

Wrangle Church Close



Archaeological Evaluation Report



February 2012

Client: Anglian Water

OA East Report No: 1330

OASIS No: oxfordar3-119263

NGR: TF 4207 5058

Wrangle Church Close

Archaeological Evaluation


By Tam Webster

Editor: James Drummond-Murray

Illustrator: Stuart Ladd

Report Date: February 2012

DRAFT

Report Number: 1330
Site Name: Wrangle Church Close
HER Event No:
Date of Works: January 2012
Client Name: Anglian Water
Client Ref: SEW-08484
Planning Ref:
Grid Ref: TF 4207 5058
Site Code: CCWB 12
Finance Code: XLI WRA 12
Receiving Body: The Collection, 1 Danes Terrace, Lincoln LN2 1LP.
Accession No: LCNCC:2012.14
Prepared by: Michael 'Tam' Webster
Position: Assistant Supervisor
Date: 31st January 2012.
Checked by: Richard Mortimer
Position: Senior Project Manager
Date: February 2012
Signed: 

Disclaimer

This document has been prepared for the titled project or named part thereof and should not be relied upon or used for any other project without an independent check being carried out as to its suitability and prior written authority of Oxford Archaeology being obtained. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for the consequences of this document being used for a purpose other than the purposes for which it was commissioned. Any person/party using or relying on the document for such other purposes agrees and will by such use or reliance be taken to confirm their agreement to indemnify Oxford Archaeology for all loss or damage resulting therefrom. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for this document to any party other than the person/party by whom it was commissioned.

Oxford Archaeology East,

15 Trafalgar Way,
Bar Hill,
Cambridge,
CB23 8SQ

t: 01223 850500
f: 01223 850599
e: oaeast@thehumanjourney.net
w: <http://thehumanjourney.net/oaeast>

© Oxford Archaeology East 2011
Oxford Archaeology Limited is a Registered Charity No: 285627

Table of Contents

Summary.....	5
1 Introduction.....	6
1.1 Location and scope of work.....	6
1.2 Geology and topography.....	6
1.3 Archaeological and historical background.....	6
1.4 Acknowledgements.....	6
2 Aims and Methodology.....	7
2.1 Aims.....	7
2.2 Methodology.....	7
3 Results.....	8
3.1 Introduction	8
3.2 Sub-heading (e.g. Trench 1, or Trenches in Field A, etc....).....	8
3.3 Sub-heading (e.g. Trench 2, or Trenches in Field B, etc....).....	8
3.4 Finds Summary.....	8
3.5 Environmental Summary.....	8
4 Discussion and Conclusions.....	9
4.1 Sub-heading	9
4.2 Sub-heading.....	9
4.3 Significance.....	9
4.4 Recommendations.....	9
Appendix A. Trench Descriptions and Context Inventory.....	11
Appendix B. Finds Reports.....	11
Appendix C. Bibliography	11
Appendix D. OASIS Report Form	12

List of Figures

- Fig. 1 Site location map
Fig. 2 Plan and Sections of Trench

Summary

The single evaluation trench was void of any archaeological remains. A series of very shallow modern vegetation features and a trench for a live electric cable were recorded in the base of the trench. A possible subsoil may represent a transitional mixed deposit of the natural clay silts.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Location and scope of work

- 1.1.1 An archaeological evaluation was conducted at Church Close, Wrangle, Lincolnshire.
- 1.1.2 This archaeological evaluation was undertaken in accordance with a Brief issued by Louise Jennings of Lincolnshire County Council (LCC; Planning Application Wrangle Church close Vacuum System, Issued 20/12/11) supplemented by a Specification prepared by OA East.
- 1.1.3 The work was designed to assist in defining the character and extent of any archaeological remains within the proposed redevelopment area, in accordance with the guidelines set out in *Planning Policy Statement 5: Planning for the Historic Environment* (Department for Communities and Local Government 2010). The results will enable decisions to be made by LCC, on behalf of the Local Planning Authority, with regard to the treatment of any archaeological remains found.
- 1.1.4 The site archive is currently held by OA East and will be deposited with the appropriate county stores in due course.

1.2 Geology and topography

- 1.2.1 The village of Wrangle lies on the A52 north-east of Boston and some 3.5km from The Wash. The area of the evaluation is situated in the centre of the village c. 100m north-east of the parish church and at c. 5m AOD, with the land rising to the south and west and falling to the east and north. The superficial geology of the area is Tidal Flat Deposits (Clay and Silts) with pockets of Beach Deposits (Sand and Silt) in Wrangle village.

1.3 Archaeological and historical background

- 1.3.1 The site lies within the back, north-eastern, end of a long rectangular enclosure. The enclosure fronts on to the main street of the village opposite the Parish Church and includes the Vicarage and gardens. It is thought likely that, lying within church land at the centre of the village, the area would not have been under plough and may not therefore contain any significant subsoil deposits. Its location also indicates the possibility that it could contain relatively undamaged elements of the early settlement of the village, or of a previous Romano-British or Prehistoric settlements.
- 1.3.2 Wrangle is documented in the Domesday Survey of 1086, with the current church dating to around 1300. The village included a substantial harbour until the late medieval period and the area contains numerous find spots from the medieval period.

1.4 Acknowledgements

- 1.4.1 The project manager was Richard Mortimer, site work was carried out and report drafted by Michael 'Tam' Webster. Illustrations by Stuart Ladd. The report was edited by James Drummond-Murray.

2 AIMS AND METHODOLOGY

2.1 Aims

- 2.1.1 The objective of this Evaluation was to determine as far as reasonably possible the presence/absence, location, nature, extent, date, quality, condition and significance of any surviving archaeological deposits within the development area.

2.2 Methodology

- 2.2.1 The Brief required that a single 20m trench was excavated to the base of the topsoil.
- 2.2.2 Machine excavation was carried out under constant archaeological supervision with a wheeled JCB-type excavator using a toothless ditching bucket.
- 2.2.3 The site survey was carried out by Michael 'Tam' Webster using 50m tapes and ranging poles.
- 2.2.4 Spoil, exposed surfaces and features were scanned with a metal detector. All metal-detected and hand-collected finds were retained for inspection, other than those which were obviously modern.
- 2.2.5 All archaeological features and deposits were recorded using OA East's *pro-forma* sheets. Trench locations, plans and sections were recorded at appropriate scales and colour and monochrome photographs were taken of all relevant features and deposits.
- 2.2.6 The evaluation site occupied a flat grassed area bordered along the north-west and north-east sides by mature trees, the south-west and south-east sides by a pathway. The conditions were dry, cold and sunny. .

3 RESULTS

3.1 Introduction

3.1.1 A single 20m trench was excavated during the evaluation, the results of which are shown below..

3.2 Trench 1 (Figure 2)

3.2.1 The trench was 20m long x 1.60m wide and aligned south to north. A sondage at the north end of the trench was excavated to a depth of 0.70m. A natural clay silt (09) was encountered at a depth of 0.27m, sealed by a possible clay silt subsoil (02) at 0.12m thick, which was sealed by the turf /topsoil layer (01) at 0.15m thick. The thickness of the topsoil varied along the length of the trench, at the south end was 0.30m thick. A series of shallow modern vegetation features (04,05,06,07,08 and 10) and a trench cut (03) which contained a live electric cable were recorded in plan and photographed but not excavated.

3.3 Finds Summary

3.3.1 A single sherd of late medieval pottery was recovered from the topsoil and several post medieval finds from shallow vegetation features exposed in the base of the trench; these finds are to be discarded.

3.4 Environmental Summary

3.4.1 There were no deposits of environmental interest within the trench.

4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

4.1 Trench 1

4.1.1 The single evaluation trench showed that the turf/topsoil (01) sealed a shallow subsoil (02) which may form part of the underlying natural (09). The features exposed in the base of the trench were all of modern date.

4.2 Significance

4.2.1 No significant archaeological deposits were encountered.

4.3 Recommendations

4.3.1 No further work is recommended in the area of topsoil strip for the site compound.

APPENDIX A: TRENCH DESCRIPTION AND CONTEXT INVENTORY

Trench 1						
General description				Orientation		S-N
Trench contained modern features, devoid of any earlier archaeology. Consists of Turf/topsoil and subsoil overlying a natural of clay silt.				Avg. depth (m)		0.44
				Width (m)		1.6
				Length (m)		20
Contexts						
context no	type	Width (m)	Depth (m)	comment	finds	date
1	Layer	-	0.15-0.30	Turf/topsoil	-	-
2	Layer	-	0.12	Subsoil	-	-
3	Cut /Fill	-0.3	-	Electric cable trench	-	Modern
4	Cut/Fill	0.44		Vegetation feature		Modern
5	Cut/Fill	0.35		Vegetation feature		Modern
6	Cut/Fill	0.5		Vegetation feature		Modern
7	Cut/Fill	0.65		Vegetation feature		Modern
8	Cut/Fill	1.4		Vegetation feature		Modern
9	Layer			Natural		
10	Cut/Fill	0.85		Vegetation feature		Modern

APPENDIX B: FINDS REPORTS

No finds were retained or environmental samples taken.

APPENDIX C BIBLIOGRAPHY

Jennings, L 2011 *Wrangle Church Close Vacuum System Archaeological Brief for Scheme of Monitoring and Recording* Lincolnshire County Council Historic Environment Team

Mortimer, R, 2012 *Wrangle Church Close Vacuum System Specification for Archaeological Trenching* Oxford Archaeology East

APPENDIX D OASIS REPORT FORM

All fields are required unless they are not applicable.

Project Details

OASIS Number	oxfordar3-119263		
Project Name	Evaluation at Church Close Wrangle, Lincolnshire		
Project Dates (fieldwork) Start	23-01-2012	Finish	23-01-2012
Previous Work (by OA East)	No	Future Work	No

Project Reference Codes

Site Code	CCWB12	Planning App. No.	
HER No.		Related HER/OASIS No.	

Type of Project/Techniques Used

Prompt	Direction from Local Planning Authority
Development Type	Service infrastructure

Please select all techniques used:

<input type="checkbox"/> Aerial Photography - interpretation	<input type="checkbox"/> Grab-Sampling	<input type="checkbox"/> Remote Operated Vehicle Survey
<input type="checkbox"/> Aerial Photography - new	<input type="checkbox"/> Gravity-Core	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sample Trenches
<input type="checkbox"/> Annotated Sketch	<input type="checkbox"/> Laser Scanning	<input type="checkbox"/> Survey/Recording Of Fabric/Structure
<input type="checkbox"/> Augering	<input type="checkbox"/> Measured Survey	<input type="checkbox"/> Targeted Trenches
<input type="checkbox"/> Dendrochronological Survey	<input type="checkbox"/> Metal Detectors	<input type="checkbox"/> Test Pits
<input type="checkbox"/> Documentary Search	<input type="checkbox"/> Phosphate Survey	<input type="checkbox"/> Topographic Survey
<input type="checkbox"/> Environmental Sampling	<input type="checkbox"/> Photogrammetric Survey	<input type="checkbox"/> Vibro-core
<input type="checkbox"/> Fieldwalking	<input type="checkbox"/> Photographic Survey	<input type="checkbox"/> Visual Inspection (Initial Site Visit)
<input type="checkbox"/> Geophysical Survey	<input type="checkbox"/> Rectified Photography	

Monument Types/Significant Finds & Their Periods

List feature types using the [NMR Monument Type Thesaurus](#) and significant finds using the [MDA Object type Thesaurus](#) together with their respective periods. If no features/finds were found, please state "none".

Monument	Period	Object	Period
			Select period...
	Select period...		Select period...
	Select period...		Select period...

Project Location

County	Lincolnshire	Site Address (including postcode if possible)
District	Boston	Church Close, Wrangle PE22 9EL
Parish	Wrangle	
HER		
Study Area	200 sqm	National Grid Reference TF 4207 5058

Project Originators

Organisation	OA EAST
Project Brief Originator	Lincolnshire CC
Project Design Originator	OA East
Project Manager	Richard Mortimer
Supervisor	Tam Webster


Project Archives

Physical Archive	Digital Archive	Paper Archive
The Collection Lincoln	The Collection Lincoln	The Collection Lincoln
	LCNCC2012:14	LCNCC 2012:14

	Physical Contents	Digital Contents	Paper Contents
Animal Bones	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ceramics	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Environmental	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Glass	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Human Bones	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Industrial	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Leather	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Metal	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Stratigraphic		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Survey		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Textiles	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Wood	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Worked Bone	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Worked Stone/Lithic	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
None	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Digital Media	Paper Media
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Database	<input type="checkbox"/> Aerial Photos
<input type="checkbox"/> GIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Context Sheet
<input type="checkbox"/> Geophysics	<input type="checkbox"/> Correspondence
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Images	<input type="checkbox"/> Diary
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Illustrations	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing
<input type="checkbox"/> Moving Image	<input type="checkbox"/> Manuscript
<input type="checkbox"/> Spreadsheets	<input type="checkbox"/> Map
<input type="checkbox"/> Survey	<input type="checkbox"/> Matrices
<input type="checkbox"/> Text	<input type="checkbox"/> Microfilm
<input type="checkbox"/> Virtual Reality	<input type="checkbox"/> Misc.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Research/Notes
	<input type="checkbox"/> Photos
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plans
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Report
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sections
	<input type="checkbox"/> Survey

Notes:



Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2011. All rights reserved. License No. AI 100005569

Figure 1: Site location




Figure 2: Trench Plan and Sections



**Head Office/Registered Office/
OA South**

Janus House
Osney Mead
Oxford OX2 0ES

t: +44 (0) 1865 263 800
f: +44 (0) 1865 793 496
e: info@oxfordarch.co.uk
w: <http://thehumanjourney.net>

OA North

Mill 3
Moor Lane
Lancaster LA1 1GF

t: +44 (0) 1524 541 000
f: +44 (0) 1524 848 606
e: [oanorth@thehumanjourney.net](mailto: oanorth@thehumanjourney.net)
w: <http://thehumanjourney.net>

OA East

15 Trafalgar Way
Bar Hill
Cambridgeshire
CB23 8SQ

t: +44 (0) 1223 850500
f: +44 (0) 1223 850599
e: [oaeast@thehumanjourney.net](mailto: oaeast@thehumanjourney.net)
w: <http://thehumanjourney.net>



Director: David Jennings, BA MIFA FSA

*Oxford Archaeology Ltd is a
Private Limited Company, N^o: 1618597
and a Registered Charity, N^o: 285627*