

# New Road, Littleborough, Rochdale, Greater Manchester Archaeological Watching Brief Report

**April 2021** 

**Client: Russell Homes** 

Issue No: 1

OA Reference No: L2103-2020-21

NGR: 392847 415876





Client Name: **Russell Homes** 

New Road Littleborough, Rochdale, Greater Manchester Document Title:

Archaeological Watching Brief Report **Document Type:** 

Report No.: L2103-2020-21

Grid Reference: NGR 392847 415876

Planning Reference: 15/00830/OUT; 19/00262/FUL; and 20/00607/FUL

Site Code: NRL19 Invoice Code: L11102

Receiving Body: Rochdale Borough Council

Accession No.:

OA Document File Location: X:\Adam T\Projects\ L11102 New Road Littleborough\Report X:\Adam T\Projects\ L11102\_New\_Road\_LittleboroughCAD OA Graphics File Location:

Issue No: 1

07/04/2021 Date:

Aidan Parker (Project Officer) Prepared by:

Checked by: Dr Adam Tinsley (Senior Project Manager) Dr Adam Tinsley (Senior Project Manager) Edited by:

Approved for Issue by: Dr Alan Lupton (Operations Director)

Signature:

.....

#### Disclaimer:

This document has been prepared for the titled project or named part thereof and should not be relied upon or used for any other project without an independent check being carried out as to its suitability and prior written authority of Oxford Archaeology being obtained. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for the consequences of this document being used for a purpose other than the purposes for which it was commissioned. Any person/party using or relying on the document for such other purposes agrees and will by such use or reliance be taken to confirm their agreement to indemnify Oxford Archaeology for all loss or damage resulting therefrom. Oxford Archaeology accepts no responsibility or liability for this document to any party other than the person/party by whom it was commissioned.

**OA South OA North** OA East 15 Trafalgar Way Mill 3 Janus House Bar Hill Osney Mead Moor Lane Mills Oxford Cambridge Moor Lane OX2 OES CB23 8SQ Lancaster LA1 1QD

t. +44 (0)1865 263 800 t. +44 (0)1223 850 500 t. +44 (0)1524 880 250

> e. info@oxfordarch.co.uk w. oxfordarchaeology.com

Oxford Archaeology is a registered Charity: No. 285627











Private Limited Company, No: 1618597 Registered Charity, No: 285627

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd 7 April 2021



# New Road Littleborough, Rochdale, Greater Manchester

# Archaeological Watching Brief Report

# Written by Aidan Parker

# With illustrations by Mark Tidmarsh

#### **Contents**

Summ	nary		vii
Ackno	wledgement	s	viii
1	INTROI	DUCTION	1
1.1	Scope of wo	ork	1
1.2	Location, to	pography and geology	1
1.3	Archaeologi	ical and historical background	1
2	WATCH	HING BRIEF AIMS AND METHODOLOGY	2
2.1	Aims		2
2.2	Methodolog	gy	2
3	RESUL	TS	3
3.1	Introduction	n and presentation of results	3
3.2	General soil	s and ground conditions	3
3.3	General dist	tribution of archaeological deposits	4
3.4	Finds summ	nary	4
4	DISCUS	SSION	5
4.1	Reliability of	f field investigation	5
4.2	Watching B	rief objectives and results	6
4.3	Interpretation	on	8
5	BIBLIO	GRAPHY	9
6	FIGURE	ES	10
APPE	NDIX A	WRITTEN SCHEME OF INVESTIGATION	11
APPE	NDIX B	SITE SUMMARY DETAILS / OASIS REPORT FORM	12



# **List of Figures**

Fig.1 Site location map

Fig. 2 Areas observed during the Watching Brief

# **List of Plates**

Plate 1	An example of adverse ground conditions during fieldwork
Plate 2	Study area facing east, prior to spoil removal
Plate 3	Study area facing north, prior to spoil removal
Plate 4	Level of reduction in northern area
Plate 5	Eastern area post spoil removal and clean
Plate 6	Northern area post spoil removal and clean



#### **Summary**

Oxford Archaeology (OA) North were commissioned by Russell Homes to undertake a watching brief at the site of a housing development off New Road (A58), Littleborough, Rochdale, Greater Manchester. The work took place over 5 days between 14<sup>TH</sup> November 2019 and 16<sup>th</sup> April 2020, and was focused on an area measuring approximately 0.9ha located along the northeastern perimeter of the wider development. Unfortunately, a large amount of the topsoil strip within the monitoring area was undertaken without OA Norths knowledge and therefore without archaeological monitoring. Parts of the area were subsequently used for spoil storage and the construction of a haulage road. In an attempt to compensate for this oversight, spoil bunds within the area were cleared and the area was again subject to mechanical cleaning of the exposed natural surfaces. No archaeological remains were observed during the watching brief exercise.



# **Acknowledgements**

Oxford Archaeology (OA) North would like to thank Russell Homes for commissioning this project. Thanks are also extended to Greater Manchester Archaeological Advisory Service (GMAAS) for monitoring the work.

The project was managed for OA North by Dr Adam Tinsley. The fieldwork was directed by Aidan Parker, who was supported on occasion by Steve Clarke. Thanks are also extended to the teams of OA staff that prepared the archive under the management of Karen Barker.



#### 1 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Scope of work

- 1.1.1 Oxford Archaeology (OA) North was commissioned by Russell Homes to undertake a watching brief at a site off New Road, Littleborough, where a proposed development of 96 dwellings had the potential to impact buried archaeological remains.
- 1.1.2 The work was undertaken as condition 21 of Planning Permission (planning ref. 15/00830/OUT; subsequently amended to condition 21 of 19/00262/FUL; and then condition 15 of 20/00607/FUL). A brief was set by Greater Manchester Archaeological Advisory Service (GMAAS) and a Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) was produced by OA North detailing the Local Authority's requirements for work necessary to discharge the planning condition (*Appendix A*). This document outlines how OA North implemented the specified requirements.

#### 1.2 Location, topography and geology

- 1.2.1 The site is located within the Metropolitan Borough of Rochdale, part of the modern urban conurbation of Greater Manchester, approximately 1km west of Littleborough town centre. The development area is located to the south of New Road/Halifax Road (A58) (Fig. 1).
- 1.2.2 The area of proposed development consists of an irregular shaped area of green field land, that drops sharply away from New Road to the north, following the contour of the river valley, to the course of the River Roch to the south. The site is bordered to the west by houses fronting New Street, to the south by Stubley Mill Road, and to the east by Stubley Lane. A Grade II listed building, Stubley Old Hall, lies beyond the northeastern boundary of the site.
- 1.2.3 The geology of the area is mapped as Milnrow Sandstone, dating to the Westphalian epoch. This is overlain by superficial deposits of Pleistocene Till (BGS 2020; Cranfield 2020).

#### 1.3 Archaeological and historical background

- 1.3.1 The full archaeological and historical background of the site is covered within a Desk-Based Assessment (DBA) produced by OA North (May 2015) and will not be repeated here. What follows is a summary of the most pertinent information relating to the site based upon the results of the DBA.
- 1.3.2 Roman finds were uncovered during excavations for the new Stubley Hall in the late nineteenth-century (*ibid*, 8, *Section 3.1.3*), which may indicate Roman activity within the wider area of the site. Furthermore, it has been suggested that a Roman road ran along the line of the modern A58 (*ibid*).
- 1.3.3 Stubley Old Hall lies beyond the northwestern boundary of the site (*ibid*, 10, *Section 3.1.9-11*). First erected as a timber framed building in the fifteenth-century, a crosswing was added in 1530, with further building work carried out in the seventeenth and eighteenth-centuries. The survey of 1626 described the hall as 'an ancient manor...with stables, barns, dove cote, water mill and 110 acres of land'.



#### 2 WATCHING BRIEF AIMS AND METHODOLOGY

#### **2.1** Aims

- 2.1.1 The project aims and objectives were as follows:
  - i. To undertake archaeological monitoring of groundworks in the form of a watching brief during a controlled strip of topsoil within the targeted area.
  - ii. To compile an archival record of the results of the watching brief, to inform GMAAS in their decision and design of any further targeted excavation.
  - iii. To produce a written report detailing the findings and assessing their significance within a local context. An archive will be prepared in accordance with guidelines and industry best practice (CIfA 2019; 2020a; 2020b; EH 1991; HE 2015)

#### 2.2 Methodology

- 2.2.1 The full methodology is available in the WSI document produced by OA North (*Appendix A*) and will not be repeated here. What follows is an outline of the most pertinent elements of the method statement.
- 2.2.2 The area under investigation (Fig. 2) was to be mechanically stripped of topsoil under archaeological supervision using a toothless ditching bucket. However, due to works commencing prior to archaeological involvement, a large area of the site, mainly comprising the western half of the target area, was stripped of topsoil without recourse to archaeological monitoring. This area was subsequently used to stockpile soil from other areas or to form a haulage road to provide access to the site. The eastern half of the area was also used to stockpile spoil, although here bunds were created on top of existing topsoil and turf layers. In this area, a large concrete septic tank had also been constructed prior to the current development of the site. Due to these circumstances it was therefore arranged for the exposed surface of natural deposits to be checked for archaeological potential, where topsoil had previously been removed. This required the removal of substantial spoil bunds from across much of the area and subsequent mechanical cleaning of the surfaces under archaeological supervision. Furthermore, to the north of the area, the ground level had been significantly reduced beyond the initial topsoil strip. Where spoil bunds had been created, but topsoil not previously removed, it was possible to arrange for the bund to be removed and archaeological monitoring to be conducted during further reduction of ground levels.
- 2.2.3 Prior to work starting, the area was checked for services by the principal contractor, who was responsible for marking any the position of such services. The same contractor was also responsible for fencing any areas of deeper excavation.



#### 3 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Introduction and presentation of results

3.1.1 The results of the watching brief are presented below and include a stratigraphic description of the areas under observation, as well as a general discussion of ground conditions.

#### 3.2 General soils and ground conditions

- 3.2.1 The soil sequence was fairly uniform. The natural geology of light to medium orange clays and gravels was overlain by a mid-brown silty subsoil, which in turn was overlain by a dark silty topsoil.
- 3.2.2 Ground conditions throughout the watching brief varied, as several visits were made, most being weeks apart. The site was prone to waterlogging during the winter months, with parts often submerged under standing water or damned to prevent wider egress (Plate 1). This resulted in some difficult ground and working conditions, although generally the underlying natural was distinct and readily identifiable. The presence of archaeological features or deposits should therefore have been relatively easily identifiable, despite the difficult conditions, although none were noted across the areas subject to monitoring. With this said, a section of the area had been reduced substantially below existing ground conditions prior to observation and could theoretically have removed any archaeological features or deposits, if originally present (see Section 4.2.3).



Plate 1: An example of adverse ground conditions during fieldwork.



# 3.3 General distribution of archaeological deposits

3.3.1 No Archaeological remains were encountered during the watching brief

# 3.4 Finds summary

3.4.1 No finds were recovered during the watching brief.



#### 4 DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Reliability of field investigation

- 4.1.1 The main problem encountered during the watching brief was that the area had largely been stripped without archaeological supervision. and in some areas (chiefly to the north) this had gone far beyond the level of topsoil, with a reduction extending to approximately 2m below existing ground.
- 4.1.2 In those areas where stripping had halted at the topsoil, subsequently being used for storage of spoil, a second machining likely also reduced the ground beyond the horizon of natural deposits. While the second machine cleaning in these areas was monitored, it is possible that potential features may have been lost (Plate 2 and 3).



Plate 2: Study area facing east, prior to spoil removal





Plate 3: Study area facing north, prior to spoil removal

#### 4.2 Watching Brief objectives and results

- 4.2.1 The objectives of the watching brief (*Section 2.1.1*) were to monitor the stripping of topsoil within the defined area and assess the archaeological remains in order to inform the necessity for further work, compiling the information in a report.
- 4.2.2 Regarding the first objective, monitoring of the initial stripping (with the exception of a small area to the east) went ahead unmonitored with an archaeological presence only requested and established after the fact. Attempts were made to address this by arranging for and attending to monitor areas during a second cleaning. Those areas previously stripped were cleared of stockpiled material and assessed to determine whether archaeological remains were indeed present. Although this process also took place within the north of the area, the ground level had been significantly reduced beyond the topsoil, to a maximum depth of 2m in some sections. Therefore, any previous archaeological deposits, if present, were likely removed entirely (Plates 3 -5).
- 4.2.3 Where monitoring was possible, no archaeological remains were observed during the process. Where previous groundworks had not removed substantial elements of overburden deposits, it can be stated with greater confidence that no archaeological features were present, although the same level of confidence cannot be developed in relation to areas subjected to more extensive groundworks.





Plate 4: Level of reduction in northern area



Plate 4: Eastern area post spoil removal and clean





Plate 5: Northern area post spoil removal and clean

#### 4.3 Interpretation

4.3.1 No archaeological deposits or remains were observed during the watching brief. While it has been highlighted that areas were over-excavated in the absence of archaeological monitoring, it should also be considered that no remains were observed in the areas where this was not the case. This suggests that the area under scrutiny was devoid of archaeological activity. While the conditions under which the watching brief were conducted were not ideal, it is considered that the objectives of the project, as set out in the WSI (*Appendix A, Section 2*, 5) were met and that, subject to formal acceptance of this report, Condition 21 of planning consent can be discharged.



#### **5** BIBLIOGRAPHY

British Geological Survey (BGS), 2020 *Map Viewer* http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home/ [Online], accessed December 2020

Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (CIfA), 2019, Code of Conduct, Reading

Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (CIfA), 2020a, Standard and guidance: field evaluation, Reading

Chartered Institute for Archaeologists (CIfA), 2020b, Standard and guidance for the creation, compilation, transfer and deposition of archaeological archives, Reading

Cranfield Soil and Agrifood Institute, 2020, *online soilscape mapping*, Accessed December 2020, http://www.landis.org.uk/soilscapes/

English Heritage, 1991 The Management of Archaeological Projects, 2nd edn, London

Historic England, 2015 'Management of research projects in the historic environment: The MoRPHE project managers guide', London



# 6 FIGURES



# APPENDIX A WRITTEN SCHEME OF INVESTIGATION

©Oxford Archaeology Ltd 11 7 April 2021



#### APPENDIX B SITE SUMMARY DETAILS / OASIS REPORT FORM

Site name: New Road, Littleborough, Rochdale, Greater Manchester

Site code: NRL19

Grid Reference NGR 392847 415876

Type: Watching Brief

**Date and duration:** 14/11/19 – 16/4/20 5 days

Area of Site 0.9ha

Location of archive: The archive is currently held at OA, Mill 3, Moor Lane Mills, Moor

Lane, Lancaster, LA1 1QD and will be deposited with GMAAS and

the local HER in due course.

Summary of Results: Oxford Archaeology North were commissioned by

Russell Homes to undertake a watching brief at the site of a housing development off New Rd (A58), Littleborough, Rochdale, Greater Manchester. The work took place over 5 days between 14/11/19 and 16/4/20 and was focused on an area measuring approximately 0.9ha located along northeastern perimeter of the wider development. Due to miscommunication, a large amount of the topsoil strip was undertaken without OAN's knowledge and therefore without archaeological monitoring. OAN attempted to compensate for this oversight by arranging for the area to be cleared of stockpiled spoil and mechanically cleaned again. No archaeological remains were observed during the

watching brief exercise.

Project Details							
OASIS Number							
Project Name	New Road, Littleborough, Rochdale						
Start of Fieldwork	Novemb	er 2019	End of Fieldwork	April 2020			
Previous Work	DBA		Future Work				
			_				
<b>Project Reference</b>	Codes						
Site Code	L11102		Planning App. No.	15/00830/OUT;			
				19/00262/FUL; and			
				20/00607/FUL			
HER Number			Related Numbers				
Prompt							
Development Type							
Place in Planning Process		Choose an item.					



Techniques used (	tick all t	that ap	ply)							
☐ Aerial Photography — interpretation		☐ Grab-sampling							Remote Operated Vehicle Survey	
			Cravity co	ro						
☐ Annotated Sketcl	☐ Aerial Photography - new ☐ Appotated Sketch		Gravity-co Laser Scan						mple Trenches rvey/Recording of	
			Luser searming						bric/Structure	
☐ Augering			Measured						rgeted Trenches	
☐ Dendrochonolog				Metal Detectors Phosphate Survey Photogrammetric Survey				Test Pits		
<ul><li>☐ Documentary Sea</li><li>☐ Environmental Sa</li></ul>									pographic Survey pro-core	
☐ Fieldwalking	amping					У	☐ Visio-core ☐ Visual Inspection (Initial Site Visit)			
☐ Geophysical Surv	ey	☐ Rectified Photogr						Watching Brief		
Monument	Per	riod			Objec	:t			Period	
	Cho	ose an i	tem.						Choose an item.	
	Cho	ose an i	tem.						Choose an item.	
	Cho	ose an i	tem.						Choose an item.	
Insert more lines as	appropri	ate.		_				•		
<b>Project Location</b>										
County	Greate	er Manchester			Addre	ss (in	clud	ling Postcode)		
District	Rochda	ale				New Road, Littleborough, Rochdale,				
Parish						Great	er Ma	anch	ester	
HER office	GMAA	5								
Size of Study Area										
National Grid Ref	NGR 39	92847 4	92847 415876							
<b>Project Originator</b>	<b>'</b> S									
Organisation										
Project Brief Origin	ator	GMAAS								
Project Design Orig	ginator	OA No	rth							
Project Manager		Adam Tinsley								
Project Supervisor		Aidan Parker								
<b>Project Archives</b>										
-		Locati	on				- 1	D		
Physical Archive (F	inds)	NA								
Digital Archive	,	OA North Office								
Paper Archive		OA North Office								
•		l								
<b>Physical Content</b>	s Pi	resent?			Digit	al files			Paperwork	
,					_	ciated			associated with	
					Find				Finds	
Animal Bones		1				-				
Ceramics		1								
Environmental		1								
Glass	, L				]					
Human Remains	,									
				$\Box$				$\Box$		



New Road Littleborough, Rochdale, Greater Manchester Industrial Leather Metal Stratigraphic Survey **Textiles** Wood Worked Bone Worked Stone/Lithic П П None Other **Digital Media Paper Media** Database Aerial Photos П **Context Sheets**  $\Box$ GIS Geophysics Correspondence Images (Digital photos) Diary Illustrations (Figures/Plates) Drawing Moving Image Manuscript Spreadsheets Мар Survey Matrices Microfiche  $\Box$ Text Virtual Reality Miscellaneous Research/Notes Photos (negatives/prints/slides) Plans Report Sections Survey 

#### **Further Comments**





#### Head Office/Registered Office/ OA South

Janus House Osney Mead Oxford OX20ES

t:+44(0)1865 263800 f:+44(0)1865 793496

e:info@oxfordarchaeology.com w:http://oxfordarchaeology.com

#### **OA North**

Mill 3 MoorLane LancasterLA11QD

†:+44(0)1524 541000 f:+44(0)1524 848606

e:oanorth@oxfordarchaeology.com w:http://oxfordarchaeology.com

#### **OAEast**

15 Trafalgar Way Bar Hill Cambridgeshire CB238SQ

t: +44(0)1223 850500

e:oaeast@oxfordarchaeology.com w:http://oxfordarchaeology.com



**Director:** Gill Hey, BA PhD FSA MClfA Oxford Archaeology Ltd is a Private Limited Company, N<sup>o</sup>: 1618597 and a Registered Charity, N<sup>o</sup>: 285627