel Department Store, Peascod Street, Windsor, Berkshire: Written Scheme of Investigation for an Archaeological Watching Brief, Oxford Archaeology

APPENDIX 3 SUMMARY OF SITE DETAILS

Site name: Daniel Department Store, Peascod Street, Windsor, Berkshire

Site code: WIDAST 09

Grid reference: NGR: SU 9662 7677

Type of watching brief: Demolition of standing buildings, ground reduction, machine

excavation of building foundations

Date and duration of project: May to August 2009


Area of site: 550 m²

Summary of results: Post-medieval made ground overlying earlier occupation layers. 18th-

century well shaft.

Location of archive: The archive is currently held at OA, Janus House, Osney Mead, Oxford, OX2 0ES, and will be deposited with Reading Museum in due course, under the

following accession number: REDMG.2009.177



Reproduced by permission of the Ordnance Survey on behalf of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationary Office (c) Crown Copyright. 1996 All rights reserved. License No. AL 100005569

Figure 1: Site location




Figure 3: Sections

Figure 4: 1881 Ordnance Survey plan of area




Figure 5: 1932 Ordnance Survey plan of area



OAEast

15 Trafalgar Way Bar Hill Cambridgeshire CB238SQ

t: +44(0)1223 850500 f: +44(0)1223 850599

e:oaeast@thehumanjourney.net w:http://thehumanjourney.net

OA North

Mill 3 Moor Lane Mills Moor Lane Lancaster LA11GF

t:+44(0)1524 541000 f:+44(0)1524 848606 e:oanorth@thehumanjourney.net w:http://thehumanjourney.net

OA South

Janus House Osney Mead Oxford OX2 0ES

t:+44(0)1865 263800 f:+44 (0)1865 793496 e:info@oxfordarch.co.uk w:http://thehumanjourney.net

OA Grand Ouest

7 Rue des Monderaines ZI - Ouest 14650 Carpiquet France

t: +33(0)249880101 f: +33(0)249880102 e:info@oago.fr w:http://oago.fr

OA Méditerranée

115 Rue Merlot ZAC La Louvade 34 130 Mauguio France

t: +33(0)4.67.57.86.92 f: +33(0)4.67.42.65.93 e: oamed@thehumanjourney.net

w:http://oamed.fr/

Director: David Jennings, BAMIFAFSA



The Oxford Archaeological Unit Ltd is a Private Limited Company, No: 1618597 and a Registered Charity, No: 285627 Head Office: Janus House, Osney Mead, Oxford, OX20ES, t: +44(0) 1865263800

OASIS DATA COLLECTION FORM: England

List of Projects | Search Projects | New project | Change your details | HER coverage | Change country | Log out

Printable version

OASIS ID: oxfordar1-78026

Project details

Project name

Windsor Daniel Store

Short description of the project

In March and July 2009 Oxford Archaeology (OA) carried out an archaeological watching brief at the Daniel Department Store, Peascod Street, Windsor, Berkshire (NGR: SU 9662 7677). The work was commissioned by W J Daniel and Company Ltd in advance of the redevelopment of an existing store. The watching brief revealed evidence of extensive truncation of the eastern side of the site due to modern intrusions, together with earlier basementing at the front of the site. Remains of the structures recorded on the 19th-century OS maps were also observed together with an associated brick-capped well. No evidence

Project dates

Start: 01-03-2009 End: 30-08-2009

for structures predating the 19th century was observed.

Previous/future

work

Yes / Not known

Any associated project reference

codes

WIDAST 09 - Sitecode

Any associated project reference

codes

Recording project

Site status

None

Current Land use

Type of project

Industry and Commerce 3 - Retailing

REDMG:2009.177 - Museum accession ID

Monument type

NONE None

Monument type

NONE None

Significant Finds

POTTERY Post Medieval

Significant Finds

GLASS Modern

Significant Finds

CIRMICS Modern

Investigation type

'Watching Brief'

Prompt

Planning condition

Project location

Country

England

Site location

BERKSHIRE WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD WINDSOR Windsor Daniel Store

Study area

550.00 Square metres

Site coordinates

SU 9662 7677 51.4811328398 -0.608446463412 51 28 52 N 000 36 30 W

Point

Project creators

Name of Organisation Oxford Archaeology

Project brief originator

Berkshire Archaeology

Project design originator

Oxford Archaeology

Project

D. Dodds

director/manager

Project supervisor M.Sims

Project archives

Physical Archive

Reading Museum

recipient

Physical Archive

REDMG:2009.177

ID

Physical Contents

'Animal Bones', 'Ceramics', 'Glass', 'Worked stone/lithics'

Digital Archive recipient

Oxford Archaeology

Digital Archive ID

WIDAST 09/WIDASTWB

Digital Contents

'Stratigraphic'

Digital Media available

'Images raster / digital photography', 'Text'

Paper Archive

recipient

Reading Museum

Paper Archive ID

REDMG:2009.177

Paper Contents

'Stratigraphic'

Paper Media available

'Context sheet','Diary','Microfilm','Notebook - Excavation',' Research',' General

Notes','Photograph','Pian','Report','Section','Unpublished Text'

Project

bibliography 1

Grey literature (unpublished document/manuscript)

Publication type

Title Daniel Store Peascod Street Windsor, Archaeological Watching Brief Report

Author(s)/Editor(s) M. Sims

Date

2009

Issuer or publisher OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY

Place of issue or

publication

OXFORD

Description

A4 Plastic bound client report

Entered by

wajdan.majeed (wajdan.majeed@oxfordarch.co.uk)

Entered on

3 June 2010

OASIS:

Please e-mail English Heritage for OASIS help and advice
© ADS 1996-2006 Created by Jo Gilham and Jen Mitcham, email Last modified Friday 3 February 2006
Cite only: /d1/export/home/web/oasis/form/print.cfm for this page

WINDSOR DANIEL STORE WIDAST 09

Box 1 FILE 3

BODIARY L'FIELD NOTIES

٠.	~ / [//				
		7			۰.
			a		
	·				
	,	9			6
	,	<u> </u>			
				*	
		ć			
			ľ		
	.,		ľ		,
				, .	
			ĺ	1	†

No.978033 Bu





OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

SCAN PDF

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of CD copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County:[Berkshire] Parish:[Windsor] Site:[Daniel Store]

Site code[WIDAST09]

Line 2: Excavators name[D. Dodds]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

Classification of material	present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data - Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data - Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data - Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	·
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF REC	ORD					
SITE CODE WIDAS T	SITE NAME Dariels Store	Windson	DATE 24/3/09				
NGR	County Berly	Start Time	9-00				
		Finish Time	12-00				
Milage	Previous Visit	Visit By M.	ims.				
Type of construction work	Denotion of exists	boilding)				
Contacts made							
Archaeology present?							
Yes: (18)	/ C19 occopada la	erek.					
No:							
Undated:							
Other:							
COMMENTS							
Demoltan access ven	-	completed	agnoral con				
	v. dark grey ci	or sitt.	g stie				
	U						
Probable 6	asement in centre	- rene	rual of				
concrete	Joshawons in	soughes					
Approx 3m	fall between f	and + ba	rds of				
plet.							
Thea Hope	attle total	tanta					
Proof digo	Shop floor etil intact at fractage. Proof digging proir to piling contuins						
<i>V.</i>							
Records? Di	cut sent Plan.		 _				

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF REC	ORD	
SITE CODE WIDAST	SITENAME Daviel store	Windson	DATE 31/3/09
NGR	County	Start Time	0800
	Barks	Finish Time	10.00
Milage	Previous Visit	Visit By	
Type of construction work	Redden of the	- level	,
Contacts made			
Archaeology present?			
Yes:	C18/c19th Det		
No:			
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS			
On site to		exposed	doring
rediction of	of level mod	dig for	piting
top of well re	corded section 3	into	
Went re	juin,	/	
Breaking a	It of concrete	or ea	st side of
plot very	slow. V. big a lations c. 2m + .	destrative	- excavatos
for togna	ations c. 2m+	Dide by) <u>3n</u>
deep ven	the natival,	total	trincetion.
	<u> </u>	,	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Cxt sector dan		

Oxford Archaeology	WATCHING BRIEF REC		
SITE CODE WIDAST OF	SITE NAME Daniels Store, Wine	DATE 24/3/09	
NGR	County	Start Time	09.00
	Berly	Finish Time	1812.00
Milage	Previous Visit	Visit By M.S.	my.
Type of construction work	Denolita of standing	y bolde	ゴ
Contacts made			
Archaeology present?			
Yes:	8 th / (19th occope	to laye	225
No:			
Undated:			
Other:			
COMMENTS			
On ste	to monto dend	tion of	3 adjoining
shops and	proof dig pro to	piting	
Concrete	gard sorfaces	removed	et
rear north	et site	1 .	
Correctly no	eneving near wall	of shop	v + g. Sbbm
ost foundation			,
Wolla &	shop LBC mach	ine mai	
0.222mx 0.	105mx 0.063m (20	un 193	6 +)
	10		
(ground h	elow concrete you	rd sonf	acog Very
dark grey	black mixed cl	my sitt /	Abraded brue
post-met	finde		
			<u> </u>
			<u> </u>
	h(1 1		
Records? Photos	Plan cost cut.		

22.76 WIDAST 00 8/4/09 concrete slab Hoor in 117/118 broken Break of of contrate contrates Proposed to basenort new bolding 304 0.200 | GHS class CBN 15 | D 12 0.3 Dark 48 much 16 0-2m Yellow bown s.H.clay 17 Vide grey ou sitt less mortar/charle thecking Sine day silf 2174 A 0.47 un borash Mikel 21 [01/3 ungert graves 22 dtz] 0.16 miked OBK 124 Gres boad 1 25 m Kell To 25 Dork getter brows soft cho [0.37]

Marcoal Hectury

Pm first.

22.93.

Sect 5-B 21.364 Coren brown 27 PN 20 2

WINDSOR DANIEL STORE WIDAST 09

Basc1 FILE4

B. PRIMARY CONTEXT RECORDS

HR7 CE	NICL	1 F	CCCK	<u>در</u>
•				
		o		, .·
·				
*			· !	
•				·
		. ·		•
¢				·
		•	•	

No.978033 Buff





SCAN PDF

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of CD copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County:[Berkshire] Parish:[Windsor] Site:[Daniel Store]

Site code[WIDAST09]

Line 2: Excavators name[D. Dodds]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

Classification of material	present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data - Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	



CONTEXT CHECKLIST

SITE CODEWIDGET OF SITE NAME Daniels Dept Store, Windson

Context	Туре	Excavated	Relationships	Drav	wn	Matrix	Comments	Recorder
number		within segments		Section	Plan			initials
	Sorjan		Above 2	2:3	1		Maden courte Har	
2	hayer		11 3	2:3	· }		Blinding lagur	
3	hayr		u h	2:3	1		Dendtan debis	
4	LeFill		Fo b	2	1		Back IV of 151	
5	Strodine		Fob	2	-		Concrete pastings	
6	CA		Fb 4+5	2	1		Foundation trench	
7	Lyn		Below 3	1:2:3	١		Occopation lagor	
So	Layer		1 7	1:2:3			u u	
9_	Layor		11 8	1:2:3	_ }		Novtonal	
10	FU		Above 20	3	1		Bairefill of Dell shaft	
11	w		Fb 10+20	3	١	(.)	Well shaft.	
12	F.11		Fo 13	1	1	-	Backell of 13	
13	c54		Fb:12:14	1	1	*	Construction at fors	hap
14	Strody	/	Shep Fol3	1	١		W. Wall of old store	
15	Lazio		CS4,13	1)		Made gooded	
16	Layer		Bebs 1615	1			the de	
17	hayes		u 16	1	1		', i d	
18	Layer		11 17		1		Poss occidentar los	
19	Lass		Cot 30 11	3	1			
20	Straubre		F0 11	3	ł		Breck lined wall shaft	
21	Layer		Abruc 27	4	1		Made grand	
22	` II		u 23	4.			u d	
23	FILL		Fo 24	4	1		Bachfill of 24	
24	cst.	·.	Pb 14;23	4	1 .		Construction at.	
25	Layo		cells 24	4	1		made groond	
26	Layor		Bel 25	13	i		u d	
27	Layur		Above 28	5	١		made groond	
28	h		4 23	5			u 4	
29	FIL		Fo 30	5)		Backell of 30	
36	at		Fb 14:29	5	1		Construction of	
31	Lazo	 -	CA 52 30	5	J		mo made grand	
32	hayv:		Belia 31	5	·\		√l vi	



CONTEXT CHECKLIST

SITE CODEWIDAST SITE NAME Daniels Store Windson, Beaks

Context number	Туре	Excavated within	Relations	ships	Drav	Drawn		Comments	Recorder initials
		segments			Section	Plan			
33	SSface		Above :		6	1		Modern shop entera Croshed stone base Sant blinding Old floor layer Made ground Construction layer? Occipation layer? Occipation layer?	ne
34	Larger		 	35	6	(crashed stone base	
35	Layer		и		6	/		Sand blinding	
36	Surface			37	6	/		Old floor layer	
37	Layor			8	6	(- ·	Made ground	ļ. —
38	Layor			9	6	1		Construct on layer	
39	Lens			10	6	1		Occupation layer?	<u> </u>
40	Lago		11 0		6			Occipation layer.	
<u>_</u>			<u> </u>						<u> </u>
									1
			-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					<u> </u>
		-							
									_
			-						<u> </u>
									
			 		·				
						•			<u> </u>
									
									
			 						-
									<u> </u>
									 -
									<u> </u>
				_					

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WIDAS 109	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPELager
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cu t / Structure -	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abulted by.	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
2/3	Part of:	shape in plan base/sides/top profile dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
		6. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1 materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of bricks etc finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location		8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
1) Compact 2) Pale gree 3) Concrete 4) Re-into 5) Depth G Withan Interpretation/Discussion: Sorfaur	this context is 1 This context	
Finds (tick): None [/ Metal [] CBM []	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	[] Glass[]
Small Finds		Recorder M
Samples		Date
Building Materia	ls	Initials

•

.

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WIDAST 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Layer
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by:	1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness
1 4	Filled by:	6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
213	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies: 3	6. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY 1 materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	materials size of bricks etc finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain '	7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
il Consait		
2) ×2 D511 _ c	this context is 2	
3) Sitty sa	nd	
4) N.T		
5) 0.1Bm	Noen	
b) Dp +loo	on only	
		
Interpretation/Discussion:	card blinding for concrete -	Woor M
] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone	e[] Glass[]
Finds (tick): None [/ Metal []	Wood [] Leather []	•
	Wood [] Leather []	Recorder
Metal [] CBM []	Wood[] Leather[]	Recorder Date

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.			
SITE WIDAST 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPELayer			
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:			
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 2	DEPOSIT:			
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition			
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness • 6. extent			
, ·	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions			
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:			
¥2:3	Part of:	shape in plan base/sides/top profile dimension and depth			
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation			
	Overlies: 4:6;7	6. fill nos 7. other comments			
Level	Butts:	MASONNY: 1. materials			
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of bricks etc finish of stones			
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond			
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments			
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX				
1) Friable	3	2			
	this context is 3				
2) Gres br		 			
3) (lay 5	H = ==	3 66			
4) Mary	breek fragments Hand made 0.225.	nx 105mnx Agras			
and me	rtar				
5) Dept	h 92 to 0.4m				
b) Onder					
Interpretation/Discussion:					
Lazer	of demotion debris Possible	y from			
1881 >	1889 changes on plan.				
Finds (tick): None [Metal [] CBM [\]		[] Glass[]			
△ Small Finds		Recorder			
Samples		Date			
Building Materia	ıls.	Initials			

.

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 4
SITEWIDAST 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Fill
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Gut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div		DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	1. inclusion 5. thickness 3. extent
	Cilled by:	7. comments 3. method & conditions
Section No.		CUT:
213	Part of:	I. shape in plan P. base/sides/top profile B. dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	J. sketch 5. truncation
		6. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Datio.	MASONRY.
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of: 6	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	dimensions as found b. other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
1) Friable	3	
	this context is 4	
2) Dark grey brown 5		
3) Clay st		
4) Many brick fragments		
5) Death 3	p to 2.2n	
6 Fille 16		
<u> </u>		
Interpretation/Discussion: Backfill of Goodation trend Goo		
moden	denantient store	J
		-
Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []		
		Recorder
Samples		Date
Building Materia	ls	Initials

.

•.

· ·

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.	
SITE WIDAST 109	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPESTADIJONE	
Trench		Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT:	
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition	
Plan No.	Cut by:	I. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent	
·	Filled by:	7. comments 3. method & conditions	
Section No.	The second secon	CUT:	
2	Part of:	shape in plan base/sides/top profile dimension and depth sketch	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	. sketch . trundation . fill nos	
	Overlies: 67	other comments	
Level	Dutis.	MASONRY: . materials	
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 1. coursing/bond	
Neg No.	Fill of: 6	5. form 6. faces 7. bond	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	3. dimensions as found 9. other comments	
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX		
1) Converte			
Dest Dall of	Son block shaw this context is 5 department store		
8) Approx 1	2n Dideen by In Dike length 10	my	
<i>y</i>			
·			
Interpretation/Discussion:	Concrete fasting for mod	oon	
department			
Cast in	Within shattering vather than	French rate	
	diver 3.10 visit y		
``			
Finds (tick): None [Metal [] CBM []	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	[] Glass []	
△ Small Finds		Recorder	
Samples		Date	
Building Materia	als	Initials	

		Context No.
oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	6
SITE WIDAST 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE CST
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 3	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abuned by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by: 14. T	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT: 1. shape in plan
2	Part of:	base/sides/top profile dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos
		7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
Slide No.	Cuis: +	finish of stones coursing/bond
Neg No.		5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	9. other comments
Description (See check lists): i) Straight C	Aged Inea STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
2) Ven dee.	side / Clat base	
3) 0 2	this context is 6	
3) 2.2n deep 2.5n + Dide 10+ long		
4) 5	O	
	5 N.2	
(5) HB-	(8) b) Filled by 15 +	(4r)
10	(9) 22n	
I I I I I		
Interpretation/Discussion:	Fortation trench for new (1960: ?)
Lopart west	tone. Possibly rewed cell	lar et
Car house	28 b2: lains	
Ja previou	3 37 00705	
Finds (tick): None [Metal [] CBM []] Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	[] Glass[]
		Recorder
Samples		Date
Building Materia	Initials	

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITEWIDASTO	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPELayer
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by:	compaction colour composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness
	Filled by:	6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
2:3	Part of:	shape in plan base/sides/top profile dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates ·	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies:	6. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY:
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of bricks etc stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	dimensions as found other comments
Description (See check lists)	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
1) Friable		
2 Dackforen brown		
3) S.A clan		
4) Many	see the tragments.	
5) Depth	0.55n	
b) Front	d de orla	
9		
Interpretation/Discussion:	as in hathlands and	0 1
	Probable (18th/ C19th ocupay	an layer
CBM +	oyster shall + fragments of	bant
word.		
		
Finds (tick): None Metal [] CBM [/		e[] Glass[]
		Recorder
Samples		Date
Building Materi	als	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WIDAST 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Layar
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour composition
Plan No.	Cut by: 6 : 11	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7, comments 8, method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT: 1. shape in plan
1:12	Part of:	base/sides/top profile dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	. Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill pos
	Overlies: 9	/_other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials 2. dize of brickedte
Slide No.	Cuis.	size of bricke etc finish of stones coursing/bond
Neg No.	Fill of:	5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found
Matrix location		9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
1) Friable		
	this context is B	
2) Dark y	Mon grey brown	9
3) Clay s		
4 Charce	al flectory, many peg tile for	ragnet.
J Den		· ·
b Througho	of front of site	
)	y	
Interpretation/Discussion:	Occupation layer C18th - e	arly Woth?
possol	us earlier	
,		
	/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Finds (tick): None (Metal [] CBM [/]		[] Glass []
		Recorder
Samples		Date
_		Initials
🛆 Building Materia	ls	IIIIIais

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WIDAY OG	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Layer
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cu t / Structu re	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by: 67.11	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
1:2:3	Part of:	shape in plan base/sides/top profile dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
		6, fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bong
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	:
1) Friable	<u> </u>	
2) Light in	this context is 9	
3) Sandy s	It chang	
t) NeV	>1,5m	
1 1000		
6) Through	(7) SIL	
Interpretation/Discussion:	11 ()	
	Nataal	
		
	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	[] Glass[]
		Recorder
Samples		Date
Building Materia	ls	Initials

•

	T	Context No.
oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	10
SITE WIDAST 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Fill
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 3	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
3	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
•	Overlies: 20	6. fill nos 7. other comments
_evel	Butts:	MASONRY.
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of pricks etc finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
) Frank	3	
2) Comen - bro	this context is /	0
3) Sit Clay	s off	20
4 man	506-anglar brick + the frage	nusts.
5) Deoth	>1.0m	
6) Fill	top of top III	
<u> </u>		
nterpretation/Discussion:	Bacyfill of top of well sh	aft M
after	contraction of Dell cap.	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Finds (tick): None [Metal [] CBM [/]		ie[] Glass[]
		Recorder //
Samples		Date
Building Materia	als	Initials

•

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WIDAJ7 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE CSA
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Strueture	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by, (DEPOSIT: . compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	d. colour b. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	. inclusion i. thickness i. extent
·	Filled by: 10 400	comments . method & conditions
Section No.		CUT:
ン	Part of:	. shape in plan . base/sides/top profile . dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	. sketch . truncation
	Overlies: 7	fill nos other comments
Level	I 36.66	MASONRY materials
Slide No.	Cuts: 7:8:9-	size of oricks etc finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	. cou/sing/bond i. form 6. faces '. bond
Matrix location	Polationships upgestein	dimensions as found
Description (See check lists): y Concolar in 2) Newtind S 3) 1.8 m p 4) See Sec 5) N.1 6) Filled L Interpretation/Discussion:	Bacyill (10), Brick Wellshaff	[20]
	Shaff dig for well 120	
Finds (tick): None [/] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []		
		Recorder /
Samples		Date
Building Materia	İs	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WIDAIT 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE File
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
	Part of:	shape in plan shase/sides/top profile dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
•	Overlies: 14	6. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONDY:
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. ceursing/bond
Neg No.	Fill of: 13	4. cafursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
1 - 11		
1) Friable	this context is	7
2) Dark 6	aren brown	
3) (100) XIII	1000	
by Indians of peladebran sit day, CBM		
5) Depth 0.45m		
6 F.Ms 11	3]	
Interpretation/Discussion:	author of controlor of.	
	9	
	3	
	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder
Samples		Date
Building Materia	als	Initials

. .

÷		•
oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITEWIDACT 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE CST
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by: (2:14	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT: 1. shape in plan
	Part of:	base/sides/top profile dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos
	Overlies:	7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY. 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts: 15:16:17	size of oricks etc finish of stones coursing/bond
Neg No.	Fill of:	5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found
Matrix location Description (See check lists):	Relationships uncertain STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	9. other comments
2) Visteep sided 3) 0.65m Date 4) W [5] Interpretation/Discussion: Contaction Dest Date	Hat base this context is 13 TO 14 TO 15 TO 15 TO 15 TO 15 To present day Daniel	(12)+141 Stone
Finds (tick): None [Metal [] CBM []		e [] Glass []
		Date
Samples		
Building Materia	als	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.	
SITE WIDALT 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPESTOODNE	
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 12	DEPOSIT:	
Structure No.	Abutted by:	1 compaction 2 colour 3 composition	
Plan No	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent	
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions	
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:	
	Part of:	shape in plan base sides/top profile dimension and depth	
Co-Ordinates		4. sketch 5. truncation	
	Overlies: 17	6 fill nos 7. other comments	
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials	
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond	
Neg No.	Fill of: 12	5. form 6. faces 7. bond	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments	
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX		
1) Concrete an	this context is 14		
2) 0.225mx 0	$0.105 \text{m} \times 0.072 \text{m}$		
3) by English Stretcher bond			
5) Vertical wall with concrete facting			
By 7 Cament mortan			
	•		
Interpretation/Discussion: ;	West will of present day		
Daniel I	Department store.		
		·	
Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []			
△ Small Finds		Recorder	
Samples		Date	
Building Materia	ls	Initials	

.

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 15
SITE WIDAST 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Lager
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour composition
Plan No.	Cut by: 13	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
. ,	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
	Part of:	shape in plan base/sides/top profile dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies: 16	6. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONDY: 1. materials 2. size of bricks etc
Slide No.	Cuts:	3. finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. ooursing/bond 5 form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	dimensions as found other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
2) Grey brown 2) Grey brown 3) S:H clay 4) Moch C.B.M fragnest, lighter clay is est inclosure 5) Depth 0.2n		
Interpretation/Discussion:	hayo of post-med made go	and
,,		
		•
Finds (tick): None [Metal [] CBM [/]] Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder ///
Samples		Date
Building Materia	ıls	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 16	
SITE DIDAST 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Lases	
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	L Overlain DV. 17	DEPOSIT: .	
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition	
Plan No.	Cut by: 13	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent	
		7. comments 8. method & conditions	
Section No.		CUT: 1. shape in plan	
· [Part of:	2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos	
	Overlies: 15-17	7. other comments	
Level	Dutis.	MASONRY: 1. materials	
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursipg/bond	
Neg No.	Fill of:	5. form 6. faces 7. bood	
Matrix location	Helationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments	
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX		
1) Falabla	15		
2) Dark grey brown 13. C.K. 15.			
4) Yellow brow day sitt less, much CBM			
5) Depth O.3~			
Interpretation/Discussion: hoyer of post-med made grand.			
hoyer of post-west made grand.			
Finds (tick): None [
	△ Small Finds Recorder		
Samples			
↑ Building Materials		Initials	

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 17
SITEWIDATIOS	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Layer
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Gut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 16	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
· /	Part of:	shape in plan base/sides/top profile dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos
<u> </u>	Overlies: 18	7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materia/s
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coyrsing/bond
Neg No.	Fill of:	5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	dimensions as found other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
i) F-jabh	16	
this context is 17		
2) Yellaw brown 18 18		
GBn fr	<u>ag</u>)	
3) vepin	N-ZM	/
		• .
Interpretation/Discussion:	have of post-med made gras	nd.
<u> </u>		
·		
-		
Finds (tick): None [Metal [] CBM [/]	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder MM
Samples		Date
A Building Materials		Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITEW IDAG 109	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPELayes
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 17	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT: 1. shape in plan
l	Part of:	base/sides/top profile dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos
	Overlies: 19	7. other comments
Level	Butts: \	MASONDY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond
Neg No.	Fill of:	5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
1) Fréabh		
2) Very Lark grey this context is 18		
3) SHE Fine day sitt		
4) Charcoll Flatery		
5) Depth	0.08m	·
Interpretation/Discussion:	Occupation layer? possible hill	lash?
·	•	
		1
Finds (tick): None [Metal [] CBM []	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	e[] Glass[]
		Recorder M
Samples .		Date
Building Materia	ıls	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.	
SITE WIDAIT OF	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Layer	
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 18	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction	
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour composition	
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent	
,	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions	
Section No.	Same as:	CUT: 1. shape in plan	
	Part of:	2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation	
·	Overlies:	6, fill nos 7, other comments	
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials 2. size of pricks etc	
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of bricks etc sinish of stones coursing/bond	
Neg No.	Fill of:	5. form 6. faces 7. bond	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	dimensions as found other comments	
Description (See check lists):	. STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX		
1) Friable 2) Yellow brown this context is 13			
3) Sift day			
4) Occ gray bran s.t less, morter/chake flecking			
5) Denth	5) Depth > 0.25m		
Interpretation/Discussion:	Occspation layer probably p	est-ned	
	·		
		,	
Finds (tick): None (Metal [] CBM []] Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	e[] Glass[]	
		Recorder //	
Samples		Date	
Building Materia	ıls	Initials	

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE WIDAST 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPESTOURDORE
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
,	Filled by:	7. comments . 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
72	Part of:	shape in plan base/sides/top profile dimension and depth sketch
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	5. trunçation
,	Overlies:	6. fill ros 7. omer comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of bricks etc finish of stones
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	dimensions as found other comments.
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
1) Hark mold	ed rea brick safagged this context is 2	
2) 0.22mx 0.11	25mm × 0.06m	
The ball to be able to		
5) C. A. I. I. S. W.		
5/ Circolar	shaft with hemi-spherical well	cop.
7) Line m	worker	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
B) 1.01n interal of Some slightly flatera		
Interpretation/Discussion: 1		mea
ba	than 71.7m cot into Sudverging	stone
		,
Brock We	Il shaft prob (18th	
		•
Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []		
△ Small Finds		Recorder 1
		Date
∆ Building Materia	als	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITEWIDAST 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPELager
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut-/ Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
H	Part of:	shape in plan shase/sides/top profile dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
	Overlies: 22	6. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of oricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. consing/bond
Neg No.	Fill of:	5. fo/m 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
1) Freable	this contact is 2 (
2) Very dark grey brown This context is 21 22 22		
4 moch conall CBM fraund		
5) Deoth OBn		
-		
Interpretation/Discussion:	Layer of post-med make grown	wh.
		-
		, ,
Finds (tick): None (Metal [] CBM (]	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder
Samples		Date
Building Materia	als	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.	
SITEWIDAST OF	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Layer	
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 21	DEPOSIT:	
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour composition	
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent	
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions	
Section No.	Same as:	CUT: 1. shape in plan	
4	Part of:	base/sides/top profile dimension and depth	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos	
	Overlies: 23 24 : 25	7. other comments	
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials	
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones	
Nèg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	dimensions as found other comments	
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX		
1) Friable	21		
1 100000	this context is 2.2		
2) Xx hight	2) Xe hight yellowish gron [23]		
3) Sit sond			
y much gravel and weal coment don't			
5) Depth O.1bm			
Interpretation/Discussion:			
Interpretation/Discussion:	hayo of moder / 2011 mode	<u> </u>	
grotha	· Constration larger?		
0	v	•	
	•		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
F. L. W. D. Nord (1) Date 1.1 Flint 1.1 Channel 1.1 Ch			
Finds (tick): None [Metal [] CBM []		ej Giassij.	
		Recorder /	
Small Finds		<u> </u>	
Samples .		Date	
Building Materia	als	Initials	

		Contact No.
oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITE DIDAST OF	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Fill
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 22	DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:
7	Part of:	shape in plan base/sides/top profile dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos
	Overlies: 14	7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONAY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond
Neg No.	Fill of: 24	5. form 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	dimensions as found other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	· <u></u>
1) Friable	22	
2) Cores	this context is 2	<u>3</u> _
3) (1)		14
D CRM In		
A DI	20	
5) Depth	0.4m	
Ø '		
G		
Interpretation/Discussion:	Backfill of controction at	[24]
	•	
Finds (tick): None [Metal [] CBM [/]	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt ston Wood[] Leather[]	e[] Glass[]
△ Small Finds		Recorder
Samples		Date
Building Materia	ıls	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.	
SITE WIDAST 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE CF	
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 7 7_	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction	
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition	
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent	
	Filled by: 14, 23	7. comments 8. method & conditions	
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:	
4	Part of:	shape in plan base/sides/top profile dimension and depth	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation	
		6. fill nos 7. other comments	
Level	Buils.	MASONRY: 1. materials	
Slide No.	Curs: 23 16	2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4. coursing/borld	
Neg No.	Fill of:	5. form 6. faces 7. bond	
Matrix location		8. dimensions as found 9. other comments	
Description (See check lists):	aged linear STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	<u> </u>	
2) V. steep	sides flot base		
3) Di65m d	eno Duth 70.80 this context is 24		
4.MALT	TED TO TO TO	25	
3h 114 22 00 5) WI			
		1 1/11 1/02	
(2b) 0.65m b) F.lled by 1145 4(23)			
Interpretation/Discussion:	Controction of for presents da	y Dariel	
store			
	·		
·			
Finds (tick): None [] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glass [] Metal [] CBM [] Wood [] Leather []			
		Recorder	
Samples		Date	
Building Materia	ls	Initials	

•

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.
SITEWIDAST 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPELayer
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:
Site sub-div	Overiality. 21	DEPOSIT:
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition
Plan No.	Cut by: 23	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments
Section No.		8. method & conditions CUT:
4	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation
		5. fill nos 7. other comments
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials
Slide No.	Cuts:	2. size of oricks etc
Neg No.	Fill of:	4. coursing/bond 5. ferm 6. faces 7. bond
Matrix location	Deletionships upgestells	8. dimensions as found 9. other comments
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	
J Friable 2) Grey bra 3) Joy sit 4 Mon (5) Depth	D.25m fragnest moster barba	
Interpretation/Discussion:	Loyer post-muse made grown	b
		·
Finds (tick): None [Metal [] CBM [/]	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	[] Glass[]
		Recorder //
Samples		Date
Building Materia	als	Initials

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 26	
SITE WIDAST 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPELayer	
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 75	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction	
Structure No.	Abutted by:	2. colour 3. composition	
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent	
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions	
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:	
4	Part of:	shape in plan base/sides/top profile dimension and depty	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation	
	Overlies:	6. fill nos 7. other comments	
Level	Butts:	MASONPY: 1. materias	
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of bricks etc finish of stones covrsing/bond	
Neg No.	Fill of:	5. form 6. faces 7. Mond	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8 dimensions as found 9 other comments	
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	·	
1.011	25		
1) Friable	this context is 26		
2) Dark ye	la brah		
3) Sitt Non			
4) Mich com forguet, charcoal fleshing			
Hy Min Us	1) With an Josephin, charlost fleiting		
5 Depth	>0.32m		
Interpretation/Discussion: NA S 1 S 4			
Interpretation/Discussion: Made grante! passible occident			
lanco (19th in date		
1200			
·			
·			
Finds (tick): None (Metal [] CBM [/]] Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone Wood [] Leather []	[] Glass[]	
		Recorde	
Samples	,	Date	
Building Materia	ıls	Initials	

oxfordarchaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 267. TYPE Layer			
SITEWIDAST 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPELagen			
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:			
Site sub-div	Overlain by:	DEPOSIT: 1. compaction			
Structure No.	Abutted by:	colour composition			
Plan No.	Cut by:	4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent			
	Filled by:	7. comments 8. method & conditions			
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:			
5	Part of:	shape in plan shase/sides/top profile dimension and depth			
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos			
	Overlies: 2%	7. other comments			
Level	Butts:	MASONRY: 1. materials			
Slide No.	Cuts:	size of bricks etc finish of stones coarsing/bond			
Neg No.	Fill of:	5. fo/rm 6. faces			
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	8 dimensions as found 8. other comments			
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX				
1) Friable	1) Friable				
2) Flo (1540	Brown this context is 27	2			
3) (lon sitt					
4) CBM fragred					
5) Depth	5) Depth 0.3m				
		•			
		<u>አ</u>			
Interpretation/Discussion:	Modern made grown	· .			
	\mathcal{J}				
	,				
Finds (tick): None [Metal [] CBM [/]	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone Wood[] Leather[]	e[] Glass[]			
△ Small Finds		Recorder_//			
Samples		Date			
Building Materia	Initials				

,

Oxford Archaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 28		
SITE WIDAST 6B	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Layer		
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / C <u>ut / Structur</u> e	Check Lists:		
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 27	DEPOSIT:		
Structure No.	Abutted by:	1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion		
Plan No.	Cut by:	5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method &		
1	Filled by:	conditions		
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:		
5	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile		
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill		
<u> </u>	Overlies: 29:30:31	nos 7. other comments		
Level	Butts:	MASONRY:		
Slide No.	Cuts:	1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4.		
Neg No.	Fill of:	coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found		
Matrix location Description (See check lists):	Relationships uncertain STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	9.6ther comments		
1) Friable 2) Light grey brown 3) Clay 1:Ht				
4) Moch gravel moster fleshing 5) Deoth 0.3n				
<i>J</i> 2021				
Interpretation/Discussion	Layer of Eoth made ground	<u></u>		
/				
Finds (tick): None [] CBM (] Wood [] Lo	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone[] Glaseather[]	ss[] Metal[]		
Small Finds		Recorder		
Samples		Date		
Building Material	Initials			

Oxford Archaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 29	
SITEWIDALT 09	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE F.U	
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 2 8	DEPOSIT:	
Structure No.	Abutted by:	1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion	
Plan No.	Cut by:	5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method &	
1		conditions	
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:	
ر	Part or:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	3. dimension and death 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill	
		nos 7. other comments	
Level	Butts:	MASONRY:	
Slide No.	Cuts.	1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4.	
Neg No.		coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found	
Matrix location		9. other comments	
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX		
1) Friable			
2) Gren brown this context is 29			
14			
3) Clay 1/4			
4) Noch CBM			
5) Depth 0.18m			
Interpretation/Discussion	Backfill of contactor of I	307	
Interpretation/Discussion Backfill of constant of 1307 Each			
			
Finds (tick): None[] CBM[]] Wood[] Le	Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glaseather []	s[] Metal[]	
Small Finds		Recorder //	
Samples		Date	
Building Materials		Initials	

Oxford Archaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.	
SITE WIDAST 08	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE A	
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 2 8	DEPOSIT:	
Structure No.	Abutted by:	1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4 inclusion	
Plan No. 2	Cut by: 14 1 2 9	5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions	
Section No.	Same as:	CUT: 1. shape in plan	
	Part of:	2. base/sides/top profile	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments	
Level	Overlies:	MASONRY:	
Level Slide No.	Butts: Cuts: 31; 32	1. materials 2. size of bricks etc	
Neg No.	Fill of:	3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments	
2) Veritical sides, flat base 3) 0.6m deep Didth > 0.5m 4) E 1 31			
5) Att None			
14) (3) H30 3 3 7 6) Filled by 1141 & 29			
(1) (3) (0.6n			
Interpretation/Discussion			
Constr	oution at for standing departmen	it store.	
Finds (rick): None [] CBM [] Wood [] Lo	'Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glasteather []	ss[] Metal[]	
		Recorder /	
Samples	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date	
Building Material	S	Initials	

Oxford Archaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.	
SITE WIDAST OB	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Loyer	
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 2 &	DEPOSIT:	
Structure No.	Abutted by:	1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion	
Plan No.	Cut by: 36 Filled by:	5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions	
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:	
Section No. 5	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. tryncation 6. fill	
	Overlies: 32	nos 7. other comments	
Level	Butts:	MASONRY:	
Slide No.	Cuts:	1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4.	
Neg No.	Fill of:	oursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	9. other comments	
1) Friable 2) Dark gray brown 33). Clay sift 4) Mouh CBM and mortar fragments. Occ Dood frags 5) Depth P.2m Interpretation/Discussion Mixed soil and denother notable (19th — Cooth			
Finds (tick): None [/] CBM [/] Wood [/] Lo	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone[] Glameather[]	ss[] Metal[]	
△ Small Finds		Recorder	
Samples		Date	
Building Material	Initials		

Oxford Archaeology	CONTEXT RECORD			
SITE WIDAST 08	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Layer		
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Gut / Structure	Check Lists:		
Site sub-div		DEPOSIT:		
Structure No.	Abutted by:	1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion		
Plan No.	Cut by: 3 Ø Filled by:	5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions		
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:		
<u> </u>	Part of:	2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth		
Co-Ordinates	Consists of: Overlies:	4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments		
Lavel		MASONRY:		
Level Slide No.	Butts: Cuts:	1. materials 2. size of bricks etc		
Neg No.	Fill of:	3. finish of stones 4. coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces		
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	7. band 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments		
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX			
1) Friabh 2) Light grey 5 - 22 3) Clay silt				
4) Charcoal Herking, line moster inclusions				
5) Depth Vien				
Interpretation/Discussion Possible outpaten lager 19th?				
10sico occiparan lago 15				
Finds (tick): None [] CBM [] Wood [] Lo	Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glaseather []	s[] Metal[]		
Small Finds		Recorder//		
Samples		Date		
Building Material	S	Initials		

Oxford Archaeology	CONTEXT RECORD		Context No.	
SITE WIDALT 08	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:		TYPESorface	
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure			
Site sub-div	Overlain by:		DEPOSIT:	
Structure No.	Abutted by:		1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion	
Plan No.	Cut by:	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	5. thickness 6. extent	
L	Filled by:		7. comments 8. method & conditions	
Section No.	Same as:		CUT:	
6	Part of:		1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	u -	3. dimension and depth	
	Overlies: 34		4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments	
_evel	Butts:		MASONRY:	
Slide No.	Cuts:		1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4.	
Neg No.	Fill of:		coarsing/bond 5.form 6.faces	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain		1. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments	
Description (See check lists):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX		
1) Compact			<u> </u>	
2) Very poll	2	this context is 23		
			34	
3) Concrete				
5 Denth	0.15m			
2) 20,113			-	
		<u> </u>		
<u> </u>				
nterpretation/Discussion		1 10 111	. /	
	Convole floor	& No 116	prior to	
bendtin.	,			
· -				
	/			
Finds (tick): None []	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone	[] Burnt stone [] Glas	ss [] Metal []	
	.caulci []		D 1	
Small Finds			Recorder	
Samples			Date	
Building Materia	ls		Initials	

Oxford Archaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.		
SITE WIDAST OB	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPELoges		
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:		
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 33	DEPOSIT:		
Structure No.	Abutted by:	1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion		
Plan No.	Cut by:	5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method &		
!	Filled by:	conditions		
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:		
6	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile		
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill		
1	Overlies: 35	nos 7. other comments		
Level	Butts:	MASONRY:		
Slide No.	Cuts:	1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4.		
Neg No.	Fill of:	coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found		
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	9. other comments		
Description (See check lists): 1) Compart 2) Pake yellow-brown 35 Croshed stone 5) Depth 0.3m				
5) Depth	0.3m			
Interpretation/Discussion	Interpretation/Discussion Hardrane base for concrete (33)			
	·			
Finds (tick): None [/] CBM [] Wood [] Lo	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone[] Glaeather[]	iss [] Metal []		
△ Small Finds		Recorder		
Samples	Date			
Building Material	Initials			

Oxford Archaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No. 35			
SITE WIDAST OB	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Layer			
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:			
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 3 4	DEPOSIT:			
Structure No.	Abutted by:	1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion			
Plan No.	Cut by:	5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method &			
l l		conditions			
Section No.	Same as:	сит:			
6		1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top prøfile			
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill			
	Overlies: 36	nos 7. other comments			
Level	Butts:	MASONBY:			
Slide No.	Cuts:	1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. fipish of stones 4.			
Neg No.	Fill of:	coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found			
Matrix location		9. other comments			
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX				
1) Fright	34				
	1) Friable this context is 35				
z) Orange brown					
3) Sand					
4) 1076 m.	7th small gravel				
5) Death oin					
Interpretation/Discussion Layer of modern blinding for					
sonface (33)				
·					
					
Finds (tick): None [/] CBM [] Wood [] Le	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone[] Glaseather[]	s[] Metal[]			
Small Finds		Recorder /			
Samples		Date			
Building Material	s	Initials			

Oxford Archaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.		
SITEWIDAST OB	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPESSAJace		
Trench	context type: 35 to 3.67 Carried to 1.	Check Lists:		
Site sub-div	·	DEPOSIT: 1.compaction 2.colour		
Structure No.	Abutted by:	3. composition 4 inclusion		
Plan No.	Cut by.	5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions		
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:		
6	Dart of	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile		
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill		
		nos 7. other comments		
Level	Butts:	MASONRY:		
Slide No.		1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4.		
Neg No.	Fill of:	coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces		
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments		
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX			
1) Red clay 2) Approx O. 3) 4) Overla 5) Flat 9) 7) Line 8) > 1.5m	Floor montar vide.	37		
lagers of	Interpretation/Discussion Floor sorface composed of 3 overlapportages of tiles. Possible original externace to No 116?			
Yossibl original extende to No 116:				
		_		
Finds (tick): None [] CBM [Wood [] Lo	Pot[] Bone[] Flint[] Stone[] Burnt stone[] Glaseather[]	ss[] Metal[]		
		Recorder		
Samples		Date		
∆ Building Material	S	Initials		

Oxford Archaeology	CONTEXT RECORD		Context No. 37	
SITEWIDAST 08	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:		TYPELaser	
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure		Check Lists:	
Site sub-div Structure No. Plan No.	Overlain by: 36 Abutted by: Cut by: Filled by:		DEPOSIT: 1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion 5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method & conditions	
Section No.	Filled by: Same as: Part of: Consists of: Overlies: 38		CUT: 1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile 3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill nos 7. other comments	
Level	Butts:		MASONRY:	
Slide No. Neg No.	Cuts: Fill of:		1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found 9. other comments	
Matrix location Description (See check lists):	Relationships uncertain	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX	9. other comments	
1) Fréable 2) Orange - brown 36 this context is 37 37 38				
4) NN 5) 0.1m deep				
Interpretation/Discussion Possible levelling layer portor to construction of sonface (36)				
Finds (tick): None [] CBM [] Wood [] Lo	Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [eather []] Burnt stone [] Glas	s[] Metal[]	
Small Finds			Recorder	
Samples			Date	
Building Materials			Initials	

Oxford Archaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.	
SITE WIDAST OB	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPELago	
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:	
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 37	DEPOSIT:	
Structure No.	Abutted by:	1.compaction 2.colour 3.composition 4.inclusion	
Plan No.	Cut by:	5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method &	
'	Filled by:	conditions	
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:	
b	Part of:	1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top pyofile	
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill	
	Overlies: 39 : 40	nos 7. other comments	
Level	Butts:	MASONAY:	
Slide No.	Cuts:	1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. figish of stones 4.	
Neg No.	Fill of:	coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found	
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	9. other comments	
1) Compact 2) Very pake brown 3) Mortar 4) Mony small pebbles 5) Depth 0.05m Interpretation/Discussion Lager of nixed I memorian and pebbles- Possible constricts layer? N Sorface ?			
	·/		
Finds (tick): None [{ CBM [] Wood [] Le	Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glaeather []	nss[] Metal[]	
	Recorder W		
Samples	Date		
Building Material	Initials		
)		

Oxford Archaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.		
SITEWIDAST 08	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPE Lens.		
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:		
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 3 %	DEPOSIT:		
Structure No.		1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion		
Plan No.	Cut by:	5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method &		
1		conditions		
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:		
6	Part of:	1. shape in plan2. base/sides/top profile		
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill		
	Overlies: 40	nos 7. other comments		
Level	Butts:	MASONRY:		
Slide No.	Cuts:	1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4.		
Neg No.		coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7. bond 8. dimensions as found		
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	9. other comments		
1) Frindh 2) Gren-bron 3) SH charcoal 4) Charcoal 5) Depth G 1.9n	this context is 39 The ching The to 0.25 m Dide	40		
Interpretation/Discussion	Discrete less of sal sither	n section		
Troncaded	occopiation layer?			
Hardle of skillet recosered possible to todar glasse?				
Finds (tick): None [] CBM [] Wood [] Lo	Pot [Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glaseather []	s[] Metal[]		
		Recorde /		
Samples		Date		
Building Material	s ·	Initials		

Oxford Archaeology	CONTEXT RECORD	Context No.		
SITE WIDAST OR	ADDITIONAL SHEETS:	TYPELayer		
Trench	Context Type: Deposit / Cut / Structure	Check Lists:		
Site sub-div	Overlain by: 39: 39	DEPOSIT:		
Structure No.		1. compaction 2. colour 3. composition 4. inclusion		
Plan No.	Cut by:	5. thickness 6. extent 7. comments 8. method &		
'		conditions		
Section No.	Same as:	CUT:		
θ		1. shape in plan 2. base/sides/top profile		
Co-Ordinates	Consists of:	3. dimension and depth 4. sketch 5. truncation 6. fill		
	Overlies: 9	nos 7. other comments		
Level	Butts:	MASONRY:		
Slide No.		1. materials 2. size of bricks etc 3. finish of stones 4.		
Neg No.	Fill of:	coursing/bond 5. form 6. faces 7 bond 8. dimensions as found		
Matrix location	Relationships uncertain	9. other comments		
Description (See check lists):	STRATIGRAPHIC MATRIX			
1) 156.11.	39			
1) Frable	this context is #C	7]		
2) Red -br	000			
7 Fine	silt das			
16) ()	1 101.6	•		
y Charlox	l flecking some fine and			
5) Depth	D.36n			
Interpretation/Discussion	0 1 11			
	Probable occupation lagro			
Botchered	Probable occipation lago.	edi		
Finds (tick): None [] CBM [] Wood [] Le	Pot [] Bone [] Flint [] Stone [] Burnt stone [] Glaseather []	s[] Metal[]		
Small Finds	Recorder			
Samples	Date			
Building Material	<u> </u>	Initials		

WINDSOR DANIEL STORE WIDAST @9

Box/ FILE 5

Bo Catalouge of Drawings

	/			
	u.	$\overline{}$. •
		`.	·	*
			o	
			۵.	·
		a		۰
			٠	
`.	ŀ		,	,
1			·	
			,	·

No.978033 Buf





OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

SCAN PDF

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of CD copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County:[Berkshire] Parish:[Windsor] Site:[Daniel Store]

Site code[WIDAST09]

Line 2: Excavators name[D. Dodds]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

Classification of material	present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data - Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data - Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Context Records	_
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data - Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data - Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data - Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	



PLAN RECORD SHEET

	u Archaeology				
SITE CC	DDE WIDASTOG SITE NAME	Daniel Dept Store,	Windson		
Plan number	Cont	text(s)	Scale	Drawn by	Size (A1, A4, etc.)
1	Overall ste	plan	1:100	ms	44
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
i					
			_		
					-
				-	·
					



SECTION RECORD SHEET

SITE CODE WORSTOG SITE NAME Daniel Dept Store Windson

Section	Contact(s)	_		Ci== / 4 4	DIa
number	Context(s)	Scale	Drawn by	Size (A1, A4, etc.)	Plan (Sheet no.)
1	12:13:14:15:16:17:18:19	1:20	NS	A4	1
2	1; 2; 3; 4; 5:6; 7:8:9.	1:20	NY	A4	l
3	1:2:3:47:8:9:10:11:20	1:20	m	A4	1
4	14; 21:22:23:24:25:26 9	1;20	N	A4	1
5	1499:14:27:20:29:30:31:32	1:20	M	AH	1
6	9; 33:34; 35; 36; 37; 38; 39; 40	1:20	an	A4	t
7	9:41:42:43:44; 15:16:17	1:20	M	A4	ı
&	25.2b	1:20	M	A4	1
9	25.2b 21:25:2b	1:20	\mathcal{N}	A4	l
				,	
		<u> </u>			
	•				
-					
-					

WINDSOR DANIEL STORE WIDASTOP

Box 1 FIE 6

B-PRIMARY DRAWINGS

HICY_	レトロ	70 170		
	,			,
				v
			3	*
0				·

No.978033 Bufi





OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

SCAN PDF

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of CD copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County:[Berkshire] Parish:[Windsor] Site:[Daniel Store]

Site code[WIDAST09]

Line 2: Excavators name[D. Dodds]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if


Classification of material	Tick if present
Index to archive	p. osom
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data - Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data - Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	


WIDAST09 REDMG: 2009.177


WINDSOR DANIEL STORE PLAN1 OVERALL SITE PLAN


Scale 1:100
















WIDAST 09 Section 6 Scale 1:20


٨



` ~

/





WIDAST 08 Section 9 Scala 1:20 WINDSOR DANIEL STORE WIDAST #9

Box 1 FILE 7

C. PRIMARY FINDS DATA

MARY	F-11	DS		ATA
	·	٥		
		,		ı
	•	,		
	.s	٠		
		ů		
2				. "
	v.		· <i>.</i>	
				è
·				
l	1	1	1	1

No.978033 Buff





OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

SCAN PDF

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of CD copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County:[Berkshire] Parish:[Windsor] Site:[Daniel Store]

Site code[WIDAST09]

Line 2: Excavators name[D. Dodds]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

Classification of material	lick if present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data – Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data - Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	_
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

Oxford	Archaeology
	WIDACT

FINDS CONTEXT CHECKLIST

SITE CODE WIDAST SITE NAME Daniels Store, Windson Benks

LISTED BY WI

	BULK	FINDS		SMALL FINDS			
Context	Number of bags	Date	ln	Small find number	Date	ln	*//
7	(24/4/09					
9 26 32 39 40	1	и					
26	1	ŧ	Glass				
32	1	•	Basin.				· .
39	1	ч	SMICT Bone		•		
40		h	Bone				
							:
· <u> </u>							
		-					
- <u>-</u>							

Checked by:

Invoice Code WIDASTWB

Oxford	Archaeology

FINDS CONTEXT CHECKLIST

SITE CODE WIDAST

SITE NAME Daniels Store, Windson Berky

LISTED BY MS.

	BULK	FINDS			SMAL	L FINDS	
Context	Number of bags	Date	- In	Small find number	Date	In	*/_/
7	1	24/4/29	1				
8	1		Į.				
26	1						
39)		1			1	_
39 32 40	1		1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
40		1	1				
	<u> </u>						
·							
							

WINDSOR DANIEL STORE WIDAST 09

Box 1 FILE 8

CoFINDS BOX/BAGLISTS

OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

SCAN PDF

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of CD copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County:[Berkshire] Parish:[Windsor] Site:[Daniel Store]

Site code[WIDAST09]

Line 2: Excavators name[D. Dodds]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

Classification of material	present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data - Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data - Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data – Text: Catalogue of Drawings	·
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data - Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data – Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

Finds Compendium

Site Code	Invoice (Code		Site Nar	me	Accession No	OAU No /
WIDAST 09	WIDASTWI	3 V	Windsor, Daniel Store				
inds materials	summarised	I for Site C	ode: WI	DAST 09 and i	nvoice code: WIDA	ASTWB	
Material	No of Boxes	No Of Contexts	No Of Sherds	Total Weight (g)	Box Sizes	Box Numbers	
Animal Bone		1	1	21	-	MISC.01 - mixed	box
СВМ		1	4	416		MISC.01 - mixed	box
Glass		1	1	205		MISC.01 - mixed	box
Pottery		3	4	318		MISC.01 - mixed	box
	Totals:		10	960 g			

Total No of

+

1 miscellaneous boxes

Miscellaneous Box Sizes:

MISC.01

Size 3

Box Contents Sheets											
Site Code WIDAST 09 Material: Miscellaneous											
Box Size Size 3					Box No MISC.01			Accession No			
Context Si	F No	No of Bags	No of Object	TYPE COLIMA	Weight (g)	Context	SF Number	No of Bags	No of Objects	Material:	Weight (g)
40		1	1	Animal Bone	21						
8		1	4	СВМ	416						
32		1	1	Glass	205						
7		1	2	Pottery	40						
26		1	1	Pottery	110						
39		1	1	Pottery	168		•				
No of Cont	exts:	6	Tota	ıl Bags:	6						

960

Total Objects:

10

Total Weight:

WINDSOR DANIEL STORE WIDAST 49

Box 1 FILE 9

DOCATALOUGE OF PHOTOGRAPHS

-OUGE	0/	2110 -	OC.	MAA	S
			,	a ·	
		•	,		
	•	O			
۰	٠	٠			
		a			
•	·				

No.978033 Buf





OXFORD ARCHAEOLOGY, JANUS HOUSE, OSNEY MEAD, OXFORD, OX2 OES

SCAN PDF

FILMING INSTRUCTIONS

Submitter OASouth No. of CD copies: 2

Headings

Site information

Line 1: [OASouth] County:[Berkshire] Parish:[Windsor] Site:[Daniel Store]

Site code[WIDAST09]

Line 2: Excavators name[D. Dodds]

Line 3:

Classification of material

Tick if

	present
Index to archive	
Introduction	
A:Final Report	
A:Publication Report	
B:Site Data - Text: Diary/Daybook/Fieldnotes	
B: Site Data – Text: General Summaries	
B: Site Data - Text: Primary Context Records	
B: Site Data - Text: Synthesised Context Records	
B: Site Data – Text: Survey Reports	
B: Site Data - Text: Catalogue of Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Primary Drawings	
B: Site Data – Text: Synthesised Drawings	
C: Finds Data – Text: Primary Finds Data	·
C: Finds Data – Text: Synthesised Finds Data	
C: Finds Data – Text: Specialist Reports	
C: Finds Data - Text: Box/Bag List	
D: Catalogue of Photos/Slides/Videos/Xrays	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Primary Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Synthesised Records	
E: Environmental/Ecofact Data: Specialist Reports	
F: Documentary	
F: Press and Publicity	
G: Correspondence	
H: Miscellaneous	

Oxford Arc	chaeology	Pł	HOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET	
SITE CODE	SITE CODE WIDAST 09		AME Daniel Dept Store: Windson FILM	л NO.
Camera num	ber	Lens nu	mber Black	k & white /_colour
Date	Negative number	View	Context(s)	Initials
	0			
	1		WIDAIT OG ID. SHOT	
_	2		e , o v	
-	3	E	Top of well 1201	
	4	E	, , ,	
	5	八百	1 1 1	
	6	E	1 1	
	7	É	1 / / 1	
	8	E	1 / /	
	9	NE	Excavation of well top	
	10	N	, , , ,	
	11	N	1 (1 4	
	12	E	section 2	
	13	7	Section 4	
	14	N	7 1	
	15	N	1 "	
	16	2	Section 1	
	17		8 4	
	18	7	ι ψ	
	19	7	Section 5	
	20	ک	N/ N	
	21	ک	ll 4	
	22	S	Section 6	
	23	_ 2	y 4	
	24	2	, ,	
	25	N	Working Shit.	
	26			
	27			
	28	ļ - -		
	29			
	30			
	31			
	32			
	33			
i	34			
	35			
	36			
	37			

Oxford Archaeology SITE CODEWIDAST 09 Camera number		PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD SHEET						
		SITE N	AME Danie	FILM NO. (
		Lens nu	ımber	Black & white / colour				
Date	Negative number	View			Context(s)			Initials
	0				<u> </u>			
	1		WIDAST	09	ID Shot			
	2	K	TOP &	I we				
	3	区区	/ •	ΥΥ	ч			
	4	E	1 7	1	۲			
	5	E	, ,	۲	۲			
	6	K	, 1		}			
	7	E	ίγ	U	t			
	8	N	Section	14				
	9	N		vl				
	10	N	Y	4				
	11	S	Section	~ (
	12	7	i.	*				
	13	7	4	4				
	14	2	Secto	5				
	15	3	ť	и				
	16	2	,	7			-	
	17	3	Suto	~6				
	18	ς	e	Y		- .		
	19	0	1	v				
	20					-		
	21	-						
	22							
	23							
	24		1					1
	25		<u> </u>			<u> </u>		1
	26							
	27	1						
	28	 						1 -
	29							1
· · ·	30							1
	31	 - 						1
	32		†			<u> </u>		1
	33			<u> </u>				1
<u> </u>	34				<u> </u>			
	35	-					-	
	36							
	37	<u> </u>						

DIGI	TAL PHOTO	GRAPHIC RECORD SHEET	Γ	 _	Oxford Are	chaeologyOxfor House.Osney	d Archaeology Janu Mead Oxford OX2 0E
		Site name Daniels Department Store, Peascod St, Windsor, Berks		number	Sheet	1	
View No.	Photo No.	Context No. Description (Add context numbers where applicable)	Geo- Ref Photo	Object Photo	Scale (m)	View to	Initials and date
1	Picture 000.jpg	WIDAST 09 ID Shot					ms
2	Picture 001.jpg	Section 2			1 m	S	ms
3	Picture 002.jpg	Section 2			1 m	S	ms
4	Picture 003.jpg	Section 2			1 m	S	ms
5	Picture 004.jpg	Grubbing out of No.116 rear wall				S	ms
6	Picture 005.jpg	Demolition debris in rear yard of 117/118				N	ms
7	Picture 006.jpg	Grubbing out of Wall 41				W	ms
8	Picture 007.jpg	Grubbing out of Wall 41				S	ms
9	Picture 008.jpg	Grubbing out of Wall 41				S	ms
10	Picture 009.jpg	Grubbing out of Wall 41				S	ms
11	Picture 010.jpg	Grubbing out of rear wall of 117&118				S	ms
12	Picture 011.jpg	Section 7				S	ms
13	Picture 012.jpg	Section 7				W	ms
14	Picture 013.jpg	Section 7				W	ms
15	Picture 014.jpg	Goswell Hill Lane				S	ms
16	Picture 015.jpg	Goswell Hill Lane				S	ms
17	Picture 022.jpg	Interior of well cap (20)				Е	ms
18	Picture 023.jpg	Interior of well cap (20)				Е	ms
19	Picture 024.jpg	Section 2				NE	ms
20	Picture 025.jpg	Section 2				NE	ms
21	Picture 026.jpg	Section 2				NE	ms
22	Picture 027.jpg	Removal of rear of 117/118				N	ms
23	Picture 028.jpg	Removal of rear of 117/118				N	ms
24	Picture 029.jpg	Working Shot				Е	ms
25	Picture 031.jpg	Top of well cap (20)			1 m	Е	ms
26	Picture 032.jpg	Top of well cap (20)			1 m	Е	ms
27	Picture 033.jpg	Top of well cap (20)			1 m	Е	ms
28	Picture 034.jpg	Top of well cap (20)			1 m	Е	ms
29	Picture 035.jpg	Interior of well shaft (20)				Е	ms
30	Picture 036.jpg	Interior of well shaft (20)				Е	ms
31	Picture 037.jpg	Interior of well shaft (20)				Е	ms
	D: 1 000:	Interior of well shaft (20)				E	ms

Interior of well shaft (20)

Picture 038.jpg

32

ms